



World Justice
Project

مسألة el derecho पक्ष безопасности kebebasan مسألة justice sécurité II X uhuru adalci

World Justice Project Rule of Law Index[®] 2020

The World Justice Project Rule of Law Index® 2020

The *World Justice Project (WJP) Rule of Law Index® 2020* report was prepared by the World Justice Project. The Index's conceptual framework and methodology were developed by Juan Carlos Botero, Mark David Agrast, and Alejandro Ponce. Data collection and analysis for the 2020 report was performed by Lindsey Bock, Erin Campbell, Alicia Evangelides, Emma Frerichs, Joshua Fuller, Amy Gyskiewicz, Camilo Gutiérrez Patiño, Matthew Harman, Alexa Hopkins, Ayyub Ibrahim, Sarah Chamness Long, Rachel L. Martin, Jorge A. Morales, Alejandro Ponce, Natalia Rodríguez Cajamarca, Leslie Solís Saravia, Rebecca Silvas, and Adriana Stephan, with the assistance of Claudia Bobadilla, Gabriel Hearn-Desautels, Maura McCrary, Emma Poplack, and Francesca Tinucci. The report was produced under the executive direction of Elizabeth Andersen.

Lead graphic designer for this report was Priyanka Khosla, with assistance from Courtney Babcock.

Lead website designer was Pitch Interactive, with assistance from Priyanka Khosla, Matthew Harman, Courtney Babcock, and Rebecca Silvas.

ISBN (print version): 978-1-951330-34-7
ISBN (online version): 978-1-951330-35-4

The World Justice Project

Board of Directors: Sheikha Abdulla Al-Misnad, Kamel Ayadi, William C. Hubbard, Hassan Bubacar Jallow, Suet-Fern Lee, Mondli Makhanya, Margaret McKeown, William H. Neukom, John Nery, Ellen Gracie Northfleet, James R. Silkenat and Petar Stoyanov.

Directors Emeritus: President Dr. Ashraf Ghani Ahmadzai

Officers: Mark D. Agrast, Vice President; Deborah Enix-Ross, Vice President; Nancy Ward, Vice President; William C. Hubbard, Chairman of the Board; Gerold W. Libby, General Counsel and Secretary; William H. Neukom, Founder and CEO; James R. Silkenat, Director and Treasurer.

Executive Director: Elizabeth Andersen

Chief Research Officer: Alejandro Ponce

The *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020* report was made possible by the generous supporters of the work of the World Justice Project listed in this report on page 203.

© Copyright 2020 by the World Justice Project. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* and the *World Justice Project Rule of Law Index* are trademarks of the World Justice Project. All Rights Reserved. Requests to reproduce this document should be sent to:

WJP Rule of Law Index Permissions
World Justice Project
1025 Vermont Avenue, NW, Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20005 USA

E-mail: wjp@worldjusticeproject.org
Subject line: WJP Rule of Law Index Permissions

World Justice Project

Rule of Law Index[®]

2020

01

Section One About the WJP Rule of Law Index

- 05 Introduction
- 06 Overview of Scores and Rankings
- 08 Features of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*
- 09 Defining the Rule of Law
- 11 Conceptual Framework of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*
- 12 Indicators of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*

02

Section Two Scores and Rankings

- 16 Rule of Law Around the World
- 18 Rule of Law by Region
- 20 Rule of Law by Income
- 22 Rule of Law by Factor

03

Section Three Country Profiles


- 32 How to Read the Country Profiles

04

Section Four Behind the Numbers

- 162 Methodology
- 169 Contributing Experts
- 201 Acknowledgements
- 202 About the World Justice Project

**The WJP Rule of
Law Index is the
world's leading
source for original,
independent data
on the rule of law.**





Introduction

The *World Justice Project (WJP) Rule of Law Index® 2020* is the latest report in an annual series measuring the rule of law based on the experiences and perceptions of the general public and in-country legal practitioners and experts worldwide.

Strengthening the rule of law is a major goal of citizens, governments, donors, businesses, and civil society organizations around the world. To be effective, rule of law development requires clarity about the fundamental features that define the rule of law, as well as an adequate basis for its evaluation and measurement.

The *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020* presents a portrait of the rule of law in 128 countries and jurisdictions by providing scores and rankings based on eight factors: Constraints on Government Powers, Absence of Corruption, Open Government, Fundamental Rights, Order and Security, Regulatory Enforcement, Civil Justice, and Criminal Justice.

The scores and rankings in the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020* are derived from more than 130,000 household surveys and 4,000 legal practitioner and expert surveys worldwide. The Index is the world's most comprehensive dataset of its kind and the only to rely principally on primary data.

The Index is intended for a broad audience that includes policy makers, civil society organizations, academics, citizens, and legal professionals, among others. It is our hope that this diagnostic tool will help identify strengths and weaknesses and encourage policy choices, guide program development, and inform research to strengthen the rule of law within and across these countries and jurisdictions.

Overview of Scores and Rankings

The table below shows the overall scores and rankings of the WJP Rule of Law Index 2020 in alphabetical order. Scores range from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating the strongest adherence to the rule of law.

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Score Change*	Global Rank	Global Rank Change†	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Score Change*	Global Rank	Global Rank Change†
 Afghanistan	0.36	0.02	122	3 ▲	 Ecuador	0.49	0.01	86	3 ▲
 Albania	0.50	-0.01	78	4 ▼	 Egypt	0.36	0.00	125	2 ▼
 Algeria	0.49	-0.01	83	8 ▼	 El Salvador	0.49	0.02	84	2 ▲
 Angola	0.43	0.01	110	4 ▲	 Estonia	0.81	0.00	10	0
 Antigua and Barbuda	0.63	0.00	34	1 ▼	 Ethiopia	0.41	0.02	114	6 ▲
 Argentina	0.58	0.00	48	2 ▼	 Finland	0.87	0.00	3	0
 Australia	0.80	0.00	11	0	 France	0.73	-0.01	20	3 ▼
 Austria	0.82	-0.01	8	1 ▼	 The Gambia	0.50	-	74	-
 The Bahamas	0.61	-0.01	41	2 ▼	 Georgia	0.60	-0.01	42	1 ▼
 Bangladesh	0.41	-0.01	115	2 ▼	 Germany	0.84	0.00	6	0
 Barbados	0.65	0.00	29	0	 Ghana	0.57	-0.01	51	3 ▼
 Belarus	0.51	0.00	68	1 ▼	 Greece	0.61	-0.01	40	4 ▼
 Belgium	0.79	0.00	14	0	 Grenada	0.59	-0.01	44	1 ▼
 Belize	0.48	0.00	89	1 ▼	 Guatemala	0.45	-0.01	101	3 ▼
 Benin	0.50	0.00	81	0	 Guinea	0.42	-0.02	111	4 ▼
 Bolivia	0.38	0.00	121	0	 Guyana	0.50	0.00	73	3 ▲
 Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.52	-0.01	64	2 ▼	 Honduras	0.40	0.00	116	1 ▲
 Botswana	0.60	0.01	43	1 ▲	 Hong Kong SAR, China	0.76	0.00	16	0
 Brazil	0.52	-0.02	67	7 ▼	 Hungary	0.53	-0.01	60	2 ▼
 Bulgaria	0.55	0.00	53	1 ▲	 India	0.51	0.00	69	0
 Burkina Faso	0.51	0.00	70	1 ▲	 Indonesia	0.53	0.01	59	4 ▲
 Cambodia	0.33	0.00	127	0	 Iran	0.43	-0.02	109	3 ▼
 Cameroon	0.36	-0.02	124	2 ▼	 Italy	0.66	0.00	27	1 ▲
 Canada	0.81	0.00	9	0	 Jamaica	0.57	0.00	49	1 ▲
 Chile	0.67	-0.01	26	1 ▼	 Japan	0.78	0.00	15	0
 China	0.48	-0.01	88	4 ▼	 Jordan	0.57	0.00	50	1 ▼
 Colombia	0.50	0.00	77	5 ▲	 Kazakhstan	0.52	0.00	62	4 ▲
 Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	0.01	126	0	 Kenya	0.45	0.00	102	3 ▲
 Costa Rica	0.68	0.00	25	1 ▼	 Kosovo	0.54	-	54	-
 Cote d'Ivoire	0.46	0.00	95	0	 Kyrgyz Republic	0.48	0.01	87	0
 Croatia	0.61	0.01	39	3 ▲	 Lebanon	0.45	-0.02	96	4 ▼
 Czech Republic	0.73	0.00	18	1 ▲	 Liberia	0.45	-0.01	98	1 ▲
 Denmark	0.90	0.00	1	0	 Madagascar	0.44	0.01	105	4 ▲
 Dominica	0.58	0.00	46	1 ▼	 Malawi	0.52	0.00	65	3 ▲
 Dominican Republic	0.48	0.01	90	7 ▲	 Malaysia	0.58	0.03	47	4 ▲
					 Mali	0.44	-0.01	106	2 ▼

*Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places.

†The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 126 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2019 Index with the rankings of the same 126 countries and jurisdictions in 2020, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2020 Index. The two new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index are: Kosovo and The Gambia.

The country scores and rankings for the WJP Rule of Law Index 2020 are derived from more than 130,000 household surveys and 4,000 expert surveys in 128 countries and jurisdictions.

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Score Change*	Global Rank	Global Rank Change [†]	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Score Change*	Global Rank	Global Rank Change [†]
 Mauritania	0.36	0.01	123	1 ▲	 St. Lucia	0.62	0.00	36	2 ▲
 Mauritius	0.61	0.00	38	1 ▼	 St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.64	0.02	31	4 ▲
 Mexico	0.44	-0.01	104	3 ▼	 Suriname	0.50	-0.01	76	5 ▼
 Moldova	0.50	0.01	82	3 ▲	 Sweden	0.86	0.00	4	0
 Mongolia	0.53	-0.01	57	3 ▼	 Tanzania	0.47	0.00	93	0
 Morocco	0.50	0.00	79	3 ▼	 Thailand	0.51	0.00	71	6 ▲
 Mozambique	0.41	-0.01	113	2 ▼	 Togo	0.45	0.00	99	3 ▲
 Myanmar	0.42	0.00	112	0	 Trinidad and Tobago	0.54	0.00	55	1 ▲
 Namibia	0.63	0.00	35	1 ▼	 Tunisia	0.54	0.00	56	3 ▲
 Nepal	0.53	0.00	61	0	 Turkey	0.43	0.00	107	3 ▲
 Netherlands	0.84	0.00	5	0	 Uganda	0.40	-0.01	117	2 ▼
 New Zealand	0.83	0.00	7	1 ▲	 Ukraine	0.51	0.00	72	6 ▲
 Nicaragua	0.39	-0.01	118	2 ▼	 United Arab Emirates	0.65	0.00	30	2 ▲
 Niger	0.45	0.00	103	0	 United Kingdom	0.79	-0.01	13	1 ▼
 Nigeria	0.43	0.00	108	0	 United States	0.72	0.00	21	1 ▼
 North Macedonia	0.53	-0.01	58	1 ▼	 Uruguay	0.71	0.00	22	1 ▲
 Norway	0.89	0.00	2	0	 Uzbekistan	0.47	0.01	92	4 ▲
 Pakistan	0.39	0.00	120	1 ▼	 Venezuela, RB	0.27	-0.01	128	0
 Panama	0.52	0.00	63	2 ▲	 Vietnam	0.49	0.00	85	2 ▼
 Peru	0.50	-0.01	80	7 ▼	 Zambia	0.45	-0.01	97	3 ▼
 Philippines	0.47	0.00	91	0	 Zimbabwe	0.39	-0.01	119	1 ▼
 Poland	0.66	-0.01	28	1 ▼					
 Portugal	0.70	-0.01	23	1 ▼					
 Republic of Korea	0.73	0.00	17	1 ▲					
 Romania	0.63	-0.01	32	1 ▼					
 Russian Federation	0.47	-0.01	94	4 ▼					
 Rwanda	0.62	0.01	37	3 ▲					
 Senegal	0.55	0.00	52	0					
 Serbia	0.50	0.00	75	5 ▲					
 Sierra Leone	0.45	0.00	100	0					
 Singapore	0.79	-0.01	12	1 ▲					
 Slovenia	0.69	0.01	24	2 ▲					
 South Africa	0.59	0.01	45	2 ▲					
 Spain	0.73	0.01	19	2 ▲					
 Sri Lanka	0.52	0.00	66	2 ▼					
 St. Kitts and Nevis	0.63	-0.01	33	3 ▼					

*Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places.

†The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 126 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2019 Index with the rankings of the same 126 countries and jurisdictions in 2020, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2020 Index. The two new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index are: Kosovo and The Gambia.

Features of the WJP Rule of Law Index

The World Justice Project (WJP) developed the WJP Rule of Law Index to serve as a quantitative tool for measuring the rule of law in practice. The Index's methodology and comprehensive definition of the rule of law are the products of intensive consultation and vetting with academics, practitioners, and community leaders from more than 100 countries and jurisdictions and 17 professional disciplines.

The scores and rankings of the eight factors and 44 sub-factors of the Index draw from two sources of data collected by the WJP:

1. **A General Population Poll (GPP)** conducted by leading local polling companies, using a representative sample of 1,000¹ respondents in each country and jurisdiction;
2. **Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs)** consisting of closed-ended questions completed by in-country legal practitioners, experts, and academics with expertise in civil and commercial law; constitutional law, civil liberties, and criminal law; labor law; and public health.²

Taken together, these two data sources provide current, original information reflecting the experiences and perceptions of the general public and in-country legal practitioners and experts in 128 countries and jurisdictions worldwide.

Rule of Law in Practice

The Index measures adherence to the rule of law by looking at policy outcomes, such as whether people have access to courts or whether crime is effectively controlled. This stands in contrast to efforts that focus on the written legal code, or the institutional means by which a society may seek to achieve these policy outcomes.

Comprehensive and Multi-Dimensional

While other indices cover particular aspects of the rule of law, such as absence of corruption or human rights, they do not yield a full picture of the state of the rule of law. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* is the only global instrument that looks at the rule of law comprehensively.

Perspective of Ordinary People

The *WJP Rule of Law Index* puts people at its core. It looks at a country's adherence to the rule of law from the perspective of ordinary individuals and their experiences with the rule of law in their societies. The Index examines practical, everyday situations, such as whether people can access public services and whether a dispute among neighbors can be resolved peacefully and cost-effectively by an independent adjudicator.

New Data Anchored in Actual Experiences


The Index is the only comprehensive set of indicators on the rule of law that is based on primary data. The Index's scores are built from the assessments of residents (1,000 respondents per country or jurisdiction) and local legal practitioners and experts, which ensure that the findings reflect the conditions experienced by actual people, including residents from marginalized sectors of society.

Culturally Competent

The Index has been designed to be applied in countries and jurisdictions with vastly different social, cultural, economic, and political systems. No society has ever attained—let alone sustained—a perfect realization of the rule of law. Every country faces the perpetual challenge of building and renewing the structures, institutions, and norms that can support and sustain a rule of law culture.

Country-Specific Data and Online Tools

In addition to this written report, an interactive online platform for country-specific *WJP Rule of Law Index* data is available at: worldjusticeproject.org. The interactive data site invites viewers to browse each of the 128 country and jurisdiction profiles and explore overall and factor scores.



¹ Due to small populations or obstacles to data collection in certain countries and jurisdictions, the sampling plan was adjusted in some cases. One adjustment was to decrease the sample size. For more information on specific countries and jurisdictions and sample sizes, see pages 166–168.

² Please see the “Methodology” section on page 162 of this report for more detailed information regarding data collection and score computation.

Defining the Rule of Law

Effective rule of law reduces corruption, combats poverty and disease, and protects people from injustices large and small. It is the foundation for communities of justice, opportunity, and peace—underpinning development, accountable government, and respect for fundamental rights. Traditionally, the rule of law has been viewed as the domain of lawyers and judges. But everyday issues of safety, rights, justice, and governance affect us all; everyone is a stakeholder in the rule of law.

Despite its profound importance for fair and functioning societies, the rule of law is notoriously difficult to define and measure. A simple way of approaching it is to examine a set of outcomes that the rule of law brings to societies, each of which reflects one aspect of the complex concept of the rule of law. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* seeks to embody these outcomes within a simple and coherent framework.

The *WJP Rule of Law Index* captures adherence to the rule of law as defined by the WJP’s universal principles (see following page) through a comprehensive and multi-dimensional set of outcome indicators, each of which reflects a particular aspect of this complex concept. The theoretical framework linking these outcome indicators draws upon two main principles pertaining to the relationship between the state and the governed.

The first principle measures whether the law imposes limits on the exercise of power by the state and its agents, as well as individuals and private entities. This is measured in factors one, two, three, and four of the Index. The second principle measures whether the state limits the actions of members of society and fulfills its basic duties toward its population so that the public interest is served, people are protected from violence, and all members of society have access to dispute settlement and grievance mechanisms. This is measured in factors five, six, seven, and eight of the Index. Although broad in scope, this framework assumes very little about the functions of the state, and when it does, it incorporates functions that are recognized by practically all societies, such as the provision of justice or the guarantee of order and security.

The resulting set of indicators is also an effort to strike a balance between what scholars call a “thin” or minimalist conception of the rule of law that focuses on formal, procedural rules, and a “thick” conception that includes substantive characteristics, such as self-governance and various fundamental rights and freedoms. Striking this balance between “thin” and “thick” conceptions of the rule of law enables the Index to apply to different types of social and political systems, including those that lack many of the features that characterize democratic nations, while including sufficient substantive characteristics to render the rule of law as more than a system of rules. The Index recognizes that a system of law that fails to respect core human rights guaranteed under international law is at best “rule by law” and does not deserve to be called a rule of law system.

The rule of law affects all of us in our everyday lives. Although we may not be aware of it, the rule of law is profoundly important—and not just for lawyers or judges. Every sector of society is a stakeholder in the rule of law. Below are a few examples:

Business Environment

Imagine an investor seeking to commit resources abroad. She would probably think twice before investing in a country where corruption is rampant, property rights are ill-defined, and contracts are difficult to enforce. Uneven enforcement of regulations, corruption, insecure property rights, and ineffective means to settle disputes undermine legitimate business and deter both domestic and foreign investment.

Public Works

Consider the bridges, roads, or runways we traverse daily—or the offices and buildings in which we live, work, and play. What would happen if building codes governing design and safety were not enforced or government officials and contractors used low-quality materials in order to pocket the surplus? Weak regulatory enforcement and corruption decrease the security of physical infrastructure and waste scarce resources, which are essential to a thriving economy.

Public Health and Environment

Consider the implications of pollution, wildlife poaching, and deforestation for public health and the environment. What would happen if a company were pouring harmful chemicals into a river in a highly populated area and the environmental inspector ignored these actions in exchange for a bribe? Adherence to the rule of law is essential to holding governments, businesses, civil society organizations, and communities accountable for protecting public health and the environment.



The Four Universal Principles of the Rule of Law

The World Justice Project defines the rule of law as a durable system of laws, institutions, norms, and community commitment that delivers:

Universal Principle One
Accountability



The government as well as private actors are accountable under the law.

Universal Principle Two
Just Laws



The laws are clear, publicized, and stable; are applied evenly; and protect fundamental rights, including the security of persons and contract, property, and human rights.

Universal Principle Three
Open Government



The processes by which the laws are enacted, administered, and enforced are accessible, fair, and efficient.

Universal Principle Four
**Accessible and Impartial
Dispute Resolution**



Justice is delivered timely by competent, ethical, and independent representatives and neutrals who are accessible, have adequate resources, and reflect the makeup of the communities they serve.

The four universal principles are further developed in the following eight factors of the annual WJP Rule of Law Index:
Constraints on Government Powers, Absence of Corruption, Open Government, Fundamental Rights, Order and Security, Regulatory Enforcement, Civil Justice, and Criminal Justice.

Conceptual Framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index

The conceptual framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index is comprised of eight factors further disaggregated into 44 sub-factors. These factors and sub-factors are presented below and described in detail in the section that follows.

Factor One Constraints on Government Powers



- 1.1 Government powers are effectively limited by the legislature
- 1.2 Government powers are effectively limited by the judiciary
- 1.3 Government powers are effectively limited by independent auditing and review
- 1.4 Government officials are sanctioned for misconduct
- 1.5 Government powers are subject to non-governmental checks
- 1.6 Transition of power is subject to the law

Factor Two Absence of Corruption



- 2.1 Government officials in the executive branch do not use public office for private gain
- 2.2 Government officials in the judicial branch do not use public office for private gain
- 2.3 Government officials in the police and the military do not use public office for private gain
- 2.4 Government officials in the legislative branch do not use public office for private gain

Factor Three Open Government



- 3.1 Publicized laws and government data
- 3.2 Right to information
- 3.3 Civic participation
- 3.4 Complaint mechanisms

Factor Four Fundamental Rights



- 4.1 Equal treatment and absence of discrimination
- 4.2 The right to life and security of the person is effectively guaranteed
- 4.3 Due process of the law and rights of the accused
- 4.4 Freedom of opinion and expression is effectively guaranteed
- 4.5 Freedom of belief and religion is effectively guaranteed
- 4.6 Freedom from arbitrary interference with privacy is effectively guaranteed
- 4.7 Freedom of assembly and association is effectively guaranteed
- 4.8 Fundamental labor rights are effectively guaranteed

Factor Five Order and Security



- 5.1 Crime is effectively controlled
- 5.2 Civil conflict is effectively limited
- 5.3 People do not resort to violence to redress personal grievances

Factor Six Regulatory Enforcement



- 6.1 Government regulations are effectively enforced
- 6.2 Government regulations are applied and enforced without improper influence
- 6.3 Administrative proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delay
- 6.4 Due process is respected in administrative proceedings
- 6.5 The government does not expropriate without lawful process and adequate compensation

Factor Seven Civil Justice



- 7.1 People can access and afford civil justice
- 7.2 Civil justice is free of discrimination
- 7.3 Civil justice is free of corruption
- 7.4 Civil justice is free of improper government influence
- 7.5 Civil justice is not subject to unreasonable delay
- 7.6 Civil justice is effectively enforced
- 7.7 Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms are accessible, impartial, and effective

Factor Eight Criminal Justice



- 8.1 Criminal investigation system is effective
- 8.2 Criminal adjudication system is timely and effective
- 8.3 Correctional system is effective in reducing criminal behavior
- 8.4 Criminal justice system is impartial
- 8.5 Criminal justice system is free of corruption
- 8.6 Criminal justice system is free of improper government influence
- 8.7 Due process of the law and rights of the accused

Informal Justice and the Rule of Law

The conceptual framework of the Index includes a ninth factor on informal justice that is not included in the Index's aggregate scores and rankings. Informal justice systems often play a large role in countries where formal legal institutions are weak, remote, or perceived as ineffective. For this reason, the WJP has devoted significant effort to collecting data on informal justice through our surveys. Nonetheless, the complexities of these systems and the difficulties of systematically measuring their fairness and effectiveness make cross-country assessments extraordinarily challenging.

Factor 9: Informal Justice

9.1 Informal justice is timely and effective

9.2 Informal justice is impartial and free of improper influence

9.3 Informal justice respects and protects fundamental rights

Indicators of the WJP Rule of Law Index

Factor 1: Constraints on Government Powers

1.1 Government powers are effectively limited by the legislature

Measures whether legislative bodies have the ability in practice to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

1.2 Government powers are effectively limited by the judiciary

Measures whether the judiciary has the independence and the ability in practice to exercise effective checks on the government.

1.3 Government powers are effectively limited by independent auditing and review

Measures whether comptrollers or auditors, as well as national human rights ombudsman agencies, have sufficient independence and the ability to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

1.4 Government officials are sanctioned for misconduct

Measures whether government officials in the executive, legislature, judiciary, and the police are investigated, prosecuted, and punished for official misconduct and other violations.

1.5 Government powers are subject to non-governmental checks

Measures whether an independent media, civil society organizations, political parties, and individuals are free to report and comment on government policies without fear of retaliation.

1.6 Transition of power is subject to the law

Measures whether government officials are elected or appointed in accordance with the rules and procedures set forth in the constitution. Where elections take place, it also measures the integrity of the electoral process, including access to the ballot, the absence of intimidation, and public scrutiny of election results.

Factor 2: Absence of Corruption

2.1 Government officials in the executive branch do not use public office for private gain

Measures the prevalence of bribery, informal payments, and other inducements in the delivery of public services and the enforcement of regulations. It also measures whether government procurement and public works contracts are awarded through an open and competitive bidding process, and whether government officials at various levels of the executive branch refrain from embezzling public funds.

2.2 Government officials in the judicial branch do not use public office for private gain

Measures whether judges and judicial officials refrain from soliciting and accepting bribes to perform duties or expedite processes, and whether the judiciary and judicial rulings are free of improper influence by the government, private interests, and criminal organizations.

2.3 Government officials in the police and the military do not use public office for private gain

Measures whether police officers and criminal investigators refrain from soliciting and accepting bribes to perform basic police services or to investigate crimes, and whether government officials in the police and the military are free of improper influence by private interests or criminal organizations.

2.4 Government officials in the legislative branch do not use public office for private gain

Measures whether members of the legislature refrain from soliciting or accepting bribes or other inducements in exchange for political favors or favorable votes on legislation.

Factor 3: Open Government

3.1 Publicized laws and government data

Measures whether basic laws and information on legal rights are publicly available, presented in plain language, and made accessible in all languages. It also measures the quality and accessibility of information published by the government in print or online, and whether administrative regulations, drafts of legislation, and high court decisions are made accessible to the public in a timely manner.

3.2 Right to information

Measures whether requests for information held by a government agency are granted, whether these requests are granted within a reasonable time period, if the information provided is pertinent and complete, and if requests for information are granted at a reasonable cost and without having to pay a bribe. It also measures whether people are aware of their right to information, and whether relevant records are accessible to the public upon request.

3.3 Civic participation

Measures the effectiveness of civic participation mechanisms, including the protection of the freedoms of opinion and expression, assembly and association, and the right to petition the government. It also measures whether people can voice concerns to various government officers, and whether government officials provide sufficient information and notice about decisions affecting the community.

3.4 Complaint mechanisms

Measures whether people are able to bring specific complaints to the government about the provision of public services or the performance of government officers in carrying out their legal duties in practice, and how government officials respond to such complaints.

Factor 4: Fundamental Rights

4.1 Equal treatment and absence of discrimination

Measures whether individuals are free from discrimination—based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity—with respect to public services, employment, court proceedings, and the justice system.

4.2 The right to life and security of the person is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether the police inflict physical harm upon criminal suspects during arrest and interrogation, and whether political dissidents or members of the media are subjected to unreasonable searches, arrest, detention, imprisonment, threats, abusive treatment, or violence.

4.3 Due process of the law and rights of the accused

Measures whether the basic rights of criminal suspects are respected, including the presumption of innocence and the freedom from arbitrary arrest and unreasonable pre-trial detention. It also measures whether criminal suspects are able to access and challenge evidence used against them, whether they are subject to abusive treatment, and whether they are provided with adequate legal assistance. In addition, it measures whether the basic rights of prisoners are respected once they have been convicted of a crime.

4.4 Freedom of opinion and expression is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether an independent media, civil society organizations, political parties, and individuals are free to report and comment on government policies without fear of retaliation.

4.5 Freedom of belief and religion is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether members of religious minorities can worship and conduct religious practices freely and publicly, and whether non-adherents are protected from having to submit to religious laws.

4.6 Freedom from arbitrary interference with privacy is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether the police or other government officials conduct physical searches without warrants, or intercept electronic communications of private individuals without judicial authorization.

4.7 Freedom of assembly and association is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether people can freely attend community meetings, join political organizations, hold peaceful public demonstrations, sign petitions, and express opinions against government policies and actions without fear of retaliation.

4.8 Fundamental labor rights are effectively guaranteed

Measures the effective enforcement of fundamental labor rights, including freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining, the absence of discrimination with respect to employment, and freedom from forced labor and child labor.

Factor 5: Order and Security

5.1 Crime is effectively controlled

Measures the prevalence of common crimes, including homicide, kidnapping, burglary and theft, armed robbery, and extortion, as well as people's general perceptions of safety in their communities.

5.2 Civil conflict is effectively limited

Measures whether people are effectively protected from armed conflict and terrorism.

5.3 People do not resort to violence to redress personal grievances

Measures whether people resort to intimidation or violence to resolve civil disputes amongst themselves or to seek redress from the government, and whether people are free from mob violence.

Factor 6: Regulatory Enforcement

6.1 Government regulations are effectively enforced

Measures whether government regulations, such as labor, environmental, public health, commercial, and consumer protection regulations are effectively enforced.

6.2 Government regulations are applied and enforced without improper influence

Measures whether the enforcement of regulations is subject to bribery or improper influence by private interests, and whether public services, such as the issuance of permits and licenses and the administration of public health services, are provided without bribery or other inducements.

6.3 Administrative proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delay

Measures whether administrative proceedings at the national and local levels are conducted without unreasonable delay.

6.4 Due process is respected in administrative proceedings

Measures whether the due process of law is respected in administrative proceedings conducted by national and local authorities in issue areas such as the environment, taxes, and labor.

6.5 The government does not expropriate without lawful process and adequate compensation

Measures whether the government respects the property rights of people and corporations, refrains from the illegal seizure of private property, and provides adequate compensation when property is legally expropriated.

Factor 7: Civil Justice

7.1 People can access and afford civil justice

Measures the accessibility and affordability of civil courts, including whether people are aware of available remedies; can access and afford legal advice and representation; and can access the court system without incurring unreasonable fees, encountering unreasonable procedural hurdles, or experiencing physical or linguistic barriers.

7.2 Civil justice is free of discrimination

Measures whether the civil justice system discriminates in practice based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity.

7.3 Civil justice is free of corruption

Measures whether the civil justice system is free of bribery and improper influence by private interests.

7.4 Civil justice is free of improper government influence

Measures whether the civil justice system is free of improper government or political influence.

7.5 Civil justice is not subject to unreasonable delay

Measures whether civil justice proceedings are conducted and judgments are produced in a timely manner without unreasonable delay.

7.6 Civil justice is effectively enforced

Measures the effectiveness and timeliness of the enforcement of civil justice decisions and judgments in practice.

7.7 Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms are accessible, impartial, and effective

Measures whether alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (ADRs) are affordable, efficient, enforceable, and free of corruption.

Factor 8: Criminal Justice

8.1 Criminal investigation system is effective

Measures whether perpetrators of crimes are effectively apprehended and charged. It also measures whether police, investigators, and prosecutors have adequate resources, are free of corruption, and perform their duties competently.

8.2 Criminal adjudication system is timely and effective

Measures whether perpetrators of crimes are effectively prosecuted and punished. It also measures whether criminal judges and other judicial officers are competent and produce speedy decisions.

8.3 Correctional system is effective in reducing criminal behavior

Measures whether correctional institutions are secure, respect prisoners' rights, and are effective in preventing recidivism.

8.4 Criminal justice system is impartial

Measures whether the police and criminal judges are impartial and whether they discriminate in practice based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity.

8.5 Criminal justice system is free of corruption

Measures whether the police, prosecutors, and judges are free of bribery and improper influence from criminal organizations.

8.6 Criminal justice system is free of improper government influence

Measures whether the criminal justice system is independent from government or political influence.

8.7 Due process of the law and rights of the accused


Measures whether the basic rights of criminal suspects are respected, including the presumption of innocence and the freedom from arbitrary arrest and unreasonable pre-trial detention. It also measures whether criminal suspects are able to access and challenge evidence used against them, whether they are subject to abusive treatment, and whether they are provided with adequate legal assistance. In addition, it measures whether the basic rights of prisoners are respected once they have been convicted of a crime.

Scores & Rankings



Rule of Law Around the World

The table below shows the overall scores and rankings of the WJP Rule of Law Index 2020 by country rank. Scores range from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating the strongest adherence to the rule of law.




	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Denmark	0.90	1
Norway	0.89	2
Finland	0.87	3
Sweden	0.86	4
Netherlands	0.84	5
Germany	0.84	6
New Zealand	0.83	7
Austria	0.82	8
Canada	0.81	9
Estonia	0.81	10
Australia	0.80	11
Singapore	0.79	12
United Kingdom	0.79	13
Belgium	0.79	14
Japan	0.78	15
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.76	16
Republic of Korea	0.73	17
Czech Republic	0.73	18
Spain	0.73	19
France	0.73	20
United States	0.72	21
Uruguay	0.71	22
Portugal	0.70	23
Slovenia	0.69	24
Costa Rica	0.68	25
Chile	0.67	26
Italy	0.66	27
Poland	0.66	28
Barbados	0.65	29
United Arab Emirates	0.65	30
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.64	31
Romania	0.63	32
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.63	33

	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Antigua and Barbuda	0.63	34
Namibia	0.63	35
St. Lucia	0.62	36
Rwanda	0.62	37
Mauritius	0.61	38
Croatia	0.61	39
Greece	0.61	40
The Bahamas	0.61	41
Georgia	0.60	42
Botswana	0.60	43
Grenada	0.59	44
South Africa	0.59	45
Dominica	0.58	46
Malaysia	0.58	47
Argentina	0.58	48
Jamaica	0.57	49
Jordan	0.57	50
Ghana	0.57	51
Senegal	0.55	52
Bulgaria	0.55	53
Kosovo	0.54	54
Trinidad and Tobago	0.54	55
Tunisia	0.54	56
Mongolia	0.53	57
North Macedonia	0.53	58
Indonesia	0.53	59
Hungary	0.53	60
Nepal	0.53	61
Kazakhstan	0.52	62
Panama	0.52	63
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.52	64
Malawi	0.52	65
Sri Lanka	0.52	66
Brazil	0.52	67

	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Belarus	0.51	68
India	0.51	69
Burkina Faso	0.51	70
Thailand	0.51	71
Ukraine	0.51	72
Guyana	0.50	73
The Gambia	0.50	74
Serbia	0.50	75
Suriname	0.50	76
Colombia	0.50	77
Albania	0.50	78
Morocco	0.50	79
Peru	0.50	80
Benin	0.50	81
Moldova	0.50	82
Algeria	0.49	83
El Salvador	0.49	84

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.



	Overall Score*	Global Rank		Overall Score*	Global Rank		Overall Score*	Global Rank
Vietnam	0.49	85	Guatemala	0.45	101	Uganda	0.40	117
Ecuador	0.49	86	Kenya	0.45	102	Nicaragua	0.39	118
Kyrgyz Republic	0.48	87	Niger	0.45	103	Zimbabwe	0.39	119
China	0.48	88	Mexico	0.44	104	Pakistan	0.39	120
Belize	0.48	89	Madagascar	0.44	105	Bolivia	0.38	121
Dominican Republic	0.48	90	Mali	0.44	106	Afghanistan	0.36	122
Philippines	0.47	91	Turkey	0.43	107	Mauritania	0.36	123
Uzbekistan	0.47	92	Nigeria	0.43	108	Cameroon	0.36	124
Tanzania	0.47	93	Iran	0.43	109	Egypt	0.36	125
Russian Federation	0.47	94	Angola	0.43	110	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	126
Cote d'Ivoire	0.46	95	Guinea	0.42	111	Cambodia	0.33	127
Lebanon	0.45	96	Myanmar	0.42	112	Venezuela, RB	0.27	128
Zambia	0.45	97	Mozambique	0.41	113			
Liberia	0.45	98	Ethiopia	0.41	114			
Togo	0.45	99	Bangladesh	0.41	115			
Sierra Leone	0.45	100	Honduras	0.40	116			

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

Rule of Law Around the World by Region

East Asia & Pacific


Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†					
New Zealand	1/15	0.83	7	0.00	1 ▲	Indonesia	9/15	0.53	59	0.01 4 ▲
Australia	2/15	0.80	11	0.00	0	Thailand	10/15	0.51	71	0.00 6 ▲
Singapore	3/15	0.79	12	-0.01	1 ▲	Vietnam	11/15	0.49	85	0.00 2 ▼
Japan	4/15	0.78	15	0.00	0	China	12/15	0.48	88	-0.01 4 ▼
Hong Kong SAR, China	5/15	0.76	16	0.00	0	Philippines	13/15	0.47	91	0.00 0
Republic of Korea	6/15	0.73	17	0.00	1 ▲	Myanmar	14/15	0.42	112	0.00 0
Malaysia	7/15	0.58	47	0.03	4 ▲	Cambodia	15/15	0.33	127	0.00 0
Mongolia	8/15	0.53	57	-0.01	3 ▼					

Eastern Europe & Central Asia

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†					
Georgia	1/14	0.60	42	-0.01	1 ▼	Serbia	8/14	0.50	75	0.00 5 ▲
Kosovo	2/14	0.54	54		-	Albania	9/14	0.50	78	-0.01 4 ▼
North Macedonia	3/14	0.53	58	-0.01	1 ▼	Moldova	10/14	0.50	82	0.01 3 ▲
Kazakhstan	4/14	0.52	62	0.00	4 ▲	Kyrgyz Republic	11/14	0.48	87	0.01 0
Bosnia and Herzegovina	5/14	0.52	64	-0.01	2 ▼	Uzbekistan	12/14	0.47	92	0.01 4 ▲
Belarus	6/14	0.51	68	0.00	1 ▼	Russian Federation	13/14	0.47	94	-0.01 4 ▼
Ukraine	7/14	0.51	72	0.00	6 ▲	Turkey	14/14	0.43	107	0.00 3 ▲

Latin America & Caribbean

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†					
Uruguay	1/30	0.71	22	0.00	1 ▲	Brazil	16/30	0.52	67	-0.02 7 ▼
Costa Rica	2/30	0.68	25	0.00	1 ▼	Guyana	17/30	0.50	73	0.00 3 ▲
Chile	3/30	0.67	26	-0.01	1 ▼	Suriname	18/30	0.50	76	-0.01 5 ▼
Barbados	4/30	0.65	29	0.00	0	Colombia	19/30	0.50	77	0.00 5 ▲
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	5/30	0.64	31	0.02	4 ▲	Peru	20/30	0.50	80	-0.01 7 ▼
St. Kitts and Nevis	6/30	0.63	33	-0.01	3 ▼	El Salvador	21/30	0.49	84	0.02 2 ▲
Antigua and Barbuda	7/30	0.63	34	0.00	1 ▼	Ecuador	22/30	0.49	86	0.01 3 ▲
St. Lucia	8/30	0.62	36	0.00	2 ▲	Belize	23/30	0.48	89	0.00 1 ▼
The Bahamas	9/30	0.61	41	-0.01	2 ▼	Dominican Republic	24/30	0.48	90	0.01 7 ▲
Grenada	10/30	0.59	44	-0.01	1 ▼	Guatemala	25/30	0.45	101	-0.01 3 ▼
Dominica	11/30	0.58	46	0.00	1 ▼	Mexico	26/30	0.44	104	-0.01 3 ▼
Argentina	12/30	0.58	48	0.00	2 ▼	Honduras	27/30	0.40	116	0.00 1 ▲
Jamaica	13/30	0.57	49	0.00	1 ▲	Nicaragua	28/30	0.39	118	-0.01 2 ▼
Trinidad and Tobago	14/30	0.54	55	0.00	1 ▲	Bolivia	29/30	0.38	121	0.00 0
Panama	15/30	0.52	63	0.00	2 ▲	Venezuela, RB	30/30	0.27	128	-0.01 0



†The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 126 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2019 Index with the rankings of the same 126 countries and jurisdictions in 2020, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2020 Index. The two new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index are: Kosovo and The Gambia.

*Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places

EU, EFTA, & NA (European Union, European Free Trade Association, and North America)

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†						
Denmark	1/24	0.90	1	0.00	0	Spain	13/24	0.73	19	0.01	2 ▲
Norway	2/24	0.89	2	0.00	0	France	14/24	0.73	20	-0.01	3 ▼
Finland	3/24	0.87	3	0.00	0	United States	15/24	0.72	21	0.00	1 ▼
Sweden	4/24	0.86	4	0.00	0	Portugal	16/24	0.70	23	-0.01	1 ▼
Netherlands	5/24	0.84	5	0.00	0	Slovenia	17/24	0.69	24	0.01	2 ▲
Germany	6/24	0.84	6	0.00	0	Italy	18/24	0.66	27	0.00	1 ▲
Austria	7/24	0.82	8	-0.01	1 ▼	Poland	19/24	0.66	28	-0.01	1 ▼
Canada	8/24	0.81	9	0.00	0	Romania	20/24	0.63	32	-0.01	1 ▼
Estonia	9/24	0.81	10	0.00	0	Croatia	21/24	0.61	39	0.01	3 ▲
United Kingdom	10/24	0.79	13	-0.01	1 ▼	Greece	22/24	0.61	40	-0.01	4 ▼
Belgium	11/24	0.79	14	0.00	0	Bulgaria	23/24	0.55	53	0.00	1 ▲
Czech Republic	12/24	0.73	18	0.00	1 ▲	Hungary	24/24	0.53	60	-0.01	2 ▼

Middle East & North Africa

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†						
United Arab Emirates	1/8	0.65	30	0.00	2 ▲	Algeria	5/8	0.49	83	-0.01	8 ▼
Jordan	2/8	0.57	50	0.00	1 ▼	Lebanon	6/8	0.45	96	-0.02	4 ▼
Tunisia	3/8	0.54	56	0.00	3 ▲	Iran	7/8	0.43	109	-0.02	3 ▼
Morocco	4/8	0.50	79	0.00	3 ▼	Egypt	8/8	0.36	125	0.00	2 ▼

South Asia

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†						
Nepal	1/6	0.53	61	0.00	0	Bangladesh	4/6	0.41	115	-0.01	2 ▼
Sri Lanka	2/6	0.52	66	0.00	2 ▼	Pakistan	5/6	0.39	120	0.00	1 ▼
India	3/6	0.51	69	0.00	0	Afghanistan	6/6	0.36	122	0.02	3 ▲

Sub-Saharan Africa

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†						
Namibia	1/31	0.63	35	0.00	1 ▼	Sierra Leone	17/31	0.45	100	0.00	0
Rwanda	2/31	0.62	37	0.01	3 ▲	Kenya	18/31	0.45	102	0.00	3 ▲
Mauritius	3/31	0.61	38	0.00	1 ▼	Niger	19/31	0.45	103	0.00	0
Botswana	4/31	0.60	43	0.01	1 ▲	Madagascar	20/31	0.44	105	0.01	4 ▲
South Africa	5/31	0.59	45	0.01	2 ▲	Mali	21/31	0.44	106	-0.01	2 ▼
Ghana	6/31	0.57	51	-0.01	3 ▼	Nigeria	22/31	0.43	108	0.00	0
Senegal	7/31	0.55	52	0.00	0	Angola	23/31	0.43	110	0.01	4 ▲
Malawi	8/31	0.52	65	0.00	3 ▲	Guinea	24/31	0.42	111	-0.02	4 ▼
Burkina Faso	9/31	0.51	70	0.00	1 ▲	Mozambique	25/31	0.41	113	-0.01	2 ▼
The Gambia	10/31	0.50	74	-	-	Ethiopia	26/31	0.41	114	0.02	6 ▲
Benin	11/31	0.50	81	0.00	0	Uganda	27/31	0.40	117	-0.01	2 ▼
Tanzania	12/31	0.47	93	0.00	0	Zimbabwe	28/31	0.39	119	-0.01	1 ▼
Cote d'Ivoire	13/31	0.46	95	0.00	0	Mauritania	29/31	0.36	123	0.01	1 ▲
Zambia	14/31	0.45	97	-0.01	3 ▼	Cameroon	30/31	0.36	124	-0.02	2 ▼
Liberia	15/31	0.45	98	-0.01	1 ▲	Congo, Dem. Rep.	31/31	0.34	126	0.01	0
Togo	16/31	0.45	99	0.00	3 ▲						



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Rule of Law Around the World


by Income

Low Income

Country/Jurisdiction	Low Income Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Rwanda	1/19	0.62	37
Nepal	2/19	0.53	61
Malawi	3/19	0.52	65
Burkina Faso	4/19	0.51	70
The Gambia	5/19	0.50	74
Benin	6/19	0.50	81
Tanzania	7/19	0.47	93
Liberia	8/19	0.45	98
Togo	9/19	0.45	99
Sierra Leone	10/19	0.45	100
Niger	11/19	0.45	103
Madagascar	12/19	0.44	105
Mali	13/19	0.44	106
Guinea	14/19	0.42	111
Mozambique	15/19	0.41	113
Ethiopia	16/19	0.41	114
Uganda	17/19	0.40	117
Afghanistan	18/19	0.36	122
Congo, Dem. Rep.	19/19	0.34	126

Lower Middle Income

Country/Jurisdiction	Lower Middle Income Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Ghana	1/30	0.57	51
Senegal	2/30	0.55	52
Tunisia	3/30	0.54	56
Mongolia	4/30	0.53	57
Indonesia	5/30	0.53	59
India	6/30	0.51	69
Ukraine	7/30	0.51	72
Morocco	8/30	0.50	79
Moldova	9/30	0.50	82
El Salvador	10/30	0.49	84
Vietnam	11/30	0.49	85
Kyrgyz Republic	12/30	0.48	87
Philippines	13/30	0.47	91
Uzbekistan	14/30	0.47	92
Cote d'Ivoire	15/30	0.46	95
Zambia	16/30	0.45	97
Kenya	17/30	0.45	102
Nigeria	18/30	0.43	108
Angola	19/30	0.43	110
Myanmar	20/30	0.42	112
Bangladesh	21/30	0.41	115
Honduras	22/30	0.40	116
Nicaragua	23/30	0.39	118
Zimbabwe	24/30	0.39	119
Pakistan	25/30	0.39	120
Bolivia	26/30	0.38	121
Mauritania	27/30	0.36	123
Cameroon	28/30	0.36	124
Egypt	29/30	0.36	125
Cambodia	30/30	0.33	127




*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Upper Middle Income

Country/Jurisdiction	Upper Middle Income Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Costa Rica	1/42	0.68	25
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	2/42	0.64	31
Romania	3/42	0.63	32
Namibia	4/42	0.63	35
St. Lucia	5/42	0.62	36
Mauritius	6/42	0.61	38
Georgia	7/42	0.60	42
Botswana	8/42	0.60	43
Grenada	9/42	0.59	44
South Africa	10/42	0.59	45
Dominica	11/42	0.58	46
Malaysia	12/42	0.58	47
Argentina	13/42	0.58	48
Jamaica	14/42	0.57	49
Jordan	15/42	0.57	50
Bulgaria	16/42	0.55	53
Kosovo	17/42	0.54	54
North Macedonia	18/42	0.53	58
Kazakhstan	19/42	0.52	62
Bosnia and Herzegovina	20/42	0.52	64
Sri Lanka	21/42	0.52	66
Brazil	22/42	0.52	67
Belarus	23/42	0.51	68
Thailand	24/42	0.51	71
Guyana	25/42	0.50	73
Serbia	26/42	0.50	75
Suriname	27/42	0.50	76
Colombia	28/42	0.50	77
Albania	29/42	0.50	78
Peru	30/42	0.50	80
Algeria	31/42	0.49	83
Ecuador	32/42	0.49	86
China	33/42	0.48	88
Belize	34/42	0.48	89
Dominican Republic	35/42	0.48	90
Russian Federation	36/42	0.47	94
Lebanon	37/42	0.45	96
Guatemala	38/42	0.45	101
Mexico	39/42	0.44	104
Turkey	40/42	0.43	107
Iran	41/42	0.43	109
Venezuela, RB	42/42	0.27	128

High Income

Country/Jurisdiction	High Income Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Denmark	1/37	0.90	1
Norway	2/37	0.89	2
Finland	3/37	0.87	3
Sweden	4/37	0.86	4
Netherlands	5/37	0.84	5
Germany	6/37	0.84	6
New Zealand	7/37	0.83	7
Austria	8/37	0.82	8
Canada	9/37	0.81	9
Estonia	10/37	0.81	10
Australia	11/37	0.80	11
Singapore	12/37	0.79	12
United Kingdom	13/37	0.79	13
Belgium	14/37	0.79	14
Japan	15/37	0.78	15
Hong Kong SAR, China	16/37	0.76	16
Republic of Korea	17/37	0.73	17
Czech Republic	18/37	0.73	18
Spain	19/37	0.73	19
France	20/37	0.73	20
United States	21/37	0.72	21
Uruguay	22/37	0.71	22
Portugal	23/37	0.70	23
Slovenia	24/37	0.69	24
Chile	25/37	0.67	26
Italy	26/37	0.66	27
Poland	27/37	0.66	28
Barbados	28/37	0.65	29
United Arab Emirates	29/37	0.65	30
St. Kitts and Nevis	30/37	0.63	33
Antigua and Barbuda	31/37	0.63	34
Croatia	32/37	0.61	39
Greece	33/37	0.61	40
The Bahamas	34/37	0.61	41
Trinidad and Tobago	35/37	0.54	55
Hungary	36/37	0.53	60
Panama	37/37	0.52	63



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Factor 1 measures the extent to which those who govern are bound by law. It comprises the means, both constitutional and institutional, by which the powers of the government and its officials and agents are limited and held accountable under the law. It also includes non-governmental checks on the government's power, such as a free and independent press. For a further breakdown of Constraints on Government Powers by sub-factor, please refer to page 12.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank						
Denmark	0.94	1	The Gambia	0.60	44	North Macedonia	0.47	87
Norway	0.94	2	Rwanda	0.60	45	Dominican Republic	0.47	88
Finland	0.92	3	Nepal	0.60	46	Mexico	0.46	89
Sweden	0.87	4	Mauritius	0.60	47	Ukraine	0.46	90
Netherlands	0.86	5	Peru	0.60	48	Bulgaria	0.46	91
Germany	0.85	6	St. Lucia	0.59	49	Moldova	0.46	92
New Zealand	0.85	7	Malaysia	0.58	50	Madagascar	0.46	93
Austria	0.85	8	Poland	0.58	51	Zambia	0.46	94
Canada	0.84	9	Grenada	0.58	52	Vietnam	0.45	95
Estonia	0.83	10	Croatia	0.58	53	Albania	0.45	96
Belgium	0.83	11	Senegal	0.56	54	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.45	97
Australia	0.82	12	Trinidad and Tobago	0.56	55	Myanmar	0.45	98
United Kingdom	0.82	13	Burkina Faso	0.56	56	Belize	0.44	99
Portugal	0.78	14	Malawi	0.56	57	Afghanistan	0.44	100
Costa Rica	0.76	15	Guyana	0.56	58	Mozambique	0.43	101
Uruguay	0.76	16	United Arab Emirates	0.56	59	Kazakhstan	0.42	102
Spain	0.74	17	Sri Lanka	0.55	60	Angola	0.42	103
Czech Republic	0.73	18	Georgia	0.55	61	Cote d'Ivoire	0.41	104
France	0.73	19	Panama	0.54	62	Uganda	0.40	105
Chile	0.72	20	Colombia	0.53	63	Niger	0.40	106
Republic of Korea	0.72	21	Brazil	0.53	64	Bangladesh	0.40	107
United States	0.71	22	Mongolia	0.53	65	Hungary	0.40	108
Italy	0.71	23	Dominica	0.53	66	Guinea	0.39	109
Japan	0.71	24	Benin	0.53	67	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.39	110
Namibia	0.69	25	Nigeria	0.53	68	Serbia	0.39	111
Indonesia	0.68	26	Guatemala	0.52	69	Ethiopia	0.39	112
Greece	0.68	27	Liberia	0.52	70	Cameroon	0.37	113
Ghana	0.68	28	Kosovo	0.52	71	Iran	0.37	114
Singapore	0.67	29	Tanzania	0.51	72	Russian Federation	0.36	115
Slovenia	0.65	30	Sierra Leone	0.51	73	Bolivia	0.36	116
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.65	31	Morocco	0.51	74	Togo	0.36	117
Jamaica	0.64	32	Philippines	0.50	75	Belarus	0.36	118
South Africa	0.63	33	Lebanon	0.50	76	Honduras	0.34	119
Barbados	0.63	34	El Salvador	0.50	77	Uzbekistan	0.33	120
Antigua and Barbuda	0.62	35	Kenya	0.49	78	Mauritania	0.33	121
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.62	36	Pakistan	0.49	79	Zimbabwe	0.33	122
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.62	37	Jordan	0.49	80	China	0.32	123
Romania	0.62	38	Suriname	0.48	81	Turkey	0.30	124
Botswana	0.62	39	Thailand	0.48	82	Cambodia	0.29	125
Argentina	0.61	40	Algeria	0.48	83	Egypt	0.27	126
India	0.61	41	Kyrgyz Republic	0.48	84	Nicaragua	0.26	127
Tunisia	0.61	42	Ecuador	0.48	85	Venezuela, RB	0.17	128
The Bahamas	0.61	43	Mali	0.47	86			

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Absence of Corruption

Factor 2 measures the absence of corruption in government. The factor considers three forms of corruption: bribery, improper influence by public or private interests, and misappropriation of public funds or other resources. These three forms of corruption are examined with respect to government officers in the executive branch, the judiciary, the military, police, and the legislature. For a further breakdown of Absence of Corruption by sub-factor, please refer to page 12.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank							
Denmark	0.95	1	Croatia	0.58	44	Ecuador	0.42	86	
Norway	0.94	2	Greece	0.56	45	Malawi	0.41	87	
Singapore	0.91	3	Romania	0.56	46	Nepal	0.41	88	
Sweden	0.91	4	Jamaica	0.55	47	Uzbekistan	0.40	89	
Finland	0.89	5	Belarus	0.54	48	Niger	0.40	90	
Netherlands	0.88	6	Senegal	0.54	49	Egypt	0.40	91	
New Zealand	0.87	7	Namibia	0.53	50	Indonesia	0.39	92	
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.84	8	China	0.53	51	Ghana	0.39	93	
Canada	0.83	9	Argentina	0.52	52	Dominican Republic	0.39	94	
United Kingdom	0.82	10	Hungary	0.51	53	Colombia	0.39	95	
Germany	0.82	11	Thailand	0.49	54	Benin	0.38	96	
Austria	0.82	12	Trinidad and Tobago	0.49	55	Zambia	0.38	97	
Japan	0.82	13	Tunisia	0.49	56	El Salvador	0.38	98	
Australia	0.82	14	Kazakhstan	0.49	57	Mozambique	0.37	99	
Belgium	0.80	15	South Africa	0.48	58	Albania	0.37	100	
United Arab Emirates	0.80	16	The Gambia	0.48	59	Lebanon	0.36	101	
Estonia	0.79	17	Turkey	0.47	60	Bangladesh	0.36	102	
France	0.74	18	Sri Lanka	0.47	61	Cote d'Ivoire	0.36	103	
United States	0.74	19	Kosovo	0.46	62	Sierra Leone	0.35	104	
Poland	0.73	20	Myanmar	0.46	63	Nicaragua	0.34	105	
Spain	0.73	21	Philippines	0.46	64	Moldova	0.34	106	
Uruguay	0.73	22	Ethiopia	0.46	65	Mali	0.34	107	
Portugal	0.72	23	Guyana	0.46	66	Guatemala	0.34	108	
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.70	24	Algeria	0.45	67	Nigeria	0.33	109	
Barbados	0.70	25	Suriname	0.45	68	Ukraine	0.33	110	
Chile	0.69	26	Brazil	0.45	69	Peru	0.33	111	
Georgia	0.68	27	North Macedonia	0.44	70	Liberia	0.32	112	
Republic of Korea	0.67	28	Bulgaria	0.44	71	Kyrgyz Republic	0.32	113	
Slovenia	0.66	29	Serbia	0.44	72	Honduras	0.32	114	
Costa Rica	0.66	30	Morocco	0.44	73	Zimbabwe	0.32	115	
Czech Republic	0.65	31	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.44	74	Pakistan	0.31	116	
Grenada	0.65	32	Belize	0.43	75	Venezuela, RB	0.31	117	
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.64	33	Iran	0.43	76	Afghanistan	0.30	118	
St. Lucia	0.64	34	Russian Federation	0.43	77	Mauritania	0.29	119	
Italy	0.63	35	Togo	0.43	78	Guinea	0.29	120	
Rwanda	0.63	36	Angola	0.43	79	Mexico	0.27	121	
The Bahamas	0.63	37	Burkina Faso	0.42	80	Kenya	0.27	122	
Antigua and Barbuda	0.61	38	Tanzania	0.42	81	Madagascar	0.27	123	
Jordan	0.60	39	Panama	0.42	82	Bolivia	0.27	124	
Dominica	0.60	40	Mongolia	0.42	83	Uganda	0.26	125	
Malaysia	0.60	41	Vietnam	0.42	84	Cameroon	0.26	126	
Botswana	0.59	42	India	0.42	85	Cambodia	0.24	127	
Mauritius	0.59	43				Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.16	128	


*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Open Government

Factor 3 measures the openness of government defined by the extent to which a government shares information, empowers people with tools to hold the government accountable, and fosters citizen participation in public policy deliberations. This factor measures whether basic laws and information on legal rights are publicized and evaluates the quality of information published by the government. For a further breakdown of Open Government by sub-factor, please refer to page 13.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank							
Norway	0.89	1	Kosovo	0.56	44	Morocco	0.44	86	
Denmark	0.88	2	Trinidad and Tobago	0.56	45	St. Kitts and Nevis	0.44	87	
Sweden	0.86	3	Bulgaria	0.56	46	Senegal	0.43	88	
Finland	0.86	4	Peru	0.55	47	Malawi	0.43	89	
Netherlands	0.82	5	Moldova	0.55	48	Bolivia	0.43	90	
New Zealand	0.82	6	Indonesia	0.55	49	Pakistan	0.43	91	
Australia	0.81	7	Mauritius	0.54	50	China	0.43	92	
Estonia	0.81	8	Kyrgyz Republic	0.54	51	Bangladesh	0.43	93	
Canada	0.81	9	El Salvador	0.53	52	Nigeria	0.43	94	
Germany	0.79	10	Dominican Republic	0.53	53	Malaysia	0.42	95	
United Kingdom	0.79	11	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.52	54	Honduras	0.42	96	
France	0.78	12	Philippines	0.52	55	Turkey	0.42	97	
United States	0.78	13	Antigua and Barbuda	0.52	56	Jordan	0.42	98	
Belgium	0.76	14	Barbados	0.52	57	Benin	0.41	99	
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.73	15	Nepal	0.52	58	Afghanistan	0.41	100	
Uruguay	0.72	16	Sri Lanka	0.51	59	Lebanon	0.40	101	
Austria	0.71	17	Guatemala	0.51	60	Uganda	0.40	102	
Chile	0.71	18	Thailand	0.51	61	Sierra Leone	0.40	103	
Spain	0.71	19	The Bahamas	0.50	62	Suriname	0.39	104	
Republic of Korea	0.71	20	Dominica	0.50	63	Tanzania	0.38	105	
Costa Rica	0.70	21	Ghana	0.50	64	Zambia	0.38	106	
Japan	0.68	22	Ecuador	0.50	65	Cote d'Ivoire	0.38	107	
Czech Republic	0.67	23	Botswana	0.50	66	Nicaragua	0.37	108	
Portugal	0.66	24	St. Lucia	0.49	67	Myanmar	0.37	109	
Slovenia	0.65	25	Tunisia	0.49	68	Angola	0.37	110	
Singapore	0.64	26	Russian Federation	0.49	69	Guinea	0.37	111	
Colombia	0.64	27	Mongolia	0.49	70	Belarus	0.36	112	
Argentina	0.64	28	North Macedonia	0.48	71	United Arab Emirates	0.36	113	
Italy	0.63	29	Kenya	0.48	72	The Gambia	0.36	114	
South Africa	0.62	30	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.47	73	Mozambique	0.35	115	
Brazil	0.61	31	Burkina Faso	0.47	74	Niger	0.35	116	
India	0.61	32	Liberia	0.47	75	Algeria	0.34	117	
Croatia	0.61	33	Serbia	0.47	76	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	118	
Greece	0.61	34	Albania	0.47	77	Cameroon	0.34	119	
Romania	0.61	35	Vietnam	0.46	78	Uzbekistan	0.33	120	
Mexico	0.60	36	Madagascar	0.46	79	Ethiopia	0.32	121	
Poland	0.60	37	Hungary	0.46	80	Zimbabwe	0.32	122	
Panama	0.59	38	Kazakhstan	0.46	81	Togo	0.30	123	
Rwanda	0.58	39	Grenada	0.46	82	Iran	0.28	124	
Namibia	0.58	40	Mali	0.46	83	Venezuela, RB	0.28	125	
Georgia	0.57	41	Guyana	0.45	84	Cambodia	0.27	126	
Ukraine	0.57	42	Belize	0.45	85	Mauritania	0.27	127	
Jamaica	0.56	43				Egypt	0.22	128	

Adherence to the rule of law



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Factor 4 recognizes that a system of positive law that fails to respect core human rights established under international law is at best “rule by law,” and does not deserve to be called a rule of law system. Since there are many other indices that address human rights, and because it would be impossible for the Index to assess adherence to the full range of rights, this factor focuses on a relatively modest menu of rights that are firmly established under the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights and are most closely related to rule of law concerns. For a further breakdown of Fundamental Rights by sub-factor, please refer to page 13.

Adherence to the rule of law



0.0 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.0

Weaker Stronger

WJP Rule of Law Index 2020 | 25

Order and Security

Factor 5 measures how well a society ensures the security of persons and property. Security is one of the defining aspects of any rule of law society and is a fundamental function of the state. It is also a precondition for the realization of the rights and freedoms that the rule of law seeks to advance. For a further breakdown of Order and Security by sub-factor, please refer to page 13.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank							
Singapore	0.93	1	Vietnam	0.77	44	Chile	0.67	86	
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.93	2	Mongolia	0.77	45	Zimbabwe	0.67	87	
Denmark	0.93	3	Grenada	0.77	46	Cambodia	0.67	88	
Norway	0.93	4	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.76	47	Sierra Leone	0.67	89	
Japan	0.92	5	Benin	0.76	48	Panama	0.66	90	
Sweden	0.92	6	Jordan	0.76	49	Russian Federation	0.66	91	
United Arab Emirates	0.91	7	Kyrgyz Republic	0.76	50	Lebanon	0.66	92	
Finland	0.91	8	Mauritius	0.75	51	Honduras	0.66	93	
Canada	0.91	9	Ukraine	0.75	52	Myanmar	0.65	94	
Austria	0.90	10	France	0.75	53	Mauritania	0.65	95	
Uzbekistan	0.90	11	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.75	54	El Salvador	0.65	96	
Czech Republic	0.90	12	The Bahamas	0.75	55	Philippines	0.65	97	
Hungary	0.89	13	Italy	0.75	56	Suriname	0.65	98	
New Zealand	0.89	14	St. Lucia	0.74	57	Niger	0.64	99	
Estonia	0.89	15	Dominica	0.74	58	Brazil	0.64	100	
Slovenia	0.89	16	Algeria	0.74	59	Ethiopia	0.63	101	
Germany	0.89	17	Namibia	0.74	60	Dominican Republic	0.63	102	
Australia	0.87	18	Togo	0.73	61	Bangladesh	0.63	103	
Poland	0.86	19	Nepal	0.73	62	Ecuador	0.63	104	
Netherlands	0.85	20	Iran	0.73	63	Peru	0.63	105	
Croatia	0.85	21	Guinea	0.72	64	Guyana	0.62	106	
Rwanda	0.84	22	Ghana	0.72	65	Burkina Faso	0.62	107	
Republic of Korea	0.84	23	Greece	0.71	66	Argentina	0.62	108	
United Kingdom	0.84	24	Thailand	0.71	67	Jamaica	0.61	109	
Kosovo	0.84	25	Botswana	0.71	68	South Africa	0.61	110	
Romania	0.83	26	The Gambia	0.71	69	Liberia	0.61	111	
Spain	0.82	27	Sri Lanka	0.71	70	Angola	0.60	112	
United States	0.81	28	Madagascar	0.70	71	Uganda	0.59	113	
Belgium	0.81	29	Belize	0.70	72	India	0.59	114	
Belarus	0.80	30	Nicaragua	0.70	73	Bolivia	0.59	115	
Moldova	0.80	31	Uruguay	0.69	74	Guatemala	0.59	116	
North Macedonia	0.79	32	Zambia	0.69	75	Kenya	0.58	117	
Barbados	0.79	33	Senegal	0.69	76	Egypt	0.57	118	
Malaysia	0.79	34	Turkey	0.69	77	Colombia	0.56	119	
Georgia	0.79	35	Tanzania	0.69	78	Mozambique	0.55	120	
Albania	0.79	36	Malawi	0.69	79	Mexico	0.53	121	
Portugal	0.79	37	Tunisia	0.68	80	Mali	0.51	122	
Antigua and Barbuda	0.78	38	Costa Rica	0.68	81	Venezuela, RB	0.48	123	
Kazakhstan	0.78	39	Indonesia	0.68	82	Cameroon	0.48	124	
China	0.78	40	Morocco	0.68	83	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.48	125	
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.78	41	Cote d'Ivoire	0.68	84	Pakistan	0.37	126	
Serbia	0.77	42	Trinidad and Tobago	0.68	85	Nigeria	0.35	127	
Bulgaria	0.77	43				Afghanistan	0.29	128	

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Factor 6 measures the extent to which regulations are fairly and effectively implemented and enforced. Regulations, both legal and administrative, structure behaviors within and outside of the government. This factor does not assess which activities a government chooses to regulate, nor does it consider how much regulation of a particular activity is appropriate. Rather, it examines how regulations are implemented and enforced. For a further breakdown of Regulatory Enforcement by sub-factor, please refer to page 14.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank							
Denmark	0.90	1	Senegal	0.57	44	Myanmar	0.46	87	
Norway	0.87	2	South Africa	0.56	45	Iran	0.46	88	
Singapore	0.87	3	Morocco	0.56	46	Vietnam	0.45	89	
Finland	0.86	4	Grenada	0.56	47	Malawi	0.45	90	
New Zealand	0.85	5	Croatia	0.56	48	Mexico	0.45	91	
Germany	0.85	6	Indonesia	0.55	49	Lebanon	0.45	92	
Netherlands	0.85	7	Ghana	0.55	50	Kenya	0.45	93	
Sweden	0.84	8	Jamaica	0.54	51	Tanzania	0.44	94	
Austria	0.84	9	Tunisia	0.53	52	Kyrgyz Republic	0.44	95	
Australia	0.82	10	Bulgaria	0.53	53	Uzbekistan	0.44	96	
Canada	0.81	11	Argentina	0.53	54	Kosovo	0.44	97	
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.81	12	Dominica	0.53	55	Albania	0.44	98	
United Kingdom	0.81	13	Colombia	0.52	56	Nigeria	0.43	99	
Belgium	0.80	14	Cote d'Ivoire	0.52	57	Ukraine	0.43	100	
Estonia	0.79	15	El Salvador	0.51	58	Moldova	0.43	101	
Japan	0.79	16	Trinidad and Tobago	0.51	59	Liberia	0.43	102	
France	0.76	17	Brazil	0.51	60	Belize	0.43	103	
United Arab Emirates	0.73	18	Panama	0.51	61	Nicaragua	0.43	104	
Republic of Korea	0.72	19	Nepal	0.51	62	Zambia	0.43	105	
United States	0.71	20	Belarus	0.51	63	Uganda	0.42	106	
Czech Republic	0.71	21	The Bahamas	0.51	64	Guatemala	0.42	107	
Spain	0.70	22	Kazakhstan	0.51	65	Dominican Republic	0.42	108	
Uruguay	0.70	23	Benin	0.50	66	Cameroon	0.42	109	
Costa Rica	0.67	24	China	0.49	67	Turkey	0.41	110	
Slovenia	0.65	25	Peru	0.49	68	Bangladesh	0.41	111	
Chile	0.64	26	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.49	69	Mozambique	0.40	112	
Mauritius	0.63	27	Mali	0.49	70	Angola	0.40	113	
Portugal	0.62	28	Togo	0.49	71	Bolivia	0.40	114	
Poland	0.62	29	Ecuador	0.49	72	Pakistan	0.39	115	
Botswana	0.61	30	Russian Federation	0.49	73	Ethiopia	0.39	116	
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.61	31	India	0.49	74	Honduras	0.39	117	
Italy	0.61	32	Philippines	0.48	75	The Gambia	0.38	118	
Antigua and Barbuda	0.60	33	North Macedonia	0.48	76	Sierra Leone	0.37	119	
Jordan	0.60	34	Serbia	0.48	77	Madagascar	0.37	120	
Barbados	0.60	35	Burkina Faso	0.48	78	Afghanistan	0.37	121	
St. Lucia	0.60	36	Sri Lanka	0.47	79	Guinea	0.37	122	
Rwanda	0.59	37	Niger	0.47	80	Egypt	0.37	123	
Namibia	0.58	38	Suriname	0.47	81	Zimbabwe	0.36	124	
Greece	0.58	39	Mongolia	0.47	82	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.35	125	
Malaysia	0.57	40	Thailand	0.47	83	Mauritania	0.28	126	
Georgia	0.57	41	Guyana	0.47	84	Cambodia	0.27	127	
Romania	0.57	42	Algeria	0.47	85	Venezuela, RB	0.20	128	
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.57	43	Hungary	0.47	86				

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Civil Justice

Factor 7 measures whether ordinary people can resolve their grievances peacefully and effectively through the civil justice system. It measures whether civil justice systems are accessible and affordable as well as free of discrimination, corruption, and improper influence by public officials. It examines whether court proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delays and whether decisions are enforced effectively. It also measures the accessibility, impartiality, and effectiveness of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. For a further breakdown of Civil Justice by sub-factor, please refer to page 14.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank							
Denmark	0.86	1	Grenada	0.60	44	Albania	0.48	87	
Norway	0.85	2	Argentina	0.59	45	Zambia	0.47	88	
Netherlands	0.85	3	Ghana	0.59	46	Vietnam	0.46	89	
Germany	0.85	4	Greece	0.59	47	Burkina Faso	0.46	90	
Sweden	0.82	5	Dominica	0.59	48	Kenya	0.46	91	
Singapore	0.82	6	Trinidad and Tobago	0.58	49	Philippines	0.46	92	
Finland	0.81	7	The Bahamas	0.57	50	Kosovo	0.46	93	
Estonia	0.80	8	Senegal	0.57	51	Mozambique	0.46	94	
Japan	0.79	9	Croatia	0.57	52	Indonesia	0.46	95	
New Zealand	0.78	10	Algeria	0.56	53	Hungary	0.45	96	
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.77	11	Italy	0.56	54	Dominican Republic	0.45	97	
Austria	0.77	12	Malawi	0.56	55	India	0.45	98	
Republic of Korea	0.76	13	Bulgaria	0.56	56	Sri Lanka	0.45	99	
Australia	0.76	14	North Macedonia	0.55	57	Peru	0.45	100	
Belgium	0.76	15	Iran	0.55	58	Zimbabwe	0.45	101	
Uruguay	0.74	16	Cote d'Ivoire	0.54	59	Niger	0.45	102	
United Kingdom	0.71	17	Morocco	0.54	60	Turkey	0.44	103	
France	0.71	18	Ukraine	0.54	61	Angola	0.44	104	
Canada	0.70	19	Russian Federation	0.54	62	Sierra Leone	0.44	105	
Czech Republic	0.69	20	Brazil	0.54	63	Liberia	0.44	106	
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.69	21	China	0.53	64	Madagascar	0.43	107	
Portugal	0.68	22	Guyana	0.53	65	Uganda	0.43	108	
St. Lucia	0.68	23	El Salvador	0.53	66	Ethiopia	0.42	109	
United Arab Emirates	0.68	24	Georgia	0.53	67	Lebanon	0.42	110	
Spain	0.67	25	Mongolia	0.53	68	Mali	0.42	111	
Namibia	0.67	26	The Gambia	0.52	69	Honduras	0.41	112	
Antigua and Barbuda	0.66	27	Jamaica	0.51	70	Benin	0.41	113	
Slovenia	0.66	28	Belize	0.51	71	Cameroon	0.41	114	
Barbados	0.64	29	Uzbekistan	0.51	72	Guinea	0.41	115	
Rwanda	0.64	30	Serbia	0.51	73	Mexico	0.39	116	
Mauritius	0.64	31	Tunisia	0.50	74	Egypt	0.39	117	
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.64	32	Nigeria	0.50	75	Pakistan	0.38	118	
Chile	0.63	33	Tanzania	0.50	76	Bangladesh	0.38	119	
Poland	0.63	34	Ecuador	0.49	77	Nicaragua	0.38	120	
Malaysia	0.63	35	Suriname	0.49	78	Guatemala	0.37	121	
United States	0.62	36	Colombia	0.49	79	Myanmar	0.37	122	
Jordan	0.62	37	Kyrgyz Republic	0.49	80	Afghanistan	0.37	123	
Costa Rica	0.62	38	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.48	81	Mauritania	0.36	124	
Romania	0.62	39	Nepal	0.48	82	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.35	125	
Kazakhstan	0.62	40	Panama	0.48	83	Bolivia	0.33	126	
South Africa	0.61	41	Thailand	0.48	84	Venezuela, RB	0.27	127	
Botswana	0.61	42	Togo	0.48	85	Cambodia	0.26	128	
Belarus	0.60	43	Moldova	0.48	86				

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Criminal Justice

Factor 8 evaluates a country's criminal justice system. An effective criminal justice system is a key aspect of the rule of law, as it constitutes the conventional mechanism to redress grievances and bring action against individuals for offenses against society. An assessment of the delivery of criminal justice should take into consideration the entire system, including the police, lawyers, prosecutors, judges, and prison officers. For a further breakdown of Criminal Justice by sub-factor, please refer to page 14.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank							
Norway	0.83	1	South Africa	0.53	44	Iran	0.37	87	
Finland	0.83	2	Suriname	0.52	45	Zimbabwe	0.37	88	
Denmark	0.83	3	Georgia	0.52	46	Moldova	0.36	89	
Sweden	0.80	4	Croatia	0.51	47	Ukraine	0.36	90	
Austria	0.80	5	Grenada	0.50	48	Belize	0.36	91	
Singapore	0.79	6	Jamaica	0.50	49	Angola	0.36	92	
Germany	0.79	7	Greece	0.50	50	Cote d'Ivoire	0.36	93	
Netherlands	0.76	8	Ghana	0.49	51	Togo	0.36	94	
Japan	0.76	9	Mongolia	0.49	52	Dominican Republic	0.36	95	
Canada	0.74	10	Burkina Faso	0.49	53	Madagascar	0.36	96	
Australia	0.73	11	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.48	54	Ecuador	0.36	97	
United Kingdom	0.72	12				Pakistan	0.35	98	
New Zealand	0.72	13	Belarus	0.47	55	Sierra Leone	0.35	99	
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.72	14	Kosovo	0.47	56	Lebanon	0.35	100	
Estonia	0.71	15	Hungary	0.47	57	Colombia	0.34	101	
Czech Republic	0.71	16	Kazakhstan	0.46	58	Ethiopia	0.34	102	
Belgium	0.71	17	Malawi	0.46	59	Brazil	0.34	103	
Republic of Korea	0.71	18	Vietnam	0.46	60	Bangladesh	0.33	104	
United Arab Emirates	0.67	19	Bulgaria	0.45	61	Kyrgyz Republic	0.33	105	
Spain	0.67	20	China	0.45	62	Panama	0.33	106	
Italy	0.64	21	North Macedonia	0.45	63	Peru	0.33	107	
United States	0.63	22	Senegal	0.45	64	Mozambique	0.33	108	
France	0.62	23	Sri Lanka	0.44	65	Trinidad and Tobago	0.32	109	
The Bahamas	0.61	24	Uzbekistan	0.44	66	Russian Federation	0.31	110	
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.61	25	Nepal	0.44	67	Liberia	0.31	111	
Poland	0.60	26	Argentina	0.44	68	Philippines	0.31	112	
Portugal	0.59	27	Algeria	0.43	69	Uganda	0.31	113	
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.59	28	Thailand	0.43	70	Afghanistan	0.31	114	
Botswana	0.59	29	Benin	0.43	71	Guinea	0.31	115	
Barbados	0.57	30	Albania	0.43	72	El Salvador	0.31	116	
Costa Rica	0.57	31	The Gambia	0.42	73	Mauritania	0.30	117	
Jordan	0.57	32	Tunisia	0.42	74	Guatemala	0.30	118	
Uruguay	0.56	33	Zambia	0.41	75	Mexico	0.30	119	
Antigua and Barbuda	0.56	34	Nigeria	0.40	76	Mali	0.30	120	
Slovenia	0.56	35	Serbia	0.40	77	Nicaragua	0.29	121	
Chile	0.56	36	India	0.40	78	Myanmar	0.27	122	
Romania	0.56	37	Indonesia	0.39	79	Honduras	0.26	123	
Malaysia	0.56	38	Kenya	0.38	80	Cambodia	0.26	124	
Namibia	0.55	39	Tanzania	0.38	81	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.26	125	
Dominica	0.55	40	Guyana	0.38	82	Cameroon	0.24	126	
St. Lucia	0.55	41	Morocco	0.38	83	Bolivia	0.22	127	
Rwanda	0.54	42	Niger	0.38	84	Venezuela, RB	0.13	128	
Mauritius	0.53	43	Turkey	0.38	85				
			Egypt	0.37	86				

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places



Country Profiles


How to Read the Country Profiles

This section presents profiles for the 128 countries and jurisdictions included in the WJP Rule of Law Index® 2020 report. Each profile presents the featured country's scores for each of the WJP Rule of Law Index's factors and sub-factors, and draws comparisons between the scores of the featured country and the scores of other indexed countries in the same regional and income groups.

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score (strong adherence to rule of law) and 0 signifies the lowest possible score (weak adherence to rule of law). The country profiles consist of four sections, outlined below.

Displays the country's overall rule of law score; its overall regional, income, and global ranks; and its change in score and rank from the 2019 edition of the Index.

Section 1



Section 2

Displays the featured country's individual factor scores, along with its regional, income, and global group rankings. The regional, income, and global rankings are distributed across three tiers – low, medium, and high – as indicated by the color of the box where the score is found.

Section 4

Presents the individual sub-factor scores underlying each of the factors listed in Section 3 of the country profile.

Each of the 44 sub-factors is represented by a gray line drawn from the center to the periphery of the circle. The center of the circle corresponds to the worst possible score for each sub-factor (0), and the outer edge of the circle marks the best possible score for each sub-factor (1).

The featured country's scores for 2020 are represented by the purple line. The featured country's scores for 2019 are represented by the gray line.

Section 3

Displays the country's disaggregated scores for each of the sub-factors that compose the WJP Rule of Law Index.

The featured country's score is represented by the purple bar and labeled at the end of the bar. The average score of the country's region is represented by the orange line. The average score of the country's income group is represented by the green line.

