

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW, CIVIL LIBERTIES, AND CRIMINAL LAW

The World Justice Project (WJP) is honored to count on your expertise for this questionnaire, which will be answered by highly qualified individuals around the world.

The questionnaire consists of 43 questions, and will take approximately 35 minutes to complete. Your responses will be aggregated with those of other experts and supplemented by the results of a randomized general population poll (GPP) conducted in the three largest cities of your country of practice. Together, this data will be used to evaluate adherence to the rule of law. The results will be published, alongside those of over 100 other countries worldwide, in the WIP Rule of Law Index® 2017 report, and in other reports produced by the WIP.

Your contribution will be acknowledged in the *WJP Rule of Law Index*® *2017* report, as well as on The World Justice Project's web page and other WJP materials (unless you choose to remain anonymous).

Thank you in advance for your time and attention. With your participation, this joint endeavor will contribute to strengthening the rule of law worldwide.

INSTRUCTIONS

Please answer the following 43 questions in this questionnaire according to your perception of how the laws are applied **in practice** in your country. Please indicate in the box below which country you are responding to in this survey:

Country:

When you have completed the survey, please submit your answers by email or fax at:

- Email: ruleoflawindex@worldjusticeproject.org
- Fax: +1 (202) 747-5816

If you have comments or questions, please contact a member of The World Justice Project's (WJP) Rule of Law Index staff, at ruleoflawindex@worldjusticeproject.org.

CONFIDENTIALITY

The World Justice Project constructs the WJP Rule of Law Index report with strict guidelines in regard to the confidentiality of the data gathered and the privacy of the respondents. In the box below, please select if you would like to be either a **Publicly Acknowledged** contributor (meaning your name and organization will be published in the Contributing Experts section of the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2017* report and on the WJP website), OR you can select to be an **Anonymous** contributor (meaning your name will not be published in the WJP report, on the WJP website, or in any WJP materials, and your name and particulars will not be made known in any way).

In all cases, your individual responses will remain strictly confidential. Responses are aggregated preceding any statistical analysis or reporting of results to ensure that individual responses cannot be ascertained.



Type of Contribution

In the space below, **please select one** of the two options indicating if you would like to respond to this questionnaire as an Anonymous Contributor or as a Publicly Acknowledged Contributor. In both cases, your individual answers to the questionnaire will remain strictly confidential.

[] PUBLICLY ACKNOWLEDGED Contributor:

I would like to be <u>Publicly Acknowledged</u> as a contributor. I understand that my name, organizational affiliation, and country will be listed in the QRQ Contributing Experts section of the *Rule of Law Index 2017* report, and on the WJP's website, exactly as it is written below:

Name:	
Firm, Company, or organization:	

[] **ANONYMOUS** Contributor:

I would like to remain an **Anonymous** contributor. WJP will not reveal my name, organizational affiliation, or any other identifiable information in the *Rule of Law Index* report or any associated materials.



Please answer the following questions according to your perception of how the laws are applied <u>in practice</u> in your country. Please mark your answers with an X in the appropriate box.

SECTION I

The following questions describe hypothetical situations. In each question, we will provide you with a set of assumptions. Please select the option that best represents your views.

Hypothetical Scenario No. 1

Please assume that a poor person is arrested on suspicion of aggravated robbery. Assume that the suspect is taken into custody and detained at a local police station in the city where you live.

1. How likely is it that the police interrogators inflict **minor** physical harm on the detained suspect to admit the crime?

Very likely	
Likely	
Unlikely	
Very unlikely	
Don't know/Not Applicable	

2. How likely is it that the police interrogators inflict **severe** physical harm on the detained suspect to admit the crime?

Very likely	
Likely	
Unlikely	
Very unlikely	
Don't know/Not Applicable	

3. If the detained suspect requests access to legal counsel, how likely is it that he/she receives adequate legal counsel from a public defender:

	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Don't know/Not Applicable
(a) During the initial police custody?					
(b) During pre-trial detention?					
(c) During the trial?					