Afghanistan

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.36	6/6	18/19	122/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.02 ▲	3 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.44	0.00	5/6	12/19	100/128
Absence of Corruption	0.30	0.03*	6/6	15/19	118/128
Open Government	0.41	0.04*	6/6	9/19	100/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	0.02	4/6	15/19	108/128
Order and Security	0.29	-0.01	6/6	19/19	128/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	0.02	6/6	17/19	121/128
Civil Justice	0.37	-0.01	6/6	18/19	123/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.03	6/6	16/19	114/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Afghanistan South Asia Low



Albania

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	9/14	29/42	78/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-4 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	-0.03*	7/14	32/42	96/128
Absence of Corruption	0.37	0.02	11/14	37/42	100/128
Open Government	0.47	0.00	10/14	28/42	77/128
Fundamental Rights	0.59	-0.02	5/14	17/42	54/128
Order and Security	0.79	-0.01	7/14	7/42	36/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	0.00	11/14	37/42	98/128
Civil Justice	0.48	0.03	12/14	33/42	87/128
Criminal Justice	0.43	-0.04	8/14	27/42	72/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Albania Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle



Algeria

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	5/8	31/42	83/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-8 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	0.00	6/8	26/42	83/128
Absence of Corruption	0.45	0.00	4/8	24/42	67/128
Open Government	0.34	-0.07	6/8	40/42	117/128
Fundamental Rights	0.47	-0.01	4/8	35/42	93/128
Order and Security	0.74	0.02	3/8	19/42	59/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.05	5/8	32/42	85/128
Civil Justice	0.56	0.01	3/8	16/42	53/128
Criminal Justice	0.43	0.00	3/8	25/42	69/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Algeria Middle East & North Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.51 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.43 1.3 Independent auditing 0.60 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.50 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.41 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.44	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.62 4.2 Right to life & security 0.56 4.3 Due process of law 0.54 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.41 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.16 4.6 Right to privacy 0.46 4.7 Freedom of association 0.42 4.8 Labor rights 0.58	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.55 7.2 No discrimination 0.61 7.3 No corruption 0.60 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.41 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.73 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.49 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.56
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.40 2.2 In the judiciary 0.54 2.3 In the police/military 0.49 2.4 In the legislature 0.39	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.67 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.94 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.61	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.29 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.44 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.47 8.4 No discrimination 0.62 8.5 No corruption 0.44 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.24 8.7 Due process of law 0.54
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.24 3.2 Right to information 0.31 3.3 Civic participation 0.38 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.45	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.42 6.2 No improper influence 0.52 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.47 6.4 Respect for due process 0.44 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.48	

Angola

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	23/31	19/30	110/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	4 ▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.42	0.03	21/31	19/30	103/128
Absence of Corruption	0.43	0.00	10/31	6/30	79/128
Open Government	0.37	0.05	21/31	24/30	110/128
Fundamental Rights	0.39	0.01	27/31	22/30	114/128
Order and Security	0.60	0.02*	24/31	23/30	112/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.40	-0.01	23/31	23/30	113/128
Civil Justice	0.44	0.00	20/31	20/30	104/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.01	18/31	17/30	92/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Angola Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.52 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.40 1.3 Independent auditing 0.37 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.34 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.43 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.45	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.50 4.2 Right to life & security 0.30 4.3 Due process of law 0.33 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.43 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.52 4.6 Right to privacy 0.19 4.7 Freedom of association 0.43 4.8 Labor rights 0.41	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.48 7.2 No discrimination 0.60 7.3 No corruption 0.50 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.33 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.25 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.31 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.63
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.35 2.2 In the judiciary 0.51 2.3 In the police/military 0.47 2.4 In the legislature 0.37	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.48 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.32	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.36 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.38 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.25 8.4 No discrimination 0.37 8.5 No corruption 0.49 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.34 8.7 Due process of law 0.33
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.12 3.2 Right to information 0.40 3.3 Civic participation 0.38 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.56	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.41 6.2 No improper influence 0.49 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.29 6.4 Respect for due process 0.46 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.36	

Antigua and Barbuda

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.63	7/30	31/37	34/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	0.01	6/30	29/37	35/128
Absence of Corruption	0.61	0.00	10/30	32/37	38/128
Open Government	0.52	-0.01	15/30	32/37	56/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	0.00	8/30	27/37	31/128
Order and Security	0.78	0.00	2/30	28/37	38/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.60	0.02	5/30	30/37	33/128
Civil Justice	0.66	0.00	4/30	25/37	27/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	0.00	7/30	30/37	34/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Antigua and Barbuda Latin America & Caribbean High



Argentina

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.58	12/30	13/42	48/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-2 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	-0.01	9/30	8/42	40/128
Absence of Corruption	0.52	0.00	13/30	16/42	52/128
Open Government	0.64	0.01	5/30	3/42	28/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	-0.01	7/30	4/42	30/128
Order and Security	0.62	0.00	24/30	36/42	108/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.53	0.00	11/30	15/42	54/128
Civil Justice	0.59	0.01	10/30	14/42	45/128
Criminal Justice	0.44	-0.02	14/30	24/42	68/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Argentina Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.65 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.46 1.3 Independent auditing 0.70 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.39 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.70 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.79	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.65 4.2 Right to life & security 0.79 4.3 Due process of law 0.57 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.70 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.76 4.6 Right to privacy 0.69 4.7 Freedom of association 0.77 4.8 Labor rights 0.65	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.73 7.2 No discrimination 0.70 7.3 No corruption 0.57 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.52 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.31 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.55 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.77
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.49 2.2 In the judiciary 0.67 2.3 In the police/military 0.61 2.4 In the legislature 0.30	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.56 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.29	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.27 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.41 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.35 8.4 No discrimination 0.54 8.5 No corruption 0.48 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.43 8.7 Due process of law 0.57
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.70 3.2 Right to information 0.53 3.3 Civic participation 0.68 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.63	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.47 6.2 No improper influence 0.60 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.49 6.4 Respect for due process 0.50 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.58	

Australia

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.8	2/15	11/37	11/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.82	-0.01	2/15	12/37	12/128
Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	5/15	14/37	14/128
Open Government	0.81	-0.01	2/15	7/37	7/128
Fundamental Rights	0.79	0.00	2/15	12/37	12/128
Order and Security	0.87	0.00	5/15	17/37	18/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.82	0.01	3/15	10/37	10/128
Civil Justice	0.76	-0.01	6/15	14/37	14/128
Criminal Justice	0.73	0.00	3/15	11/37	11/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Australia East Asia & Pacific High



Austria

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.82	7/24	8/37	8/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.85	0.00	7/24	8/37	8/128
Absence of Corruption	0.82	-0.02	9/24	12/37	12/128
Open Government	0.71	-0.01	13/24	17/37	17/128
Fundamental Rights	0.85	0.00	6/24	6/37	6/128
Order and Security	0.90	0.00	6/24	10/37	10/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.84	-0.01	7/24	9/37	9/128
Civil Justice	0.77	-0.01	8/24	12/37	12/128
Criminal Justice	0.80	0.00	5/24	5/37	5/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Austria EU & EFTA & North America High



The Bahamas

Region: Latin America & Caribbean

Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	9/30	34/37	41/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	0.00	10/30	31/37	43/128
Absence of Corruption	0.63	-0.02	9/30	31/37	37/128
Open Government	0.50	0.00	18/30	34/37	62/128
Fundamental Rights	0.66	-0.01	10/30	30/37	36/128
Order and Security	0.75	0.00	6/30	31/37	55/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	18/30	36/37	64/128
Civil Justice	0.57	0.00	13/30	33/37	50/128
Criminal Justice	0.61	-0.01	1/30	24/37	24/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



The Bahamas Latin America & Caribbean High



Bangladesh

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.41	4/6	21/30	115/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.40	-0.02	6/6	21/30	107/128
Absence of Corruption	0.36	-0.01	4/6	16/30	102/128
Open Government	0.43	-0.01	5/6	17/30	93/128
Fundamental Rights	0.32	-0.01	6/6	28/30	122/128
Order and Security	0.63	0.02*	3/6	22/30	103/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.41	-0.01	4/6	22/30	111/128
Civil Justice	0.38	-0.01	5/6	25/30	119/128
Criminal Justice	0.33	0.00	5/6	20/30	104/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Bangladesh — South Asia — Lower Middle



Barbados

Region: Latin America & Caribbean

Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.65	4/30	28/37	29/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.63	-0.02	5/30	28/37	34/128
Absence of Corruption	0.70	0.01	3/30	24/37	25/128
Open Government	0.52	0.00	16/30	33/37	57/128
Fundamental Rights	0.73	-0.01	3/30	23/37	24/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.02	1/30	26/37	33/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.60	-0.03	6/30	31/37	35/128
Civil Justice	0.64	-0.01	5/30	27/37	29/128
Criminal Justice	0.57	0.00	4/30	28/37	30/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Barbados Latin America & Caribbean High



Belarus

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	6/14	23/42	68/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.36	-0.01	12/14	39/42	118/128
Absence of Corruption	0.54	-0.01	2/14	13/42	48/128
Open Government	0.36	0.00	13/14	39/42	112/128
Fundamental Rights	0.47	0.00	10/14	36/42	94/128
Order and Security	0.80	0.00	3/14	3/42	30/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	2/14	19/42	63/128
Civil Justice	0.60	0.00	2/14	12/42	43/128
Criminal Justice	0.47	-0.01	3/14	17/42	55/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Belarus Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle



Belgium

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.79	11/24	14/37	14/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.83	0.00	10/24	11/37	11/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.80	0.00	10/24	15/37	15/128
 Open Government	0.76	-0.01	12/24	14/37	14/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.84	0.00	8/24	8/37	8/128
 Order and Security	0.81	-0.01	19/24	25/37	29/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.80	-0.01	10/24	14/37	14/128
 Civil Justice	0.76	0.00	9/24	15/37	15/128
 Criminal Justice	0.71	-0.01	12/24	17/37	17/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Belgium EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers  1.1 Limits by legislature 0.83 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.78 1.3 Independent auditing 0.90 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.75 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.80 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.90	Fundamental Rights  4.1 No discrimination 0.78 4.2 Right to life & security 0.95 4.3 Due process of law 0.79 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.80 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.82 4.6 Right to privacy 0.90 4.7 Freedom of association 0.85 4.8 Labor rights 0.81	Civil Justice  7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.72 7.2 No discrimination 0.80 7.3 No corruption 0.83 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.87 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.45 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.82 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.82
Absence of Corruption  2.1 In the executive branch 0.77 2.2 In the judiciary 0.94 2.3 In the police/military 0.89 2.4 In the legislature 0.60	Order and Security  5.1 Absence of crime 0.85 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.89 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.69	Criminal Justice  8.1 Effective investigations 0.58 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.67 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.54 8.4 No discrimination 0.68 8.5 No corruption 0.83 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.86 8.7 Due process of law 0.79
Open Government  3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.70 3.2 Right to information 0.64 3.3 Civic participation 0.82 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.88	Regulatory Enforcement  6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.74 6.2 No improper influence 0.88 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.68 6.4 Respect for due process 0.78 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.91	

Belize

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	23/30	34/42	89/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.44	-0.02	26/30	34/42	99/128
Absence of Corruption	0.43	-0.01	18/30	31/42	75/128
Open Government	0.45	0.00	24/30	32/42	85/128
Fundamental Rights	0.50	0.01	26/30	31/42	87/128
Order and Security	0.70	-0.03	9/30	25/42	72/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.01	24/30	38/42	103/128
Civil Justice	0.51	0.01	18/30	26/42	71/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.02	16/30	32/42	91/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Belize Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



Benin

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	11/31	6/19	81/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	-0.02	11/31	6/19	67/128
Absence of Corruption	0.38	0.00	16/31	10/19	96/128
Open Government	0.41	0.02	15/31	8/19	99/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	-0.02	10/31	4/19	69/128
Order and Security	0.76	-0.02	2/31	2/19	48/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.50	0.00	9/31	3/19	66/128
Civil Justice	0.41	0.03	27/31	16/19	113/128
Criminal Justice	0.43	-0.01	10/31	5/19	71/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Benin Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.54 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.45 1.3 Independent auditing 0.42 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.49 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.51 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.77	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.68 4.2 Right to life & security 0.48 4.3 Due process of law 0.49 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.51 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.77 4.6 Right to privacy 0.23 4.7 Freedom of association 0.63 4.8 Labor rights 0.57	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.41 7.2 No discrimination 0.56 7.3 No corruption 0.39 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.33 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.37 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.36 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.47
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.44 2.2 In the judiciary 0.46 2.3 In the police/military 0.45 2.4 In the legislature 0.17	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.77 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.51	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.37 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.38 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.31 8.4 No discrimination 0.73 8.5 No corruption 0.42 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.32 8.7 Due process of law 0.49
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.29 3.2 Right to information 0.38 3.3 Civic participation 0.55 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.44	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.50 6.2 No improper influence 0.70 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.36 6.4 Respect for due process 0.38 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.57	

Bolivia

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.38	29/30	26/30	121/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.36	0.00	27/30	23/30	116/128
Absence of Corruption	0.27	0.00	30/30	28/30	124/128
Open Government	0.43	0.01	26/30	15/30	90/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	0.00	27/30	12/30	96/128
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	26/30	25/30	115/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.40	0.00	28/30	24/30	114/128
Civil Justice	0.33	-0.02	29/30	29/30	126/128
Criminal Justice	0.22	0.02	29/30	30/30	127/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Bolivia Latin America & Caribbean Lower Middle



Bosnia and Herzegovina

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	5/14	20/42	64/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	0.00	8/14	33/42	97/128
Absence of Corruption	0.44	0.00	8/14	30/42	74/128
Open Government	0.47	0.00	8/14	26/42	73/128
Fundamental Rights	0.59	0.00	4/14	16/42	53/128
Order and Security	0.76	0.00	10/14	13/42	47/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	4/14	23/42	69/128
Civil Justice	0.48	-0.03	10/14	31/42	81/128
Criminal Justice	0.48	-0.02	2/14	16/42	54/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Bosnia and Herzegovina Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle



Botswana

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.6	4/31	8/42	43/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	1 ▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	0.03	4/31	7/42	39/128
Absence of Corruption	0.59	-0.01	2/31	9/42	42/128
Open Government	0.50	0.01	6/31	22/42	66/128
Fundamental Rights	0.58	0.01	6/31	19/42	57/128
Order and Security	0.71	0.00	8/31	23/42	68/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.61	0.01	2/31	3/42	30/128
Civil Justice	0.61	0.01	5/31	11/42	42/128
Criminal Justice	0.59	0.02	1/31	2/42	29/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Botswana Sub-Saharan Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.63 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.62 1.3 Independent auditing 0.52 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.56 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.59 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.77	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.65 4.2 Right to life & security 0.60 4.3 Due process of law 0.58 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.59 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.60 4.6 Right to privacy 0.39 4.7 Freedom of association 0.68 4.8 Labor rights 0.58	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.51 7.2 No discrimination 0.60 7.3 No corruption 0.68 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.65 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.50 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.64 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.68
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.55 2.2 In the judiciary 0.76 2.3 In the police/military 0.74 2.4 In the legislature 0.32	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.66 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.47	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.42 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.54 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.61 8.4 No discrimination 0.62 8.5 No corruption 0.69 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.65 8.7 Due process of law 0.58
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.21 3.2 Right to information 0.54 3.3 Civic participation 0.64 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.60	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.56 6.2 No improper influence 0.73 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.42 6.4 Respect for due process 0.62 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.72	

Brazil

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	16/30	22/42	67/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.02 ▼	-7 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	-0.03*	18/30	18/42	64/128
Absence of Corruption	0.45	-0.01	17/30	26/42	69/128
Open Government	0.61	-0.01	6/30	5/42	31/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.04*	25/30	30/42	83/128
Order and Security	0.64	-0.01	19/30	31/42	100/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	-0.01	16/30	18/42	60/128
Civil Justice	0.54	-0.01	14/30	21/42	63/128
Criminal Justice	0.34	-0.01	20/30	37/42	103/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Brazil — Latin America & Caribbean — Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.68 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.63 1.3 Independent auditing 0.44 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.30 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.54 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.60	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.51 4.2 Right to life & security 0.48 4.3 Due process of law 0.35 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.54 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.59 4.6 Right to privacy 0.52 4.7 Freedom of association 0.61 4.8 Labor rights 0.47	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.63 7.2 No discrimination 0.55 7.3 No corruption 0.67 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.59 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.28 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.41 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.64
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.39 2.2 In the judiciary 0.73 2.3 In the police/military 0.59 2.4 In the legislature 0.07	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.51 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.40	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.28 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.27 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.16 8.4 No discrimination 0.15 8.5 No corruption 0.55 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.59 8.7 Due process of law 0.35
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.71 3.2 Right to information 0.61 3.3 Civic participation 0.54 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.58	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.51 6.2 No improper influence 0.61 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.30 6.4 Respect for due process 0.50 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.63	

Bulgaria

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.55	23/24	16/42	53/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	0.00	23/24	31/42	91/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.44	0.00	24/24	28/42	71/128
 Open Government	0.56	0.02	23/24	12/42	46/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.61	0.00	23/24	14/42	50/128
 Order and Security	0.77	0.00	21/24	11/42	43/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.53	-0.01	23/24	14/42	53/128
 Civil Justice	0.56	0.00	23/24	17/42	56/128
 Criminal Justice	0.45	0.00	24/24	20/42	61/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Bulgaria — EU & EFTA & North America — Upper Middle



Burkina Faso

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	9/31	4/19	70/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	0.01	9/31	4/19	56/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	-0.02	11/31	5/19	80/128
Open Government	0.47	0.01	8/31	3/19	74/128
Fundamental Rights	0.57	-0.01	8/31	2/19	64/128
Order and Security	0.62	-0.04*	21/31	13/19	107/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.01	12/31	6/19	78/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.00	15/31	7/19	90/128
Criminal Justice	0.49	0.02	7/31	2/19	53/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Burkina Faso Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.64 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.53 1.3 Independent auditing 0.51 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.43 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.61 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.63	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.66 4.2 Right to life & security 0.57 4.3 Due process of law 0.47 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.61 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.69 4.6 Right to privacy 0.36 4.7 Freedom of association 0.66 4.8 Labor rights 0.52	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.38 7.2 No discrimination 0.54 7.3 No corruption 0.38 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.45 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.49 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.39 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.61
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.44 2.2 In the judiciary 0.48 2.3 In the police/military 0.55 2.4 In the legislature 0.23	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.71 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.73 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.43	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.41 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.52 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.42 8.4 No discrimination 0.60 8.5 No corruption 0.48 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.52 8.7 Due process of law 0.47
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.30 3.2 Right to information 0.49 3.3 Civic participation 0.59 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.50	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.43 6.2 No improper influence 0.56 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.40 6.4 Respect for due process 0.39 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.60	

Cambodia

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.33	15/15	30/30	127/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.29	0.00	15/15	28/30	125/128
Absence of Corruption	0.24	-0.01	15/15	30/30	127/128
Open Government	0.27	0.01	15/15	28/30	126/128
Fundamental Rights	0.35	0.00	13/15	26/30	119/128
Order and Security	0.67	0.00	13/15	16/30	88/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.27	-0.02	15/15	30/30	127/128
Civil Justice	0.26	0.02	15/15	30/30	128/128
Criminal Justice	0.26	0.01	15/15	28/30	124/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Cambodia — East Asia & Pacific — Lower Middle



Cameroon

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.36	30/31	28/30	124/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.02 ▼	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.37	-0.02	28/31	22/30	113/128
Absence of Corruption	0.26	-0.02	30/31	29/30	126/128
Open Government	0.34	-0.01	27/31	25/30	119/128
Fundamental Rights	0.36	-0.03*	29/31	25/30	118/128
Order and Security	0.48	-0.05*	29/31	28/30	124/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	0.00	21/31	21/30	109/128
Civil Justice	0.41	0.03	28/31	22/30	114/128
Criminal Justice	0.24	-0.04	31/31	29/30	126/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Cameroon Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle



Canada

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.81	8/24	9/37	9/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.84	-0.01	8/24	9/37	9/128
Absence of Corruption	0.83	0.01	6/24	9/37	9/128
Open Government	0.81	0.00	7/24	9/37	9/128
Fundamental Rights	0.82	-0.01	9/24	9/37	9/128
Order and Security	0.91	0.00	5/24	9/37	9/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.81	0.00	8/24	11/37	11/128
Civil Justice	0.70	0.00	12/24	19/37	19/128
Criminal Justice	0.74	0.01	8/24	10/37	10/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Canada EU & EFTA & North America High



Chile

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.67	3/30	25/37	26/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.72	0.00	3/30	19/37	20/128
Absence of Corruption	0.69	-0.01	4/30	25/37	26/128
Open Government	0.71	-0.01	2/30	18/37	18/128
Fundamental Rights	0.72	-0.01	4/30	24/37	25/128
Order and Security	0.67	0.00	14/30	36/37	86/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.64	-0.01	3/30	25/37	26/128
Civil Justice	0.63	0.00	7/30	28/37	33/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	-0.01	8/30	32/37	36/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Chile Latin America & Caribbean High



China

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	12/15	33/42	88/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-4 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.32	0.00	14/15	40/42	123/128
Absence of Corruption	0.53	-0.02	8/15	15/42	51/128
Open Government	0.43	0.01	12/15	33/42	92/128
Fundamental Rights	0.29	-0.03	15/15	41/42	126/128
Order and Security	0.78	-0.01	8/15	9/42	40/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.01	9/15	21/42	67/128
Civil Justice	0.53	-0.01	8/15	22/42	64/128
Criminal Justice	0.45	-0.02	10/15	21/42	62/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



China East Asia & Pacific Upper Middle



Colombia

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	19/30	28/42	77/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	5 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	0.01	17/30	17/42	63/128
Absence of Corruption	0.39	0.00	22/30	36/42	95/128
Open Government	0.64	0.00	4/30	2/42	27/128
Fundamental Rights	0.53	0.00	22/30	26/42	74/128
Order and Security	0.56	-0.02*	28/30	40/42	119/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.52	0.02	13/30	17/42	56/128
Civil Justice	0.49	0.01	21/30	30/42	79/128
Criminal Justice	0.34	0.01	19/30	36/42	101/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Colombia Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



Costa Rica

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.68	2/30	1/42	25/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.76	-0.02	1/30	1/42	15/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.66	-0.02	5/30	3/42	30/128
 Open Government	0.70	0.00	3/30	1/42	21/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.79	0.01	1/30	1/42	15/128
 Order and Security	0.68	-0.01	12/30	27/42	81/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.67	-0.01	2/30	1/42	24/128
 Civil Justice	0.62	0.00	8/30	7/42	38/128
 Criminal Justice	0.57	0.02	5/30	3/42	31/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Costa Rica Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



Cote d'Ivoire

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.46	13/31	15/30	95/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.41	0.00	22/31	20/30	104/128
Absence of Corruption	0.36	-0.01	19/31	17/30	103/128
Open Government	0.38	0.01	20/31	21/30	107/128
Fundamental Rights	0.45	-0.01	21/31	15/30	102/128
Order and Security	0.68	-0.01	15/31	14/30	84/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.52	0.00	8/31	6/30	57/128
Civil Justice	0.54	0.02	9/31	3/30	59/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	-0.02	19/31	18/30	93/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Cote d'Ivoire Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle



Croatia

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	21/24	32/37	39/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	3 ▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.58	0.00	22/24	33/37	53/128
Absence of Corruption	0.58	0.01	20/24	33/37	44/128
Open Government	0.61	0.00	19/24	27/37	33/128
Fundamental Rights	0.67	0.02	20/24	29/37	33/128
Order and Security	0.85	0.02	14/24	20/37	21/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.56	0.01	22/24	33/37	48/128
Civil Justice	0.57	-0.01	21/24	34/37	52/128
Criminal Justice	0.51	0.01	21/24	33/37	47/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Croatia EU & EFTA & North America High



Czech Republic

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.73	12/24	18/37	18/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		








	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.73	0.00	14/24	17/37	18/128
Absence of Corruption	0.65	0.01	18/24	28/37	31/128
Open Government	0.67	0.01	15/24	22/37	23/128
Fundamental Rights	0.79	0.01	12/24	14/37	14/128
Order and Security	0.90	0.00	7/24	11/37	12/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.71	0.01	14/24	21/37	21/128
Civil Justice	0.69	-0.01	13/24	20/37	20/128
Criminal Justice	0.71	0.01	11/24	16/37	16/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Czech Republic — EU & EFTA & North America — High



Congo, Dem. Rep.

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.34	31/31	19/19	126/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	0.07*	26/31	17/19	110/128
Absence of Corruption	0.16	-0.02	31/31	19/19	128/128
Open Government	0.34	0.02	26/31	17/19	118/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	0.04*	25/31	17/19	112/128
Order and Security	0.48	0.00	30/31	18/19	125/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.35	-0.02	30/31	19/19	125/128
Civil Justice	0.35	-0.01	31/31	19/19	125/128
Criminal Justice	0.26	-0.02	30/31	19/19	125/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Congo, Dem. Rep. — Sub-Saharan Africa — Low



Denmark

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.9	1/24	1/37	1/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.94	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Absence of Corruption	0.95	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Open Government	0.88	0.02	2/24	2/37	2/128
Fundamental Rights	0.92	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Order and Security	0.93	0.00	1/24	3/37	3/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.90	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Civil Justice	0.86	-0.01	1/24	1/37	1/128
Criminal Justice	0.83	0.00	3/24	3/37	3/128


* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High




Denmark EU & EFTA & North America High


Constraints on Government Powers




Absence of Corruption




Open Government




Fundamental Rights




Order and Security




Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Dominica

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.58	11/30	11/42	46/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	0.00	19/30	19/42	66/128
Absence of Corruption	0.60	-0.01	11/30	7/42	40/128
Open Government	0.50	0.00	19/30	20/42	63/128
Fundamental Rights	0.63	-0.02	14/30	11/42	45/128
Order and Security	0.74	-0.02	8/30	18/42	58/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.53	0.01	12/30	16/42	55/128
Civil Justice	0.59	0.00	11/30	15/42	48/128
Criminal Justice	0.55	0.00	9/30	8/42	40/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Dominica — Latin America & Caribbean — Upper Middle



Dominican Republic

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	24/30	35/42	90/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	7 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	0.01	24/30	29/42	88/128
Absence of Corruption	0.39	0.01	21/30	35/42	94/128
Open Government	0.53	0.02	13/30	15/42	53/128
Fundamental Rights	0.58	0.02	17/30	20/42	60/128
Order and Security	0.63	0.00	20/30	32/42	102/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	0.02	27/30	40/42	108/128
Civil Justice	0.45	0.02	23/30	35/42	97/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.02	17/30	33/42	95/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Dominican Republic Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



Ecuador

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	22/30	32/42	86/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	3 ▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	0.02	23/30	27/42	85/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	0.01	20/30	34/42	86/128
Open Government	0.50	-0.01	20/30	21/42	65/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	0.03	23/30	27/42	77/128
Order and Security	0.63	0.00	21/30	33/42	104/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	20/30	24/42	72/128
Civil Justice	0.49	0.01	19/30	28/42	77/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.01	18/30	34/42	97/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Ecuador — Latin America & Caribbean — Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.54 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.42 1.3 Independent auditing 0.48 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.40 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.53 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.52	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.45 4.2 Right to life & security 0.53 4.3 Due process of law 0.42 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.53 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.65 4.6 Right to privacy 0.42 4.7 Freedom of association 0.58 4.8 Labor rights 0.57	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.59 7.2 No discrimination 0.49 7.3 No corruption 0.42 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.35 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.44 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.50 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.66
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.43 2.2 In the judiciary 0.42 2.3 In the police/military 0.55 2.4 In the legislature 0.27	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.57 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.30	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.28 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.44 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.24 8.4 No discrimination 0.33 8.5 No corruption 0.47 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.30 8.7 Due process of law 0.42
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.38 3.2 Right to information 0.48 3.3 Civic participation 0.52 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.62	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.52 6.2 No improper influence 0.59 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.46 6.4 Respect for due process 0.37 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.50	

Egypt

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.36	8/8	29/30	125/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-2 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.27	-0.03	8/8	29/30	126/128
Absence of Corruption	0.40	0.00	7/8	11/30	91/128
Open Government	0.22	0.00	8/8	30/30	128/128
Fundamental Rights	0.28	-0.02	7/8	30/30	127/128
Order and Security	0.57	0.06*	8/8	27/30	118/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	0.02	8/8	27/30	123/128
Civil Justice	0.39	0.01	8/8	23/30	117/128
Criminal Justice	0.37	-0.03	6/8	13/30	86/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Egypt — Middle East & North Africa — Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.08 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.35 1.3 Independent auditing 0.32 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.39 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.06 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.39	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.51 4.2 Right to life & security 0.20 4.3 Due process of law 0.34 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.06 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.26 4.6 Right to privacy 0.21 4.7 Freedom of association 0.21 4.8 Labor rights 0.42	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.50 7.2 No discrimination 0.34 7.3 No corruption 0.48 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.39 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.25 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.23 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.52
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.41 2.2 In the judiciary 0.46 2.3 In the police/military 0.42 2.4 In the legislature 0.30	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.77 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.66 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.28	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.40 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.41 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.24 8.4 No discrimination 0.44 8.5 No corruption 0.44 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.37 8.7 Due process of law 0.34
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.33 3.2 Right to information 0.11 3.3 Civic participation 0.16 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.29	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.46 6.2 No improper influence 0.47 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.17 6.4 Respect for due process 0.31 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.44	

El Salvador

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	21/30	10/30	84/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.02 ▲	2 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.50	0.03	21/30	10/30	77/128
Absence of Corruption	0.38	-0.02	23/30	15/30	98/128
Open Government	0.53	0.02	12/30	6/30	52/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	0.01	21/30	7/30	73/128
Order and Security	0.65	0.02*	17/30	20/30	96/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.03	14/30	7/30	58/128
Civil Justice	0.53	0.02	16/30	6/30	66/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.02	24/30	23/30	116/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



El Salvador Latin America & Caribbean Lower Middle



Estonia

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.81	9/24	10/37	10/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.83	0.00	9/24	10/37	10/128
Absence of Corruption	0.79	-0.01	11/24	17/37	17/128
Open Government	0.81	0.01	6/24	8/37	8/128
Fundamental Rights	0.82	-0.01	10/24	10/37	10/128
Order and Security	0.89	0.00	9/24	14/37	15/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.79	0.00	11/24	15/37	15/128
Civil Justice	0.80	0.00	7/24	8/37	8/128
Criminal Justice	0.71	0.00	10/24	15/37	15/128


* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High




— Estonia — EU & EFTA & North America — High


Constraints on Government Powers




Absence of Corruption




Open Government




Fundamental Rights




Order and Security




Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Ethiopia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.41	26/31	16/19	114/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.02 ▲	6 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	0.05*	27/31	18/19	112/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	-0.01	8/31	3/19	65/128
Open Government	0.32	0.04*	28/31	18/19	121/128
Fundamental Rights	0.35	0.05*	30/31	19/19	120/128
Order and Security	0.63	-0.01*	20/31	12/19	101/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.39	0.03	24/31	13/19	116/128
Civil Justice	0.42	0.01	25/31	14/19	109/128
Criminal Justice	0.34	0.00	23/31	12/19	102/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Ethiopia — Sub-Saharan Africa — Low



Finland

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.87	3/24	3/37	3/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.92	0.00	3/24	3/37	3/128
Absence of Corruption	0.89	0.00	4/24	5/37	5/128
Open Government	0.86	-0.01	4/24	4/37	4/128
Fundamental Rights	0.91	-0.01	3/24	3/37	3/128
Order and Security	0.91	-0.01	4/24	8/37	8/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.86	0.03	3/24	4/37	4/128
Civil Justice	0.81	0.02	6/24	7/37	7/128
Criminal Justice	0.83	-0.01	2/24	2/37	2/128


* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High




Finland EU & EFTA & North America High


Constraints on Government Powers




Absence of Corruption




Open Government




Fundamental Rights




Order and Security




Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



France

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.73	14/24	20/37	20/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.73	-0.02	15/24	18/37	19/128
Absence of Corruption	0.74	-0.01	12/24	18/37	18/128
Open Government	0.78	-0.01	10/24	12/37	12/128
Fundamental Rights	0.73	-0.01	16/24	20/37	21/128
Order and Security	0.75	-0.01	22/24	30/37	53/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.76	-0.01	12/24	17/37	17/128
Civil Justice	0.71	0.00	11/24	18/37	18/128
Criminal Justice	0.62	-0.01	16/24	23/37	23/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



France EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.72 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.67 1.3 Independent auditing 0.73 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.64 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.71 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.88	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.65 4.2 Right to life & security 0.84 4.3 Due process of law 0.67 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.71 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.73 4.6 Right to privacy 0.67 4.7 Freedom of association 0.81 4.8 Labor rights 0.79	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.65 7.2 No discrimination 0.59 7.3 No corruption 0.76 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.77 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.57 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.74 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.85
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.72 2.2 In the judiciary 0.90 2.3 In the police/military 0.85 2.4 In the legislature 0.48	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.82 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.89 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.54	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.59 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.62 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.55 8.4 No discrimination 0.51 8.5 No corruption 0.76 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.64 8.7 Due process of law 0.67
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.84 3.2 Right to information 0.73 3.3 Civic participation 0.74 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.82	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.71 6.2 No improper influence 0.85 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.67 6.4 Respect for due process 0.76 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.83	

The Gambia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	10/31	5/19	74/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
—	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	—	5/31	1/19	44/128
Absence of Corruption	0.48	—	7/31	2/19	59/128
Open Government	0.36	—	23/31	14/19	114/128
Fundamental Rights	0.55	—	9/31	3/19	67/128
Order and Security	0.71	—	9/31	6/19	69/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.38	—	25/31	14/19	118/128
Civil Justice	0.52	—	10/31	3/19	69/128
Criminal Justice	0.42	—	11/31	6/19	73/128


* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High




The Gambia Sub-Saharan Africa Low


Constraints on Government Powers




Absence of Corruption




Open Government




Fundamental Rights




Order and Security




Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Georgia

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.6	1/14	7/42	42/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.55	-0.02	1/14	16/42	61/128
Absence of Corruption	0.68	-0.02	1/14	2/42	27/128
Open Government	0.57	-0.01	1/14	9/42	41/128
Fundamental Rights	0.61	-0.01	1/14	13/42	48/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.00	6/14	6/42	35/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	0.01	1/14	8/42	41/128
Civil Justice	0.53	-0.01	6/14	24/42	67/128
Criminal Justice	0.52	0.00	1/14	13/42	46/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Georgia Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle



Germany

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.84	6/24	6/37	6/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.85	0.00	6/24	6/37	6/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	8/24	11/37	11/128
 Open Government	0.79	0.00	8/24	10/37	10/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.85	0.00	5/24	5/37	5/128
 Order and Security	0.89	0.00	11/24	16/37	17/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.85	0.00	4/24	6/37	6/128
 Civil Justice	0.85	-0.01	4/24	4/37	4/128
 Criminal Justice	0.79	0.01	6/24	7/37	7/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Germany EU & EFTA & North America High



Ghana

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.57	6/31	1/30	51/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.68	-0.02	2/31	2/30	28/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.39	-0.01	15/31	13/30	93/128
 Open Government	0.50	-0.01	5/31	8/30	64/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.61	-0.03	4/31	1/30	47/128
 Order and Security	0.72	0.01	7/31	7/30	65/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.55	0.00	7/31	4/30	50/128
 Civil Justice	0.59	-0.02	6/31	1/30	46/128
 Criminal Justice	0.49	0.00	6/31	1/30	51/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Ghana — Sub-Saharan Africa — Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers  1.1 Limits by legislature 0.78 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.67 1.3 Independent auditing 0.62 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.52 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.74 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.72	Fundamental Rights  4.1 No discrimination 0.60 4.2 Right to life & security 0.60 4.3 Due process of law 0.45 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.74 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.72 4.6 Right to privacy 0.48 4.7 Freedom of association 0.77 4.8 Labor rights 0.53	Civil Justice  7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.57 7.2 No discrimination 0.56 7.3 No corruption 0.54 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.71 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.44 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.58 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.75
Absence of Corruption  2.1 In the executive branch 0.38 2.2 In the judiciary 0.54 2.3 In the police/military 0.37 2.4 In the legislature 0.27	Order and Security  5.1 Absence of crime 0.77 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.38	Criminal Justice  8.1 Effective investigations 0.43 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.52 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.37 8.4 No discrimination 0.61 8.5 No corruption 0.43 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.61 8.7 Due process of law 0.45
Open Government  3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.25 3.2 Right to information 0.42 3.3 Civic participation 0.71 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.64	Regulatory Enforcement  6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.47 6.2 No improper influence 0.55 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.46 6.4 Respect for due process 0.60 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.67	

Greece

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	22/24	33/37	40/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-4 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.68	-0.01	18/24	24/37	27/128
Absence of Corruption	0.56	0.00	21/24	34/37	45/128
Open Government	0.61	0.00	20/24	28/37	34/128
Fundamental Rights	0.65	-0.01	21/24	31/37	37/128
Order and Security	0.71	-0.01	24/24	33/37	66/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.58	-0.01	20/24	32/37	39/128
Civil Justice	0.59	0.01	20/24	31/37	47/128
Criminal Justice	0.50	-0.01	22/24	34/37	50/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Greece EU & EFTA & North America High



Grenada

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.59	10/30	9/42	44/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.58	-0.01	13/30	13/42	52/128
Absence of Corruption	0.65	-0.02	6/30	4/42	32/128
Open Government	0.46	-0.01	22/30	30/42	82/128
Fundamental Rights	0.63	0.00	13/30	10/42	44/128
Order and Security	0.77	0.00	4/30	12/42	46/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.56	-0.02	9/30	12/42	47/128
Civil Justice	0.60	-0.02	9/30	13/42	44/128
Criminal Justice	0.50	0.00	12/30	14/42	48/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Grenada Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



Guatemala

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	25/30	38/42	101/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.52	-0.02*	20/30	20/42	69/128
Absence of Corruption	0.34	-0.03*	25/30	39/42	108/128
Open Government	0.51	0.00	17/30	18/42	60/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	-0.01	20/30	24/42	70/128
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	27/30	39/42	116/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	0.00	26/30	39/42	107/128
Civil Justice	0.37	0.00	28/30	41/42	121/128
Criminal Justice	0.30	-0.02	25/30	40/42	118/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Guatemala Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



Guinea

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.42	24/31	14/19	111/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.02 ▼	-4 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	0.00	25/31	16/19	109/128
Absence of Corruption	0.29	0.00	26/31	16/19	120/128
Open Government	0.37	-0.02	22/31	13/19	111/128
Fundamental Rights	0.50	-0.02	15/31	10/19	86/128
Order and Security	0.72	-0.03	6/31	5/19	64/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	-0.06	28/31	18/19	122/128
Civil Justice	0.41	0.00	29/31	17/19	115/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.00	27/31	17/19	115/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Guinea Sub-Saharan Africa Low



Guyana

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	17/30	25/42	73/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	3▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	-0.01	15/30	14/42	58/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	-0.01	15/30	23/42	66/128
Open Government	0.45	0.01	23/30	31/42	84/128
Fundamental Rights	0.56	0.00	18/30	22/42	65/128
Order and Security	0.62	0.01	23/30	35/42	106/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	0.00	22/30	31/42	84/128
Civil Justice	0.53	0.00	15/30	23/42	65/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.00	15/30	29/42	82/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Guyana Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



Honduras

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.4	27/30	22/30	116/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.34	-0.03	28/30	24/30	119/128
Absence of Corruption	0.32	-0.01	27/30	23/30	114/128
Open Government	0.42	0.00	27/30	19/30	96/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	0.00	28/30	20/30	111/128
Order and Security	0.66	0.05*	16/30	17/30	93/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.39	-0.01	29/30	26/30	117/128
Civil Justice	0.41	0.00	25/30	21/30	112/128
Criminal Justice	0.26	0.01	28/30	27/30	123/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Honduras Latin America & Caribbean Lower Middle



Hong Kong SAR, China

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.76	5/15	16/37	16/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.65	0.00	7/15	27/37	31/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.84	0.00	3/15	8/37	8/128
 Open Government	0.73	-0.01	3/15	15/37	15/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.65	-0.01	6/15	32/37	38/128
 Order and Security	0.93	0.00	2/15	2/37	2/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.81	-0.01	4/15	12/37	12/128
 Civil Justice	0.77	0.00	4/15	11/37	11/128
 Criminal Justice	0.72	0.00	5/15	14/37	14/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Hong Kong SAR, China East Asia & Pacific High



Hungary

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	24/24	36/37	60/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.40	-0.02	24/24	37/37	108/128
Absence of Corruption	0.51	0.00	23/24	35/37	53/128
Open Government	0.46	0.00	24/24	35/37	80/128
Fundamental Rights	0.58	0.00	24/24	36/37	59/128
Order and Security	0.89	-0.01	8/24	12/37	13/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.01	24/24	37/37	86/128
Civil Justice	0.45	0.00	24/24	37/37	96/128
Criminal Justice	0.47	-0.01	23/24	35/37	57/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Hungary EU & EFTA & North America High



India

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	3/6	6/30	69/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	0.00	1/6	3/30	41/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	-0.01	2/6	9/30	85/128
Open Government	0.61	0.00	1/6	1/30	32/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.02	3/6	10/30	84/128
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	4/6	24/30	114/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	2/6	8/30	74/128
Civil Justice	0.45	0.00	2/6	18/30	98/128
Criminal Justice	0.40	0.00	3/6	9/30	78/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



India South Asia Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.72 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.65 1.3 Independent auditing 0.57 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.41 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.58 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.73	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.47 4.2 Right to life & security 0.47 4.3 Due process of law 0.40 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.58 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.56 4.6 Right to privacy 0.49 4.7 Freedom of association 0.59 4.8 Labor rights 0.50	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.41 7.2 No discrimination 0.40 7.3 No corruption 0.51 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.63 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.20 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.40 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.61
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.42 2.2 In the judiciary 0.50 2.3 In the police/military 0.43 2.4 In the legislature 0.33	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.79 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.69 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.30	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.27 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.38 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.37 8.4 No discrimination 0.37 8.5 No corruption 0.46 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.55 8.7 Due process of law 0.40
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.56 3.2 Right to information 0.59 3.3 Civic participation 0.58 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.71	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.41 6.2 No improper influence 0.46 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.40 6.4 Respect for due process 0.52 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.64	

Indonesia

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	9/15	5/30	59/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	4 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.68	0.02	5/15	1/30	26/128
Absence of Corruption	0.39	0.02	14/15	12/30	92/128
Open Government	0.55	0.01	7/15	4/30	49/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	0.00	8/15	8/30	79/128
Order and Security	0.68	-0.02	12/15	12/30	82/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.55	0.00	8/15	3/30	49/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.02	13/15	17/30	95/128
Criminal Justice	0.39	0.02	12/15	10/30	79/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Indonesia East Asia & Pacific Lower Middle



Iran

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	7/8	41/42	109/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.02 ▼	-3 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.37	-0.02	7/8	37/42	114/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.43	-0.02	6/8	32/42	76/128
 Open Government	0.28	-0.01	7/8	41/42	124/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.22	-0.02	8/8	42/42	128/128
 Order and Security	0.73	-0.02	4/8	21/42	63/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.46	-0.02	6/8	33/42	88/128
 Civil Justice	0.55	0.00	4/8	19/42	58/128
 Criminal Justice	0.37	-0.05	7/8	31/42	87/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Iran Middle East & North Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers  1.1 Limits by legislature 0.40 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.37 1.3 Independent auditing 0.41 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.39 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.19 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.46	Fundamental Rights  4.1 No discrimination 0.44 4.2 Right to life & security 0.23 4.3 Due process of law 0.40 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.19 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.05 4.6 Right to privacy 0.12 4.7 Freedom of association 0.12 4.8 Labor rights 0.24	Civil Justice  7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.65 7.2 No discrimination 0.40 7.3 No corruption 0.44 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.47 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.56 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.59 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.75
Absence of Corruption  2.1 In the executive branch 0.36 2.2 In the judiciary 0.54 2.3 In the police/military 0.52 2.4 In the legislature 0.30	Order and Security  5.1 Absence of crime 0.76 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.85 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.57	Criminal Justice  8.1 Effective investigations 0.25 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.45 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.55 8.4 No discrimination 0.26 8.5 No corruption 0.42 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.28 8.7 Due process of law 0.40
Open Government  3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.35 3.2 Right to information 0.32 3.3 Civic participation 0.17 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.30	Regulatory Enforcement  6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.35 6.2 No improper influence 0.44 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.51 6.4 Respect for due process 0.46 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.54	


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.66	18/24	26/37	27/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.71	0.00	17/24	22/37	23/128
Absence of Corruption	0.63	0.00	19/24	30/37	35/128
Open Government	0.63	0.00	18/24	26/37	29/128
Fundamental Rights	0.73	0.00	17/24	22/37	23/128
Order and Security	0.75	0.00	23/24	32/37	56/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.61	0.01	19/24	29/37	32/128
Civil Justice	0.56	0.00	22/24	35/37	54/128
Criminal Justice	0.64	0.00	14/24	21/37	21/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Italy EU & EFTA & North America High