4. If the detained suspect does not speak the same language as the police officers or the prosecutors, in practice, how likely is it that he/she obtains access to an interpreter?

Very likely	
Likely	
Unlikely	
Very unlikely	



Don't know/Not Applicable	

5. How likely is the detained suspect to be tried and convicted in a secret trial?

Very likely	
Likely	
Unlikely	
Very unlikely	
Don't know/Not Applicable	

6. How likely is it that the suspect remains in custody without an indictment (or without formal charges) by the prosecutor, or by the competent judicial or administrative authority:

	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Don't know/Not Applicable
(a) For more than three months?					
(b) For more than one year?					
(c) For more than three years?					
(d) Indefinitely					

7. Assume that the prosecutor/judge/jury determines that there is probable cause (or probable responsibility) to hold the suspect in custody. How likely is the detained suspect to remain in custody without a formal conviction:

	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Don't know/Not Applicable
(a) For more than three months?					
(b) For more than one year?					
(c) For more than three years?					
(d) Indefinitely					

Hypothetical Scenario No. 2

8. Assume that a police officer inflicts **severe** physical harm on a criminal suspect to obtain a confession. Assume that the criminal suspect files a formal complaint with the competent authority (prosecutor, judge, ombudsman, etc.), and provides sufficient evidence to prove his/her case. Which one of the following outcomes is most likely? (**PLEASE CHOOSE ONLY ONE SINGLE ANSWER**)

(a) The accusation is completely ignored by the authorities	
(b) An investigation is opened, but it never reaches any conclusions	
(c) The police officer is prosecuted and punished (through fines, or time in	
prison)	
(d) Don't know/Not Applicable	

Hypothetical Scenario No. 3



9. Assume that a high-ranking police officer is found by a newspaper reporter to be taking money from a criminal organization. Assume that there is enough evidence to prosecute and convict. Which of the following outcomes is most likely? (PLEASE CHOOSE ONLY ONE SINGLE ANSWER)

(a) The accusation is completely ignored by the authorities	
(b) An investigation is opened, but it never reaches any conclusions	
(c) The high-ranking police officer is prosecuted and punished (through fines, or	
time in prison)	
(d) Don't know/Not Applicable	

10. How likely is the newspaper reporter to be threatened, imprisoned, or punished (either through official or unofficial means), either by the police or by the organized criminal organization?

Very likely	
Likely	
Unlikely	
Very unlikely	
Don't know/Not Applicable	

Hypothetical Scenario No. 4

11. Assume that the police arrest a suspected member of a dangerous criminal organization (*e.g.* a drug cartel). How likely is it that:

	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Don't know/Not Applicable
(a) The police (or the military police) inflict severe physical harm on the suspect during the interrogation					
(b) The suspect is killed by the police (or the military police) without trial					

Hypothetical Scenario No. 5

12. Imagine that the local police detain a **person suspected of committing a crime**. In your opinion, how likely is the detained person to be at a disadvantage during the criminal process because he/she is:

	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Don't know/Not Applicable
(a) A poor person					
(b) A female					
(c) A member of an ethnic minority					
(d) A member of a religious minority					
(e) A foreigner (immigrant)					
(f) Gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender					



\sim		
11	r	
\	1	

(g) None of the above	

Hypothetical Scenario No. 6

13. In your opinion, how likely is it the **victim** of a crime to be at a disadvantage during the process of seeking justice because he/she is:

(New: INL)	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Don't know/Not Applicable
(a) A poor person					
(b) A female					
(c) A member of an ethnic minority					
(d) A member of a religious minority					
(e) A foreigner (immigrant)					
(f) Gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender					

Hypothetical Scenario No. 7

14. Please assume that a criminal is apprehended by your neighbors after committing a serious crime. Which of the following two situations is more likely to happen? (PLEASE CHOOSE ONLY ONE SINGLE ANSWER)

(a) The criminal is beaten by the neighbors	
(b) The criminal is turned over to the authorities without harm	

Hypothetical Scenario No. 8

15. Please assume that someone in this neighborhood has a dispute with another resident over an unpaid debt. How likely is it that one or both parties resort to violence in the process of settling the dispute (for example, to intimidate one of the parties, or to ask for a payment of the unpaid debt)?

Very likely	
Likely	
Unlikely	
Very unlikely	
Don't know/Not Applicable	

SECTION II

16. The following question aims at identifying the main problems faced by the **criminal investigation system** in your country. On a scale from 1 to 10 (with 10 meaning a very serious problem, and 1



meaning not a serious problem), please tell us how significant are the following problems for the criminal investigative services (investigators, judicial police officers, etc.) in the city where you live:

	10 Serious problem	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1 Not a serious problem	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) Lack of effective intelligence systems to											
support criminal investigators											
(b) Lack of proactive investigation methods,											
such as undercover operations											
(c) Deficient mechanisms to gather											
information and analyze evidence											
(d) Improper storage or lack of chain of											
custody procedures for material evidence											
(e) Deficient systems to protect witnesses											
and whistle-blowers											
(f) Deficient systems to exchange											
information between criminal investigative											
service agencies											
(g) An insufficient number of criminal											
investigators											
(h) Incompetence of criminal investigators											
(i) Lack of technology and adequate											
resources											
(j) Corruption of investigators or judicial											
police											
(k) Investigators' understanding of the law											
(l) Lack of independence of forensic experts											
(m) Eyewitness identification of defendants											
is conducted in a manner that improperly											
disadvantages the accused											

17. In your opinion, material evidence used in criminal trials is obtained lawfully (with a valid search warrant, an order issued by a judge, for example.)

Almost always	
In most cases	
In some cases	
Almost never	
Don't know/Not Applicable	

18. The following question aims at identifying the main problems faced by the **prosecutorial system** in your country. On a scale from 1 to 10 (with 10 meaning a very serious problem, and 1 meaning not a serious problem), please tell us how significant are the following problems for the prosecutors in the city where you live:

	10 Serious problem	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1 Not a serious problem	Don't know/ Not applicable
--	--------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------



(a) Corruption of prosecutors						
(b) Prosecutors' understanding of the law						
(c) Lack of adequate training/education of						
prosecutors						
(d) Lack of independence of prosecutors						
(unable to act against powerful government						
officials or private parties)						
(e) Insufficient number of prosecutors to						
handle caseloads						

19. The following question aims at identifying the main problems faced by the **criminal defense system** in your country. On a scale from 1 to 10 (with 10 being a very serious problem, and 1 being not a serious problem), please tell us how significant are the following problems faced by the criminal

defense attorneys in the city where you live:

	10 Serious problem	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1 Not a serious problem	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) Lack of adequate training/education of											
state-provided or pro-bono defense											
attorneys											
(b) Insufficient number of state-provided or											
pro-bono (free-of-charge) attorneys for poor											
criminal defendants											
(c) Incompetence of state-provided or pro-											
bono (free-of-charge) attorneys for poor											
criminal defendants											
(d) Lack of adequate resources available to											
state-provided or pro-bono public defense											
attorneys to prepare for trial											
(e) Inability of state-provided or pro-bono											
public defense attorneys to gain access to											
clients while they are detained											
(f) Lack of independence of state-provided or											
pro-bono public defense attorneys from											
prosecutors											
(g) Lack of independence of state-provided											
or pro-bono public defense attorneys from											
judges											

20. The following question aims at identifying the main problems faced by the **criminal courts** in your country. On a scale from 1 to 10 (with 10 being a very serious problem, and 1 being not a serious problem), please tell us how significant are the following problems faced by the criminal courts in the city where you live:

	10 Serious problem	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1 Not a serious problem	Don't know/ Not applicable
--	--------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------