Jamaica

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.57	13/30	14/42	49/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.64	0.02	4/30	3/42	32/128
Absence of Corruption	0.55	0.00	12/30	12/42	47/128
Open Government	0.56	0.01	9/30	10/42	43/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	0.01	11/30	7/42	40/128
Order and Security	0.61	-0.01	25/30	37/42	109/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.54	0.00	10/30	13/42	51/128
Civil Justice	0.51	0.00	17/30	25/42	70/128
Criminal Justice	0.50	0.00	13/30	15/42	49/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Jamaica Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



Japan

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.78	4/15	15/37	15/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.71	0.00	4/15	23/37	24/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	4/15	13/37	13/128
 Open Government	0.68	0.00	5/15	21/37	22/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.77	-0.01	3/15	18/37	19/128
 Order and Security	0.92	0.00	3/15	5/37	5/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.79	0.00	5/15	16/37	16/128
 Civil Justice	0.79	0.00	2/15	9/37	9/128
 Criminal Justice	0.76	0.01	2/15	9/37	9/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Japan East Asia & Pacific High



Jordan

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.57	2/8	15/42	50/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.49	-0.01	5/8	23/42	80/128
Absence of Corruption	0.60	0.02	2/8	6/42	39/128
Open Government	0.42	0.01	3/8	36/42	98/128
Fundamental Rights	0.48	-0.01	3/8	34/42	91/128
Order and Security	0.76	-0.01	2/8	14/42	49/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.60	0.02	2/8	4/42	34/128
Civil Justice	0.62	-0.01	2/8	6/42	37/128
Criminal Justice	0.57	-0.01	2/8	4/42	32/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Jordan Middle East & North Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.50 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.48 1.3 Independent auditing 0.56 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.53 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.44 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.42	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.65 4.2 Right to life & security 0.61 4.3 Due process of law 0.48 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.44 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.39 4.6 Right to privacy 0.41 4.7 Freedom of association 0.40 4.8 Labor rights 0.50	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.60 7.2 No discrimination 0.66 7.3 No corruption 0.75 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.63 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.38 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.60 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.73
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.58 2.2 In the judiciary 0.70 2.3 In the police/military 0.78 2.4 In the legislature 0.33	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.85 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.43	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.49 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.63 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.55 8.4 No discrimination 0.56 8.5 No corruption 0.69 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.58 8.7 Due process of law 0.48
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.35 3.2 Right to information 0.56 3.3 Civic participation 0.38 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.38	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.46 6.2 No improper influence 0.72 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.61 6.4 Respect for due process 0.60 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.62	

Kazakhstan

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	4/14	19/42	62/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	4▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.42	-0.01	9/14	35/42	102/128
Absence of Corruption	0.49	0.02	3/14	18/42	57/128
Open Government	0.46	-0.01	11/14	29/42	81/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	-0.01	11/14	37/42	100/128
Order and Security	0.78	0.00	8/14	8/42	39/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	3/14	20/42	65/128
Civil Justice	0.62	0.02	1/14	9/42	40/128
Criminal Justice	0.46	0.02	5/14	19/42	58/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Kazakhstan Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle



Kenya

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	18/31	17/30	102/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	3▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.49	0.00	16/31	11/30	78/128
Absence of Corruption	0.27	0.00	27/31	27/30	122/128
Open Government	0.48	-0.01	7/31	11/30	72/128
Fundamental Rights	0.47	0.01	18/31	11/30	95/128
Order and Security	0.58	0.01	26/31	26/30	117/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	-0.01	15/31	13/30	93/128
Civil Justice	0.46	-0.01	16/31	15/30	91/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.01	14/31	11/30	80/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Kenya Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.63 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.51 1.3 Independent auditing 0.47 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.35 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.52 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.46	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.50 4.2 Right to life & security 0.31 4.3 Due process of law 0.40 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.52 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.63 4.6 Right to privacy 0.23 4.7 Freedom of association 0.60 4.8 Labor rights 0.55	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.44 7.2 No discrimination 0.51 7.3 No corruption 0.42 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.50 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.29 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.44 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.62
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.29 2.2 In the judiciary 0.46 2.3 In the police/military 0.26 2.4 In the legislature 0.08	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.59 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.83 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.33	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.35 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.41 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.38 8.4 No discrimination 0.36 8.5 No corruption 0.32 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.48 8.7 Due process of law 0.40
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.29 3.2 Right to information 0.39 3.3 Civic participation 0.57 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.68	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.44 6.2 No improper influence 0.46 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.45 6.4 Respect for due process 0.33 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.55	


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.54	2/14	17/42	54/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
—	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.52	—	2/14	21/42	71/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	—	5/14	22/42	62/128
Open Government	0.56	—	3/14	11/42	44/128
Fundamental Rights	0.60	—	3/14	15/42	52/128
Order and Security	0.84	—	2/14	1/42	25/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	—	10/14	36/42	97/128
Civil Justice	0.46	—	13/14	34/42	93/128
Criminal Justice	0.47	—	4/14	18/42	56/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level


Low Medium High




2020 Score 2019 Score

Kosovo Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle


Constraints on Government Powers




Absence of Corruption




Open Government




Fundamental Rights




Order and Security




Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Kyrgyz Republic

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	11/14	12/30	87/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	0.00	3/14	13/30	84/128
Absence of Corruption	0.32	0.01	14/14	22/30	113/128
Open Government	0.54	0.00	5/14	5/30	51/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	0.02	9/14	9/30	82/128
Order and Security	0.76	0.01	11/14	5/30	50/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	-0.01	8/14	14/30	95/128
Civil Justice	0.49	0.00	9/14	11/30	80/128
Criminal Justice	0.33	0.02	13/14	21/30	105/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Kyrgyz Republic Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.57 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.30 1.3 Independent auditing 0.43 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.47 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.60 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.52	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.54 4.2 Right to life & security 0.52 4.3 Due process of law 0.35 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.60 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.58 4.6 Right to privacy 0.32 4.7 Freedom of association 0.64 4.8 Labor rights 0.55	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.60 7.2 No discrimination 0.56 7.3 No corruption 0.37 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.34 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.51 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.39 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.65
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.37 2.2 In the judiciary 0.39 2.3 In the police/military 0.38 2.4 In the legislature 0.15	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.79 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.48	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.39 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.50 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.23 8.4 No discrimination 0.33 8.5 No corruption 0.29 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.21 8.7 Due process of law 0.35
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.53 3.2 Right to information 0.53 3.3 Civic participation 0.59 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.50	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.51 6.2 No improper influence 0.44 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.53 6.4 Respect for due process 0.32 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.43	

Lebanon

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	6/8	37/42	96/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.02 ▼	-4 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.50	-0.02	4/8	22/42	76/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.36	-0.03	8/8	38/42	101/128
 Open Government	0.40	-0.03	4/8	37/42	101/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.50	-0.02	2/8	32/42	88/128
 Order and Security	0.66	0.02	7/8	29/42	92/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	0.01	7/8	35/42	92/128
 Civil Justice	0.42	-0.02	7/8	39/42	110/128
 Criminal Justice	0.35	-0.03	8/8	35/42	100/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Lebanon Middle East & North Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers  1.1 Limits by legislature 0.71 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.46 1.3 Independent auditing 0.44 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.32 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.55 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.51	Fundamental Rights  4.1 No discrimination 0.42 4.2 Right to life & security 0.59 4.3 Due process of law 0.45 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.55 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.47 4.6 Right to privacy 0.40 4.7 Freedom of association 0.60 4.8 Labor rights 0.50	Civil Justice  7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.50 7.2 No discrimination 0.41 7.3 No corruption 0.38 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.36 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.34 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.41 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.54
Absence of Corruption  2.1 In the executive branch 0.34 2.2 In the judiciary 0.44 2.3 In the police/military 0.52 2.4 In the legislature 0.16	Order and Security  5.1 Absence of crime 0.70 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.92 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.35	Criminal Justice  8.1 Effective investigations 0.48 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.42 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.29 8.4 No discrimination 0.12 8.5 No corruption 0.43 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.23 8.7 Due process of law 0.45
Open Government  3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.24 3.2 Right to information 0.43 3.3 Civic participation 0.50 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.45	Regulatory Enforcement  6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.48 6.2 No improper influence 0.46 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.39 6.4 Respect for due process 0.53 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.39	

Liberia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	15/31	8/19	98/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	1 ▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.52	-0.01	13/31	7/19	70/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.32	0.00	23/31	14/19	112/128
 Open Government	0.47	-0.02	9/31	4/19	75/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.52	0.00	12/31	6/19	75/128
 Order and Security	0.61	0.00	23/31	14/19	111/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.00	18/31	10/19	102/128
 Civil Justice	0.44	0.00	22/31	11/19	106/128
 Criminal Justice	0.31	-0.01	25/31	14/19	111/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Liberia Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers  1.1 Limits by legislature 0.54 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.52 1.3 Independent auditing 0.46 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.36 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.61 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.65	Fundamental Rights  4.1 No discrimination 0.48 4.2 Right to life & security 0.52 4.3 Due process of law 0.38 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.61 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.63 4.6 Right to privacy 0.44 4.7 Freedom of association 0.65 4.8 Labor rights 0.48	Civil Justice  7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.52 7.2 No discrimination 0.51 7.3 No corruption 0.35 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.39 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.39 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.43 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.49
Absence of Corruption  2.1 In the executive branch 0.31 2.2 In the judiciary 0.33 2.3 In the police/military 0.41 2.4 In the legislature 0.25	Order and Security  5.1 Absence of crime 0.48 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.35	Criminal Justice  8.1 Effective investigations 0.28 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.30 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.24 8.4 No discrimination 0.34 8.5 No corruption 0.37 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.28 8.7 Due process of law 0.38
Open Government  3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.18 3.2 Right to information 0.45 3.3 Civic participation 0.60 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.65	Regulatory Enforcement  6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.40 6.2 No improper influence 0.43 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.41 6.4 Respect for due process 0.50 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.41	

Madagascar

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	20/31	12/19	105/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	4 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	0.02	18/31	11/19	93/128
Absence of Corruption	0.27	0.01	28/31	17/19	123/128
Open Government	0.46	0.00	10/31	5/19	79/128
Fundamental Rights	0.47	0.00	17/31	12/19	92/128
Order and Security	0.70	-0.01	10/31	7/19	71/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	0.03	27/31	16/19	120/128
Civil Justice	0.43	0.03	23/31	12/19	107/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.01	21/31	10/19	96/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Madagascar Sub-Saharan Africa Low



Malawi

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	8/31	3/19	65/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	3▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	0.00	10/31	5/19	57/128
Absence of Corruption	0.41	-0.01	13/31	7/19	87/128
Open Government	0.43	0.00	13/31	7/19	89/128
Fundamental Rights	0.58	-0.01	7/31	1/19	58/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.01	14/31	9/19	79/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	0.00	14/31	8/19	90/128
Civil Justice	0.56	0.02	8/31	2/19	55/128
Criminal Justice	0.46	0.01	8/31	3/19	59/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Malawi Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.56 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.64 1.3 Independent auditing 0.53 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.45 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.58 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.60	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.56 4.2 Right to life & security 0.62 4.3 Due process of law 0.40 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.58 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.71 4.6 Right to privacy 0.54 4.7 Freedom of association 0.70 4.8 Labor rights 0.53	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.47 7.2 No discrimination 0.60 7.3 No corruption 0.48 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.71 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.49 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.58 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.59
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.36 2.2 In the judiciary 0.53 2.3 In the police/military 0.41 2.4 In the legislature 0.35	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.70 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.36	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.36 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.51 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.30 8.4 No discrimination 0.54 8.5 No corruption 0.47 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.64 8.7 Due process of law 0.40
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.17 3.2 Right to information 0.42 3.3 Civic participation 0.60 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.53	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.41 6.2 No improper influence 0.39 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.33 6.4 Respect for due process 0.52 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.61	

Malaysia

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.58	7/15	12/42	47/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.03 ▲	4 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.58	0.05*	8/15	12/42	50/128
Absence of Corruption	0.60	0.01	7/15	8/42	41/128
Open Government	0.42	0.04	13/15	34/42	95/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	0.04*	9/15	29/42	80/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.00	7/15	5/42	34/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	0.04*	7/15	7/42	40/128
Civil Justice	0.63	0.03*	7/15	5/42	35/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	0.01	7/15	6/42	38/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Malaysia East Asia & Pacific Upper Middle



Mali

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	21/31	13/19	106/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	-0.01	17/31	10/19	86/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.34	0.03	21/31	13/19	107/128
 Open Government	0.46	0.02	11/31	6/19	83/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.54	0.01	11/31	5/19	71/128
 Order and Security	0.51	-0.1*	28/31	17/19	122/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	-0.05	10/31	4/19	70/128
 Civil Justice	0.42	-0.01	26/31	15/19	111/128
 Criminal Justice	0.30	0.02	29/31	18/19	120/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Mali Sub-Saharan Africa Low



Mauritania

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.36	29/31	27/30	123/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	1 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.33	0.03	30/31	26/30	121/128
Absence of Corruption	0.29	-0.02	25/31	26/30	119/128
Open Government	0.27	0.01	31/31	29/30	127/128
Fundamental Rights	0.40	0.02	26/31	21/30	113/128
Order and Security	0.65	0.00	18/31	19/30	95/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.28	0.02	31/31	29/30	126/128
Civil Justice	0.36	0.04	30/31	28/30	124/128
Criminal Justice	0.30	0.00	28/31	24/30	117/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Mauritania Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle



Mauritius

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	3/31	6/42	38/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	0.00	7/31	9/42	47/128
Absence of Corruption	0.59	0.00	3/31	10/42	43/128
Open Government	0.54	0.00	4/31	14/42	50/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	-0.01	3/31	9/42	43/128
Order and Security	0.75	-0.01	3/31	15/42	51/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.63	0.00	1/31	2/42	27/128
Civil Justice	0.64	0.00	3/31	3/42	31/128
Criminal Justice	0.53	0.01	4/31	10/42	43/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Mauritius Sub-Saharan Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.63 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.67 1.3 Independent auditing 0.44 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.52 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.61 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.72	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.59 4.2 Right to life & security 0.70 4.3 Due process of law 0.56 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.61 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.78 4.6 Right to privacy 0.61 4.7 Freedom of association 0.66 4.8 Labor rights 0.61	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.63 7.2 No discrimination 0.72 7.3 No corruption 0.75 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.73 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.29 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.64 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.69
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.60 2.2 In the judiciary 0.79 2.3 In the police/military 0.63 2.4 In the legislature 0.33	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.83 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.44	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.41 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.41 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.51 8.4 No discrimination 0.53 8.5 No corruption 0.66 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.65 8.7 Due process of law 0.56
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.60 3.2 Right to information 0.42 3.3 Civic participation 0.60 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.54	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.69 6.2 No improper influence 0.82 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.49 6.4 Respect for due process 0.56 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.59	

Mexico

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	26/30	39/42	104/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	-0.01	25/30	30/42	89/128
Absence of Corruption	0.27	-0.02	29/30	42/42	121/128
Open Government	0.60	0.00	7/30	7/42	36/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	-0.02	24/30	28/42	78/128
Order and Security	0.53	-0.03*	29/30	41/42	121/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	-0.01	23/30	34/42	91/128
Civil Justice	0.39	-0.01	26/30	40/42	116/128
Criminal Justice	0.30	0.00	26/30	41/42	119/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Mexico Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



Moldova

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	10/14	9/30	82/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	3 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	0.03*	6/14	15/30	92/128
Absence of Corruption	0.34	0.02	12/14	19/30	106/128
Open Government	0.55	0.00	4/14	3/30	48/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	0.01	8/14	6/30	68/128
Order and Security	0.80	0.00	4/14	2/30	31/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.01	13/14	18/30	101/128
Civil Justice	0.48	0.01	11/14	12/30	86/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.02	11/14	15/30	89/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Moldova Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower Middle



Mongolia

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	8/15	4/30	57/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	-0.01	9/15	6/30	65/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	-0.02	12/15	7/30	83/128
Open Government	0.49	-0.02	10/15	10/30	70/128
Fundamental Rights	0.57	-0.01	7/15	4/30	61/128
Order and Security	0.77	0.00	10/15	4/30	45/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.02	11/15	10/30	82/128
Civil Justice	0.53	-0.01	9/15	7/30	68/128
Criminal Justice	0.49	-0.01	8/15	2/30	52/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Mongolia East Asia & Pacific Lower Middle



Morocco

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	4/8	8/30	79/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-3 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.51	-0.02	3/8	8/30	74/128
Absence of Corruption	0.44	0.00	5/8	5/30	73/128
Open Government	0.44	0.00	2/8	13/30	86/128
Fundamental Rights	0.45	0.00	6/8	16/30	103/128
Order and Security	0.68	-0.01	6/8	13/30	83/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.56	0.02	3/8	2/30	46/128
Civil Justice	0.54	0.00	5/8	4/30	60/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.00	5/8	12/30	83/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Morocco — Middle East & North Africa — Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.58 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.53 1.3 Independent auditing 0.45 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.46 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.46 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.57	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.68 4.2 Right to life & security 0.35 4.3 Due process of law 0.39 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.46 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.44 4.6 Right to privacy 0.24 4.7 Freedom of association 0.49 4.8 Labor rights 0.58	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.52 7.2 No discrimination 0.56 7.3 No corruption 0.41 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.50 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.64 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.49 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.65
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.48 2.2 In the judiciary 0.45 2.3 In the police/military 0.44 2.4 In the legislature 0.39	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.65 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.39	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.48 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.45 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.38 8.4 No discrimination 0.33 8.5 No corruption 0.39 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.23 8.7 Due process of law 0.39
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.37 3.2 Right to information 0.47 3.3 Civic participation 0.45 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.47	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.54 6.2 No improper influence 0.57 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.53 6.4 Respect for due process 0.53 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.63	

Mozambique

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.41	25/31	15/19	113/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.43	-0.02	20/31	13/19	101/128
Absence of Corruption	0.37	0.00	18/31	11/19	99/128
Open Government	0.35	-0.02	24/31	15/19	115/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	-0.01	24/31	16/19	109/128
Order and Security	0.55	-0.07*	27/31	16/19	120/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.40	0.02	22/31	12/19	112/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.00	17/31	8/19	94/128
Criminal Justice	0.33	-0.01	24/31	13/19	108/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Mozambique Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.52 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.42 1.3 Independent auditing 0.44 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.41 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.41 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.39	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.56 4.2 Right to life & security 0.26 4.3 Due process of law 0.25 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.41 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.64 4.6 Right to privacy 0.26 4.7 Freedom of association 0.46 4.8 Labor rights 0.46	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.46 7.2 No discrimination 0.52 7.3 No corruption 0.43 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.34 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.36 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.50 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.61
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.32 2.2 In the judiciary 0.37 2.3 In the police/military 0.44 2.4 In the legislature 0.33	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.60 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.81 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.25	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.23 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.29 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.11 8.4 No discrimination 0.52 8.5 No corruption 0.41 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.50 8.7 Due process of law 0.25
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.17 3.2 Right to information 0.32 3.3 Civic participation 0.43 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.49	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.42 6.2 No improper influence 0.47 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.47 6.4 Respect for due process 0.28 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.37	

Myanmar

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.42	14/15	20/30	112/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	0.00	13/15	18/30	98/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	-0.01	10/15	3/30	63/128
Open Government	0.37	0.02	14/15	23/30	109/128
Fundamental Rights	0.31	0.00	14/15	29/30	125/128
Order and Security	0.65	0.00	14/15	18/30	94/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.46	0.00	13/15	11/30	87/128
Civil Justice	0.37	0.01	14/15	27/30	122/128
Criminal Justice	0.27	-0.02	14/15	26/30	122/128


* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High




Myanmar East Asia & Pacific Lower Middle


Constraints on Government Powers




Absence of Corruption




Open Government




Fundamental Rights




Order and Security




Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Namibia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.63	1/31	4/42	35/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.69	0.01	1/31	2/42	25/128
Absence of Corruption	0.53	0.00	5/31	14/42	50/128
Open Government	0.58	0.00	3/31	8/42	40/128
Fundamental Rights	0.66	0.00	1/31	5/42	34/128
Order and Security	0.74	0.00	4/31	20/42	60/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.58	0.00	4/31	6/42	38/128
Civil Justice	0.67	0.00	1/31	2/42	26/128
Criminal Justice	0.55	0.01	2/31	7/42	39/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Namibia Sub-Saharan Africa Upper Middle



Nepal

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	1/6	2/19	61/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	0.00	2/6	3/19	46/128
Absence of Corruption	0.41	-0.02	3/6	8/19	88/128
Open Government	0.52	0.00	2/6	2/19	58/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	-0.02	2/6	7/19	76/128
Order and Security	0.73	0.00	1/6	4/19	62/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.01	1/6	2/19	62/128
Civil Justice	0.48	0.01	1/6	5/19	82/128
Criminal Justice	0.44	-0.01	2/6	4/19	67/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Nepal South Asia Low

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.75 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.62 1.3 Independent auditing 0.49 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.45 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.63 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.66	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.53 4.2 Right to life & security 0.40 4.3 Due process of law 0.39 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.63 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.63 4.6 Right to privacy 0.34 4.7 Freedom of association 0.64 4.8 Labor rights 0.61	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.46 7.2 No discrimination 0.44 7.3 No corruption 0.42 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.50 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.53 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.44 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.56
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.46 2.2 In the judiciary 0.40 2.3 In the police/military 0.58 2.4 In the legislature 0.21	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.77 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.41	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.49 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.56 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.39 8.4 No discrimination 0.34 8.5 No corruption 0.50 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.40 8.7 Due process of law 0.39
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.31 3.2 Right to information 0.54 3.3 Civic participation 0.61 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.61	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.48 6.2 No improper influence 0.58 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.50 6.4 Respect for due process 0.48 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.51	

Netherlands

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.84	5/24	5/37	5/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.86	0.00	5/24	5/37	5/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.88	0.00	5/24	6/37	6/128
 Open Government	0.82	0.00	5/24	5/37	5/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.84	0.00	7/24	7/37	7/128
 Order and Security	0.85	0.00	13/24	19/37	20/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.85	-0.01	5/24	7/37	7/128
 Civil Justice	0.85	-0.01	3/24	3/37	3/128
 Criminal Justice	0.76	-0.01	7/24	8/37	8/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Netherlands EU & EFTA & North America High



New Zealand

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.83	1/15	7/37	7/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.85	0.00	1/15	7/37	7/128
Absence of Corruption	0.87	0.00	2/15	7/37	7/128
Open Government	0.82	0.00	1/15	6/37	6/128
Fundamental Rights	0.81	0.00	1/15	11/37	11/128
Order and Security	0.89	0.00	4/15	13/37	14/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.85	0.00	2/15	5/37	5/128
Civil Justice	0.78	0.01	3/15	10/37	10/128
Criminal Justice	0.72	0.00	4/15	13/37	13/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



New Zealand East Asia & Pacific High



Nicaragua

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	28/30	23/30	118/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.26	-0.01	29/30	30/30	127/128
Absence of Corruption	0.34	0.00	24/30	18/30	105/128
Open Government	0.37	-0.01	29/30	22/30	108/128
Fundamental Rights	0.37	-0.02	29/30	24/30	116/128
Order and Security	0.70	-0.01	10/30	8/30	73/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	-0.03	25/30	19/30	104/128
Civil Justice	0.38	-0.03	27/30	26/30	120/128
Criminal Justice	0.29	0.01	27/30	25/30	121/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Nicaragua Latin America & Caribbean Lower Middle



Niger

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	19/31	11/19	103/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.40	-0.02	24/31	15/19	106/128
Absence of Corruption	0.40	-0.03	14/31	9/19	90/128
Open Government	0.35	0.00	25/31	16/19	116/128
Fundamental Rights	0.49	0.00	16/31	11/19	89/128
Order and Security	0.64	-0.08*	19/31	11/19	99/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	0.03	13/31	7/19	80/128
Civil Justice	0.45	0.02	19/31	9/19	102/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.04	16/31	8/19	84/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Niger Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.51 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.43 1.3 Independent auditing 0.29 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.29 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.41 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.46	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.65 4.2 Right to life & security 0.41 4.3 Due process of law 0.41 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.41 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.61 4.6 Right to privacy 0.24 4.7 Freedom of association 0.56 4.8 Labor rights 0.66	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.43 7.2 No discrimination 0.43 7.3 No corruption 0.46 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.27 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.47 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.45 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.62
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.38 2.2 In the judiciary 0.49 2.3 In the police/military 0.47 2.4 In the legislature 0.28	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.70 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.69 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.55	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.29 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.40 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.32 8.4 No discrimination 0.48 8.5 No corruption 0.44 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.31 8.7 Due process of law 0.41
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.20 3.2 Right to information 0.29 3.3 Civic participation 0.45 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.45	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.50 6.2 No improper influence 0.56 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.45 6.4 Respect for due process 0.36 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.48	

Nigeria

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	22/31	18/30	108/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	-0.01	12/31	7/30	68/128
Absence of Corruption	0.33	-0.01	22/31	20/30	109/128
Open Government	0.43	0.00	14/31	18/30	94/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	0.00	20/31	13/30	99/128
Order and Security	0.35	0.00	31/31	30/30	127/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.00	17/31	16/30	99/128
Civil Justice	0.50	0.01	11/31	10/30	75/128
Criminal Justice	0.40	-0.03	13/31	8/30	76/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Nigeria — Sub-Saharan Africa — Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.68 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.53 1.3 Independent auditing 0.47 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.46 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.50 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.51	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.53 4.2 Right to life & security 0.27 4.3 Due process of law 0.35 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.50 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.54 4.6 Right to privacy 0.37 4.7 Freedom of association 0.58 4.8 Labor rights 0.51	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.61 7.2 No discrimination 0.57 7.3 No corruption 0.49 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.50 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.23 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.43 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.66
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.31 2.2 In the judiciary 0.49 2.3 In the police/military 0.35 2.4 In the legislature 0.17	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.55 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.08 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.43	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.40 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.38 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.34 8.4 No discrimination 0.51 8.5 No corruption 0.35 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.47 8.7 Due process of law 0.35
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.20 3.2 Right to information 0.39 3.3 Civic participation 0.52 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.60	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.40 6.2 No improper influence 0.51 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.34 6.4 Respect for due process 0.43 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.49	

North Macedonia

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	3/14	18/42	58/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	0.00	4/14	28/42	87/128
Absence of Corruption	0.44	-0.02	6/14	27/42	70/128
Open Government	0.48	-0.01	7/14	25/42	71/128
Fundamental Rights	0.59	0.02	6/14	18/42	55/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.01	5/14	4/42	32/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.00	6/14	26/42	76/128
Civil Justice	0.55	-0.01	3/14	18/42	57/128
Criminal Justice	0.45	-0.02	6/14	22/42	63/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



North Macedonia Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.58 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.37 1.3 Independent auditing 0.48 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.34 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.50 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.53	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.62 4.2 Right to life & security 0.68 4.3 Due process of law 0.56 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.50 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.73 4.6 Right to privacy 0.42 4.7 Freedom of association 0.61 4.8 Labor rights 0.58	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.61 7.2 No discrimination 0.66 7.3 No corruption 0.36 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.39 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.51 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.63 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.71
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.39 2.2 In the judiciary 0.49 2.3 In the police/military 0.67 2.4 In the legislature 0.23	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.78 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.60	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.45 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.49 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.35 8.4 No discrimination 0.46 8.5 No corruption 0.51 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.33 8.7 Due process of law 0.56
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.49 3.2 Right to information 0.49 3.3 Civic participation 0.51 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.44	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.45 6.2 No improper influence 0.48 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.54 6.4 Respect for due process 0.38 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.56	

Norway

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.89	2/24	2/37	2/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.94	0.00	2/24	2/37	2/128
Absence of Corruption	0.94	0.00	2/24	2/37	2/128
Open Government	0.89	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Fundamental Rights	0.91	0.01	2/24	2/37	2/128
Order and Security	0.93	0.00	2/24	4/37	4/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.87	0.00	2/24	2/37	2/128
Civil Justice	0.85	0.00	2/24	2/37	2/128
Criminal Justice	0.83	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Norway EU & EFTA & North America High



Pakistan

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	5/6	25/30	120/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.49	-0.03	4/6	12/30	79/128
Absence of Corruption	0.31	-0.01	5/6	25/30	116/128
Open Government	0.43	-0.02	4/6	16/30	91/128
Fundamental Rights	0.38	0.00	5/6	23/30	115/128
Order and Security	0.37	0.01	5/6	29/30	126/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.39	0.01	5/6	25/30	115/128
Civil Justice	0.38	0.01	4/6	24/30	118/128
Criminal Justice	0.35	0.00	4/6	19/30	98/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Pakistan South Asia Lower Middle



Panama

Region: Latin America & Caribbean

Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	15/30	37/37	63/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	2▲		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.54	0.00	16/30	36/37	62/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	0.00	19/30	37/37	82/128
Open Government	0.59	0.00	8/30	30/37	38/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	0.02	12/30	34/37	42/128
Order and Security	0.66	-0.02	15/30	37/37	90/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	17/30	35/37	61/128
Civil Justice	0.48	-0.01	22/30	36/37	83/128
Criminal Justice	0.33	0.02	21/30	36/37	106/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Panama Latin America & Caribbean High



Peru

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	20/30	30/42	80/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-7 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	-0.02	11/30	10/42	48/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.33	0.00	26/30	40/42	111/128
 Open Government	0.55	0.00	11/30	13/42	47/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.62	0.00	15/30	12/42	46/128
 Order and Security	0.63	-0.02	22/30	34/42	105/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	-0.01	19/30	22/42	68/128
 Civil Justice	0.45	-0.01	24/30	37/42	100/128
 Criminal Justice	0.33	0.00	22/30	38/42	107/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Peru Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



Philippines

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	13/15	13/30	91/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.50	-0.02	10/15	9/30	75/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	-0.01	11/15	4/30	64/128
Open Government	0.52	-0.01	8/15	7/30	55/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	-0.01	12/15	18/30	107/128
Order and Security	0.65	0.05*	15/15	21/30	97/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.01	10/15	9/30	75/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.02	12/15	16/30	92/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.00	13/15	22/30	112/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Philippines East Asia & Pacific Lower Middle



Poland

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.66	19/24	27/37	28/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.58	0.00	21/24	32/37	51/128
Absence of Corruption	0.73	0.01	14/24	20/37	20/128
Open Government	0.60	-0.03	22/24	29/37	37/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	-0.02	22/24	33/37	39/128
Order and Security	0.86	0.00	12/24	18/37	19/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.62	0.00	18/24	27/37	29/128
Civil Justice	0.63	-0.01	17/24	29/37	34/128
Criminal Justice	0.60	-0.01	17/24	25/37	26/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Poland EU & EFTA & North America High



Portugal

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.7	16/24	23/37	23/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.78	-0.01	12/24	14/37	14/128
Absence of Corruption	0.72	0.00	16/24	23/37	23/128
Open Government	0.66	-0.01	16/24	23/37	24/128
Fundamental Rights	0.78	-0.01	14/24	16/37	17/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.00	20/24	27/37	37/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.62	-0.02	17/24	26/37	28/128
Civil Justice	0.68	-0.01	14/24	22/37	22/128
Criminal Justice	0.59	-0.01	18/24	26/37	27/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Portugal EU & EFTA & North America High



Republic of Korea

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.73	6/15	17/37	17/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.72	0.00	3/15	20/37	21/128
Absence of Corruption	0.67	-0.01	6/15	26/37	28/128
Open Government	0.71	0.01	4/15	20/37	20/128
Fundamental Rights	0.73	0.00	4/15	21/37	22/128
Order and Security	0.84	0.00	6/15	21/37	23/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.72	0.00	6/15	19/37	19/128
Civil Justice	0.76	0.00	5/15	13/37	13/128
Criminal Justice	0.71	0.00	6/15	18/37	18/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Republic of Korea East Asia & Pacific High

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.68 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.60 1.3 Independent auditing 0.84 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.68 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.66 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.85	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.68 4.2 Right to life & security 0.90 4.3 Due process of law 0.78 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.66 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.71 4.6 Right to privacy 0.83 4.7 Freedom of association 0.70 4.8 Labor rights 0.60	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.68 7.2 No discrimination 0.70 7.3 No corruption 0.75 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.74 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.82 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.80 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.86
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.70 2.2 In the judiciary 0.88 2.3 In the police/military 0.76 2.4 In the legislature 0.32	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.91 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.61	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.59 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.80 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.68 8.4 No discrimination 0.73 8.5 No corruption 0.66 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.71 8.7 Due process of law 0.78
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.69 3.2 Right to information 0.76 3.3 Civic participation 0.66 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.71	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.57 6.2 No improper influence 0.87 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.79 6.4 Respect for due process 0.63 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.77	

Romania

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.63	20/24	3/42	32/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	0.00	20/24	6/42	38/128
Absence of Corruption	0.56	-0.01	22/24	11/42	46/128
Open Government	0.61	-0.02	21/24	6/42	35/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	0.00	19/24	3/42	28/128
Order and Security	0.83	-0.01	16/24	2/42	26/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	-0.01	21/24	9/42	42/128
Civil Justice	0.62	-0.02	19/24	8/42	39/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	-0.01	20/24	5/42	37/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Romania EU & EFTA & North America Upper Middle



Russian Federation

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	13/14	36/42	94/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-4 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.36	-0.01	11/14	38/42	115/128
Absence of Corruption	0.43	-0.02	9/14	33/42	77/128
Open Government	0.49	0.00	6/14	24/42	69/128
Fundamental Rights	0.44	-0.01	12/14	38/42	104/128
Order and Security	0.66	0.00	14/14	28/42	91/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	5/14	25/42	73/128
Civil Justice	0.54	0.02	5/14	20/42	62/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	-0.02	14/14	39/42	110/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Russian Federation Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.36 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.33 1.3 Independent auditing 0.37 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.33 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.39 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.40	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.58 4.2 Right to life & security 0.45 4.3 Due process of law 0.36 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.39 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.54 4.6 Right to privacy 0.20 4.7 Freedom of association 0.41 4.8 Labor rights 0.57	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.59 7.2 No discrimination 0.57 7.3 No corruption 0.54 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.30 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.74 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.47 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.55
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.41 2.2 In the judiciary 0.55 2.3 In the police/military 0.51 2.4 In the legislature 0.24	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.76 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.83 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.39	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.21 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.33 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.36 8.4 No discrimination 0.38 8.5 No corruption 0.45 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.09 8.7 Due process of law 0.36
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.57 3.2 Right to information 0.40 3.3 Civic participation 0.39 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.60	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.55 6.2 No improper influence 0.55 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.61 6.4 Respect for due process 0.34 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.38	

Rwanda

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.62	2/31	1/19	37/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	3 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	0.00	6/31	2/19	45/128
Absence of Corruption	0.63	0.03	1/31	1/19	36/128
Open Government	0.58	0.01	2/31	1/19	39/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.01	13/31	8/19	81/128
Order and Security	0.84	0.00	1/31	1/19	22/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.59	0.02	3/31	1/19	37/128
Civil Justice	0.64	0.01	2/31	1/19	30/128
Criminal Justice	0.54	-0.01	3/31	1/19	42/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Rwanda Sub-Saharan Africa Low



Senegal

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.55	7/31	2/30	52/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	-0.02	8/31	5/30	54/128
Absence of Corruption	0.54	-0.01	4/31	1/30	49/128
Open Government	0.43	-0.01	12/31	14/30	88/128
Fundamental Rights	0.60	-0.01	5/31	3/30	51/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.00	12/31	10/30	76/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	0.02	5/31	1/30	44/128
Civil Justice	0.57	0.01	7/31	2/30	51/128
Criminal Justice	0.45	0.00	9/31	4/30	64/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Senegal Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle



Serbia

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	8/14	26/42	75/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	5▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	-0.01	10/14	36/42	111/128
Absence of Corruption	0.44	0.00	7/14	29/42	72/128
Open Government	0.47	-0.01	9/14	27/42	76/128
Fundamental Rights	0.57	0.01	7/14	21/42	62/128
Order and Security	0.77	0.00	9/14	10/42	42/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.01	7/14	27/42	77/128
Civil Justice	0.51	0.01	8/14	27/42	73/128
Criminal Justice	0.40	0.02	9/14	28/42	77/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Serbia — Eastern Europe & Central Asia — Upper Middle



Sierra Leone

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	17/31	10/19	100/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.51	0.00	15/31	9/19	73/128
Absence of Corruption	0.35	0.00	20/31	12/19	104/128
Open Government	0.40	-0.02	17/31	11/19	103/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.01	14/31	9/19	85/128
Order and Security	0.67	-0.02	17/31	10/19	89/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	0.00	26/31	15/19	119/128
Civil Justice	0.44	0.03	21/31	10/19	105/128
Criminal Justice	0.35	0.00	22/31	11/19	99/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Sierra Leone Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.58 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.42 1.3 Independent auditing 0.51 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.42 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.55 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.58	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.51 4.2 Right to life & security 0.43 4.3 Due process of law 0.40 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.55 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.73 4.6 Right to privacy 0.34 4.7 Freedom of association 0.59 4.8 Labor rights 0.50	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.51 7.2 No discrimination 0.50 7.3 No corruption 0.34 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.30 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.41 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.53 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.51
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.37 2.2 In the judiciary 0.35 2.3 In the police/military 0.36 2.4 In the legislature 0.34	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.60 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.39	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.43 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.34 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.28 8.4 No discrimination 0.44 8.5 No corruption 0.37 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.21 8.7 Due process of law 0.40
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.09 3.2 Right to information 0.43 3.3 Civic participation 0.54 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.53	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.41 6.2 No improper influence 0.40 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.37 6.4 Respect for due process 0.32 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.37	

Singapore

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.79	3/15	12/37	12/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	1 ▲		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.67	-0.02	6/15	25/37	29/128
Absence of Corruption	0.91	0.00	1/15	3/37	3/128
Open Government	0.64	-0.02	6/15	25/37	26/128
Fundamental Rights	0.68	-0.01	5/15	28/37	32/128
Order and Security	0.93	0.00	1/15	1/37	1/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.87	0.00	1/15	3/37	3/128
Civil Justice	0.82	-0.01	1/15	6/37	6/128
Criminal Justice	0.79	0.00	1/15	6/37	6/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Singapore East Asia & Pacific High



Slovenia

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.69	17/24	24/37	24/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	2 ▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.65	0.01	19/24	26/37	30/128
Absence of Corruption	0.66	0.01	17/24	27/37	29/128
Open Government	0.65	0.00	17/24	24/37	25/128
Fundamental Rights	0.75	0.02	15/24	19/37	20/128
Order and Security	0.89	0.00	10/24	15/37	16/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.65	0.01	16/24	24/37	25/128
Civil Justice	0.66	0.03*	16/24	26/37	28/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	0.01	19/24	31/37	35/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Slovenia EU & EFTA & North America High



South Africa

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.59	5/31	10/42	45/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	2 ▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.63	0.01	3/31	4/42	33/128
Absence of Corruption	0.48	0.00	6/31	19/42	58/128
Open Government	0.62	0.00	1/31	4/42	30/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	0.00	2/31	8/42	41/128
Order and Security	0.61	0.00	22/31	38/42	110/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.56	0.02	6/31	11/42	45/128
Civil Justice	0.61	0.03	4/31	10/42	41/128
Criminal Justice	0.53	0.01	5/31	11/42	44/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



South Africa Sub-Saharan Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.58 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.67 1.3 Independent auditing 0.63 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.47 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.71 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.71	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.54 4.2 Right to life & security 0.62 4.3 Due process of law 0.54 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.71 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.73 4.6 Right to privacy 0.57 4.7 Freedom of association 0.74 4.8 Labor rights 0.66	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.51 7.2 No discrimination 0.49 7.3 No corruption 0.69 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.67 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.54 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.61 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.77
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.40 2.2 In the judiciary 0.73 2.3 In the police/military 0.57 2.4 In the legislature 0.23	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.48 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.35	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.39 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.52 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.33 8.4 No discrimination 0.55 8.5 No corruption 0.59 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.76 8.7 Due process of law 0.54
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.54 3.2 Right to information 0.53 3.3 Civic participation 0.70 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.72	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.44 6.2 No improper influence 0.62 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.52 6.4 Respect for due process 0.63 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.62	

Spain

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.73	13/24	19/37	19/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	2 ▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.74	0.02	13/24	16/37	17/128
Absence of Corruption	0.73	0.01	15/24	21/37	21/128
Open Government	0.71	0.01	14/24	19/37	19/128
Fundamental Rights	0.79	0.00	13/24	15/37	16/128
Order and Security	0.82	0.00	17/24	23/37	27/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.70	0.02	15/24	22/37	22/128
Civil Justice	0.67	0.00	15/24	24/37	25/128
Criminal Justice	0.67	0.01	13/24	20/37	20/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Spain EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	0.76
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.66
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.75
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.66
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.72
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.87
Lawful transition of power	

Absence of Corruption

2.1	0.68
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.88
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.88
In the police/military	
2.4	0.49
In the legislature	

Open Government

3.1	0.71
Publicized laws & gov't data	
3.2	0.66
Right to information	
3.3	0.71
Civic participation	
3.4	0.76
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights

4.1	0.71
No discrimination	
4.2	0.86
Right to life & security	
4.3	0.79
Due process of law	
4.4	0.72
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.77
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.87
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.82
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.74
Labor rights	

Order and Security

5.1	0.86
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.60
Absence of violent redress	

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	0.67
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.83
No improper influence	
6.3	0.58
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.76
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.67
No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	

Civil Justice

7.1	0.73
Accessibility & affordability	
7.2	0.70
No discrimination	
7.3	0.72
No corruption	
7.4	0.68
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.51
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.55
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.80
Impartial & effective ADRs	

Criminal Justice

8.1	0.59
Effective investigations	
8.2	0.56
Timely & effective adjudication	
8.3	0.71
Effective correctional system	
8.4	0.63
No discrimination	
8.5	0.76
No corruption	
8.6	0.65
No improper gov't influence	
8.7	0.79
Due process of law	

Sri Lanka

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	2/6	21/42	66/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.55	0.01	3/6	15/42	60/128
Absence of Corruption	0.47	0.01	1/6	21/42	61/128
Open Government	0.51	0.01	3/6	17/42	59/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	0.00	1/6	25/42	72/128
Order and Security	0.71	0.00	2/6	24/42	70/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.02	3/6	28/42	79/128
Civil Justice	0.45	-0.02	3/6	36/42	99/128
Criminal Justice	0.44	0.00	1/6	23/42	65/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Sri Lanka South Asia Upper Middle



St. Kitts and Nevis

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.63	6/30	30/37	33/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	-0.02	7/30	30/37	36/128
Absence of Corruption	0.64	-0.03	7/30	29/37	33/128
Open Government	0.44	0.03	25/30	36/37	87/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	-0.02	6/30	26/37	29/128
Order and Security	0.78	-0.02	3/30	29/37	41/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.61	0.00	4/30	28/37	31/128
Civil Justice	0.69	-0.06	2/30	21/37	21/128
Criminal Justice	0.59	0.02	3/30	27/37	28/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



St. Kitts and Nevis Latin America & Caribbean High



St. Lucia

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.62	8/30	5/42	36/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	2▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.59	0.00	12/30	11/42	49/128
Absence of Corruption	0.64	0.00	8/30	5/42	34/128
Open Government	0.49	0.00	21/30	23/42	67/128
Fundamental Rights	0.66	0.00	9/30	6/42	35/128
Order and Security	0.74	0.02	7/30	17/42	57/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.60	0.02	7/30	5/42	36/128
Civil Justice	0.68	0.02	3/30	1/42	23/128
Criminal Justice	0.55	-0.02	10/30	9/42	41/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



St. Lucia Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



St. Vincent and the Grenadines

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.64	5/30	2/42	31/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.02 ▲	4 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	0.03	8/30	5/42	37/128
Absence of Corruption	0.70	0.00	2/30	1/42	24/128
Open Government	0.52	0.02	14/30	16/42	54/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	0.02	5/30	2/42	27/128
Order and Security	0.75	0.01	5/30	16/42	54/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	0.03	8/30	10/42	43/128
Civil Justice	0.64	0.02	6/30	4/42	32/128
Criminal Justice	0.61	0.00	2/30	1/42	25/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



St. Vincent and the Grenadines Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



Suriname

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	18/30	27/42	76/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-5 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	-0.01	22/30	24/42	81/128
Absence of Corruption	0.45	-0.06	16/30	25/42	68/128
Open Government	0.39	0.02	28/30	38/42	104/128
Fundamental Rights	0.55	0.00	19/30	23/42	66/128
Order and Security	0.65	-0.01	18/30	30/42	98/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	0.00	21/30	29/42	81/128
Civil Justice	0.49	-0.02	20/30	29/42	78/128
Criminal Justice	0.52	-0.01	11/30	12/42	45/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Suriname Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.48 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.59 1.3 Independent auditing 0.30 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.37 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.59 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.57	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.54 4.2 Right to life & security 0.61 4.3 Due process of law 0.45 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.59 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.66 4.6 Right to privacy 0.41 4.7 Freedom of association 0.65 4.8 Labor rights 0.51	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.48 7.2 No discrimination 0.55 7.3 No corruption 0.69 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.51 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.26 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.49 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.48
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.40 2.2 In the judiciary 0.66 2.3 In the police/military 0.53 2.4 In the legislature 0.21	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.74 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.20	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.43 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.53 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.35 8.4 No discrimination 0.57 8.5 No corruption 0.58 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.73 8.7 Due process of law 0.45
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.32 3.2 Right to information 0.30 3.3 Civic participation 0.56 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.38	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.46 6.2 No improper influence 0.62 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.37 6.4 Respect for due process 0.43 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.47	

Sweden

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.86	4/24	4/37	4/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.87	0.00	4/24	4/37	4/128
Absence of Corruption	0.91	0.00	3/24	4/37	4/128
Open Government	0.86	0.00	3/24	3/37	3/128
Fundamental Rights	0.87	0.00	4/24	4/37	4/128
Order and Security	0.92	0.00	3/24	6/37	6/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.84	0.00	6/24	8/37	8/128
Civil Justice	0.82	0.02	5/24	5/37	5/128
Criminal Justice	0.80	-0.01	4/24	4/37	4/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Sweden EU & EFTA & North America High



Tanzania

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	12/31	7/19	93/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.51	-0.01	14/31	8/19	72/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	0.00	12/31	6/19	81/128
Open Government	0.38	0.00	18/31	12/19	105/128
Fundamental Rights	0.43	-0.02	22/31	14/19	105/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.00	13/31	8/19	78/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	0.02	16/31	9/19	94/128
Civil Justice	0.50	0.02	12/31	4/19	76/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.00	15/31	7/19	81/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Tanzania Sub-Saharan Africa Low



Thailand

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	10/15	24/42	71/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	6▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	0.01	11/15	25/42	82/128
Absence of Corruption	0.49	0.00	9/15	17/42	54/128
Open Government	0.51	0.02	9/15	19/42	61/128
Fundamental Rights	0.49	0.01	10/15	33/42	90/128
Order and Security	0.71	0.00	11/15	22/42	67/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.01	12/15	30/42	83/128
Civil Justice	0.48	-0.01	10/15	32/42	84/128
Criminal Justice	0.43	0.01	11/15	26/42	70/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Thailand East Asia & Pacific Upper Middle



Togo

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	16/31	9/19	99/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	3▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.36	0.00	29/31	19/19	117/128
Absence of Corruption	0.43	0.01	9/31	4/19	78/128
Open Government	0.30	-0.01	30/31	19/19	123/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	-0.03	19/31	13/19	97/128
Order and Security	0.73	0.00	5/31	3/19	61/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	11/31	5/19	71/128
Civil Justice	0.48	0.01	13/31	6/19	85/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.00	20/31	9/19	94/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Togo — Sub-Saharan Africa — Low



Trinidad and Tobago

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.54	14/30	35/37	55/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	0.00	14/30	34/37	55/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.49	-0.01	14/30	36/37	55/128
 Open Government	0.56	0.00	10/30	31/37	45/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.59	0.00	16/30	35/37	56/128
 Order and Security	0.68	0.01	13/30	35/37	85/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	15/30	34/37	59/128
 Civil Justice	0.58	0.01	12/30	32/37	49/128
 Criminal Justice	0.32	-0.03	23/30	37/37	109/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Trinidad and Tobago Latin America & Caribbean High



Tunisia

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.54	3/8	3/30	56/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	3▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	0.01	1/8	4/30	42/128
Absence of Corruption	0.49	0.01	3/8	2/30	56/128
Open Government	0.49	-0.01	1/8	9/30	68/128
Fundamental Rights	0.57	0.01	1/8	5/30	63/128
Order and Security	0.68	0.01	5/8	11/30	80/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.53	0.00	4/8	5/30	52/128
Civil Justice	0.50	0.01	6/8	9/30	74/128
Criminal Justice	0.42	-0.01	4/8	6/30	74/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Tunisia Middle East & North Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.66 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.50 1.3 Independent auditing 0.62 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.48 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.65 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.76	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.63 4.2 Right to life & security 0.59 4.3 Due process of law 0.46 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.65 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.60 4.6 Right to privacy 0.42 4.7 Freedom of association 0.69 4.8 Labor rights 0.52	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.58 7.2 No discrimination 0.61 7.3 No corruption 0.38 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.55 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.44 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.38 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.58
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.53 2.2 In the judiciary 0.46 2.3 In the police/military 0.57 2.4 In the legislature 0.38	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.77 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.92 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.37	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.43 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.46 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.36 8.4 No discrimination 0.35 8.5 No corruption 0.46 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.39 8.7 Due process of law 0.46
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.37 3.2 Right to information 0.48 3.3 Civic participation 0.62 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.51	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.52 6.2 No improper influence 0.62 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.40 6.4 Respect for due process 0.51 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.61	

Turkey

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	14/14	40/42	107/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	3▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.30	0.01	14/14	41/42	124/128
Absence of Corruption	0.47	-0.01	4/14	20/42	60/128
Open Government	0.42	0.00	12/14	35/42	97/128
Fundamental Rights	0.32	0.00	14/14	39/42	123/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.02	13/14	26/42	77/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.41	-0.01	14/14	41/42	110/128
Civil Justice	0.44	0.00	14/14	38/42	103/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.00	10/14	30/42	85/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Turkey Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle



Uganda

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.4	27/31	17/19	117/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.40	-0.01	23/31	14/19	105/128
Absence of Corruption	0.26	0.00	29/31	18/19	125/128
Open Government	0.40	0.00	16/31	10/19	102/128
Fundamental Rights	0.37	-0.01	28/31	18/19	117/128
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	25/31	15/19	113/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	0.00	20/31	11/19	106/128
Civil Justice	0.43	-0.02	24/31	13/19	108/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	-0.02	26/31	15/19	113/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Uganda Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.42 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.40 1.3 Independent auditing 0.46 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.38 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.39 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.35	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.44 4.2 Right to life & security 0.24 4.3 Due process of law 0.30 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.39 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.61 4.6 Right to privacy 0.07 4.7 Freedom of association 0.48 4.8 Labor rights 0.39	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.40 7.2 No discrimination 0.39 7.3 No corruption 0.34 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.47 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.33 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.48 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.60
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.28 2.2 In the judiciary 0.33 2.3 In the police/military 0.23 2.4 In the legislature 0.19	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.54 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.24	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.29 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.37 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.39 8.4 No discrimination 0.25 8.5 No corruption 0.28 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.30 8.7 Due process of law 0.30
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.15 3.2 Right to information 0.44 3.3 Civic participation 0.45 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.57	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.38 6.2 No improper influence 0.37 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.38 6.4 Respect for due process 0.38 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.60	

Ukraine

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	7/14	7/30	72/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	6▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	0.00	5/14	14/30	90/128
Absence of Corruption	0.33	0.00	13/14	21/30	110/128
Open Government	0.57	0.02	2/14	2/30	42/128
Fundamental Rights	0.61	0.00	2/14	2/30	49/128
Order and Security	0.75	0.02	12/14	6/30	52/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.01	12/14	17/30	100/128
Civil Justice	0.54	0.00	4/14	5/30	61/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	-0.01	12/14	16/30	90/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Ukraine Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower Middle



United Arab Emirates

Region: Middle East & North Africa

Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.65	1/8	29/37	30/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	2▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	0.01	2/8	35/37	59/128
Absence of Corruption	0.80	0.00	1/8	16/37	16/128
Open Government	0.36	0.00	5/8	37/37	113/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	0.00	5/8	37/37	98/128
Order and Security	0.91	0.00	1/8	7/37	7/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.73	0.00	1/8	18/37	18/128
Civil Justice	0.68	0.01	1/8	23/37	24/128
Criminal Justice	0.67	0.00	1/8	19/37	19/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



United Arab Emirates Middle East & North Africa High



United Kingdom

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.79	10/24	13/37	13/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.82	-0.01	11/24	13/37	13/128
Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	7/24	10/37	10/128
Open Government	0.79	-0.01	9/24	11/37	11/128
Fundamental Rights	0.79	-0.03	11/24	13/37	13/128
Order and Security	0.84	-0.01	15/24	22/37	24/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.81	-0.01	9/24	13/37	13/128
Civil Justice	0.71	-0.02	10/24	17/37	17/128
Criminal Justice	0.72	-0.02	9/24	12/37	12/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



United Kingdom EU & EFTA & North America High



United States

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.72	15/24	21/37	21/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.71	-0.02	16/24	21/37	22/128
 Absence of Corruption	0.74	0.00	13/24	19/37	19/128
 Open Government	0.78	0.00	11/24	13/37	13/128
 Fundamental Rights	0.72	0.00	18/24	25/37	26/128
 Order and Security	0.81	0.01	18/24	24/37	28/128
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.71	-0.01	13/24	20/37	20/128
 Civil Justice	0.62	-0.01	18/24	30/37	36/128
 Criminal Justice	0.63	0.00	15/24	22/37	22/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



United States EU & EFTA & North America High



Uruguay

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.71	1/30	22/37	22/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.76	0.01	2/30	15/37	16/128
Absence of Corruption	0.73	-0.01	1/30	22/37	22/128
Open Government	0.72	0.00	1/30	16/37	16/128
Fundamental Rights	0.78	0.01	2/30	17/37	18/128
Order and Security	0.69	-0.01	11/30	34/37	74/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.70	0.01	1/30	23/37	23/128
Civil Justice	0.74	-0.01	1/30	16/37	16/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	0.03	6/30	29/37	33/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Uruguay Latin America & Caribbean High



Uzbekistan

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	12/14	14/30	92/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	4 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.33	0.01	13/14	25/30	120/128
Absence of Corruption	0.40	0.02	10/14	10/30	89/128
Open Government	0.33	0.02	14/14	26/30	120/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	0.02	13/14	19/30	110/128
Order and Security	0.90	0.00	1/14	1/30	11/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	0.00	9/14	15/30	96/128
Civil Justice	0.51	0.00	7/14	8/30	72/128
Criminal Justice	0.44	0.00	7/14	5/30	66/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Uzbekistan Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower Middle



Venezuela, RB

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.27	30/30	42/42	128/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.17	-0.01	30/30	42/42	128/128
Absence of Corruption	0.31	-0.01	28/30	41/42	117/128
Open Government	0.28	-0.01	30/30	42/42	125/128
Fundamental Rights	0.31	-0.02*	30/30	40/42	124/128
Order and Security	0.48	0.01	30/30	42/42	123/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.20	0.00	30/30	42/42	128/128
Civil Justice	0.27	0.00	30/30	42/42	127/128
Criminal Justice	0.13	-0.01	30/30	42/42	128/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Venezuela, RB Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



Vietnam

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	11/15	11/30	85/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	0.00	12/15	17/30	95/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	0.01	13/15	8/30	84/128
Open Government	0.46	0.01	11/15	12/30	78/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	0.00	11/15	14/30	101/128
Order and Security	0.77	-0.01	9/15	3/30	44/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	0.01	14/15	12/30	89/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.01	11/15	14/30	89/128
Criminal Justice	0.46	0.00	9/15	3/30	60/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Vietnam — East Asia & Pacific — Lower Middle



Zambia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	14/31	16/30	97/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	-0.03	19/31	16/30	94/128
Absence of Corruption	0.38	-0.02	17/31	14/30	97/128
Open Government	0.38	0.00	19/31	20/30	106/128
Fundamental Rights	0.42	-0.03	23/31	17/30	106/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.00	11/31	9/30	75/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.00	19/31	20/30	105/128
Civil Justice	0.47	0.00	14/31	13/30	88/128
Criminal Justice	0.41	-0.03	12/31	7/30	75/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Zambia Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.46 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.37 1.3 Independent auditing 0.48 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.55 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.39 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.48	Fundamental Rights 4.1 No discrimination 0.50 4.2 Right to life & security 0.36 4.3 Due process of law 0.39 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.39 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.63 4.6 Right to privacy 0.23 4.7 Freedom of association 0.38 4.8 Labor rights 0.46	Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.47 7.2 No discrimination 0.41 7.3 No corruption 0.41 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.44 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.40 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.67 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.49
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 0.40 2.2 In the judiciary 0.53 2.3 In the police/military 0.35 2.4 In the legislature 0.24	Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 0.62 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.45	Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 0.48 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.46 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.25 8.4 No discrimination 0.48 8.5 No corruption 0.39 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.41 8.7 Due process of law 0.39
Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.25 3.2 Right to information 0.44 3.3 Civic participation 0.42 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.42	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.49 6.2 No improper influence 0.41 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.42 6.4 Respect for due process 0.38 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.43	

Zimbabwe

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	28/31	24/30	119/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.33	-0.01	31/31	27/30	122/128
Absence of Corruption	0.32	0.01	24/31	24/30	115/128
Open Government	0.32	-0.02	29/31	27/30	122/128
Fundamental Rights	0.34	-0.01	31/31	27/30	121/128
Order and Security	0.67	0.00	16/31	15/30	87/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.36	-0.02	29/31	28/30	124/128
Civil Justice	0.45	-0.01	18/31	19/30	101/128
Criminal Justice	0.37	0.00	17/31	14/30	88/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Zimbabwe Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle





Behind the Numbers

Methodology Snapshot:

Steps to Produce the WJP Rule of Law Index

The production of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* can be summarized in 11 steps:

- 1 The WJP developed the conceptual framework summarized in the Index's nine factors and 47 sub-factors, in consultation with academics, practitioners, and community leaders from around the world.
- 2 The Index team developed a set of five questionnaires based on the Index's conceptual framework to be administered to experts and the general public. Questionnaires were translated into several languages and adapted to reflect commonly used terms and expressions.
- 3 The Index team identified, on average, more than 300 potential local experts per country to respond to the QRQs and engaged the services of leading local polling companies to implement the household surveys.
- 4 Polling companies conducted pilot tests of the GPP in consultation with the Index team, and launched the final survey for full fieldwork.
- 5 The Index team sent the questionnaires to local experts and engaged in continual interaction with them.
- 6 The Index team collected and mapped the data onto the eight factors and 44 sub-factors with global comparability that make up the scores and rankings of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*. The Index scores and rankings exclude the ninth factor and its three sub-factors because they cannot be measured in a comparable manner globally.
- 7 The Index team constructed the final scores using a five-step process:
 - a. Codified the questionnaire items as numeric values
 - b. Produced raw country scores by aggregating the responses from several individuals (experts and/or general public)
 - c. Normalized the raw scores
 - d. Aggregated the normalized scores into sub-factors and factors using simple averages
 - e. Produced the normalized scores, which are rounded to two decimal points, and the final rankings
- 8 The data was subject to a series of tests to identify possible biases and errors. For example, the Index team cross-checked all sub-factors against more than 70 third-party sources, including quantitative data and qualitative assessments drawn from local and international organizations.
- 9 A sensitivity analysis was conducted by the Econometrics and Applied Statistics Unit of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, in collaboration with the Index team, to assess the statistical reliability of the results.
- 10 To illustrate whether the rule of law in a country significantly changed over the course of the past year, a measure of change over time was produced based on the annual difference in the country-level factor scores, the standard errors of these scores (estimated from a set of 100 bootstrap samples), and the results of the corresponding t-tests.
- 11 The data was organized into country reports, tables, and figures to facilitate their presentation and interpretation. For tables organized by income group, the WJP follows the World Bank income classifications.

Methodology

The WJP Rule of Law Index is the first attempt to systematically and comprehensively quantify the rule of law around the world and remains unique in its operationalization of rule of law dimensions into concrete questions.

The *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020* report presents information on eight composite factors that are further disaggregated into 44 specific sub-factors (see page 11). Factor 9, Informal Justice, is included in the conceptual framework, but has been excluded from the aggregated scores and rankings in order to provide meaningful cross-country comparisons.

The country scores and rankings presented in this report are built from more than 500 variables drawn from the assessments of more than 130,000 households and 4,000 legal practitioners and experts in 128 countries and jurisdictions, making it the most accurate portrayal of the factors that contribute to shaping the rule of law in a country.

Data Sources

To present an image that accurately portrays the rule of law as experienced by ordinary people, each score of the Index is calculated using a large number of questions drawn from two original data sources collected by the World Justice Project in each country or jurisdiction: a General Population Poll (GPP) and a series of Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs). These two data sources collect up-to-date firsthand information that is not available at the global level, and constitute the world's most comprehensive dataset of its kind. They capture the experiences and perceptions of ordinary citizens and in-country professionals concerning the performance of the state and its agents and the actual operation of the legal framework in their country or jurisdiction.

The GPP surveys provide firsthand information on the experiences and the perceptions of ordinary people regarding a range of pertinent rule of law information, including their dealings with the government, the ease of interacting with state bureaucracy, the extent of bribery and corruption, the availability of dispute resolution systems, and the prevalence of common crimes to which they are exposed.

The GPP questionnaire includes 127 perception-based questions and 213 experience-based questions, along with socio-demographic information on all respondents. The questionnaire is translated into local languages, adapted to common expressions, and administered by leading local polling companies using a probability sample of 1,000 respondents.³ In previous editions of the Index, the poll has been conducted in the three largest cities of each country or jurisdiction. However, the World Justice Project's goal was to update its methodology

to include nationally representative polls. Toward this end, nationally representative polls have been conducted in 63 countries and jurisdictions covered in the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020*. Nationally representative polls will be conducted in the remaining countries and jurisdictions in future editions of the Index. Depending on the particular situation of each country or jurisdiction, one of three different polling methodologies is used: face-to-face, telephone, or online. The GPP has been carried out in each country or jurisdiction every other year. The polling data used in this year's report was collected during the fall of 2019 (for 10 countries and jurisdictions), fall of 2018 (for 70 countries and jurisdictions), fall of 2017 (for 45 countries and jurisdictions), the fall of 2016 (for four countries and jurisdictions), the fall of 2014 (for three countries and jurisdictions), the fall of 2012 (for one country), and the fall of 2011 (for two countries or jurisdictions). Detailed information regarding the country or jurisdiction coverage (cities covered or nationally representative), the polling companies contracted to administer the questionnaire, and the polling methodology employed in each of the 128 countries and jurisdictions is presented on page 166.

The QRQs complement the household data with assessments from in-country practitioners and academics with expertise in civil and commercial law; constitutional law, civil liberties, and criminal law; labor law; and public health. These questionnaires gather timely input on a range of topics from practitioners who frequently interact with state institutions. Such topics include information on the efficacy of courts, the strength of regulatory enforcement, and the reliability of accountability mechanisms.

The questionnaires contain closed-ended perception questions and several hypothetical scenarios with highly detailed factual assumptions aimed at ensuring comparability across countries. The QRQ surveys are conducted annually, and the questionnaires are completed by respondents selected from directories of law firms, universities and colleges, research organizations, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), as well as through referrals from the WJP global network of practitioners, and all are vetted by WJP staff based on their expertise. The expert surveys are administered in five languages: English, French, Portuguese, Russian, and Spanish. The QRQ data for this report include more than 4,000 surveys, which represents an average of 32 respondents per country. This data was collected from May 2019 through October 2019.

³ Due to small populations or obstacles to data collection in certain countries, the sampling plan was adjusted in some cases. One adjustment was to decrease the sample size. For more information on specific countries and sample sizes, see pages 166-168.

Data Cleaning and Score Computation

Once collected, the data is carefully processed to arrive at country-level scores. As a first step, the respondent level data are edited to exclude partially completed surveys, suspicious data, and outliers (which are detected using the Z-score method). Individual answers are then mapped onto the 44 sub-factors of the Index (or onto the intermediate categories that make up each sub-factor), codified so that all values fall between 0 (weakest adherence to the rule of law) and 1 (strongest adherence to the rule of law), and aggregated at the country level using the simple (or unweighted) average of all respondents.

This year, to allow for an easier comparison across years, the resulting 2020 scores have been normalized using the Min-Max method with a base year of 2015. These normalized scores were then successively aggregated from the variable level all the way up to the factor level to produce the final country scores, rounded to two decimal points, and rankings. In most cases, the GPP and QRQ questions are equally weighted in the calculation of the scores of the intermediate categories (sub-factors and sub-sub-factors).

A full picture of how questions are mapped onto indicators and how they are weighted is available on the *WJP Rule of Law Index* website at worldjusticeproject.org.

Data Validation

As a final step, data is validated and cross-checked against qualitative and quantitative third-party sources to provide an additional layer of analysis and to identify possible mistakes or inconsistencies within the data. Most of the third-party data sources used to cross-check the Index scores are described in Botero and Ponce (2011).⁴

Methodological Changes to this Year's Report

Every year, the WJP reviews the methods of data collection to ensure that the information produced is valid, useful, and continues to capture the status of the rule of law in the world. To maintain consistency with previous editions and to facilitate tracking changes over time, this year's questionnaires and data maps are closely aligned with those administered in the past.

In order to improve the accuracy of the QRQ results and reduce respondent burden, proactive dependent interviewing techniques were used to remind respondents who participated in last year's survey of their responses in the previous year.

This year, the WJP modified the calculation of the two third party source variables that make up sub-factor 5.2.1, "Armed conflict." The two variables are "number of battle related deaths" and "number of casualties resulting from one-sided violence," which both come from the Uppsala Conflict Data Program at Uppsala University in Sweden. This change was made to more accurately approximate the number of battle related deaths and casualties

resulting from one-sided violence in each country in a given year due to a specific conflict. In order to determine the changes in factor scores in the country profiles, the new calculation method was applied to both the 2019 and the 2020 scores.

This year, no new questions or indicators were added to the Index. Overall, 100 percent of questions remained the same between the 2019 and 2020 editions of the Index. A description of the variables is available at: worldjusticeproject.org.

Tracking Changes Over Time

This year's report includes two measures to illustrate whether the rule of law in a country, as measured through the factors of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*, changed since the previous year. One measure is the change in factor score, which is included in the country profiles for each factor in each country. The second measure is a measure of statistically significant changes, both positive and negative. This measure is presented in the form of a green or red asterisk and text, and represents a summary of rigorous statistical testing based on the use of bootstrapping procedures (see below). For each factor, this measure has no asterisk and is written in black text if there was no statistically significant change in the score since last year. If there was a change leading to a statistically significant improvement in the score, the change in factor score is written in green text and has a green asterisk. If there was a change leading to a statistically significant decline in the score, the change in factor score is written in red text and has a red asterisk. This measure complements the numerical scores and rankings presented in this report, which benchmark each country's current performance on the factors and sub-factors of the Index against that of other countries. The measure of change over time is constructed in three steps:

1. First, last year's scores are subtracted from this year's to obtain, for each country and each factor, the annual difference in scores.
2. To test whether the annual changes are statistically significant, a bootstrapping procedure is used to estimate standard errors. To calculate these errors, 100 samples of respondent-level observations (of equal size to the original sample) are randomly selected with replacement for each country from the pooled set of respondents for last year and this year. These samples are used to produce a set of 100 country-level scores for each factor and each country, which are utilized to calculate the final standard errors. These errors—which measure the uncertainty associated with picking a particular sample of respondents—are then employed to conduct pair-wise t-tests for each country and each factor.

⁴ Botero, J. and Ponce, A. (2011) "Measuring the Rule of Law": WJP Working Paper No.1, available at worldjusticeproject.org/publications.

3. Finally, to illustrate the annual change, a measure of change over time is produced based on the value of the annual difference and its statistical significance (at the 10 percent level).

Strengths and Limitations

The Index methodology has both strengths and limitations. Among its strengths is the inclusion of both expert and household surveys to ensure that the findings reflect the conditions experienced by the population. Another strength is that it approaches the measurement of rule of law from various angles by triangulating information across data sources and types of questions. This approach not only enables accounting for different perspectives on the rule of law, but it also helps to reduce possible bias that might be introduced by any other particular data collection method. Finally, it relies on statistical testing to determine the significance of the changes in the factor scores over the last year.

With the aforementioned methodological strengths come a number of limitations. First, the data sheds light on rule of law dimensions that appear comparatively strong or weak, but are not specific enough to establish causation. Thus, it will be necessary to use the Index in combination with other analytical tools to provide a full picture of causes and possible solutions. Second, in previous editions of the Index, the methodology has only been applied in three major urban areas in each of the indexed countries for the General Population Poll. However, the World Justice Project's goal was to update its methodology to include nationally representative polls. Toward this end, nationally representative polls have been conducted in 63 countries and jurisdictions covered in the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020*. Nationally representative polls will be conducted in the remaining countries and jurisdictions in future editions of the Index. Third, given the rapid changes to the rule of law occurring in some countries, scores for some countries may be sensitive to the specific points in time when the data was collected. To address this, the WJP is piloting test methods of moving averages to account for short-term fluctuations. Fourth, the QRQ data may be subject to problems in measurement error due to the limited number of experts in some countries, resulting in less precise estimates. To address this, the WJP works constantly to expand its network of in-country academic and practitioner experts who contribute their time and expertise to this endeavor. Finally, due to the limited number of experts in some countries (which implies higher standard errors) and the fact that the GPP is carried out in each country every other year (which implies that for some countries, some variables do not change from one year to another), it is possible that the test described above fails to detect small changes in a country's situation over time.

Other methodological considerations

A detailed presentation of the methodology, including a table and description of the more than 500 variables used to construct the Index scores, is available at: worldjusticeproject.org and in Botero, J. and Ponce, A. (2011) "Measuring the Rule of Law": WJP Working Paper No.1, available at: worldjusticeproject.org/publications.

Using the WJP Rule of Law Index

The *WJP Rule of Law Index* has been designed to offer a reliable and independent data source for policy makers, businesses, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and other constituencies to assess a country's adherence to the rule of law as perceived and experienced by the average person, identify a country's strengths and weaknesses in comparison to similarly situated countries, and track changes over time. The Index has been designed to include several features that set it apart from other indices and make it valuable for a large number of countries, thus providing a powerful resource that can inform policy debates both within and across countries. However, the Index's findings must be interpreted in light of certain inherent limitations.

1. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* does not identify priorities for reform and is not intended to establish causation or to ascertain the complex relationship among different rule of law dimensions in various countries.
2. The Index's rankings and scores are the product of a rigorous data collection and aggregation methodology. Nonetheless, as with all measures, they are subject to measurement error.
3. Given the uncertainty associated with picking a particular sample of respondents, standard errors have been calculated using bootstrapping methods to test whether the annual changes in the factor scores are statistically significant.
4. Indices and indicators are subject to potential abuse and misinterpretation. Once released to the public, they can take on a life of their own and be used for purposes unanticipated by their creators. If data is taken out of context, it can lead to unintended or erroneous policy decisions.
5. Rule of law concepts measured by the Index may have different meanings across countries. Users are encouraged to consult the specific definitions of the variables employed in the construction of the Index, which are discussed in greater detail in the methodology section of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* website.
6. The Index is generally intended to be used in combination with other instruments, both quantitative and qualitative. Just as in the areas of health or economics, no single index conveys a full picture of a country's situation. Policy-making in the area of rule of law requires careful consideration of all relevant dimensions—which may vary from country to country—and a combination of sources, instruments, and methods.
7. Pursuant to the sensitivity analysis of the Index data conducted in collaboration with the Econometrics and Applied Statistics Unit of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, confidence intervals have been calculated for all figures included in the *WJP Rule of Law Index*. These confidence intervals and other relevant considerations regarding measurement error are reported in Saisana and Saltelli (2015) and Botero and Ponce (2011).

The following pages (166-168) list the coverage and polling methodology for the GPP in the 128 indexed countries and jurisdictions.

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Afghanistan	Nationally representative	D3: Designs, Data, Decisions & ACSOR Surveys	Face-to-face	3019	2019
Albania	Nationally representative	IDRA Research & Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Algeria	Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Angola	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Antigua and Barbuda	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	513	2018
Argentina	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Australia	Nationally representative	Big Picture Marketing Strategy & Research	Online	1067	2018
Austria	Vienna, Graz, Linz	YouGov Nordic	Online	1008	2017
The Bahamas	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
Bangladesh	Dhaka, Chittagong, Khulna	Org-Quest Research Ltd.	Face-to-face	1000	2016
Barbados	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	513	2018
Belarus	Minsk, Gomel, Mogilev	Market Research & Polls - EURASIA (MRP-EURASIA)/ WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000/401	2014/2017
Belgium	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1007	2018
Belize	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Benin	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Bolivia	Nationally representative	Captura Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Sarajevo, Banja Luka, Tuzla	Kantar TNS MIB	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Botswana	Nationally representative	BJKA Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Brazil	São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro, Salvador	Datum Internacional/About Brazil Market Research	Face-to-face	1049	2017
Bulgaria	Sofia, Plovdiv, Varna	Alpha Research Ltd.	Face-to-face	1001	2018
Burkina Faso	Ouagadougou, Bobo Dioulasso, Koudougou	Kantar TNS	Face-to-face	1029	2017
Cambodia	Phnom Penh, Battambang, Kampong Cham	Indochina Research	Face-to-face	1000	2014
Cameroon	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1006	2018
Canada	Toronto, Montreal, Calgary	YouGov Nordic	Online	1000	2017
Chile	Santiago, Valparaíso/Viña del Mar, Antofagasta	Datum Internacional S.A./Cadern S.A.	Face-to-face	1003	2017
China	Shanghai, Beijing, Guangzhou	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	508	2018
Colombia	Nationally representative	Tempo Group	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Costa Rica	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1030	2019
Cote d'Ivoire	Abidjan, Bouaké, Daloa	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1011	2017
Croatia	Nationally representative	Ipsos	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Czech Republic	Prague, Brno, Ostrava	YouGov Nordic	Online	1013	2017
Congo, Dem. Rep.	Kinshasa, Lubumbashi, Mbuji-Mayi	Kantar Public at TNS RMS Senegal	Face-to-face	1083	2018
Denmark	Copenhagen, Aarhus, Aalborg	YouGov Nordic	Online	1016	2017
Dominica	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	500	2018
Dominican Republic	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup Latin America	Face-to-face	1014	2018
Ecuador	Guayaquil, Quito, Cuenca	Dichter and Neira	Face-to-face	703	2017
Egypt	Cairo, Alexandria, Giza	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
El Salvador	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup Latin America	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Estonia	Tallinn, Tartu, Narva	Norstat Eesti	Online	1010	2017
Ethiopia	Addis Ababa, Gondar, Nazret	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1037	2017
Finland	Helsinki, Espoo, Tampere	YouGov Nordic	Online	1014	2017
France	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1040	2018
The Gambia	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1030	2019
Georgia	Tbilisi, Batumi, Kutaisi	ACT Market Research and Consulting Company	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Germany	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1048	2018

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Ghana	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1103	2018
Greece	Athens, Thessaloniki, Patras	YouGov Nordic	Online	1015	2017
Grenada	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
Guatemala	Nationally representative	Mercaplan Central America & Caribbean	Face-to-face	1008	2018
Guinea	Conakry, Nzerekore, Kankan	Kantar Public at TNS RMS Senegal	Face-to-face	1065	2018
Guyana	Georgetown, Linden, New Amsterdam	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	527	2018
Honduras	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Hong Kong SAR, China	Hong Kong	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1004	2017
Hungary	Budapest, Debrecen, Szeged	Ipsos Hungary	Face-to-face	1000	2017
India	Nationally representative	Market Xcel Data Matrix Pvt. Ltd.	Face-to-face	1059	2018
Indonesia	Jakarta, Surabaya, Bandung	MRI (Marketing Research Indonesia)	Face-to-face	1004	2017
Iran	Tehran, Mashhad, Isfahan	BJKA consulting with local partner MHA Research	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Italy	Rome, Milan, Naples	YouGov Nordic	Online	1004	2017
Jamaica	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1002	2019
Japan	Nationally representative	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Online	1000	2018
Jordan	Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Kazakhstan	Almaty, Nur-Sultan (formerly Astana), Shymkent	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Kenya	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1099	2018
Kosovo	Nationally representative	IDRA Research & Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Kyrgyz Republic	Nationally representative	Ipsos	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Lebanon	Beirut, Tripoli, Sidon	REACH SAL	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Liberia	Monrovia, Gbarnga and Buchanan	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1113	2018
Madagascar	Antananarivo, Toamasina, Antsirabe	DCDM Research	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Malawi	Lilongwe, Blantyre, Mzuzu	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1039	2017
Malaysia	Klang Valley, Johor Bahru, Ipoh	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Mali	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1012	2018
Mauritania	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Mauritius	Nationally representative	DCDM Research	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Mexico	Mexico City, Guadalajara, Monterrey	Data Opinión Pública y Mercados	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Moldova	Chisinau, Balti, Cahul	Georgian Opinion Research Business International (GORBI) in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1043	2017
Mongolia	Ulaanbaatar, Erdenet, Darkhan	Mongolian Marketing Consulting Group LLC	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Morocco	Casablanca, Fes, Tangier	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Mozambique	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1009	2018
Myanmar	Yangon, Mandalay, Nay Pyi Taw	Myanmar Survey Research Co., Ltd (MSR)	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Namibia	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1001	2018
Nepal	Kathmandu, Pokhara, Lalitpur	Solutions Consultant	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Netherlands	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1113	2018
New Zealand	Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch	Big Picture Marketing Strategy & Research	Online	1000	2017
Nicaragua	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Niger	Niamey, Zinder, Maradi	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1011	2018
Nigeria	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1083	2018
North Macedonia	Skopje, Kumanovo, Bitola	Ipsos dooel Skopje	Face-to-face	1017	2017
Norway	Oslo, Bergen, Trondheim	YouGov Nordic	Online	1007	2017
Pakistan	Nationally representative	Gallup Pakistan	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Panama	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Peru	Nationally representative	Datum Internacional S.A.	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Philippines	Manila, Cebu, Davao	APMI Partners	Face-to-face	1008	2016
Poland	Warsaw, Krakow, Lodz	IQS Sp. z o.o.	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Portugal	Lisbon, Porto, Amadora	YouGov Nordic	Online	1016	2017
Republic of Korea	Nationally representative	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Online	1000	2018
Romania	Nationally representative	Alpha Research Ltd. in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Russian Federation	Moscow, St. Petersburg, and Novosibirsk/ Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000/1000	2016/2018
Rwanda	Kigali	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	316	2018
Senegal	Pikine, Dakar, Thiès	Kantar TNS	Face-to-face	1012	2017
Serbia	Belgrade, Novi Sad, Niš	Ipsos Strategic Marketing d.o.o.	Face-to-face	1002	2017
Sierra Leone	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1165	2018
Singapore	Singapore	Survey Sampling International	Online	1000	2017
Slovenia	Ljubljana, Maribor, Celje	Ipsos d.o.o.	Face-to-face	1006	2017
South Africa	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1014	2018
Spain	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1051	2018
Sri Lanka	Colombo, Kaduwela, Maharagama	Kantar LMRB	Face-to-face	1010	2017
St. Kitts and Nevis	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
St. Lucia	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
Suriname	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup Latin America	Face-to-face	510	2018
Sweden	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1049	2018
Tanzania	Dar es Salaam, Mwanza, Arusha	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1037	2018
Thailand	Bangkok, Nakhon Ratchasima, Udon Thani	Infosearch Limited	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Togo	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1005	2018
Trinidad and Tobago	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup Latin America	Face-to-face	1006	2018
Tunisia	Big Tunis, Sfax, Sousse	BJKA Consulting	Face-to-face	1001	2017
Turkey	Istanbul, Ankara, İzmir	Kantar Insights	Face-to-face	1039	2018
Uganda	Kampala, Nansana, Kira	Kantar Public East Africa	Face-to-face	1062	2018
Ukraine	Kyiv, Kharkiv, Odessa	GfK Ukraine	Face-to-face	1079	2017
United Arab Emirates	Dubai, Abu Dhabi, Sharjah	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1011/200	2011/2017
United Kingdom	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1056	2018
United States	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1086	2018
Uruguay	Nationally representative	BM Business Partners	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Uzbekistan	Tashkent, Namangan, Samarkand	Market Research & Polls - EURASIA (MRP-EURASIA)/Ipsos	Face-to-face	1000/300	2014/2018
Venezuela, RB	Caracas, Maracaibo, Valencia	WJP in collaboration with local partner/Statmark Group	Face-to-face	1000/1015	2016/2018
Vietnam	Ho Chi Minh City, Hanoi, Hai Phong	Indochina Research (Vietnam) Ltd.	Face-to-face	1000/1000	2011/2017
Zambia	Lusaka, Kitwe, Chipata	SIS International Research/Intraspace Market Consultancy Ltd.	Face-to-face	1004/1014	2012/2017
Zimbabwe	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1001	2018

Contributing Experts

The WJP Rule of Law Index 2020 was made possible by the generous contributions of academics and practitioners who contributed their time and expertise. The names of those experts wishing to be acknowledged individually are listed below. This report was also made possible by the work of the polling companies who conducted fieldwork, and the thousands of individuals who have responded to the General Population Poll around the world.