(b) Delays in the criminal justice system (cases take too much time) (c) Court congestion and lack of enough judges (d) Appeals clogging the criminal justice system (e) Poor decisions by criminal judges (f) Lack of adequate selection and training of judges (g) Lack of adequate selection and training of clerks (h) Insufficient monetary compensation (pay) for judges and court officers (i) Lack of enough judges and court personnel (j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the criminal system	(a) Excessive length and use of pre-trial detention					
(cases take too much time) (c) Court congestion and lack of enough judges (d) Appeals clogging the criminal justice system (e) Poor decisions by criminal judges (f) Lack of adequate selection and training of judges (g) Lack of adequate selection and training of clerks (h) Insufficient monetary compensation (pay) for judges and court officers (i) Lack of enough judges and court personnel (j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve dispute soutside the						
(c) Court congestion and lack of enough judges (d) Appeals clogging the criminal justice system (e) Poor decisions by criminal judges (f) Lack of adequate selection and training of judges (g) Lack of adequate selection and training of clerks (h) Insufficient monetary compensation (pay) for judges and court officers (i) Lack of enough judges and court personnel (j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
judges (d) Appeals clogging the criminal justice system (e) Poor decisions by criminal judges (f) Lack of adequate selection and training of judges (g) Lack of adequate selection and training of clerks (h) Insufficient monetary compensation (pay) for judges and court officers (i) Lack of enough judges and court personnel (j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the	,					
(d) Appeals clogging the criminal justice system (e) Poor decisions by criminal judges (f) Lack of adequate selection and training of judges (g) Lack of adequate selection and training of judges (g) Lack of adequate selection and training of clerks (h) Insufficient monetary compensation (pay) for judges and court officers (i) Lack of enough judges and court personnel (j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
(e) Poor decisions by criminal judges (f) Lack of adequate selection and training of judges (g) Lack of adequate selection and training of clerks (h) Insufficient monetary compensation (pay) for judges and court officers (i) Lack of enough judges and court personnel (j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
(e) Poor decisions by criminal judges (f) Lack of adequate selection and training of judges (g) Lack of adequate selection and training of clerks (h) Insufficient monetary compensation (pay) for judges and court officers (i) Lack of enough judges and court personnel (j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
(f) Lack of adequate selection and training of judges (g) Lack of adequate selection and training of clerks (h) Insufficient monetary compensation (pay) for judges and court officers (i) Lack of enough judges and court personnel (j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
judges (g) Lack of adequate selection and training of clerks (h) Insufficient monetary compensation (pay) for judges and court officers (i) Lack of enough judges and court personnel (j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
(g) Lack of adequate selection and training of clerks (h) Insufficient monetary compensation (pay) for judges and court officers (i) Lack of enough judges and court personnel (j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the	1					
clerks (h) Insufficient monetary compensation (pay) for judges and court officers (i) Lack of enough judges and court personnel (j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the	· · ·					
(h) Insufficient monetary compensation (pay) for judges and court officers (i) Lack of enough judges and court personnel (j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
(i) Lack of enough judges and court personnel (j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
(i) Lack of enough judges and court personnel (j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
(i) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
(j) Lack of adequate resources (k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the	, , ,					
(k) Corruption of judges and judicial officers (they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the	•					
(they don't move the cases unless the parties bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
bribe them) (l) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
(I) Lack of mechanisms to track the efficiency of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the	` •					
of the criminal courts (m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the	,					
(m) Lack of independence of the judiciary from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
from the government's power (n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
(n) Lack of translators (language barriers) for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
for criminal defendants (o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the	•					
(o) Bias against marginalized people (discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
(discrimination based on social or economic status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
status) (p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the						
dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation, mediation) to resolve disputes outside the	(p) Insufficient or inefficient alternative					
mediation) to resolve disputes outside the	dispute resolution mechanisms (conciliation,					
criminal system						
	criminal system					

21. The following question aims at identifying the main problems faced by the **correctional institutions** in your country. On a scale from 1 to 10 (with 10 meaning a very serious problem, and 1 meaning not a serious problem), please tell us how significant are the following problems faced by correctional facilities (jails and prisons) in the city where you live:

	10 Serious problem	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1 Not a serious problem	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) Harsh conditions and overcrowding											
(b) Poor access to health care and											
malnutrition among inmates											
(c) Physical abuse by guards and correctional											
personnel											



(d) Physical abuse between inmates						
(e) Poor rehabilitative programs and						
recidivism						
(f) Lack of accessible complaint mechanisms						
(g) Lack of separate facilities for dangerous						
and less serious offenders						
(h) Poor security that facilitates escapes						
(i) Excessive use of incarceration for minor						
crimes that could be handled through house						
arrest						
(j) Lack of separate facilities for men and						
women						
(k) Lack of separate facilities for minors and						
adults						

SECTION III

22. Based on your experience with common criminal cases (such as armed robbery) during the last year, approximately what percentage (%) of the suspects:

	100%	75%	50%	25%	5%	0%	Don't know/Not Applicable
(a) Were aware of the charges against them?							
(b) Were in fact presumed innocent during the criminal investigation?							
(c) Were forced to confess to a crime?							
(d) Were in fact presumed innocent by the judge during trial until all evidence has been presented?							
(e) Were in fact allowed to challenge the evidence used against them in court?							

23. Based on your experience with common criminal cases (such as armed robbery) during the last year, in approximately what percentage (%) of cases would you say that:

	100%	75%	50%	25%	5%	0%	Don't know/Not Applicable
(a) A judge was present during all court							
proceedings?							
(b) The defense was given access to all of							
the evidence used in court?							
(c) The defendant was present during court							
proceedings?							
(d) The defense attorney was present during							



court proceedings?				
(e) The prosecutor was present during court				
proceedings?				

24. Based on your experience with criminal cases decided by trial courts during the previous year, in approximately what percentage (%) of cases showed that:

	100%	75%	50%	25%	5%	0%	Don't know/Not Applicable
(a) The final decision reflected the judges'							
honest evaluation of the available evidence							
and applicable law							
(b) The final decision was influenced by							
undue political or professional pressure							
(c) The final decision was influenced by							
corruption							

25. Based on your experience with criminal cases during the previous year, in approximately what percentage (%) of them did the criminal system:

percentage (70) or enem are ene erminar eye							
	100%	75%	50%	25%	5%	0%	Don't know/Not Applicable
(a) Erroneously indict or accuse the suspected perpetrator of a crime?							
(b) Erroneously convict an individual through a trial?							
(c) Erroneously convict an individual through a plea bargain or other pretrial process							

26. In your opinion, how aware is the general population about their legal rights in the event of arrest or interrogation?

Very aware	
Somewhat aware	
Slightly aware	
Not aware at all	
Don't know/Not Applicable	

27. Based on your experience, please tell us:

	Less than 1 month	Between 1 month and 6 months	Between 6 months and 1 year	Between 1 year and 3 years	More than 3 years	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) In practice, how long does it take to						



convict a suspect accused of a serious crime?			
(b) In practice, how long does it take to convict a suspect accused of a minor crime?			

28. Please answer:

	100%	75%	50%	25%	5%	0%	Don't know/Not Applicable
In your view, what percentage (%) of convicted criminals released from prison relapse into criminal behavior?							

29. How likely is it that the police:

	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) Arbitrarily arrest a citizen without probable					
cause (false arrest)?					
(b) Use excessive force during arrests?					
(c) Arrest an individual accused of a crime					
without an arrest warrant or order issued by a					
judge or magistrate?					
(d) Videotape the entire interrogation of a					
suspect?					

30. How frequently would you say that:

	Almost always	In most cases	In some cases	Almost never	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) Court proceedings are open to the public?					
(b) The entirety of the criminal case is transcribed and/or videotaped for the official record?					