Afghanistan

Abdul Hadi Zamani
Nangarhar University

Asmand
Afghan Health and
Development Services

Baryalai Hakimi
Kabul University

Hashmat Khalil Nadirpor
Legal Education Support
Program

**Jordy de Meij and
Khalid Mohammadi**
Kakar Advocates LLC

Khalid C. Sekander

Khalid Massoudi
Masnad Law Firm

Mazhar Bangash
RIAA Barker Gillette

**Mohammad Ayub
Yusufzai**
Afghan Independent
Human Rights
Commission

**Mohammad Nazir
Rasuly**
Organization for People's
Health in Action

**Mohammad Shafiq
Hamdam**
Anti-Corruption Watch
Organization

Mushtair Daqiq

Rahmanullah Shahab
Afghan Anglo Legal
Consultancy Services

Saeed Shajjan
Shajjan & Associates

Sanzar Kakar
Afghanistan Holding
Group

Sayed Fazlullah Wahidi
Afghan NGOs Coordination
Bureau

Sayed Ramiz Husaini
FPJRA

Tareq Eqtetary
Generation Positive
Organization

Thomas Kraemer
Kakar Advocates LLC

Zabihullah Ghazawi
Community Action
for Healing Poverty
Organization

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Albania

Albana Fona
LPA Law Firm

Andia Pustina
Studio Pustina

Anteo Papa
Fatmir Braka Partners and
Associates

Brunilda Subashi
Universiteti Ismail Qemali

Dorant Ekmekçiu
Hoxha, Memi & Hoxha

Drini Hakorja

Eglantina Biba
Frost & Fire Consulting

Enxhi Kallogjeri
Frost & Fire Consulting

Eris Hoxha
Hoxha, Memi & Hoxha

Erlir Puto
Puto, Topi & Partners Law
Firm

Gentiana Agim Tirana
Gentiana Agim Tirana

Gjergji Gjika
Gjika & Associates

Idlir Tivari
Tivari & Harecari Law
Firm

Irv Vaso
Kalo & Associates

Jonida Melani (Braja)
Wolf Theiss

Loren Liço
Lico & Associates

Nensi Seferi
Gjika & Associates

Oltjan Hoxholli
LPA Law Firm

Shirli Gorenca
Kalo & Associates

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Algeria

Abderrahmane Talbi

Adel Messaoudi
Ligue Algérienne des
Droits de L'Homme

Adel Rami
Centre Hospitalo-
Universitaire Nedir
Mohamed de Tizi Ouzou

Ahmed Ridha Boudiaf
Boudiaf et Boudiaf, Scp
d'Avocats

Aicha Ladjouze Rezig
Ligue Algérienne Anti
Rhumatisme

Aissa Touahria
Grant Thornton

**Berbar Ababakrine
Seddik**

Chalal Karima

Kahina Bouagache
Women Lawyers Group
Middle East

Karim Kari

Khaled Goussanem
Goussanem & Aloui Law
Firm

Mehdi Berbagui
Cabinet d'Avocats Mehdi
Berbagui

**Mohamed Amin
Kheireddine**
Ligue Algérienne des
Droits de L'Homme

Said Hellal

Salima Aloui
Goussanem & Aloui Law
Firm

Yaya Farouk

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Angola

Adelino Naquarta
ADN - Advogados

**Adolfo Anibal Pinho
Fáulho Rasoilo**

Adriano Gaspar
Ministério da Acção Social,
Família e Promoção da
Mulher

**António Cardoso Dias
dos Santos**
Associação dos
Assistentes Sociais-Angola
Organizacional

**Armindo Moisés Kasesa
Chimuco**
AMKC Prestação de
Serviços SU Limitada

Barros Gaspar Simão
BCSA Advogados

Eduardo Afonso

Elsa Tchicanha
BCSA Advogados

**Ernestina da Graça
Francisco**

Eurico Ndando

Gilberto Pelinganga

Islândia Ribeiro

Orlando Buta
AVM - Advogados e
Associados

Vicente Pongolola
CVP-Sociedade de
Advogados, RL.

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Antigua and Barbuda

Damien O. Benjamin
Anaheim Investment
Group Services Limited

Gaye Hechme
Island Living Investment
Services Ltd.

Hugh Marshall
Marshall & Co.
Attorneys-at-Law

Jarid A. Hewlett
Watt, Dorsett & Co.

Kivine Knight-Edwards
May Knight Law Inc.

Tracy Benn-Roberts
TBR Conflict Management
and Legal Services

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Argentina

Adrian Fernando Otero
Fundación Esperanza
Patagonia de Sierra
Grande

Alberto Gonzalez Torres
Baker McKenzie

Alberto Justo Giles <i>Asociación de Abogados de Merlo</i>	Maria Eugenia Montero <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>	Greg Patmore <i>University of Sydney</i>	Gerhard Jarosch <i>International Association of Prosecutors</i>	Tavares K. LaRoda <i>Sunshine Holdings Ltd.</i>
Alejandro Falcó <i>Fundación Enlaces para el Desarrollo Sustentable</i>	María Paola Trigiani <i>Alfaro Abogados</i>	James Gillespie <i>University of Sydney</i>	Isabelle Pellech	Anonymous Contributors
Claudia Viviana Madies <i>Universidad Isalud</i>	Martin Langsam <i>Universidad Isalud</i>	Kate Burns <i>University of Technology</i>	Ivo Greiter <i>Greiter Pegger Kofler & Partners</i>	Bangladesh
Claudio Jesús Santagati <i>Defensoría General de Lomas de Zamora</i>	Mercedes Balado Bevilacqua <i>MBB Abogados</i>	Kate Eastman <i>New South Wales Bar Association</i>	Julian Feichtinger <i>Cerha Hempel Spiegelfeld Rechtsanwälte</i>	A. H. M. Belal Chowdhury <i>FM Consulting International</i>
Daniela Carrara <i>Universidad de Buenos Aires</i>	Mercedes Lorenzo <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>	Mary Anne Noone <i>La Trobe University</i>	Karl Stoeger <i>University of Graz</i>	A. S. M. Alamgir <i>Institute of Epidemiology, Disease Control and Research; Ministry of Health and Family Welfare</i>
Dante Graña <i>Fundación Avedis Donabedian Argentina</i>	Nicolas Soler	Mary E. Crock <i>University of Sydney</i>	Martin Reinisch <i>Brauneis Klauser Prändl Rechtsanwälte GmbH</i>	Abu Sayeed M. M. Rahman <i>United Hospital Ltd.</i>
Débora Eliana Fuhr <i>De Dios & Goyena</i>	Omar Eidelstein <i>LKEC Abogados</i>	Merrilyn Walton <i>University of Sydney</i>	Martin Risak <i>University of Vienna</i>	Ali Asif Khan <i>Hossain & Khan Associates</i>
Diego Silva Ortiz <i>Silva Ortiz, Alfonso, Pavic & Lounge</i>	Pablo Alejandro Pirovano <i>Pirovano & Bello Abogados</i>	Neil James <i>Australia Defence Association</i>	Rupert Manhart <i>Manhart Einsle Partner Rechtsanwälte</i>	Anisul Hassan <i>Syed Ishtiaq Ahmed & Associates</i>
Eduardo Alberto Muniagurria <i>JP O'Farrell Abogados</i>	Sandra Guillan <i>De Dios & Goyena</i>	Nicholas Cowdery <i>University of New South Wales; University of Sydney</i>	Thomas Frad <i>KWR Karasek Wietrzyk Rechtsanwälte</i>	Asif Nazrul <i>University of Dhaka</i>
Ernesto Marcelo Segal <i>Universidad de Buenos Aires</i>	Santiago Spadafora <i>Universidad Isalud</i>	Nicola McGarrity <i>University of New South Wales</i>	Thomas Hofmann <i>Pallas Hofmann Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaft</i>	Bilqis Amin Hoque
Federico Borzi Cirilli <i>Ceballos & Ceballos</i>	Sebastián Lyszyk <i>Silva Ortiz Alfonso Pavic & Lounge</i>	Peter Cashman <i>University of Sydney</i>	Walter Doralt <i>University of Graz</i>	Darras Abdullah <i>Tanjib Alam & Associates</i>
Francisco Clucellas <i>Organización de Servicios Directos Empresarios</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Peter Sainsbury	Walter Rabl <i>Medical University of Innsbruck</i>	Ferdausur Rahman <i>A.S. & Associates</i>
Guillermo Schor-Landman <i>Fundación Iberoamericana de Telemedicina</i>	Australia	Sean Cooney <i>The University of Melbourne</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Gazi Md Rokib Bin Hossain <i>The Legal Circle</i>
Humberto Federico Rios <i>Estudio Rios Lawyers</i>	Anne Cregan <i>Gilbert + Tobin</i>	Simon Rice <i>University of Sydney</i>	The Bahamas	Ibtida Farhat Tropa <i>A.S. & Associates</i>
Joaquin Emilio Zappa <i>JP O'Farrell Abogados</i>	Breen Creighton <i>RMIT University</i>	Sonia Allan <i>Deakin University</i>	Candice C. Ferguson <i>Baycourt Chambers</i>	Junayed Ahmed Chowdhury <i>Vertex Chambers</i>
Juan Martín Salvadores de Arzuaga <i>De Dios & Goyena</i>	Brendan Ashdown <i>John Toohey Chambers</i>	Terry Carney <i>University of Sydney</i>	Darcel Williamson <i>The Firm of Higgs and Johnson</i>	Khandaker Mashfique Ahmed <i>Rahman's Chambers</i>
Juan Vicente Sola <i>Estudio Sola</i>	David Gill <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Donna Harding-Lee <i>Harding-Lee & Company</i>	Masud Khan <i>Md Khademul Islam Choyon Sattar & Co.</i>
Lucila Escriña <i>Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal</i>	Esther Stern <i>Flinders University of South Australia</i>	Austria	G. Deon Thompson <i>Sturup Thompson & Associates</i>	Md Salequzzaman <i>The Legal Era; Lincoln's Inn</i>
María Eugenia Cantenys <i>Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal</i>	Fiona McDonald <i>Queensland University of Technology</i>	Christoph Bezemek <i>University of Graz</i>	Leah A. Rolle <i>University of the Bahamas</i>	Mir Shamsur Rahman <i>The University of Asia Pacific</i>
	G. D. Edouard Tursan d'Espaignet <i>University of Newcastle; University of New England</i>	Clarissa Nitsch <i>Binder Grösswang</i>	Rhyan A. A. Elliott <i>Higgs & Johnson</i>	Mohammad Rafiquel
	Grant Niemann	Clemens Egermann <i>Barnert Egermann Illigasch Attorneys at Law</i>	Sharanda C. Humes-Forbes	

Islam Chowdhury <i>M. R. I. Chowdhury & Associates</i>	Alena Salei <i>Belarus State University; Borovtsov & Salei</i>	Sergey Demianenko <i>Verkhovodko & Partners</i>	Jean-Marc Gollier <i>Eubelius Law Firm</i>	Wayne Piper <i>Musa & Balderamos LLP</i>
S. M. Abid Ur Rahman <i>Daudur Rahman Mina & Associates</i>	Alexander <i>RMOO Meeting</i>	Tatiana Ignatovskaya <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	Jerome Aubertin <i>Stibbe</i>	Anonymous Contributors
Sara Hossain <i>Bangladesh Legal Aid and Services Trust</i>	Alexander Nazarov	Vadzim Samaryn <i>Belarusian State University</i>	Nathalie Vandeveld <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Vanderveeren, Thys, Wauters & de Nys</i>	Benin
Sayeed Abdullah Al Mamun Khan <i>A.S. & Associates</i>	Alexander Solopov <i>Arzinger & Partners</i>	Valentina Komova (Ogarkova) <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	Patrick Goffaux <i>Université Libre de Bruxelles</i>	Adjowa Jacqueline Adabra <i>Association Femme Action pour le Développement de la Famille</i>
Shakina Akter <i>Rahman's Chambers</i>	Alexey Korol <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	Vasili Zavadski <i>TimeAct</i>	Patrick Henry <i>Barreau de Liège</i>	Agathe Affougnon Ago <i>Barreau du Bénin</i>
Tanim Hussain Shawon	Aliaksei Khvostsik <i>Centrum Praw Czwoliewka Viasna</i>	Vassili Salei <i>Borovtsov & Salei</i>	Philippe Colle <i>Vrije Universiteit Brussel</i>	Annick B. Nonohou <i>Reseau des Soignants Amis des Patients</i>
Tanvir Quader <i>Vertex Chambers</i>	Anastasia Morgun <i>Borovtsov & Salei</i>	Viacheslav Shestak <i>JSC Belvtorchermet</i>	Valerie Flohimont <i>University of Namur</i>	Charles Badou <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Charles Badou & Partners</i>
Tasmiah Nuhiya Ahmed	Anatoly Leshenok <i>People PLUS</i>	Vital Kalyada <i>VVK Litigation Squad</i>	Walter P. Verstrepen <i>Elegis Law Firm</i>	Chris Balogoun <i>Chris Balogoun Law Office</i>
Anonymous Contributors	Andrei Famenka <i>World Health Organization</i>	Vladimir Knyazev <i>Public Association Polygraphologist</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Elias Mahoulé Syné Guidi
Barbados	Antonina Ivanova	Yaraslau Halenchyk <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	Belize	Epiphanie F. Yelome Nassou <i>Université de Parakou</i>
Andrew Pilgrim <i>Pilgrim & Associates Attorneys-at-Law</i>	Artemev Sergej <i>Belarusian State University</i>	Yulia Shuba <i>Borovtsov & Salei</i>	Adler G. L. Waight <i>Barrow & Williams LLP</i>	Gisèle Adounlohoun Obognon
Chester L. Sue <i>Chester L. Sue & Co.</i>	Daria Sarbay <i>REVERA Law Firm</i>	Yury Razvodovsky <i>National Academy of Sciences</i>	Deshawn Arzu Torres <i>Mckoy Torres LLP</i>	Justine Françoise Houzanme <i>Réseau des ONG et Associations de Femmes contre la Féminisation du VIH-SIDA</i>
Esther Obiora Arthur <i>Rehoboth Law Chambers</i>	Dmitry Kovalchik <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Emil Arguelles <i>Arguelles & Company</i>	Luc Martin Hounkanrin <i>Barreau du Bénin</i>
Ivan Hugh Walters <i>Onesimus Legal Services</i>	Dmitry Petrovich Eduardovich	Belgium	Estevan Perera <i>Estevan Perera & Company LLP</i>	Nadine Dossou Sakponou <i>SCPA Robert M. DOSSOU</i>
Jaydene O. Thomas <i>Capital Law Chambers</i>	Dmitry Semashko <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	Ann Witters <i>Claeys & Engels</i>	Hector D. Guerra <i>Marine Parade Chambers LLP</i>	Ogoudjé César Guegni <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Charles Badou & Partners</i>
Kathy-A. Hamblin <i>Charlton Chambers</i>	Elena Sheleg <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	Anthony Poppe <i>Xirius Public Law Partners</i>	Lisette V. Staine <i>Barrow & Williams LLP</i>	Sossa Edmond Gbedo <i>Ministère de la Santé</i>
Margot Greene <i>Ellangowan Strathclyde St. Michael, Attorneys-at-Law</i>	Kirill Tomashevski <i>Belarusian State University; International University MITSO</i>	Christoph Van der Elst <i>Tilburg University</i>	Natalia Bevans <i>Legal Consultancy Firm of Bevans and Company Ltd.</i>	Valentin Akoha
Nicole Roachford	Ksenia Zhukovskaya <i>Verkhovodko & Partners</i>	Deirdre Carroll <i>Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati</i>	Oneyda Flores Piper <i>Law Office of Oneyda Flores Piper</i>	Yvon Detchenou
Tanya A. Hinds	Oksana Puchkovskaya <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	Freek Louckx <i>Universiteit Antwerpen - Vrije Universiteit Brussel</i>	Payal Bhojraj Ghanwani <i>Estevan Perera & Company LLP</i>	Anonymous Contributors
Anonymous Contributors	Saman Neharesh <i>Borovtsov & Salei</i>	Jean Bornet <i>Bornet & Associes</i>	Rodwell Williams <i>Barrow & Williams LLP</i>	Bolivia
Belarus		Jean Jacqmain <i>Université Libre de Bruxelles</i>	Victor Malcolm David Lizarraga	Andrea Nemer Sabag
Aleksandr Dergachov <i>Human Rights Center "Spring"</i>				Antonio Peres Velasco
Alena Hodanovich <i>Alternative</i>				

Arletta Añez	Dzeneta Omerdic <i>University of Tuzla</i>	Sanela Džanić <i>Joint Law Office Amela Pejdah & Sanela Džanić</i>	Tapiwa Boipelo Gachala <i>Osei-Ofei Swabi & Co.</i>	Daniel A. Dourado <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>
Carlos Gerke Siles <i>Estudio Jurídico Gerke, Soc. Civ.</i>	Emir Spaho <i>Law Office Spaho Ltd.</i>	Selma Mezetović Međić <i>University of Sarajevo</i>	Thuto Gaboipone Senwedi <i>Bookbinder Business Law</i>	Daniel Bushatsky <i>Advocacia Bushatsky</i>
Erika Pando	Fedja Dupovac <i>Law Office Spaho Ltd.</i>	Slaven Dizdar <i>Maric & Co. Law Firm</i>	Tshekiso Tshekiso <i>Tshekiso Ditiro & Jani Legal Practice</i>	Daniel de Pádua Andrade <i>Universidade Federal de Viçosa</i>
Ivan Cáceres Ibañez <i>Caceres & Asoc.</i>	Hana Korać <i>International University of Travnik; Ministry of Internal Affairs, Sarajevo Canton</i>	Tarik Prolaz	Tumalano Sekoto <i>Botswana-Harvard AIDS Institute Partnership</i>	Danilo Costa N. A. Leite
Ivan Lima Magne <i>Centro de Estudios de Justicia y Participación</i>	Harun Gadzo <i>Messer Tehnoplín Ltd.</i>	Vjekoslav Domljan <i>Centre for Regional Economic Studies</i>	Anonymous Contributors	David Braga Junior <i>Hospital dos Servidores Públicos do Estado de São Paulo</i>
Javier Mir Peña <i>Mir & Asociados Abogados Laborales</i>	Hodžić I. Edin	Zijad Dzafic <i>University of Tuzla</i>	Brazil	Diogo R. Coutinho <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>
Jorge Omar Mostajo Barrios <i>Universidad Mayor de San Andrés</i>	Indir Osmic	Zijad Hasić <i>Parliament Bosnia & Herzegovina</i>	Alexandre Fragoso Silvestre <i>Briganti Advogados</i>	Eduardo Pitrez de Aguiar Corrêa <i>Universidade Federal do Rio Grande</i>
Juan José Lima Magne <i>Centro de Estudios de Justicia y Participación</i>	Iur Amina Nikolajev <i>University of Sarajevo</i>	Zinka Grbo <i>University of Sarajevo</i>	Ana Clara Passos Presciliano <i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais</i>	Elival Da Silva Ramos <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>
Julio César Landívar Castro <i>Guevara & Gutiérrez S.C.</i>	Ivanka Marković <i>Univerzitet u Banjoj Luci</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Ana Paula de Barcellos <i>Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro</i>	Emílio Peluso Neder Meyer <i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais</i>
Nicolás Soliz Peinado <i>Salazar & Asociados</i>	Kanita Imamović-Čizmić <i>University of Sarajevo</i>	Botswana	Ana Paula Oliveira Avila <i>Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul</i>	Eraldo Silva Júnior <i>Defensoria Pública da União</i>
Pedro Barrientos <i>Universidad Nacional de Córdoba</i>	Lana Bubalo <i>University of Stavanger</i>	Abel Modimo <i>Modimo & Associates</i>	André de Melo Ribeiro <i>Dias Carneiro Advogados; Universidade de São Paulo</i>	Estêvão Mallet <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>
Raul A. Baldivia <i>Baldivia Unzaga & Asociados</i>	Law Office Spaho	Bugalo Maripe <i>University of Botswana</i>	Arthur de Almeida Lancellote Matias Lemos <i>Universidade Federal de Lavras</i>	Fabio Martins Di Jorge <i>Advocacia Di Jorge</i>
Rosario Baptista Canedo	Lejla Balic <i>University of Sarajevo</i>	Buhle Ncube	Carlos Ayres <i>Maeda, Ayres e Sarubbi Advogados</i>	Fabio Queiroz Pereira <i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais</i>
Sandra Salinas <i>C.R. & F. Rojas Abogados</i>	Mehmed Ganic <i>International University of Sarajevo</i>	Kwadwo Osei-Ofei <i>Osei-Ofei Swabi & Co.</i>	Carolina Bessa Ferreira de Oliveira	Fabio Ulhoa Coelho <i>Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo</i>
Sergio Reynolds Ruiz <i>Bufete Reynolds Legal Advice</i>	Midhat Izmirlija <i>University of Sarajevo</i>	Matseliso Grace 'Mota <i>Land Administration Authority</i>	Carolina Dzimidas Haber <i>Defensoria Pública do Estado do Rio de Janeiro</i>	Felipe Asensi <i>Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro</i>
Anonymous Contributors	Miraleme Porobic <i>Advokatska Kancelarija Porobic</i>	Moagi Moloi <i>Minchin & Kelly</i>	Carolina Giesbrecht Forte Korbage de Castro <i>Korbage de Castro Sociedade de Advocacia</i>	Fernanda Vargas Terrazas <i>Conselho Nacional de Secretarias Municipais de Saúde</i>
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Mirela Cokic-Dzinic <i>University of Tuzla</i>	Nako Bo Tebele <i>Ramalepa Attorneys</i>	Conrado Hübner Mendes <i>University of São Paulo</i>	Fernando Menezes <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>
Adnan Duraković <i>University of Zenica</i>	Mirjana Šarkinović <i>Attorney's Office Mirjana Šarkinović</i>	Neo Ann-Ruth Sekhobe <i>Rahim Khan & Company Attorneys</i>	Dandara Ramos <i>CIDACS - Fiocruz Bahia</i>	
Andrea Zubovic-Devedzic	Mirza Dzevdetbegovic <i>Joint Law Firm Kenan Elezovic and Mirza Dzevdetbegovic</i>	Olebile Daphney Muzila <i>Bookbinder Business Law</i>		
Bahrija Umihanic <i>University of Tuzla</i>	Nasir Muftic <i>University of Sarajevo</i>	Patrick I. Akhiwu <i>Pakmed Group</i>		
Boris Stojanovic	Nihad Sijerčić <i>Karanovic & Partners</i>	Piyush Sharma <i>Piyush Sharma Attorneys</i>		
Denis Pajić <i>University Džemal Bijedić</i>	Osman Sinanovic <i>University of Tuzla</i>	Shakila Khan <i>Khan Corporate Law</i>		
		Tachilisa Badala Balule <i>University of Botswana</i>		

Flávia Souza Máximo Pereira <i>Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto</i>	Maria Celina Bodin de Moraes <i>Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro; Rio de Janeiro State University</i>	Raquel Betty de Castro Pimenta <i>Tribunal Regional do Trabalho da 3ª Região</i>	Victor Hugo Criscuolo Boson <i>Universidade Federal do Sul da Bahia</i>	Vyara Veselinova Tomova <i>Penkov, Markov & Partners Law Firm</i>
Gabriel Alves da Costa <i>Shell Brasil Petróleo Ltda.</i>		Raquel Lima Scalcon <i>Alexander von Humboldt Foundation</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Anonymous Contributors
Gerson Luiz Carlos Branco <i>Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul</i>	Maria Clara Oliveira Santos <i>Universidade Federal de São João del-Rei</i>	Roberta de Freitas Campos <i>Fundação Oswaldo Cruz</i>	Bulgaria	Burkina Faso
Guilherme Bier Barcelos <i>Rossi, Maffini, Milman & Grando Advogados</i>	Maria Clara Versiani de Castro <i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais</i>	Rodrigo Ghiringhelli de Azevedo <i>Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul</i>	Delchev & Partners Law Firm	Abdoulaye Soma
Guilherme de Jesus France <i>Fundação Getulio Vargas</i>	Maria Fernanda Tourinho Peres <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>	Rodrigo Giordano de Castro <i>Peixoto & Cury Advogados</i>	Denitsa Rukanova <i>Anti-Corruption Fund Foundation</i>	Abdrmane Berthe <i>Université de Dédougou et Centre Muraz</i>
Heloisa Estellita <i>FGV Direito</i>	Mariana Alves Lara <i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais</i>	Rômulo Soares Valentini <i>FPL Educacional</i>	Desislava Anastasova <i>Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang LLP</i>	Aimée Djiguidé <i>Association Peace for All</i>
Henry Colombi <i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais</i>	Marta Rodriguez de Assis Machado <i>FGV Direito</i>	Ronaldo Lourenço Munhoz <i>Lourenço Munhoz Advogados Associados</i>	Gergana Ilieva <i>Sofia Bar Association</i>	Alexandre L. Daboné <i>Barreau du Burkina Faso; SCPA HOREB</i>
Jéssica Gomes da Mata <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>	Matheus C. Alcantara Viana <i>Viana e Azevedo Advogados</i>	Sara Carvalho Matanzaz <i>Ferreira de Melo Advogados</i>	Ivelina Vassileva <i>Schoenherr Attorneys at Law</i>	Apollinaire Joachimson Kyélem de Tambèla <i>Barreau du Burkina Faso</i>
João Augusto Gameiro <i>Trench Rossi e Watanabe Advogados</i>	Mauricio Faragone <i>Faragone Advogados</i>	Sergio Nelson Mannheimer <i>Mannheimer, Perez e Lyra Advogados</i>	Iveta Manolova <i>Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang LLP</i>	Arnaud Koulika Nikiema <i>Centre d'Études et de Recherche sur les TIC et la Cyberactivité</i>
José Ricardo dos Santos Luz Junior <i>Braga Nascimento e Zilio Advogados Associados</i>	Michael Freitas Mohallem <i>Fundação Getulio Vargas</i>	Sheila Neder Cerezetti <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>	Jean F. Crombois <i>American University in Bulgaria</i>	Baimanai Angelain Poda <i>Université Ouaga II</i>
Konstantin Gerber <i>Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo</i>	Nina Ranieri <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>	Soraia Ghassan Saleh <i>Saleh Advogados Associados</i>	Jenia Dimitrova <i>Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang LLP</i>	Bannitouo Some
Leandro Bonini Farias <i>Coutinho e Farias Sociedade de Advogados</i>	Ordélio Azevedo Sette <i>Azevedo Sette Advogados</i>	Sueli Gandolfi Dallari <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>	Lachezar Raichev <i>Penkov, Markov & Partners Law Firm</i>	Bernard Ilboudo <i>Ministère de la Santé</i>
Lenir Santos <i>Instituto de Direito Sanitário Aplicado</i>	Paula Rocha Gouvêa Brener <i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais</i>	Teresa Ancona Lopez <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>	Lucia F. Miree <i>American University in Bulgaria</i>	Boubacar Nacro <i>Center Hospital University Sourou Sanou</i>
Ligia Bahia <i>Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro</i>	Paulo Rogério Sehn <i>Trench Rossi e Watanabe Advogados</i>	Thiago Bottino <i>FGV Direito</i>	Maya Aleksandrova <i>Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang LLP</i>	Boubakar Toure <i>Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo</i>
Luciano Feldens <i>Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul</i>	Paulo Sergio João <i>Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo</i>	Thiago Lopes Cardoso Campos <i>Instituto de Direito Sanitário Aplicado</i>	Momyana Guneva <i>Burgas Free University</i>	Etienne Seni <i>Cabinet Futurjuris</i>
Marcia Vilapiano Gomes Primos <i>Primos e Primos Advocacia</i>	Rachelle Balbinot <i>IMED</i>	Valeria Penna <i>Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro</i>	Nikolai Hristov <i>Medical University of Sofia</i>	Hama A. Diallo <i>Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo</i>
Márcio Souza Guimarães <i>Márcio Guimarães Advogados</i>	Rafael Villac Vicente de Carvalho <i>Peixoto & Cury Advogados</i>	Vanessa Chiari Gonçalves <i>Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul</i>	Pavel Petkov	Hamidou Lamoussa Ouattara <i>Societe Civile Professionnelle d'Avocats Loyalty</i>
			Petko Salchev <i>National Center of Public Health and Analyses</i>	Harouna Kadio
			Stanley B. Gyoshev <i>University of Exeter</i>	Hervé B. N. Kpoda <i>Centre MURAZ</i>
			Victor Gugushev <i>Gugushev & Partners Law Office</i>	Hien Ollor Larousse
				Irène Victoria Nebie <i>Societe d'Avocats Horeb</i>

Issoufou Tiendrebeogo <i>AAS Burkina</i>	Vichuta Ly <i>Legal Support for Children and Women</i>	Noé Momha <i>Groupement Inter-Patronal du Cameroun</i>	Michel W. Drapeau <i>University of Ottawa</i>	Jorge Bofill Genzsch <i>Bofill Escobar Silva Abogados</i>
K. Paulin Somda <i>Ministère de la Santé</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Oscar Tsamayem Dongkeu	Steven Barrett <i>Goldblatt Partners</i>	Jorge Canales G. <i>Kennedys Law</i>
Kalhoulé Ousmane Alexandre	Cameroon	Polycarp Forkum <i>United Nations Organisation Stabilization Mission</i>	Thomas A. Cromwell <i>Borden Ladner Gervais LLP</i>	Juan Ignacio Chamorro Sepúlveda <i>AMLV Abogados</i>
Kassem Salam Sourwema <i>Université Ouaga II</i>	Alain Bruno Woumbou Nzetchie	Roland Abeng <i>The Abeng Law Firm</i>	Titilola Ayotunde-Rotifa	Juan Pablo Cox Leixelard <i>Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez</i>
Leticia Sauret-Sakana <i>Centre MURAZ</i>	Asibong Queenta <i>The Abeng Law Firm</i>	Tarh Besong Frambo <i>The Global Citizen's Initiative</i>	Ulisce Desmarais <i>Desmarais Desvignes Crespo</i>	Luis Felipe Hubner <i>UH&C Abogados</i>
Mahamadou Barro <i>Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo</i>	Buokejung Nsen Abeng <i>Civitas Cameroon</i>	Zakariaou Njoumemi <i>Development Results Group; Université de Yaoundé I</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Luis Parada <i>DLA Piper</i>
Monique Ilboudo	Charles Harold Kooh <i>The Abeng Law Firm</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Chile	Manuel Jimenez Pñingsthorn <i>Jara del Favero & Ried Fabres</i>
Patrick G. Ilboudo <i>Agence de Medecine Preventive</i>	Eleanor Mah Asaa <i>Rights Aid for Women</i>	Alexander Crizzle <i>University of Saskatchewan</i>	Alvaro Mendoza <i>Aylwin Mendoza Luksic & Valencia</i>	Manuel Jose Fernandez Barros
S. Ibrahim Guitanga <i>Barreau du Burkina Faso; SCPA HOREB</i>	Epanty Mbanda <i>D. Moukouri & Partners Law Firm</i>	Canada	Andrea Abascal <i>Jara del Favero & Ried Fabres</i>	Marcelo Alfredo Villalón Calderón <i>Universidad de Chile</i>
Simon Kabore <i>Réseau Accès aux Médicaments Essentiels</i>	Gbaka Ernest Acho <i>Gbaka & Co.</i>	Amir Attaran <i>University of Ottawa</i>	Carlos Ossandon Salas <i>Eluchans y Compañía Abogados</i>	María Inés Horvitz Lennon <i>Universidad de Chile</i>
Souleymane Tassembedo <i>Centre MURAZ</i>	Henry Usim Kalle	Brian Langille <i>University of Toronto</i>	Caterina Guidi Moggia <i>Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez</i>	María Isabel Cornejo Plaza <i>Universidad de Chile</i>
Ter Tiero Elias Dah <i>Centre MURAZ</i>	Hyacinthe Fansi <i>Ngassam, Fansi & Mouafo Avocats Associés</i>	Brooks Arcand-Paul <i>Indigenous Bar Association in Canada</i>	Cristóbal Bonacic M. <i>Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile</i>	Martín Besio Hernández <i>Universidad Diego Portales</i>
Tiendrebeogo T. I. Stephane	Isidore Baudouin Ndzana <i>Cabinet ISN Consultant</i>	Carolyn Greene <i>Athabasca University</i>	Debora Espinoza Elo	Michele Daroch Sagredo <i>Abdala & Cía.</i>
Anonymous Contributors	J. J. Claude Siewe <i>Siewe & Partners Law Firm</i>	Daniel M. Campbell <i>Cox & Palmer</i>	Edmundo Varas Karmy <i>Morales & Besa</i>	Omar Morales Montt y Cía. Abogados
Cambodia	Jasmine Diane Silabing Tcheunguo Epse Ouethy <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Meuke</i>	Dwight Newman <i>University of Saskatchewan</i>	Fernando Jamarne <i>Alessandri Attorneys at Law</i>	Patricio Morales Aguirre <i>Pérez Donoso Estudio Jurídico</i>
Chak Sopheap <i>Cambodian Center for Human Rights</i>	Joseph Ngoupayo <i>Université de Yaoundé I</i>	Fabien Gélinas <i>McGill University</i>	Fernando Lolas Stepke <i>Universidad de Chile</i>	Ramon Garcia Odgers <i>Universidad Católica de Concepcion</i>
Fil B. Tabayoyong, Jr. <i>Pannasastra University of Cambodia</i>	Laurence Idelette Djeutchou Mouafo <i>NFM Avocats Associés</i>	Gaynor Roger Shibley Righton LLP	Fernando Maturana Crino <i>Eyzaguirre y Cía., Abogados</i>	Raúl Novoa Galán
Kimheang Long	Michel Antoine Mben Kanga <i>NFM Avocats Associés</i>	Graham J. Reynolds <i>University of British Columbia</i>	Gabriela Novoa <i>Red de Salud UC CHRISTUS</i>	Ricardo Lillo <i>Universidad Diego Portales</i>
Sereyrath Kiri <i>Husky & Partners</i>	Nadine Bethmba Yanou	Jabeur Fathally <i>Université d'Ottawa</i>	Gonzalo Hoyl Moreno <i>Hoyl Alliende & Cía. Abogados</i>	Ricardo Reveco Urzúa <i>Carey Law Firm</i>
Sophea Im <i>Integrated Solutions & Development Consulting</i>	Njang Simon Enow <i>MBI Nchenge Law Chambers</i>	Karen Busby <i>University of Manitoba</i>	Humberto A. Sánchez Pacheco <i>Proyecto Inocentes Defensoría Penal Pública</i>	
Sopheak Loeung <i>BNG Legal</i>	Njini Futrih Ngong Rose <i>Regional Hospital Bamenda</i>	Léo Fugazza		

Zarko Luksic Sandoval <i>AMLV Abogados</i>	Claudia Maria Velez Velez <i>Universidad de Medellin</i>	Martha Peñuela <i>Universidad del Norte</i>	Christian Bahati Bahalaokwibuye <i>Marcalex Law Firm; Université Catholique de Bukavu et Avocat</i>	Hugues Ngoy Nsenga <i>Division de la Santé du Haut Katanga</i>
Anonymous Contributors	David F. Varela <i>Agencia Norteamericana de Desarrollo Internacional; Banco Inter-Americano de Desarrollo; Banco Mundial</i>	Patricia Moncada Roa <i>Universidad de los Andes</i>	Christian Luzombe <i>Société Civile Force Vive de la RDC</i>	Huguette Sesepe
China		Patricia Vergara <i>Gómez - Pinzón Abogados S.A.S.</i>	Clement Shamashanga Minga <i>Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique</i>	Jacques Djoli <i>Université de Kinshasa</i>
He Qingjie <i>Yunnan Provincial Health Development Research Center</i>	Eduardo Cardenas <i>Dentons Cardenas & Cardenas</i>	Rafael H. Gamboa Bernate <i>Data & Tic Consultores</i>	Coco Koyalua	Jean Claude Ngoy Simbi <i>Direction du Programme Élargi de Vaccination de la RDC</i>
Jianhong Liu <i>University of Macau</i>	Elizabeth Castillo Castillo	Raúl Alberto Suárez Arcila	Dan Nshokano Kashironge <i>MERCALEX - Legal and Business Consulting Firm</i>	Jean Kankolongo Senga Tumiladi
Kaiming Liu <i>The Institute of Contemporary Observation</i>	Enrique Alvarez <i>Lloreda Camacho & Co.</i>	Ricardo Posada Maya <i>Universidad de los Andes</i>	Emmanuel Kabupwe <i>Cabinet Emery Mukendi Wafwana</i>	Jean Michel Kumbu Ki Mgingi <i>Université de Kinshasa</i>
Li Xinfeng <i>Jiangsu Dongyin Law Firm</i>	Fernando Arteaga Suárez <i>Universidad del Valle</i>	Román Restrepo Villa <i>Universidad de Antioquia</i>	Déogratias Nyombo Busangu <i>Réseau Nationale des ONG pour le Développement de la Femme</i>	Jean Michel Mvondo <i>Réseau d'Education Civique au Congo</i>
Anonymous Contributors	Guillermo Hernando Bayona Combariza	Anonymous Contributors	Emmanuel Kabupwe <i>Cabinet Emery Mukendi Wafwana</i>	Jean Paul Habibu Safari
Colombia	Gustavo Quintero Navas <i>Asesores Juridicos y Consultores Empresariales S.A.S.</i>	Congo, Dem. Rep.	Fabrice Konzi Yembamo Siambo <i>Journalisme Libre en Afrique</i>	Jean Pierre Ostchudi Omanga <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Otschudi Omanga and Associates</i>
Abelado De La Espriella <i>De la Espriella Lawyers Enterprise</i>	Hernando Nieto <i>Asociación Colombiana de Salud Pública</i>	Adolphe Kilomba Sumaili <i>Congolese Centre of Transitional Justice</i>	Freddy Mulamba Senene <i>Centre d'Arbitrage du Congo</i>	Jean-Paul Divengi Nzambi <i>Fondation Bongisa Mokili</i>
Alba Yaneth Rincón Méndez <i>Universidad Industrial de Santander</i>	Ignacio Santamaría <i>Lloreda Camacho & Co.</i>	Adonis Bope Ngoloshanga <i>RCP/Médias ASBL</i>	Genèse Bibi <i>Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique</i>	Joseph Antoine Ngoto Ngoie Ngalingi <i>Université de Kisangani</i>
Alfonso Plana Bodén <i>ARI Consulting Group S.A.S.</i>	Jaime Lombana Villalba <i>Jaime Lombana Villalba & Abogados</i>	Alain M. Iyeti <i>Direction d'Etudes et Planification du Ministère de la Santé</i>	Grace Beda Mbazi <i>Université de Goma</i>	Joseph Kayembe Mutamba
Angela Maria Ruiz Sternberg <i>Universidad del Rosario</i>	Jairo Humberto Restrepo Zea <i>Universidad de Antioquia</i>	Balingene Kahombo <i>Université de Goma</i>	Grâce Muwawa	Laurent Okamo Okuma <i>Okuma Law Office</i>
Bernardo Carvajal Sanchez <i>Bernardo Carvajal & Asociados</i>	Joe Bonilla Gálvez <i>Muñoz Tamayo & Asociados Abogados S.A.S.</i>	Benjamin Ifeka Momponza Bertin Boki Ezabu <i>Droits Pour Tous ASBL</i>	Gustave Booloko N'Kelly <i>Fondation Tohangî pour le Développement</i>	Lebrun Kembo Nsayi <i>Fondation Bongisa Mokili</i>
Camilo Torres-Serna <i>Universidad Santiago de Cali</i>	Jorge Acosta-Reyes <i>Universidad del Norte</i>	Beya Siku <i>Université de Kinshasa</i>	Gustave Kingoma <i>Barreau de Kinshasa-Gombe</i>	Marcellin Lebon Kalera <i>Barreau de Goma; Université de Goma</i>
Carlos Andrés Gómez González <i>Universidad Jorge Tadeo Lozano</i>	Jorge Enrique Galvis Tovar <i>Lloreda Camacho & Co.</i>	Bienfait Uwimana <i>Université de Goma</i>	Guy Loando Mboyoy <i>GLM & Associates</i>	Marie Nyombo Zaina <i>Réseau Nationale des ONG pour le Développement de la Femme</i>
Carlos Arturo Toro López	Luis Alberto Tafur Calderón <i>Universidad del Valle</i>	Bruno Lapika Dimomfu <i>Université de Kinshasa</i>	Hector Lubamba Ngimbi <i>Barreau de Kinshasa-Gombe</i>	Moïse Abdou Muhima <i>Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique; Université de Kinshasa</i>
Carlos M. Molina Arrubla <i>Molina Diaz & Abogados</i>	Luisa Fernanda Cuéllar Ramírez <i>Rama Judicial- Seccional Caquetá</i>	Cédric Mayuba Mokangani <i>Cour Constitutionnelle de la République Démocratique du Congo; Université de Kinshasa</i>	Nicaise Chikuru Munyigwarha <i>Chikuru & Associés</i>	
Carolina Fernandez Gomez	Marcela Castro Ruiz <i>Universidad de los Andes</i>	Charles-Mugagga Mushizi Bashushana <i>Centre d'Echanges pour des Réformes Juridiques et Institutionnelles</i>		

Patrick Nsimba Mata
Club des Amis Damien

Paul Kabongo

Rachel Kangila Kamesa
*Centre de Recherches
et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de
Droit en Afrique*

**Rodino Tshibuyi
Mbuyandayi**

Roger Kabeya
*Barreau de
Kinshasa-Gombe*

Roger Mukendi M.
*Réseau Nationale
des ONG pour le
Développement de la
Femme*

**Roger Mulamba
Katamba**
*Cabinet d'Avocats RMK &
Associés*

**Samy Samutondi
Ikomba**
*Conseil Supérieur de la
Magistrature; Université
de Kinshasa*

**Symphorien Kapinga K.
Nkashama**
*Centre de Recherches
et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de
Droit en Afrique*

**Thérèse Mambu Nyangi
Mondo**
Université de Kinshasa

**Toussaint Kwambamba
Bala**
*Barreau de Kinshasa-
Matete; University of
Bandundu; Université
Catholique du Congo*

Vianney Kanku
*Action Contre l'Impunité
pour les Droits Humains*

**Yves-Junior Lumingu
Manzanza**
Université de Kikwit

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Costa Rica

Alexa Narváez Arauz
Lexincorp

Alvaro Aguilar
Aguilar Castillo Love

Armando Guardia
Guardia & Cubero

Arnoldo Andre Tinoco
Lexincorp

**Benjamin Gutierrez
Contreras**
*BG&A Abogados
Corporativos*

Carlos H. Pacheco
AB&P Abogados

**Carmen Zúñiga
Quesada**
GLC Abogados

Daniela Durán Azofeifa
Aselecom Abogados

Enrique López Jiménez
Dentons

Ewald Acuña Blanco
Bufete Acuña & Asociados

**Federico Morales
Chaves**
Central Law

**Francisco José Aguilar
Urbina**
*Comité de Derechos
Humanos*

**J. Federico Campos
Calderón**
*Lexpenal Abogados;
Universidad de Costa Rica*

José Antonio Muñoz
Dentons Muñoz

**Kendall David Ruiz
Jiménez**
Aselecom Abogados

Luis González Aguilar
Universidad de Costa Rica

**María del Rocío Quirós
Arroyo**
Bufete AG Legal

**Rafael Quirós
Bustamante**
Central Law

**Rafael Rodriguez
Salazar**
La Firma de Abogados CR

**Ramón María Yglesias
Piza**
*Bufete Mora, Yglesias &
Asociados*

**Randall Madrigal
Madrigal**
*Colegio de Farmacéuticos
de Costa Rica*

Rodrigo Oreamuno

Rubén Hernández Valle
Universidad de Costa Rica

Sergio Amador Hasbun
Batalla Legal

Susana Fallas Barboza
Aselecom Abogados

Tomás Quirós Jiménez
Central Law

**Victoria van Ginkel
Mourello**
Bufete Guardia & Cubero

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Cote d'Ivoire

Aliou Niangadou

Arsene Dable

**Bernice N'Guessan and
Cynthia N'Guessan**

**Diallo Sissoko
Genevieve**
*Réseau Paix et Sécurité
des Femmes de l'Espace*

Eric Bably
BK & Associés

**Fotienworo Mathias
Coulibaly**
*Société d'Avocats
Jurisfortis*

**Geraldine
Odehour-Koudou**
Barreau de Côte d'Ivoire

**Jean-Louis Flaubert
Lobe**
*Institut International de la
Haute Pratique du Droit*

Jules Gotre
Amepouh

Kignaman Soro
KS & Associés

**Kouame Yves Roland
Yao**
Roy International

Paterne Mambo
*Université Félix
Houphouët-Boigny*

Patrice K. Kouassi

Pierre Diavatche
*Ordre des Avocats de Côte
d'Ivoire*

Séhéna-Dramane
*Cabinet d'Avocats Hivat et
Associés*

Séraphin Nene
Université de Bouaké

Simone Assa-Akoh
*Association des Femmes
Juristes de Côte d'Ivoire*

Souleymane Sakho
*Scpa Sakho-Yapobi-Fofana
& Associés*

**Tigby Junior Franck
Wilfried**
Ivoire-Juriste

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Croatia

Alan Bosnar
University of Rijeka

Alan Soric
*Soric & Tomekovic Dunda
Attorneys*

Anita Krizmanić
*Macesic & Partners Law
Offices*

Arsen Bacic
*Croatian Academy of
Science and Arts*

Boris Kozjak
Boris Kozjak Law Firm

Boris Šavorić
Šavorić & Partners LLC

Branko Smerdel
University of Zagreb

Darko Jurišić
*General Hospital
"Dr. J. Benčević"*

Floriana Bulić-Jakuš
University of Zagreb

Ivana Manovelo
*Macesic & Partners Law
Offices*

Ivo Grga

Mario Krka

Marko Borsky

Marko Lovrić
Marko Lovrić Law Office

Martina Kolar

Rudolf Gregurek
University of Zagreb

Tomislava Furcic
*Law Office Tomislava
Furcic*

Višnja Drenški Lasan
*Law Firm Višnja Drenški
Lasan*

Zlata Đurđević
University of Zagreb

Zoran Vukic
Vukic & Partners Law Firm

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Czech Republic

Arkady Alexandrov

Daniel Bartoň

Jan Hurdík
Masaryk University

Jan Poláček
*Poláček, Tryznová & Prud-
lová, Attorneys at Law*

Jiří Andryšek

Jitka Kadlcikova
*Schoenherr Attorneys at
Law*

Juraj Juhás
Glatzová & Co. s.r.o.