31. Please answer:

	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) How likely are local police officers to collect					
bribes from traders and small merchants, so that					
they can carry on their activity?					
(b) How likely are police in your country to receive					
bribes from criminal organizations to turn a blind					
eye to their illegal activities (like selling drugs on the					



streets)?			
(c) How likely are the police to arrest innocent			
people and take them to court on false charges in			
order to solicit bribes or to fill a quota?			
(d) How likely are prosecutors to indict innocent			
people on false charges in order to fill a quota?			
(e) How likely are political dissidents to be secretly			
imprisoned or killed by agents of the state?			
(f) How likely are government agents to intercept			
(wiretap) private telephone or electronic			
communications of regular citizens , without			
judicial authorization?			
(g) How likely are government agents to intercept			
(wiretap) private telephone or electronic			
communications of political opponents , without			
judicial authorization?			
(h) How likely is it that an inmate breaks out of a			
maximum security prison?			
(i) How likely is it that an inmate breaks out of an			
ordinary prison?			

32. How much influence do criminal organizations, such as drug cartels or arms smugglers, have on the policies and actions of the following institutions of your country?

	Very strong influence	Significant influence	Minor influence	No influence	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) Members of the government					
(b) Members of the courts					
(c) The police					
(d) The military					
(e) Warlords					

33. How frequently do **prosecutors** working on criminal cases request or receive bribes or other informal payments to:

	Almost always	In most cases	In some cases	Almost never	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) Actually investigate a crime?					
(b) Actually prosecute a criminal?					
(c) Drop or reduce charges, or grant a bail?					
(d) Destroy or tamper with evidence?					
(e) Expedite court processes?					

34. How frequently do the **police officers and court officers** (court personnel or judges) working on criminal cases request or receive bribes or other informal payments to:



	Almost always	In most cases	In some cases	Almost never	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) Actually investigate a crime?					
(b) Actually prosecute a criminal?					
(c) Drop charges or grant a bail?					
(d) Destroy or tamper with evidence?					
(e) Expedite court processes?					

35. How frequently would you say that:

	Almost always	In most cases	In some cases	Almost never	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) In practice, the government provides easy-					
to-understand information on criminal suspects'					
rights					
(b) In practice, the government strives to make					
the laws accessible in languages spoken by					
significant segments of the population, even if					
they are not "official" languages					
(c) The basic laws are publicly available in all					
official languages					
(d) In practice, judicial decisions of the highest					
court are published on a timely basis					
(e) In practice, criminal laws are sufficiently					
stable to permit the public to ascertain what					
conducts are permitted and prohibited					

36. To what extent do you agree with the following statements:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) In practice, police officers who commit					
crimes are prosecuted and punished					
(b) In practice, police officers abusing their					
power are sanctioned for misconduct					
(c) In practice, the reports issued by the					
National Human Rights Institution/ombudsman					
are taken seriously by the authorities, with					
negative findings drawing prompt corrective					
action					
(d) In practice, the programs serving the needs					
of victims of rape, gender-based violence, and					
human trafficking (to help them to move					
through the traumatizing experience) are					
effective					



37. In your opinion, what are the main impediments, if any, to a functioning appeals process or case review for convicted defendants in your country? On a scale from 1 to 10 (with 10 meaning a very serious problem, and 1 meaning not a serious problem), please tell us how significant are the following problems for the criminal appeals process in the city where you live:

	10 Serious problem	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1 Not a serious problem	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) Prosecutors improperly obstruct the											
process											
(b) Judges are unwilling to consider appeals											
(c) Defense attorneys abuse the appeals											
system											
(d) The defense is unable to obtain records											
of previous court proceedings											

38. Please choose the statement that is closest to your views on how the National Human Rights Institution (ombudsman) operates in practice in your country: (PLEASE CHOOSE ONLY ONE SINGLE ANSWER)