Lukáš Prudil
AK PRUDIL a spol., s.r.o.

Michal Peškar

Pavel Holec
*Holec, Zuska & Partneri
s.r.o.*

Radek Matouš
*Eversheds Sutherland
Dvořák Hager*

Simona Stočesová
*University of West
Bohemia*

Stepan Holub
Holubova Advokati s.r.o.

Tomas Cihula
Kinstellar

Tomáš Láška <i>KF Legal</i>		Jefrey Lizardo <i>Instituto Tecnológico de Santo Domingo</i>	Trajano Vidal Potentini A. <i>Fundación Justicia y Transparencia</i>	Gustavo Ortega Trujillo <i>Ortega Abogados & Asociados</i>
Tomas Matejovsky <i>Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang LLP</i>	Dominica	Charlotte Jeremy-Cuffy <i>Crossroads Centre</i>	Virgilio A. Mendez Amaro <i>Mendez & Asociados</i>	James Pilco Luzuriaga <i>Universidad del Azuay</i>
Veronika Prudlová <i>Poláček, Tryznová & Prudlová, Attorneys at Law</i>	Gina J. Abraham <i>Norde and Lambert Chambers</i>	Jesus Feris-Iglesias <i>Fundación Dominicana de Infectología, Inc.</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Jamil Castro Solorzano
Vojtech Steininger <i>Hartmanova & Steininger</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Juan Manuel Suero <i>Aaron Suero & Pedersini</i>	Anonymous Contributors	José Antonio Bustamante Salvador <i>Bustamante & Bustamante Law Firm</i>
Zuzana Candigliota	Dominican Republic	Juan Vizcaíno Canario <i>AbogadoSDQ</i>	Ecuador	José Luis Tapia
Anonymous Contributors	Alberto A. Biaggi Dimitri <i>Biaggi Attorneys at Law</i>	Julio Cesar De la Rosa Tiburcio <i>Alianza Dominicana Contra la Corrupción</i>	Alberto Vivanco Aguirre <i>González Peñaherrera & Asociados Abogados</i>	Jose Ontaneda <i>Ontaneda & Posso Abogados</i>
Denmark	Alfredo Lachapel <i>Lachapel Toribio - Abogados, S.R.L.</i>	Kelvin W. Herrera <i>Escuela de Formación y Capacitación Ciudadana</i>	Alexis Noboa Arregui <i>Cevallos & Noboa Estudio Jurídico</i>	Juan Carlos Riofrío Martínez-Villalba <i>Universidad de los Hemisferios</i>
Anne Brandt Christensen <i>Advokatfirmaet Brandt Christensen</i>	Arismendi Díaz Santana	Loraine Maldonado <i>Mesa Abogados</i>	Alfredo G. Brito <i>Brito & Pinto</i>	Juan José Campaña del Castillo <i>Larrea y Asociados</i>
Christian Bay Nielsen <i>STORM Advokatfirma</i>	Carlos Alfredo Sosa De La Cruz <i>Universidad Autónoma de Santo Domingo; Oficina Nacional de Estadísticas</i>	Manuel Alejandro Ruiz Arias	Ana Belen Posso Fernandez <i>Ontaneda & Posso Abogados</i>	Julio Enrique Neira García <i>Colectivo Feminista Tejido Diverso</i>
Christian Bentz <i>LIND Advokataktieselskab</i>	Denny E. Díaz Mordán <i>Universidad Nacional Pedro Henríquez Ureña</i>	Manuel Colomé <i>Hospital Pediátrico Dr. Hugo Mendoza</i>	Bryan Abdón Mendoza Muñoz <i>Universidad Laica Eloy Alfaro de Manabí</i>	Lucía Andrade Martínez <i>Asociación de Municipalidades Ecuatorianas</i>
Dan B. Geary <i>Bech-Bruun Law Firm</i>	Elisabetta Pedersini <i>Aaron Suero & Pedersini</i>	María Esther Fernández A. De Pou <i>Raful Sicard Polanco & Fernández</i>	Carlos Carrasco Yépez <i>AC Abogados & Consultores</i>	Luis Ponce Palacios <i>Estudio Jurídico Quevedo & Pone</i>
Hans Henrik Edlund <i>Aarhus University</i>	Fabiola Medina Garnes <i>Medina Garrigó Abogados</i>	Mary Fernández Rodríguez <i>Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernández</i>	Ciro Pazmino Zurita <i>P&P Abogados</i>	Marcelo Proaño Paredes <i>Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador</i>
Jacob Schall Holberg <i>Bech-Bruun Law Firm</i>	Fernando J. Jiménez <i>Puello Herrera Oficina de Abogados & Notaría</i>	Rafael Manuel Lamarche <i>Fundación Dominicana contra el Mal de Parkinson</i>	Claudia Storini <i>Universidad Andina Simón Bolívar</i>	Mario I. Armendáriz Y. Armendáriz & Andino Abogados
Jakob S. Johnsen <i>HjulmandKaptain</i>	Fernando Roedan <i>Roedan & Asociados</i>	Roberto Medina Reyes <i>Jorge Prats Abogados & Consultores</i>	Diego Almeida-Guzman <i>Almeida Guzman & Asociados Law Firm</i>	Mary Cabrera Paredes <i>Sendas</i>
Jannik Haahr Antonsen <i>Codex Advokater P/S</i>	Georges Santoni Recio <i>Russin Vecchi & Heredia Bonetti</i>	Rodolfo Mesa <i>Mesa Abogados</i>	Elizabeth Grijalva Yerovi Fernando Sacoto <i>Ecuadorian Public Health Society</i>	Miguel Ángel Gavilánez Guerrero <i>Universidad Estatal de Bolívar</i>
Jens Rye-Andersen <i>Advokatfirmaet Eurojuris Aalborg</i>	Gianna D`Oleo <i>Doleo Consulting</i>	Rosa Ypania Burgos Minaya <i>Universidad Autónoma de Santo Domingo</i>	Francisco Dávalos Morán <i>González Peñaherrera & Asociados Abogados</i>	Pablo Andino Fiallos <i>Armendáriz & Andino Abogados</i>
John Brodersen <i>University of Copenhagen</i>	Gilbert M. De la Cruz Álvarez <i>Universidad Nacional Pedro Henríquez Ureña</i>	Smerly Rodriguez Jimenez <i>Fundación Justicia y Transparencia</i>	Gerardo Aguirre Vallejo <i>Estudio Juridico Vivanco & Vivanco</i>	Ramiro Echeverria Tapia <i>Universidad San Francisco de Quito</i>
Kim Trenskow <i>Kromann Reumert</i>	Henry Montás R. <i>Montas Abogados</i>	Stalin Ciprián <i>Ciprián Arriaga & Asocs.</i>	Gustavo Arrobo Moncayo <i>González Peñaherrera & Asociados Abogados</i>	Renato Enríquez M. FEXLAW
Marianne Granhøj <i>Kromann Reumert</i>	Iván A. Cunillera Alburquerque <i>William Cunillera & Asociados</i>	Teresa Mártez		
Poul Hvilsted <i>Horten Law Firm</i>	Jaime M. Senior Fernández <i>Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernández</i>			
Trine Binderup <i>ADVODAN Aalborg A/S</i>				
Anonymous Contributors				

Rosa Cecilia Baltazar Yucailla
Movimiento Indígena y Campesina de Tungurahua

Santiago Salazar Intriago
Sempertegui Abogados

Santiago Solines Moreno
Solines & Asociados Abogados

Sylvia Bonilla Bolaños
Comisión Ecuémica de Derechos Humanos

Tatiana Villacres
Quantics Consulting Group

Anonymous Contributors

Egypt

Ahmed Ramadan
Shalakany Law Office

Ayman Sultan Muhammad
Ayman Sultan Law Firm

Bassem S. Wadie
Urology and Nephrology Center

Hadir Helal Farghaly
Open Chance & Associates, Dr. Helal Farghaly Law Firm

Ibrahim Ahmad
Ain Shams University

Khaled El Shalakany
Shalakany Law Office

Mahmoud Onsy
New Giza University

Mamdooh Abdelhameed Abdelmottlep
Lotus Law Firm

Mohamed Abdelaal
Alexandria University

Randa Salaheldin Rezk
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Anonymous Contributors

El Salvador

Adán Araujo
Arias Law

Arturo Magaña
Alvarado y Alvarado Abogados

Benjamin Valdez Iraheta
Benjamin Valdez & Asociados

Camila Villafuerte
Romero Pineda & Asociados

Carlos Arturo Muyschondt
Muyschondt & Asociados

Carlos Enrique Castillo García
Romero Pineda & Asociados

Christian Bará Cousin
Bara Legal Corporation

David Claros
García & Bodán

David Osvaldo Toledo
Universidad Católica de El Salvador

Délmer Edmundo Rodríguez Cruz
Escuela Superior de Economía y Negocios

Diego Martín-Menjívar
Consortium Legal

Felix Canizales Valencia
Arias Law

Feridee Alabí
Romero Pineda & Asociados

Francisco Murillo
Central Law

Guillermo Alexander Parada Gámez
Universidad Centroamericana José Simeón Cañas

Ingrid Lizama

Israel Antonio Chinchilla Sánchez
Universidad Centroamericana José Simeón Cañas

Javier Enrique Alfaro Varela
Espino Nieto

José Eduardo Barrientos Aguirre
SBA, Firma Legal & Consultora, S.A. de C.V.

Jose Ernesto Sanchez Arias
Law

Jose Roberto Romero
Romero Pineda & Asociados

Josué Lemus
Arias Law

Kelly Beatriz Romero Rodríguez
Nassar Abogados

Marcella Romero
Dentons Muñoz

Mardoqueo Josafat Tóchez Molina
RSM El Salvador

Mariana Nochez Palacios
Arias Law

Oscar Torres Cañas
García & Bodán

Piero Antonio Rusconi Gutiérrez
Central Law

Porfirio Díaz Fuentes
DLM, Abogados, Notarios, Consultores

Rebeca Atanacio de Basagoitia
Escalón & Atanacio

Roberta Gallardo
Arias Law

Rommell Sandoval
I&D Consulting

Anonymous Contributors

Estonia

Aare Tark
Law Office TARK

Andres Vutt
University of Tartu

Ene Soop
Law Office Narlex

Gaabriel Tavits
University of Tartu

Hannes Küün
Law Office TARK

Jaanus Mägi
Magnusson

Kaja Põlluste
University of Tartu

Kari Käsper
Estonian Human Rights Centre

Madis Kiisa
Laus & Partners Law Office

Maksim Greinoman
Advokaadibüroo Greinoman & Co.

Margit Vutt
Supreme Court of Estonia

Merle Erikson
University of Tartu

Tanel Küün
Law Office TARK

Urmas Kukk
Law Firm Koch & Partners

Anonymous Contributors

Ethiopia

Abdurrahman Seid
Law Office of Abdurrahman Seid

Abebe Asamere Endale

Abiyou Girma Tamrat
Abiyou Girma Law Office

Abraham Zewdie Haile

Alebachew Birhanu Enyew
Bahir Dar University

Alemu Meheretu
Jimma University

Ameha Mekonnen Asfaw
Ameha Mekonnen & Associates Law Office

Berhane Ghebray Kahsay
Berhane Ghebray and Associates

Dessalegn Tigabu Haile
Bahir Dar University

Fikadu Asfaw Demissie
Fikadu Asfaw & Associates Law Office

Girma Kassa Kumsa
Arsi University

Guadie Sharew
Bahir Dar University

Hiruy Wubie Gebreegziabher
La Trobe University

Khalid Kebede Gelaw
Bahir Dar University

Kidist Sheferaw

Kumlachew Dagne
Kumlachew Dagne and Associates Law Office

Matias Girma
Matias Law Office

Mehari Redae
Addis Ababa University

Mekdem Belayneh
Mekdem Law Office

Mesfin Tafesse
Mesfin Tafesse & Associates

Rahel Alemayehu

Samrawit Behailu
Tameru Wondm Agegnehu Law Office

Selam Abere

Tameru Wondm Agegnehu
Tameru Wondm Agegnehu Law Office

Tamrat Assefa
Tamrat Assefa Liban Law Office

Tegegne Zergaw
Bahir Dar University

Temesgen Sisay Beyene
Bahir Dar University

Tsedey Girma Mengistu
Tameru Wondm Agegnehu Law Office

Tsehai Wada
Addis Ababa University

Wubshet Kassaw

Yihun Zeleke Mengesha
Bahir Dar University

Yodit Gurji Argaw
Fikadu Asfaw & Associates Law Office

Zewdu Mengesha
Bahir Dar University

Anonymous Contributors

Finland

Anna Hurmerinta-Haapää
University of Turku

Ari Miettinen
Fimlab Laboratories

Arja R. Aro
EduRes Consulting

Jaakko Salminen
University of Turku

Johanna Niemi
University of Turku

Johannes Lamminen
University of Turku

Jorma Saloheimo
Labour Court of Finland

Kimmo Nuotio
University of Helsinki

Lauri Railas
Railas Attorneys Ltd.

Markku Fredman
Fredman & Mansson Law Firm

Matti Ilmari Niemi
University of Eastern Finland

Matti Tolvanen
University of Eastern Finland

Mika J. Lehtimäki
Attorneys-at-Law TRUST

Mika Launiala
University of Eastern Finland

Milka Sormunen
University of Helsinki

Patrick Lindgren
Advocare Law Office

Raimo Isoaho
University of Turku

Raimo Lahti
University of Helsinki

Sakari Suominen
University of Turku

Tatu Hyttinen
University of Eastern Finland

Teuvo Pohjolainen
University of Eastern Finland

Tommi Koivistoinen
Asianajotoimisto Tuutti Oy

Tuomas Hupli
University of Turku

Vesa Annola
University of Vaasa

Walter Reinovich Vezikko
International Association of Arbitrators of Finland

Anonymous Contributors

France

Antoine Berthe
Barreau de Lille

Carlos Miguel Herrera
Université de Cergy-Pontoise

Christophe Chabrot
Lumière Université Lyon 2

Cyril Bloch
Aix-Marseille Université

Dominique Inchauspé
Cabinet Inchauspé & Remy

Elisabeth Grabli

Florence Debord
Lumière Université Lyon 2

Georges Sioufi
SRDB Law Firm

Guillaume Protière
Lumière Université Lyon 2

Isabelle Carbuccia
Ivch Law Firm

Juliette Chapelle
Cabinet Chapelle Avocat

Marie-Christine Cimadevilla
Cimadevilla Avocats

Monique Stengel

Nicole Stolowy
HEC Paris

Olivier Péan De Ponfily

Patrice Le Maigat
Université de Rennes 1

Philippe Marin
IMAVOCATS Law Firm

Samir Abdelly
Abdely & Chaary Law Firm

Sébastien Ducamp
Sesame Avocats

Soungalo Ouarza Goita

Véronique Tuffal-Nerson
Tuffal-Nerson Douarre et Associés

Yanick Alvarez-de Selding

Anonymous Contributors

The Gambia

Abdou A. Kante
Nova Scotia-Gambia Association

Aji Sainey Kah
The Gambia Public Utilities Regulatory Authority

Francois S. Mendy
Nova Scotia-Gambia Association

Loubna Farage
Farage Andrews Law Practice

Malick F. M'bai
Fajara Chambers

Maria Saine
Institute for Human Rights and Development in Africa

Momodou A. Bah
Hands on Care

Muhammed B. Sowe
Attorney General's Chambers; Ministry of Justice of The Gambia

Olymatou Cox
UNAIDS

Peter K. Mendy
A.J. Njie Law Chambers; University of the Gambia

Rachael Yvonne Mendy
RYM Legal Services

Sainey Bah
University of the Gambia

Satang Nabaneh
Law Hub Gambia

Anonymous Contributors

Georgia

Ana Chelidze
JSC Basisbank

Ana Rekhviashvili
Business Legal Bureau

Anna Arganashvili
Partnership for Human Rights

Dzabuli Danelia
GLCC Law Firm

George Gotsadze
Curatio International Foundation

Giorgi Begiashvili
Begiashvili & Co.

Giorgi Kldiashvili
Institute for Development of Freedom of Information

Grigol Gagnidze
Georgian Barristers & Lawyers International Observatory

Ivdity Chikovani
Curatio International Foundation

Ketevan Krialashvili
Taxpayers Union in Georgia

Lasha Gogiberidze
BGI Legal

Levan Avalishvili
Institute for Development of Freedom of Information

Levan Gotua
Begiashvili & Co.

Lina Ghvinianidze

Nata Kazakhashvili
Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

Natalia Geladze
GG Legal

Natia Katsitadze
Article 42 of the Constitution

Natia Skhvitaridze
National Center for Disease Control and Public Health

Nona Kurdovanidze
Georgian Young Lawyers' Association

Tamta Nutsbidze
Begiashvili & Co.

Anonymous Contributors

Germany

Alexander Putz
Putz und Partner

Anna Lindenberg

Beckmann-Koßmann

Carsten Momsen
Freie Universität Berlin

Christian Wolff
Schock Rechtsanwälte

Christina Reifelsberger
HessenChemie

Christoph Hexel
Heuking Kühn Lüer Wojtek

Christoph Lindner
Rechtsanwälte Dr. Lindner

Dirk Vielhuber
BG BAU

Friederike Lemme
Kanzlei Lemme

Gerhard Wegen
Glöss Lutz

Gernot A. Warmuth
Scheiber & Partner

Gregor Dornbusch
Baker McKenzie

Hauke Hagen
ProMINT - Tax & Legal

Henning Rosenau
Martin Luther University of Halle-Wittenberg

Hermann Bietz
Bietz Arbitration

Hermann Pünder
Bucerius Law School

Ingo Klaus Wamser
Rechtsanwalt Wamser

Jakob von Kirchbach
IMI Precision Engineering

Jessica Jacobi
Kliemt.Arbeitsrecht

Jürgen Nazarek Kanzlei Dr. Jürgen Nazarek	Thomas Jürgens Jürgens Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH	Nana Tawiah Okyir Ghana Institute of Management and Public Administration	Konstantinos P. Valmas-Vloutis Valmas-Vloutis Law Office	Anonymous Contributors
Kerstin Niethammer-Jürgens Jürgens Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH	Thomas Melletat Melletat - Rechtsanwälte	Nii Nortey Hanson-Nortey Aurum Institute Ghana	Kostoula Mazaraki Nomos Law Firm	Guatemala
Marc Seifert Hewlett Packard Enterprise	Volker V. Moers von Moers Lawyers	Peggy Addo Nobisfields	Magda Kapoti-Tazedaki Tazedakis Law Firm	Alexis Retana Organismo de Investigación Judicial de Costa Rica
Martin Nebeling Bird & Bird LLP	Wibke Köppler Kanzlei Oelmüller & Partner GbR	Samuel Alesu-Dordzi ENSafrica	Marialena Papachristou Marialena Papachristou Law Firm	Álvaro V. L. Marroquín Carrillo & Asociados
Martin Reufels Heuking Kühn Lüer Wojtek	Wolf Stahl ADA Cosmetics Holding GmbH	Tata Kosi Foliba Fugar & Company	Nigel Bowen-Morris Stephenson Harwood	Alfonso Carrillo M. Carrillo & Asociados
Martin Sträßer Sträßer Rehm Barfield	Anonymous Contributors	Theophilus Tawiah Nobisfields	Nikolaos Kondylis N. M. Kondylis & Partners Law Office	Alfonso Ortiz Natareno HP Abogados
Monika Hagen Rechtsanwaltskanzlei Hagen	Ghana	Yasmin Baba Sam Okudzeto & Associates	Panagiotis Gioulakos E-nomos Business Consultants	Alvaro R. Cordon Cordon, Ovalle & Associates
Nicola Kreutzer Kreutzer & Kreuzau Rechtsanwälte	Andrew Appau Obeng Stobe Law	Anonymous Contributors	Stavros Karageorgiou Karageorgiou & Associates Law Firm	Andrés Dubón Ruiz Comte & Font - Legalsa
Oliver Bolthausen DWF	Benedicta Akita Sam Okudzeto & Associates	Greece	Stelios Andreadakis Brunel University London	Angélica Lucía Aguilar Gutiérrez Universidad InterNaciones
Oliver Schellbach Schellbach Rechtsanwälte	Charlotte Osei Prime Attorneys	Alexios Athanassopoulos AA Law Firm	Vasileios Tetokas	Astrid J. Lemus Rodríguez
Othmar K. Traber Ahlers & Vogel Rechtsanwälte	Emmanuel Maurice Ankrah Kuukuaa Legal Consulting	Alexis Anagnostakis Anagnostakis Law Offices	Virginia Theodoropoulou	Daniel Arturo Marroquin Gracias A.D. Sosa & Soto
Rainer M. Hofmann Kanzlei im Hofhaus	Frank Owusu-Sekyere Korle Bu Teaching Hospital	Anthony G. Mavrides Ballas, Pelecanos & Associates L.P.C.	Xenophon Contiades Centre for European Constitutional Law - Themistocles and Dimitris Tsatsos Foundation	David Ernesto Chacón Estrada Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala
Roberto Kunz-Hallstein Rechtsanwälte Dr. Kunz-Hallstein	Franklin Glozah University of Ghana	Athina-Marina Christofilopoulou E-nomos Business Consultants	Yota Kremmida Hewlett Packard Enterprise	Diego Alejos Rivera Consortium Legal
Roland Gross Gross Rechtsanwälte	Halimah El-Alawa Abdul-Baasit Inusah, Inusah and Associates	Avagianou Melina Klimaka	Anonymous Contributors	Diego Ricardo Pérez Sandoval Integrum Law Firm
Sabine Barth Lange Brunner Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaft m.b.B.	Jerry Seyram Dei Sam Okudzeto & Associates	Dionyssis Balourdos National Centre for Social Research	Grenada	Dina Castro
Sebastian Reinsch Janke & Reinsch Rechtsanwälte	Kwame Owusu Agyeman University of Cape Coast	Eleni Kloukinioti Transparency International	Chevanev Charles Temple Stoke Chambers	Edson Lopez Integrum Law Firm
Silke Graeser	Mary Opare Central University Ghana	Fotini N. Skopouli Harokopio University	James Bristol Henry, Henry & Bristol	Emanuel Callejas A. Carrillo & Asociados
Stefan Huster Ruhr-University Bochum	Melisa Amarteifio Sam Okudzeto & Associates	Georgia Sitou Georgia Sitou Law Office	Joshua John Law Office of Evette John	Enrique Moller EY Law
Stephan Sander Terheddebrügge Sander	Nana Esi Aferba Ahlijah Ariel Avery Law	Grace Katsoulis Ballas, Pelecanos & Associates L.P.C.	Martin Forde St. George's University	Gabriel Arturo Muadi Garcia Muadi & Murga
Thomas Feltes CPT, Council of Europe; Ruhr-University Bochum		Ilias Anagnostopoulos Anagnostopoulos Law Office	Tanya K. Lambert Wilkinson, Wilkinson & Wilkinson	Harvey Alvarez HP Abogados
			Yurana Phillip Afi Ventour & Co.	

J. Guillermo Gándara Espino <i>Asociación Iberoamericana de Juristas del Derecho del Trabajo y la Seguridad Social</i>	Rodrigo Barillas <i>WINGS Guatemala</i>	Kekoura Kourouma <i>The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria</i>	Sarika Gajraj <i>Dentons Delany</i>	Jorge Arturo Reina Interiano <i>Bufete ECIJA Honduras</i>
Jorge Luis Rodas Garcia <i>Nexus Legal</i>	Sergio Alejandro Peña Mandujano <i>Corporacion FG Globalex</i>	Kpana Emmanuel Bamba <i>Ligue Guinéene des Droits de L'Homme</i>	Stephen Roberts <i>Hughes, Fields & Stoby</i>	Jorge Jesús Kawas Mejía <i>K&M Abogados, S.A.</i>
Jorge Mario Andrino Grotewold	Vilma Chavez De Pop <i>International Baby Food Action Network</i>	Lamine 1 Nabe <i>Barreau de Guinée</i>	Yaquelin Gonzalez Ricardo <i>Georgetown Public Hospital Corporation</i>	Jorge Lopez <i>Lopez & Asociados, Attorneys at Law</i>
José Miguel Argueta Bone	Anonymous Contributors	Macky Thiam	Anonymous Contributors	Jose Miguel Alvarez BLP
Juan Andrés Marroquín C. <i>Carrillo & Asociados</i>	Guinea	Mahawa Sylla <i>VIE+ (REGAP+)</i>	Honduras	Juan José Alcerro Milla <i>Aguilar Castillo Love</i>
Juan Jose Porras Castillo <i>GT Legal</i>	Abdoulaye Korsé Balde <i>Conseil National de l'Ordre des Medecins de Guinée</i>	Mamadou Sanoussy Barry <i>Cabinet d'Avocats BAO et Fils</i>	Adolfo Pineda Padilla <i>BLP</i>	Lino Carmenate Millán <i>Centro de Investigación y Desarrollo en Salud, Trabajo y Ambiente</i>
Juan Pablo Carrasco de Groote <i>Central Law</i>	Adama Kourouma	Mandy Kader Konde <i>Fondation Santé et Développement Durable</i>	Aida Lazarus <i>HondurasLawyers</i>	Lisandro Valle Perez <i>Hospital y Clinicas DIME, S.A.</i>
Juan Sebastian Soto Lacape <i>A.D. Sosa & Soto</i>	Aimé Christophe Labilé Kone <i>L'Étude Légale Labilé</i>	Oumar Baldé	Allan Fernando Alvarenga Gradis <i>Fundación San Alonso Rodríguez</i>	Lurbín España <i>Asociación Hombro a Hombro</i>
Kate Flatley <i>Women's Justice Initiative</i>	Aimé Raphael Haba <i>Avocats Sans Frontières Guinée</i>	Sékou Kouyaté <i>Laboratoire d'Analyse Socio Anthropologique de Guinée</i>	Claribel Medina <i>Central Law</i>	Marlon Jose Ortiz Maritnez <i>Universidad Tecnológica Centroamericana</i>
Lorena Marcucci de Galotta <i>Bufete GR Legal</i>	Ali Badara Bangoura <i>Barreau de Guinée</i>	Théodore Michel Loua <i>Organisation Guinéenne de Defense des Droit de l'Homme</i>	Claudia Marcela Midence Soto <i>Arias Law</i>	Marvin Rigoberto Espinal Pinel <i>Marvin Espinal Law Firm</i>
Luis Fernando Catalan Alvarez <i>Hospital Nacional de Mazate</i>	Alpha Amadou D. S. Bah <i>Organisation Guinéenne de Defense des Droit de l'Homme</i>	Thierno Amadou Fougoumba Barry <i>Institut de Recherche sur la Démocratie et l'Etat de Droit</i>	Daniela Puerto Irias <i>Consultorio Legal Puerto</i>	Miguel Armando Zapata Izaguirre <i>García & Bodán</i>
Luis Pedro Cazali	Amadou Babahein Camara <i>Barreau de Guinée</i>	Thierno Diafar Diallo <i>Koûmy Law Firm</i>	David Armando Urtecho López <i>Universidad de Navarra</i>	Milton Carcamo
Marcos Palma <i>Integrum Law Firm</i>	Balla Camara <i>Association Guinéenne pour le Développement Intégral de l'Enfant et du Jeune</i>	Thierno Souleymane Barry <i>Coalition Guinéenne pour la Cour Penale Internationale et Autres Organismes</i>	Dennis Emilio Hércules Rosa <i>Bufete Melara & Asociados</i>	Nidia Vanessa Lopez Alonzo <i>Clinica Medica Privada</i>
Mario Roberto Guadrón Rouanet <i>Palomo y Porras</i>	David Beavogui <i>Barreau de Guinée</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Eduardo René Rivera Mendoza <i>Bufete ECIJA Honduras</i>	Rafael Gomez Mateo <i>Grupo Legalsa</i>
Marvin Javier Dávila Villegas <i>Universidad Rafael Landívar de Guatemala</i>	Fatoumata Binta Diallo	Guyana	Ely Abel Pinto Jimenez <i>Bufete Abogado Pinto</i>	Roberto Alejandro Williams Cruz <i>Bufete ECIJA Honduras</i>
Mynor García <i>Carrillo & Asociados</i>	Fatoumta Baldé Yansané <i>Coalition des Femmes Leaders de Guinée</i>	Adrian Smith <i>Toussaint Law Firm</i>	Emy Carolina Castellon Juarez <i>Asociación Hombro a Hombro</i>	Roberto M. Zacarias <i>Zacarias & Asociados</i>
Oscar Rivas Villanueva <i>GR Legal</i>	Foromo Frédéric Loua <i>Mêmes Droits pour Tous</i>	Eva Scott	Gabriela María Williams Cruz <i>Bufete ECIJA Honduras</i>	Roque Pascua Bográn <i>Bufete Pascua & Asociados</i>
Pedro Mendoza Montano <i>Iurisconsulti, Abogados y Notarios</i>	Gilbert Tohon Camara <i>Barreau de Guinée</i>	Hari Ramkarran <i>Cameron & Shepherd</i>	J. Humberto Medina Alva <i>Central Law</i>	Sandra Elizabeth Gomez Ventura <i>Universidad Tecnológica Centroamericana</i>
Raúl Bolaños del Aguila <i>Escuela de Gobierno</i>	Joseph Kolèmou	Madan Rambaran	Johana Bermudez <i>Universidad Autónoma de Honduras</i>	

Sergio Sánchez A. <i>Colegio de Abogados de Honduras</i>	Dániel G. Szabó and Tamás Fazekas <i>Hungarian Helsinki Committee</i>	Ishwar Chandra Dwivedi <i>Police Uttar Pradesh</i>	Vasanthi Nimushakavi <i>National Academy of Legal Studies and Research</i>	Noverizky Tri Putra Pasaribu <i>AM Oktarina Counsellors at Law</i>
Valerya Theodoracopoulos <i>Arias Law</i>	Gábor Baruch <i>Baruch Law Firm</i>	Kartik Ganapathy <i>IndusLaw</i>	Vidya Bhushan Rawat	Sandi Adila <i>Mochtar Karuwin Komar</i>
Vanessa Oquelí <i>García & Bodán</i>	Krisztina Karsai <i>University of Szeged</i>	Kartikay Sharma <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>	Vijay Raghavan <i>Tata Institute of Social Sciences</i>	Sianti Candra <i>Podomoro University</i>
Anonymous Contributors	Orsolya Rác <i>Winkler and Partner Law Firm</i>	Keshao Patil <i>Tirpude College of Social Work</i>	Vipender Mann <i>KNM & Partners, Law Offices</i>	Sunardjo Sumargono <i>Law Office of Semar Suryakencana Cipta Justiceindo</i>
Hong Kong SAR, China	Reka Mezo	Nirmal Kanti Chakrabarti <i>West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences</i>	Y. S. Kusuma <i>All India Institute of Medical Sciences</i>	Tom Nugroho <i>LexSarya</i>
Amirali B. Nasir <i>NASIRS</i>	Viktor Oliver Lorincz <i>Hungarian Academy of Sciences</i>	P. Raviprasad <i>Tempus Law Associates</i>	Yashomati Ghosh <i>National Law School of India University</i>	Tristam Pascal Moeliono <i>Catholic University of Parahyangan</i>
David C. Donald <i>The Chinese University of Hong Kong</i>	Zsolt Zengődi	Padmaja Kaul <i>IndusLaw</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Anonymous Contributors
James A. Rice	Anonymous Contributors	Prakash Prabhakar Rao Doke <i>Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed University</i>	Indonesia	Iran
James L. W. Wong <i>Century Chambers</i>	Anil Fernandes <i>Anil Fernandes & Associates</i>	Rajas Kasbekar <i>CRK Legal</i>	Agustinus Dawarja <i>LexRegis</i>	Ahmad Daryani <i>Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences</i>
Lam San Keung <i>Messrs. F. Zimmern & Co.</i>	Anil Paleri	S. Ramakrishnan	Alamo D. Laiman <i>Legisperitus Lawyers</i>	Anooshiravan Karimi <i>Karimi & Associates Law Firm</i>
Michael Chai <i>Bernacchi Chambers</i>	Anshul Prakash and Deeksha Malik <i>Khaitan & Co.</i>	Sachin Bhakar <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>	Alexandra Gerungan, Annisa Tharian, and Made Susanti <i>Makarim & Taira S.</i>	Arash Izadi <i>Dr. Izadi & Associates</i>
Michael Vidler <i>Vidler & Co. Solicitors</i>	Anupamaa V. <i>AVRC Legal; Dr. Kainth & Associates</i>	Sanjay Janardan Patil <i>BDH Industries Limited</i>	Diana Kusumasari <i>Yeo & Co. Law Firm</i>	Atiyeh Rezaei and Shirin O. Entezari <i>Dr. Entezari & Associates Law Firm</i>
P. Y. Lo <i>Gilt Chambers</i>	Aprajita Rana <i>AZB & Partners</i>	Sarojanand Jha <i>Vedanta Legal</i>	Eddy M. Leks <i>Leks & Co.</i>	Hamid Reza Bakhshi Moakher
Paul Shieh	Ashok Ramgir <i>Harsh Impex</i>	Satishchandra Kumar <i>University of Mumbai</i>	Felicia Tania <i>Legisperitus Lawyers</i>	Kamiar Alaei <i>Institute for International Health and Education</i>
Philip Dykes <i>Bernacchi Chambers</i>	Atul Sharma <i>Link Legal India Law Services</i>	Saurabh Misra <i>Saurabh Misra & Associates, International Lawyers</i>	Ferdinand Jullaga <i>Mochtar Karuwin Komar</i>	Mehran Tamadonfar <i>University of Nevada</i>
Rick Glofcheski <i>University of Hong Kong</i>	Avik Biswas <i>IndusLaw</i>	Shankar Das <i>Tata Institute of Social Sciences</i>	Hadi Pratomo <i>Universitas Indonesia</i>	Mohammad Rahmani <i>Bayan Emrooz International Law Firm</i>
Shing Hing Ip <i>Hong Kong Law Society</i>	Avimukt Dar <i>IndusLaw</i>	Siddhartha George <i>Poovayya & Co.</i>	Immanuel A. Indrawan <i>Indrawan Darsyah Santoso</i>	Sanaz Alasti
Tam Yat Hung <i>University of Hong Kong</i>	Bontha V. Babu <i>Indian Council of Medical Research</i>	Subhrarag Mukherjee <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>	Jamin Ginting <i>JAT & Co. Law Firm</i>	Yahya Rayegani <i>Praelegal Iran</i>
Anonymous Contributors	Daya Krishan Mangal <i>IIHMR University</i>	Uday Singh Ahlawat <i>Ahlawat & Associates</i>	Jardin Bahar	Anonymous Contributors
Hungary	Gauri Bhongale <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>		Mahesa Rumondor <i>Adnan Kelana Haryanto & Hermanto</i>	
Ákos Bajorfi <i>Noerr & Partners Law Office</i>	Harsh Ramgir <i>Harsh Impex</i>		Maurice Maulana Situmorang <i>Dentons</i>	
Alexandra Bognar				
András Jakab <i>University of Salzburg</i>				
Balázs Tóth <i>Hungarian Helsinki Committee</i>				

Italy

Alberto Fantini
Studio Legale Tonucci & Partners

Alessia Ottavia Cozzi
Area Science Park

Anna Simonati
University of Trento

Antonella Antonucci
Università Aldo Moro

Antonio Cassatella
University of Trento

Antonio Viscomi
University of Catanzaro

Astolfo Di Amato
Astolfo Di Amato e Associati

Elisa Stefanini
Studio Legale Portolano Cavallo

Emanuele Cortesi
CMA Studio Legale

Emanuele Scafato

Gherardo Carullo
University of Milan

Gianfranco Di Garbo
Baker McKenzie

Giovanni Nardulli
Legance - Avvocati Associati

Giuseppe Lorenzo Rosa

Luigi Mori
BLR&M Studio Legale Associato

Marco Esposito
Università di Napoli Parthenope

Mariano Cingolani
University of Macerata

Patrizia Magarò
Università degli Studi di Genova

Patrizio Ivo D'Andrea
Università di Ferrara

Pierpaolo Martucci
University of Trieste

Pietro Faraguna
University of Trieste

Riccardo Del Punta
University of Florence

Roberto Bin
Università di Ferrara

Roberto Ceccon
Aclaw Ceccon & Associati

Roberto Rosapepe
University of Salerno

Rocchina Staiano
Università di Teramo

Jamaica

Allan S. Wood
Livingston, Alexander & Levy

Anthony Clayton
University of the West Indies

Antoinette Barton-Gooden
University of the West Indies

Audrey Brown

Donovan Jackson
Nunes Scholefield DeLeon & Co.