(a) The institution is effective in investigating human rights violations	
(b) The institution starts investigations into human rights violations, but is limited	
in its effectiveness. The institution may be slow or unwilling to take on politically	
sensitive issues	
(c) The institution does not effectively investigate human rights violations	
(d) There is no such institution in my country	
(e)Don't know/Not applicable	

39. To what extent do you agree with the following statements:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) In practice, <i>civil society organizations</i> in your country can freely express opinions against					
government policies and actions without fear of retaliation					
(b) In practice, the government collaborates with civil society organizations in designing public policy					
(c) In practice, people in your country can freely join together with others to draw attention to an issue or sign a petition					
(d) In practice, people in your country can freely join any political organization they want					
(e) In practice, people in your country can freely hold public non-violent demonstrations without fear of reprisal					
(f) In practice, non-adherents in your country				-	



are not required to submit to religious laws			
(g) In your country, religious minorities can			
freely and publicly observe their holy days and			
religious events			
(h) In practice, the media (TV, radio,			
newspapers) in your country can freely expose			
cases of corruption by high-ranking government			
officers without fear of retaliation			
(i) In practice, the media (TV, radio,			
newspapers) in your country can freely express			
opinions against government policies without			
fear of retaliation			
(j) In practice in your country, the government			
does not prevent citizens from accessing			
content published on-line			
(k) In practice in your country, opposition			
parties can freely express opinions against			
government policies without fear of retaliation			
(l) In practice in your country, opposing factions			
within the dominant party can freely express			
opinions in public without fear of facing			
substantial negative consequences			

40. To what extent do you agree with the following statements:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) In practice, the government's power is not					
concentrated in one person, but is distributed					
among different independent branches, for					
instance, the President or Prime Minister, the					
Congress or Legislative body, and the judges					
(b) In practice, the national courts in your					
country are free of political influence in their					
application of power					
(c) In practice, the local courts in your country					
are free of political influence in their application					
of power					
(d) The government always obeys the decisions					
of the high courts, even when they disagree with					
these decisions					
(e) In practice, the reports issued by the					
government auditor (supreme audit institution,					
comptroller, etc.) are taken seriously by the					
authorities, with negative findings drawing					
prompt corrective action					
(f) In practice, members of the legislature					
abusing their power are sanctioned for					
misconduct					



(g) In practice, members of the legislature who			
commit crimes are prosecuted and punished			
(h) In practice, members of the judiciary			
abusing their power are sanctioned for			
misconduct			

41. To what extent do you agree with the following statements:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) The chief executive (President, Prime					
Minister, etc.) in your country was elected					
through a clean process					
(b) The chief executive (President, Prime					
Minister, etc.) in your country was elected in					
accordance with the rules and procedures set					
forth in the constitution					
(c) In your country, detailed election results are					
readily available for public scrutiny					
(d) In practice, the electoral appeals court is free					
of political influence in its application of power					
(e) In practice, proper checks and balances exist					
to maintain public confidence in the electoral					
process					
(f) In practice, in your country, local					
government officials are elected through a clean					
process					
(g) In practice, in your country, people can vote					
freely without feeling harassed or pressured					
(h) In practice, the chief executive (President or					
Prime Minister, etc.) in your country rules					
without regard to legislative checks					

42. Please answer:

	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) How likely is a citizen to be beaten by the police, without justification, for participating in a non-violent public demonstration in your country?					
(b) How likely is a journalist to be attacked by the police, without justification, for covering a non-violent public demonstration in your country?					
(c) How likely is it that a political dissident is taken from his home to a detention center without any warrant of arrest?					



(d) How likely is it that the police search without warrant the house of a political			
dissident?			
(e) How likely is it that a powerful or politically			
connected person avoids or escapes legal			
consequences for a non-violent criminal breach			
of the law?			
(f) What is the likelihood that a newspaper in			
your country declines to run a credible exposé			
of corruption because of threats of violence or			
legal action?			
(g) How likely is it that a high-ranking			
government official illegally diverts public funds			
to finance personal lifestyle or political			
campaigning costs?			
(h) How likely is it that a member of the			
Legislature/Parliament solicits or receives			
illegal payments or bribes in exchange for			
political favors or a favorable vote on a bill?			

43. The following question aims at gauging the overall performance of government institutions in addressing various specialized types of criminal cases. On a scale from 1 to 10 (with 10 meaning a very strong and high-quality performance, and 1 meaning a very weak and low-quality performance), please tell us how well the government performs when it comes to investigating and prosecuting the following types of crimes:

	10 Very good	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1 Very poor	Don't know/ Not applicable
(a) Narcotics production and trafficking											
(b) Public internal and external security											
(c) Conspiracy/Criminal networks											
(d) Money laundering											
(e) Financial/Economic crimes											
(f) Corruption by government officials											
(g) Trafficking in Persons											
(h) Gender-based violence											



ALMOST FINISHED!

If you have any feedback or comments regarding the questionnaire, we would be grateful if you would let us know in the space below.

1.) Are there any relevant topics, issues, or questions that you think were left out?				
	elevant topics, issu	elevant topics, issues, or questions th	elevant topics, issues, or questions that you think were	elevant topics, issues, or questions that you think were left out?



2.) Additional Information: We are grateful for your contribution as an expert respondent to the WJP Rule of Law Index. Many of the World Justice Project's community of rule of law experts contribute their time and expertise year after year to create one of the world's most comprehensive data sets measuring countries' adherence to the rule of law. To ensure that we have the most up to date records in our database, we would greatly appreciate if you would take the time to fill out your contact information below. This information will remain strictly anonymous, and will not be shared with any third parties. Your contact information will be securely stored in our database for further engagement and for future editions of the WJP Rule of Law Index.

	Please fill out the information below:
Title (Mr., Ms., Dr., etc.):	
First Name:	
Last Name/Surname:	
Organization:	
Position:	
Telephone:	
Fax:	
E-mail:	
Web site:	
Gender:	

Do you teach at the university level?	Yes [] No [] Which courses?
Are you an attorney? Yes [] No []
, , , , , ,	•
If yes, how many years have you prac-	ticed law?

If you are an attorney, what are your areas of practice?					
Antitrust	[]	Contracts	[]	International law	[]
Bankruptcy	[]	Corporate law	[]	Labor law	[]
Business law	[]	Criminal law	[]	Litigation/Arbitration	[]
Civil law	[]	Employment law	[]	Medical malpractice	[]
Civil rights	[]	Environmental law	[]	Property law	[]
Commercial law	[]	Family law	[]	Taxation	[]
Constitutional law	[]	General practice	[]		[]
Consumer law	[]	Intellectual property	[]		[]



3.) As a token of our appreciation to your invaluable contribution, we would like to mail you a complimentary copy of the WJP Rule of Law Index 2017 report when it is published later this year. If you would like to receive a complimentary hard copy of the report, please enter your preferred mailing address below.

Please fill out the information below if you would like us to mail you a copy of the Index 2017					
report later this year:					
Mailing address:					
City:					
State:					
Zip code/postal code:					
Country:					

- 4.) Finally, as an expert in your field, we would welcome referrals or suggestions of colleagues who may be willing to contribute by completing one of the four questionnaires best aligned with their expertise:
- Civil and Commercial Law
- Constitutional Law, Civil Liberties, and Criminal Law
- Labor Law
- Public Health

	Name	Email	Area of Expertise
Referral #1			
Referral #2			
Referral #3			

Thank you very much for your collaboration

Thank you for completing the questionnaire. We are honored to include your expertise in the 2017 administration of the WJP Rule of Law Index®. For more information on the Rule of Law Index and the other program areas of the World Justice Project, please visit:

www.worldjusticeproject.org