Emile Leiba
DunnCox

Gillian Mullings
Naylor and Mullings

Hilary Robertson-Hickling
University of the West Indies

J. Peter Figueroa
University of the West Indies

Jacqueline D. Goulbourne
University of the West Indies

Jodi Ann Paulwell
Office of the Cabinet

Kevin O. Powell
Hylton Powell, Attorneys-at-Law

Laura D. Richards
University of the West Indies

Nadine C. Atkinson-Flowers
Law Offices of Nadine C. Atkinson-Flowers

Narda Graham-Laird
DunnCox

Sonia D. Gatchair
University of the West Indies

Vincent A. Chen
Chen Green & Co.

Anonymous Contributors

Japan

Akifumi Mochizuki
Shizuoka Prefecture Bar Association

Emi Uchida
Atsumi & Sakai

Junko Ogushi
Atsumi & Sakai

Junko Suetomi
Baker McKenzie; Waseda University

Kaoru Takamatsu
Hayabusa Asuka Law Offices

Mitsunari Taketani
Tagawa Law Office

Naritaka Tomoeda
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Nobuo Koinuma
Tohoku Medical and Pharmaceutical University

Shigeji Ishiguro
Oguri & Ishiguro Law Office

Tomohisa Muranushi
Baker McKenzie

Toshiaki Higashi
University of Occupational and Environmental Health

Yasuyuki Suzuki
Hayabusa Asuka Law Offices

Yohei Suda
The Law Office of Yohei Suda

Yoshiyuki Sato
Kobe-Ekimae Law Office

Yosuke Shimamura
Shimamura Law Office

Yuichiro Sato
Tokyo Gakugei University

Yukinori Hashida
Kodera Matsuda Law Office

Anonymous Contributors

Jordan

Abdullah AL-Nawayseh
Mu'tah University

Ali M. Aldabbas
University of Petra

Bashar H. Malkawi
University of Jordan

Farah Al-Majali
International Consolidated For Legal Consultations

George Hazboun
International Consolidated For Legal Consultations

Hisham A. Kassim

Kamal Jamal Awad Alawamleh
University of Petra

Khaled J. Atwan
Atwan & Partners Attorneys and Legal Consultants

Laith Nasrawin
Nasrawin Law Office

Motasem Mushasha
Al al-Bayt University

Muneera Al-Sada
Abdullah & Partners Law Firm

Omar Qouteshat

Rasha Laswi
Zalloum and Laswi Law Firm

Yousef Saleh Khader
Jordan University of Science and Technology

Zaid Muhmoud Agaileh
Mu'tah University

Anonymous Contributors

Kazakhstan

Amangeldy Shormanbayev
International Legal Initiative

Anargul Kuntuganova
Nazarbayev University

Annel Shvechikhina
Unicase LLP

Arlan Yerzhanov
PricewaterhouseCoopers Tax & Advisory LLP

Artem Timoshenko
Unicase LLP

Aybek Kambaliyev
Unicase LLP

Dmitry Chumakov
Sayat Zholshy and Partners

Evgeny Zhovtis
Kazakhstan International Bureau for Human Rights and Rule of Law

Gulzhan Chimbayeva
Green Clinic

Kopzhan Musrepov
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP

Nazym Argynbay
SIGNUM Law Firm

Nurzhan Manasov

Nurzhan Stamkulov
Synergy Partners Law Firm

Roman Podoprigora
Caspian Public University

Timur Yerjanov
Al-Farabi Kazakh National University

Yerzhan Toktarov
Sayat Zholshy and Partners

Zaira Kaysar
GRATA International

Zhanat Alimanov
KIMEP University

Anonymous Contributors

Kenya

Aabid Ahmed
Bomu Hospital

Abdulhafeez Noorani
*Daly & Inamdar,
Advocates*

Aisha Abdallah
*Anjarwalla & Khanna
Advocates*

Atiq S. Anjarwalla
AC&H Legal Consultants

Benjamin Musau
*BM Musau & Co.,
Advocates*

Beryl Orai
*Kenya National
Commission on Human
Rights*

Cecil Yongo Abungu
Strathmore University

**Christine Mukami
Murangi**
*Iseme, Kamau & Maema
Advocates*

Dennis Mung'ata
*Gichimu Mungata
Advocates*

Donald W. Kaniaru
*Kaniaru & Kaniaru
Advocates*

Edwin Ochieng' Otongo
*Mwagambo & Okonjo
Advocates*

**Elizabeth Wangari
Odhiambo**
University of Nairobi

Elly Nyaim Opot
University of Nairobi

Ezra Makori
*Dentons Hamilton
Harrison & Mathews*

Fidelis Marabu Limo
Limo & Njoroge Advocates

Francis Gichuhi Kamau

Fred Ondieki Mogotu
*Anjarwalla & Khanna
Advocates*

Gilda Odera
*Federation of Kenya
Employers*

Harrison Mbori
Strathmore University

Hilda Njoroge
Limo & Njoroge Advocates

Hiram Nyaburi
*Iseme, Kamau & Maema
Advocates*

Jeremmy Okonjo
Transnational Legal Ltd.

Lattif Shaban
*Supreme Council of Kenya
Muslims*

Lyla Latif
University of Nairobi

Patrick Rugo
*Muthoga Gaturu & Co.
Advocates*

Peter Gachuhi
*Kaplan & Stratton
Advocates*

Peter Wendoh

Regina Opondo
*Constitution and Reform
Education Consortium*

Remigeo P. Mugambi
*Muthoga Gaturu & Co.
Advocates*

Ronald Rogo
University of Nairobi

Sammy T. Nyambari
*Productivity Management
Insistute*

Samson Opundo
*Opundo & Associates
Advocates*

Thomas N. Maosa
*Maosa and Company
Advocates*

Wilfred M. Ngugi
*Chiuri Kirui & Rugo,
Advocates*

Wilson Mulei Marotse
Lepact LLP & Associates

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Kosovo

Adelina R. Hoxha
Avokatura

Adnan Bozalija
University of Prishtina

Ahmet Kasumi

Alban Krasniqi

Alban Muriqi
*The Kosova Rehabilitation
Centre for Torture Victims*

Albana Rexha

Arnis Dumani

Bahtir Troshupa

Basri Kastrati
*Victims' Advocacy and
Assistance Office, Chief
State Prosecution Office*

Besfort Rrecaj
University of Prishtina

Doarsa Kica

Durim Llugiqi

Ehat Miftaraj
Kosovo Law Institute

Ermir Ahmetaj
AEX Law LLC

Fatmire Haliti
*The Kosova Rehabilitation
Centre for Torture Victims*

Fisnik Salihu
RPHS Law Firm

Fjorda Villasolli Avdiu
Kosovo Law Institute

Florentina Grubi-Vula

Gjylbehare Bella Murati
University Haxhi Zeka

Gjyljeta Mushkolaj
University of Prishtina

Halim Bajraktari
*University Ukshin Hoti
Prizren*

Hava Ismajli

Klit Shala
Fisnik Salihu & Partners

Kushtrim Shaipi
IQ Consulting

Leutrim Sylja

Mervete Shala
College Dukagjini-UBT

Muhamet Bytyqi
*Assembly of the Republic
of Kosovo*

Qerim Qerimi
University of Prishtina

Sahit Bajraktari
*Transformational
Leadership Program
Alumni Association*

Shpresa Ibrahim

Shprese Haxhijaj
*Assembly of the Republic
of Kosovo*

Urim Pozhegu
Urim Pozhegu Law Office

Urim Vokshi
Vokshi & Lata Law Firm

Valon Hasani

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Kyrgyz Republic

**Aicholpon Alieva
(Jorupbekova)**
Kalikova & Associates

Ainura Osmonalieva
Legal Clinic Adilet

Alexander Orehov

Anastasia Volosatova
Veritas Law Firm

Azamat Kerimbaev
ABA Rule of Law Initiative

Elida K. Nogoibaeva
*American University in
Central Asia*

Kerim Begaliev
Centil Law Firm

Magomed Saaduev
Kalikova & Associates

Nurzhhan Bostonova
Sentil Law Firm

Saltanat Moldoisaeva
*Kyrgyz-Russian Slavic
University*

Sanzhar Alashev
GRATA International

**Taalaibek Tursunovich
Shamurzaev**
*Kyrgyz-Russian Slavic
University*

Valentin Chernyshev
Kumtor Gold Company

Zhanyl Abdrakhmanova
Centil Law Firm

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Lebanon

Antoine G. Ghafari
*Ghafari & Associates Law
Firm*

Elias Chalhoub
*The Arab Center for the
Development of the Rule
of Law and Integrity*

Elias Matar
Lex & Co. Legal Counsels

Hala Tyan
Awada Tyan Law Firm

Jihad Irani
University of Balamand

Joelle Choueifati

Joelle Khater
*Badri and Salim El
Meouchi Law Firm*

Khatoun Haidar
Synergy-Takamol

**Mohamad Ziad
Ramadan**
*Elaref International Law
Office*

Nada Hachem
Law Office Hachem

Nadim Abboud
*Abboud and Associates
Law Firm*

Pierre Obeid
University of Balamand

Rihab Aboul Hosn

Roula Zayat
*The Arab Center for the
Development of the Rule
of Law and Integrity*

Salah Mattar
Mattar Law Firm

Sheryne Koteiche
Awada Tyan Law Firm

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Liberia

Alexandra Kormah Zoe
*Law Offices of Zoe and
Partners*

Betty Lamin-Blamo
Lex Group Liberia, LLC

Fatu Maima Kamara Foster	Andrianaiwo Zo Rakotoarisoa <i>Tribunal de Travail d'Antananarivo</i>	Anonymous Contributors	R. Usha Devi <i>R. Usha Devi & Associates</i>	Famoussa Keita <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Famoussa Keita</i>
Hannan J. Bestman <i>SpaLife By Jenneh</i>	Andry Herisoa Andrianasolo <i>Institut International des Sciences Sociales</i>	Malawi	S. B. Cheah <i>S. B. Cheah & Associates</i>	Fousseyni Dembele <i>Centre Nationale d'Appui à la Lutte contre la Maladie</i>
Ivan F. Camanor	Andry Randriaminosoa <i>Barreau de Madagascar</i>	Adamson Muula <i>University of Malawi</i>	Saw Tiong Guan <i>University of Malaya</i>	Harouna Diallo <i>Université des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques de Bamako</i>
J. Awia Vankan <i>Heritage Partners & Associates, Inc.</i>	Aviva Ramanitra <i>Lexel Juridique et Fiscal</i>	Anthony J. Malunga <i>For Generations Institute</i>	Sharon Kaur <i>University of Malaya</i>	Issiaka Sanogo <i>Barreau du Mali</i>
Jallah A. Barbu <i>Institute for Constitutional Research, Policy & Strategic Development</i>	Bakoly Rakotomalala	Bruno P. Matumbi <i>Excellence Law Partners</i>	Sivalal Sadasivan <i>Monash University Malaysia</i>	Kadidiatou Bouare <i>Université de Droit Bamako-Mali</i>
James C. R. Flomo <i>Public Defenders' Program of Liberia</i>	Chantal Razafinarivo <i>Barreau de Madagascar</i>	Chikosa Mozesi Silungwe <i>Mizumali Foundation</i>	Sujata Balan <i>University of Malaya</i>	Karamoko Nimaga
John Kamma <i>Citizens Bureau for Development and Productivity</i>	Fahafahantsoa Rapelanoro Rabenja <i>Université d'Antananarivo</i>	Fresier Chidyaonga-Maseko <i>University of Malawi</i>	Wah-Yun Low <i>University of Malaya</i>	Khadidjatou Toure <i>Santé et Développement</i>
Kanio Bai Gbala <i>University of Liberia</i>	Jean Pierre Rakotovao <i>Jhpiego</i>	George Naphambo <i>Naphambo and Company</i>	Yusramizza Md Isa <i>Universiti Utara Malaysia</i>	Lassana Diakite
Lamii Kpargoi <i>The Justice Initiative</i>	Lala Ratsiharovala <i>Ministère de la Justice</i>	Justin G. K. Dzonzi <i>Kainja & Dzonzi Attorneys at Law</i>	Zainal Amin Ayub <i>Universiti Utara Malaysia</i>	Mamadou Ismaila Konate <i>Jurifis Consult</i>
Lucia D. S. Gbala <i>Heritage Partners & Associates, Inc.</i>	Léonard Velozandry <i>Barreau de Madagascar</i>	Khumbo Bonzoe Soko <i>Soko & Co.</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Mamadou Moustapha Sow <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Sow & Associes</i>
Martha N. Zarway <i>Kingdom Care Medical Foundation</i>	Nelly Rakotobe Ralambondrainy <i>Ministère de la Justice</i>	Marshal Chileng <i>T.F. and Partners</i>	Mali	Moussa Oumar Sow <i>Wac Partners</i>
Mohamed K. Mansalay <i>Plan International</i>	Njivasoa Nathalie Rambeloson <i>Barreau de Madagascar</i>	Patrick Mphatso Chinguwo	Abdoul Doumbia <i>Ordre des Pharmaciens</i>	Omorou Toure <i>Université des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques de Bamako</i>
Moses B. F. Massaquoi <i>Clinton Health Access Initiative</i>	Olivia Rajerison <i>Cabinet Rajerison</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Abdoul Kader Siby <i>Université de Droit Bamako-Mali</i>	Ousmane Sylla <i>Ministère de la Sante et des Affaires Sociales</i>
Nelson S. Weh, Jr. <i>Citizens Bureau for Development and Productivity</i>	Rakotonomenjanahary <i>Cabinet d'Avocats</i>	Malaysia	Abdoul Kassoum Maiga <i>Children's International Summer Villages</i>	Samba Baba N'diaye <i>Barreau du Mali</i>
Peter Hne Wilson <i>U.S. African Development Foundation</i>	Rija Ramarijaona <i>Prime Lex</i>	Ahmad Shamsul Abd Aziz <i>Universiti Utara Malaysia</i>	Abdoulaye Guimba Ouane <i>Wac Partners</i>	Seydou Doumbia <i>Avocats Sans Frontières-Mali; Barreau du Mali</i>
Stephen J. C. S. Kai <i>Institute for Constitutional Research, Policy & Strategic Development; Public Interest Law Office</i>	Riki Rakotobe <i>Cabinet d'Avocat Associé Alex Rafamatanantsoa et Associé</i>	Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed <i>International Islamic University Malaysia</i>	Abdoulaye Thera <i>Centre Social</i>	Zakaria Keita
Anonymous Contributors	Rojo Randrianarivony Ralitera <i>Barreau de Madagascar</i>	Chew Phye Keat <i>Raja, Darryl & Loh</i>	Aboubacar Souleymane Diarra <i>Barreau du Mali</i>	Anonymous Contributors
Madagascar	Solo Ratrimoarivony <i>Barreau de Madagascar</i>	Donovan Cheah <i>Donovan & Ho</i>	Alhassane Soukouna <i>Vaughan Avocats</i>	Mauritania
Alain Ramanarivo <i>Barreau de Madagascar</i>	Tino Harvel Razafinimanana	Harlida Abdul Wahab <i>Universiti Utara Malaysia</i>	Alioune Badara Diallo	Brahim Diarra
Alphonse Anatole	Toki Ramilison	Khadijah Mohamed <i>Universiti Utara Malaysia</i>	Aly Soumountera <i>Wale Action Sante Population</i>	Cheikh Abdellahi Ahmed Babou
	Tsarazara Andrianasoavina	Mahadirin Hj Ahmad <i>Universiti Malaysia Sabah</i>	Amadou Ongoiba	Deya Ahmed Bezeid
		Mohd Munzil Muhamad <i>Multimedia University</i>	Daouda Ba <i>Vaughan Avocats</i>	
		Norhisham Abd Bahrin <i>Azmi & Associates</i>		

El Moustapha Attighe ONUSIDA	H. S. Bunjun Dabee & Bunjun Chambers	Centro de Derechos Humanos Miguel Agustín Pro Juárez	José Antonio Montoya Martínez Centro Nacional de Equidad de Género y Salud Reproductiva	Sofía Alejandra Aguirre Peña Moreno Rodriguez y Asoc.
Hademine Saleck Ely The Imams and Ulema Coalition for the Rights of Women and Children in Mauritania	Iqbal Rajahbalee BLC Robert & Associates	Christian Alan Bello Melchor Notarías Publicas 92 & 145	Juan Carlos Tornel Baker McKenzie	Victor Manuel Ortega Gonzalez Machuaztic International Trade S.A. de C.V.
Jemal Mohamed El Hady CCM Mauritanie; Fonds Mondial	Javed Niamut BLC Robert & Associates	Christian Serna Serna Asociados Abogados	Juan Manuel Juarez Meza Contramar Abogados	Anonymous Contributors
Mohamed Ahmed Oubid	Krishan M. Beeharry	Cristina Sánchez Urtiz Miranda & Estavillo, S.C.	Karen Lizbeth Franco Díaz Centro Nacional de Equidad de Género y Salud Reproductiva	Moldova
Mohamed Dah Abdelkader Centre Mauritanien de Recherche et d'Etudes Juridiques, Economiques et Sociales	Mohamad Fawzi Mahomoodally University of Mauritius	Daniel Cruz González Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México	Luciano Mendoza Cruz Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México	Adrian Belii Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy
Mohamed Ould Moctar	Mohammad Nawaz Dookhee	Diana Ivette Fuentes Ulloa GR&ND Asociados	Luis Raymundo Lozano Juárez Instituto Nacional de Geriatria	Alexei Croitor
Mohamed Sid'Ahmed Meinouh Barreau de Mauritanie	Ruwaydah Jaunbacus Uteem Chambers	Enrique Camarena Domínguez Maqueo Barnetche, Aguilar & Camarena	Marco Antonio González Reynoso GR&ND Asociados	Ana Ciobanu University Clinic for Primary Health Care
Mohamed Sidi Abderrahmane Brahim	Sanjay Bhuckory Bhuckory Chambers	Franz E. Oberarzbacher Centro de Innovación para el Acceso a la Justicia; Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México	Mario Alberto Rocha PricewaterhouseCoopers	Baciu Inga Universitatea de Stat Alecu Russo Bălți
Mouloud Mohamed Reseau des PVVIH	Yousuf Ali Azaree MC Law Offices	Gerardo Moheno Gallardo Moreno Rodriguez y Asoc.	Mayra Quintero Hewlett Packard Enterprise	Carmanschi Vitalie
Ould Abed Aily Association Développement Communautaire et Santé	Yves Hein Hein Chambers	Gilberto Miguel Valle Zulbarán Basham Ringe y Correa, S.C.	Miguel Aarón Moreno Jiménez Centro Nacional de Equidad de Género y Salud Reproductiva	Corina Oprea Efrim, Roșca și Asociații Law Firm
Saidou Ly Cabinet Maitre LY Saidou	Zareena Tawheen Choomka Mauritius Bar Council	Guillermo A. Gatt Corona Gatt Corona y Abogados Asociados, S.C.; Universidad Jesuita de Guadalajara; Universidad Panamericana	Miguel Ángel Torres Hernández Maqueo Barnetche, Aguilar & Camarena	Cristina Copaceanu Universitatea de Studii Politice și Economice Europene "Constantin Stere"
Zeinebou Taleb Moussa Association Mauritanienne pour la Santé de la Mère et de l'enfant	Anonymous Contributors	Guillermo Piecarchic PMC Group, S.C.	Mónica Jazmín Martínez Pérez Fundación Civitas, A.C.	Cuznetov Alexandru State University of Moldova
Mexico	Alejandro Moreno Altamirano Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México	Héctor Ávila Rosas Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México	Monica Schiaffino Littler Mexico, S.C.	Ecaterina Nac and Roger Gladei Gladei & Partners
Alejandro Moreno Altamirano Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México	Alfonso Rodriguez-Arana Legalmex, S.C.	Héctor González Schmal Torres Morante Abogados	Oliva López Arellano Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Xochimilco	Galina Obreja Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy
Anais Cortes Escamilla Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública de México	Angel Delfino Gómez Lizárraga Secretaría de Salud, Ciudad de México	Hugo Hernández-Ojeda Alviréz Hogan Lovells	Pablo Nosti Herrera Miranda & Estavillo, S.C.	Ghenadie Țurcanu Center for Health Policies and Studies
Mauritius	Angelica Angeles-Llerenas Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública de México	Jose Alberto Campos Vargas Sanchez Devanny, Eseverri, S.C.	Sergio López Moreno Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Xochimilco	Ion Guzun The Legal Resources Centre from Moldova
Abdullah Yusuf Ali Bauluck Bibi Chambers	Carlos de Buen Unna Bufete de Buen, S.C.	Jose Alberto Campos Vargas Sanchez Devanny, Eseverri, S.C.	Silvano Cantu Laboratorio de Innovación para la Paz	Iulia Furtuna Turcan Cazac Law Firm
Bertrand Cheung	Carolina Ramos Ballesteros Miranda & Estavillo, S.C.			Lilia Carasciuc Transparency International
Brigitte Michel Aides Infos Liberte Espoir et Soldiarite				Liliana Domete
Cindy Trevedy Fond Mondial Maurice				
Daya Auckloo				
Deepti Bismohun ENSafrica				

Mihail Durnescu	Enkhtur Demberelsuren <i>MahoneyLiotta LLP</i>	Lhassan M'Barki <i>Center South for Studies and Sustainable Development</i>	Gilda A. Jossias <i>Associação Moçambicana de Desenvolvimento Concertado</i>	U Mya Thein <i>U Mya Thein & Legal Group</i>
Natalia Molosag <i>Union of Lawyers of the Republic of Moldova, Chisinau Bar</i>	Gerelmaa Sandui <i>Umug Kholch Partners LLP</i>	Mimoun Charqi <i>Charqi Lex Consulting</i>	Gimina Mahumana Langa <i>SAL & Caldeira Advogados, Lda.</i>	Anonymous Contributors
Nelea Prodan <i>National Centre of Pre-Hospital Emergency Medical Assistance</i>	Indermohan S. Narula	Mohamed Baske Manar <i>Université Cadi Ayyad de Marrakech</i>	Jennifer Gilda Arnaldo <i>Fernanda Lopes Advogados & Associados</i>	Namibia
Seghei Cozma <i>Serghei Cozma Law Firm</i>	Khishigsaikhan Batchuluun <i>Open Society Forum</i>	Mohamed Nakhli <i>Université Cadi Ayyad de Marrakech</i>	Joaquim Lourenco Uate <i>Associacao Ntumbuluku</i>	Clement Daniels
Sergiu Ursu <i>Moldova State University</i>	Khunan Jargalsaikhan <i>Mongolian Bar Association</i>	Mohamed Salmi <i>Justice et Spiritualité</i>	Luís Sárágga Leal <i>PLMJ Advogados, SP, RL</i>	Eliaser Nekwaya
Sonsa Boris	Luke Lkhaasuren <i>Agaa & Partners LLP</i>	Mustapha Assouane <i>Université Ibn Zohr</i>	Miguel Spínola <i>PLMJ Advogados, SP, RL</i>	Floris Coetzee <i>Fisher, Quarumby & Pfeifer</i>
Spinei Larisa <i>Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy</i>	Munkhdorj Badral <i>Mongol-Advocates LLP</i>	Naouazili My Driss	Neylla Gulamhussen	Nambili T. K. Shipena <i>Namibia Special Risks Insurance Association Limited</i>
Svetlana Doltu <i>The Council for Preventing and Eliminating Discrimination and Ensuring Equality; The Council for the Prevention of Torture</i>	Solongoo Bayarsaikhan <i>Avinex Partners LLP</i>	Nassri Ilham <i>Ministère de la Santé</i>	Pedro Macaringue <i>Pedro Macaringue & Advogados Associados</i>	Nawala Kamati <i>Engling, Stritter & Partners</i>
Vasile Gherasim <i>Popa & Associates</i>	Zanaa Jurmed	Nesrine Roudane <i>Roudane & Partners Law Firm</i>	Rafael Neves Banguine <i>SAL & Caldeira Advogados, Lda.</i>	Norman Tjombe <i>Tjombe-Elago Incorporated</i>
Viorel Plopa <i>A.O. Centrul Pentru Securitate Juridică a Informației</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Omar Mahmoud Bendjelloun	Rafique de Albuquerque <i>Fernanda Lopes Advogados & Associados</i>	Petrine Mwadhina Hango <i>Dr. Weder, Kauta & Hoveka Inc.</i>
Viorel V. Berliba <i>Berliba, Ungurean & Partenerii</i>	Morocco	Rachid Attahir <i>Université Hassan 1er</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Toni Hancox <i>Legal Assistance Centre</i>
Anonymous Contributors	Abdelaziz Bakkali	Tarik Mossadek <i>Université Hassan 1er</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Yvonne Dausab <i>Law Reform and Development Commission</i>
Mongolia	Abdelghani Khannous <i>Center South for Studies and Sustainable Development</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Myanmar	Anonymous Contributors
Baasanjargal Khurelbaatar <i>ELC Advocates LLP</i>	Abdellah Bakkali <i>Bakkali Law Firm</i>	Alexandre Chivale <i>Alexandre Chivale & Associados</i>	Alfred Boima Hill <i>International Development Law Organization</i>	Nepal
Badamragchaa Purevdorj <i>Open Society Forum</i>	Ali Badi <i>Association Nationale pour la Défense des Droits de l'Homme au Maroc</i>	Almamater Tamele <i>BTA Advogados, LDA.</i>	Caitlin Reiger <i>MyJustice</i>	Aakriti Khanal <i>Sinha Verma Law Concern</i>
Bayar Budragchaa <i>ELC Advocates LLP</i>	Ali Lachgar Essahili <i>Ali Lachgar Essahili Law Firm</i>	Belisário Tamele <i>BTA Advogados, LDA.</i>	Kari A. Rotkin <i>Justice Base</i>	Ananta Raj Luitel <i>Constitutional Lawyers' Forum; Supreme Court of Nepal</i>
David C. Buxbaum <i>Anderson & Anderson LLP</i>	Azzedine Kettani <i>Hassan II University in Casablanca; Kettani Law Firm</i>	César Carlos Alberto Francisco Vamos Ver <i>SAL & Caldeira Advogados, Lda.</i>	Lucy Wayne & Associates	Apurba Khatiwada <i>Prudent Legal Services</i>
Dorjdamba Zumberellkham	Elbachir Aaddi <i>Université Ibn Zohr</i>	Diana Paredes e Ramalho <i>SAL & Caldeira Advogados, Lda.</i>	Min Thein <i>Rajha and Tann NK Legal</i>	Bijaya Kumar Basnet <i>Heritage Law Firm</i>
Dugerjav D. <i>MDS & KhanLex LLP</i>	Hicham El Boukfaoui	Dimétrio Raul Manjate	Myat Ko <i>Justice Base</i>	Bishnu Luitel <i>BG Law Foundation</i>
	Khachai Abdelmajid	Faizal Jusob <i>Couto, Graça & Associados</i>	Nickey Diamond <i>Fortify Rights</i>	Bishwa Nath Khanal <i>Prithivi Legal Service</i>
	Khayi Yousra		Scott Ciment <i>United Nations Development Programme</i>	Budhi Karki
				Daksha Bahadur Chhetri <i>Neupane Law Associates</i>

Jyoti Poudel <i>Jyoti Poudel and Associates</i>	Tejman Shrestha <i>Tribhuvan University</i>	Simon van der Sluijs <i>Van Diepen Van der Kroef Advocaten</i>	Mark Winger <i>Holmden Horrocks</i>	Nicaragua
Kalyan Pokhrel <i>F-Dimensional Legal Services and Research Centre Pvt. Ltd.</i>	Tek Tamata <i>United Nations Development Programme</i>	Steven Jellinghaus <i>De Voort Advocaten Mediators; Tilburg University</i>	Matt Berkahn <i>Massey University</i>	Abraham A. Salinas <i>University of South Florida; Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Nicaragua</i>
Maskey Mathura Kumar <i>SBT Law Associates</i>	Upendra Raj Dulal <i>Sinha Verma Law Concern</i>	Thomas Timmermans <i>Norton Rose Fulbright LLP</i>	Nick Crang <i>Duncan Cotterill</i>	Angelica Maria Toruño García <i>Universidad Evangelica Nicaraguense Martin Luther King Jr.</i>
Meen Poudyal Chhetri <i>Nepal Center for Disaster Management</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Anonymous Contributors	Nigel Hampton	Avil Ramírez Mayorga <i>Central Law</i>
Mukunda Prasad Paudel <i>National Law Chamber</i>	Netherlands	New Zealand	Paul Michalik	Carlos Alberto Herrera Calero
Nil Mani Upadhyay <i>National Medical College</i>	Arnold C. Hoegen Dijkhof <i>Hoegen Dijkhof Dutch Business Lawyers</i>	Alan Knowsley <i>Rainey Collins Lawyers</i>	Paul Roth <i>University of Otago</i>	Fernandolino Narvaez <i>Narvaez Mojica & Associates</i>
Prabin Subedi <i>Paramount Legal Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd.</i>	Arnold Versteeg <i>Macro & Versteeg Advocaten</i>	Andrew Schulte <i>Cavell Leitch</i>	Peter G. Watts <i>Bankside Chambers</i>	Gerardo Melecio Escorcía Díaz <i>Bufete García & Bodan</i>
Prashanna Shrestha <i>Pradhan & Associates</i>	Barbalique Peters	Austin Forbes <i>Clarendon Chambers</i>	Petra Butler <i>Victoria University of Wellington</i>	Gerardo Martin Hernandez <i>Consortium Abogados</i>
Rabin Subedi <i>National Law College</i>	Eugenie Nunes <i>Dentons</i>	Bennet Castelino <i>Castlefinn Law</i>	Samantha Turner <i>Simpson Grierison</i>	Gustavo Antonio Lopez Arguello <i>Lopez Arguello & Associates</i>
Rudra Sharma <i>Transnational Law House</i>	Gabriel Meijers <i>Meijers Canatan Advocaten</i>	Brenda Midson <i>University of Waikato</i>	Scott Wilson <i>Duncan Cotterill</i>	Hugo José Arauz Sampson
Rukamanee Maharjan <i>Tribhuvan University</i>	Hansko Broeksteeg <i>Radboud Universiteit</i>	Campbell Roberts <i>The Salvation Army</i>	Simon George Gyenge <i>Lyon O'Neale Arnold Lawyers</i>	Ivania Lucía Paguaga Cuadra <i>Arias Law</i>
Sambidha Sharma <i>Pradhan & Associates</i>	Henk Snijders <i>University of Leiden</i>	Charl Hirschfeld <i>Ranfurly Chambers</i>	Sonja M. Cooper <i>Cooper Legal</i>	Jairo Vanegas López <i>Universidad de Santiago de Chile</i>
Sangha Ratna Bajracharya <i>Tribhuvan University</i>	Jaap-Willem Roozmond <i>Roozmond [+] De Haan Advocaten</i>	Colin Henry	Stephen Eliot Smith <i>University of Otago</i>	Leonardo Maldonado González <i>Arias Law</i>
Satish Adhikari <i>Perennial Legal Services</i>	Jacqueline van den Bosch <i>IVY Advocaten</i>	D. J. Lyon <i>Lyon O'Neale Arnold Lawyers</i>	Stephen Franks <i>Franks Ogilvie</i>	Marcia Ibarra Herrera <i>Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Nicaragua, Managua</i>
Shital Subedi	Jolanda Meeuwissen <i>Netherlands Institute of Mental Health and Addiction (Trimbos Institute)</i>	Elcel Nerida <i>Amicus Law</i>	Steven Zindel <i>Zindels</i>	Roderick Salinas Arguello <i>Salinas y Asociados</i>
Shiva Prasad Rijal <i>Pioneer Law Associates</i>	Joost Italianer <i>NautaDutilh</i>	Frances Joychild	Sylvia Bell <i>Centre for Human Rights Law, Policy and Practice</i>	Roy Marcel Rivera Pastora <i>Francisco Ortega & Asociados</i>
Shringa Rishi Kafle <i>Merit Legal Consultancy Pvt. Ltd.</i>	Joseph J. van Dort <i>Van Dort Advocatuur</i>	Francisc Catalin Deliu Gay Morgan <i>University of Waikato</i>	Trevor Daya-Winterbottom <i>University of Waikato</i>	Soraya Montoya Herrera <i>Central Law</i>
Shyam Kumar Khatri <i>Kathmandu Legals</i>	Léon Graal <i>Sarfaty Advocaten</i>	Gordon Anderson <i>Victoria University of Wellington</i>	W. John Hopkins <i>University of Canterbury</i>	
Subarna K. Khatri <i>Nepal Nutrition Intervention Project</i>	N. P. Scholte <i>Advocatenkantoor Scholte</i>	Grace Haden <i>Transparency New Zealand Ltd.</i>	Warren Brookbanks <i>Auckland University of Technology</i>	
Sudeep Gautam	Reinier W. L. Russell <i>Russell Advocaten</i>	Ian Miller	William Akel <i>Sangro Chambers</i>	
Sushila Subedi <i>PSM Global Consultants P. Ltd.</i>		Kate Diesfeld <i>Auckland University of Technology</i>	Anonymous Contributors	
		Malcolm Rabson		
		Marie Bismark <i>University of Melbourne</i>		

Uriel E. Balladares Abaunza <i>Arias Law</i>	Hamadou Zada Harouna <i>Barreau du Niger</i>	Adamu M. Usman F. O. Akinrele & Co.	Ibrahim Imam	Shedrack Ekpa <i>Kogi State University</i>
V. Mendez <i>Nicaragua Public Health Association</i>	Hamani Oumarou <i>Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey</i>	Adewale Akande <i>Auxilium Attorneys</i>	Israel O. Akanmidu <i>Israel Akanmidu & Associates LP</i>	Soji Awogbade <i>ÆLEX</i>
Yaser Gabriel Bonilla <i>Central Law; Molina & Asoc.</i>	Idrissa Tchernaka <i>LBTI & Partners</i>	Aina Precious Aderemi <i>Babalakin and Co.</i>	John Dare Oloyede <i>J.D. Oloyede's Law Chambers</i>	Sonnie Ekwowusi <i>Sonnie Ekwowusi & Co.</i>
Anonymous Contributor	Mahamadou Rabiou Souley Dagouma <i>SCPA JUSTICIA</i>	Ayo Olanrewaju <i>Ayo Olanrewaju & Co.; Nigerian Law Publications</i>	Jonathan Chi Daboer <i>University of Jos</i>	Terrumun Z. Swende <i>Benue State University</i>
Niger	Mahamane Laouali Manzo <i>Ministère de la Santé Publique</i>	Babajide O. Ogundipe <i>Sofunde Osakwe Ogundipe & Belgore</i>	Joseph E. O. Abugu <i>Abugu & Co.</i>	Uju Obuka <i>University of Nigeria, Nsukka</i>
Abdoul-Aziz Mamadou Maiga <i>Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo</i>	Moumouni Fatoumata <i>Syndicat Autonome des Magistrats du Niger</i>	Bolanle Jibogun <i>Legal Aid Council of Nigeria</i>	Kemi Oluwagbemiro <i>F. O. Akinrele & Co.</i>	Wahab Egbewole <i>University of Ilorin</i>
Aboubacar Souley <i>CRAMS_EXA</i>	Nassirou Lawali	Chiamaka I. Orabueze <i>University of Nigeria, Nsukka</i>	Laura Omolola Ikwaagwu <i>George Ikoli & Okagbue</i>	Yomi Alliyy San <i>Chief Yomi Alliyy & Co.</i>
Adamou Rabani <i>Observatoire Sahelo Saharien de Stratégie et Géopolitique</i>	Nouhou Mahamadou Arzika <i>Mouvement pour la Promotion de la Citoyenneté Responsable</i>	Chinyere Nwokoro-Ndimele <i>Legal Luminaries Solicitors</i>	Meg Mezie-Okoye <i>Madonna University, Elele Campus</i>	Yomi Dare <i>Checkers Consultancy Services</i>
Aichatou Garba Mahamane <i>Barreau du Niger</i>	Oumarou Mahaman Rabiou	Chioma Kanu Agomo <i>University of Lagos</i>	Michael C. Asuzu <i>University of Ibadan</i>	Anonymous Contributors
Ali Idrissa <i>Le Réseau des Organisations pour la Transparence et l'Analyse Budgétaire</i>	Rakia Boubakar <i>Centre de Recherche Médicale et Sanitaire; Ministère de la Santé Publique</i>	Chisom Ndubuisi <i>Udo Udoma & Belo-Osagie</i>	Obiajulu Nnamuchi <i>University of Nigeria, Nsukka</i>	North Macedonia
Amadou Djibo Gazibo	Sarah Burgess <i>Camber Collective</i>	Christine Ike <i>University of Nigeria, Nsukka</i>	Oghogho Makinde <i>Aluko & Oyebo</i>	Aleksandar Ickovski
Amadou Imerane Maiga <i>L'Ecole de la Protection Civile de Niamey; L'Université de Niamey; Université Jean Moulin Lyon 3</i>	Thomas Kelley <i>University of North Carolina</i>	Damian Njoku-Umeh <i>Umuenyi Law Chambers</i>	Olaniyi Felix Olayinka <i>Niyi Olayinka & Co.</i>	Aleksandra Gruevska Drakulevski <i>Ss. Cyril and Methodius University</i>
Bachir Talfi Idrissa <i>Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey</i>	Wassiri Ibrahim Sidi Chaffa	Edwin Obimma Ezike <i>University of Nigeria, Nsukka</i>	Olusoji Elias	Aneta Jovanoska Trajanovska, Biljana Mladenovska, Irena Mitkovska, and Zlatko Antevski <i>Lawyers Antevski</i>
Bara Ibrah <i>Inspection de la Gouvernance Administrative</i>	Yacouba Mahaman Nabara <i>Barreau du Niger</i>	Efena Efetie <i>National Hospital, Abuja</i>	Oluwadamilare Yomi-Alliyu <i>Chief Yomi Alliyu & Co.</i>	Biljana Panova <i>Law Office Panova</i>
Barry Bibata Gnaudou <i>LBTI & Partners</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Emmanuel Onyedi Wingate <i>West African Ventures Limited</i>	Oluwafunke Adeoye <i>Hope Behind Bars Africa</i>	Darko Spasevski <i>Ss. Cyril and Methodius University</i>
Boubacar Oumarou <i>SCPA BNI</i>	Nigeria	Enoch Mozong Azariah <i>Legal Aid Council of Nigeria</i>	Omobola Bakare <i>F. O. Akinrele & Co.</i>	Deljo Kadiev <i>Kadiev Law Office</i>
Daouda Samna <i>Conférence des Barreaux Ohada</i>	Abdulfattah Adewale Bakre <i>Legal Aid Council of Nigeria</i>	Festus O. Ukwueze <i>University of Nigeria, Nsukka</i>	Onjefu Adoga <i>Brooke Chambers Law Firm</i>	Doncho Donev <i>Ss. Cyril and Methodius University</i>
Effred Mouloul <i>Cabinet d'Avocat Boudal</i>	Abdulhamid Abdullahi Bagara <i>Community Health and Research Initiative</i>	Gbenga Odusola <i>Acme Law Partners</i>	Ozofu 'Latunde Ogiemudia <i>Udo Udoma & Belo-Osagie</i>	Dori Kimova <i>Kimova Law Office</i>
		Gbenga Oyebo <i>Aluko & Oyebo</i>	Peter K. Fogam <i>University of Lagos</i>	Dragan Lazarov <i>Law Office Lazarov</i>
		Godwin Etim <i>ÆLEX</i>	Pontian N. Okoli <i>University of Stirling</i>	Emil Miftari
			Samuel O. Adesola <i>Nnenna Ejekam Associates</i>	Filip Nacevski <i>Law Firm Donevski</i>

Leonid Trpenoski <i>Trpenoski Law Firm</i>	Niels R. Kiær <i>Rime Advokatfirma DA</i>	Mohammad Hassan Arif <i>Liaquat Merchant Associates</i>	Carlos Ernesto Gonzalez Ramirez <i>Morgan & Morgan</i>	Alicia Jimenez Llerena <i>Gonzalez Hunt Abogados Laboralistas - Littler</i>
Ljupka Noveska Andonova	Olav Molven <i>VID Specialized University</i>	Muhammad Nouman Shams <i>Qazi Law Associates</i>	Carlos Sucre Levy <i>Sucre, Arias & Reyes</i>	Antonio David Bardales Pereira
Maja Risteska <i>National Insurance Group AD Insurance Policy Skopje</i>	Ole Kristian Fauchald <i>University of Oslo</i>	Muzaffar Islam <i>Legis Inn, Attorneys & Corporate Consultants</i>	Cristina De Roux and Eduardo Ferrer <i>Morgan & Morgan</i>	Armando Ramiro Natividad Maguiña <i>Estudio Gheri Abogados</i>
Martin Monevski <i>Monevski Law Firm</i>	Ørnulf Øyen <i>University of Bergen</i>	Naila Baig-Ansari <i>Global Health Directorate - Indus Health Network</i>	Daniel R. Pichel <i>Universidad de Panamá</i>	Arturo Gárate Salazar <i>Universidad Nacional Federico Villarreal</i>
Neda Milevska Kostova <i>Studiorum</i>	Paul Saele <i>Dental Competance Center</i>	Neha Mankani <i>Global Health Directorate - Indus Health Network</i>	Dora Estripeaut <i>Hospital del Niño Doctor José Renán Esquivel</i>	Carlos Palacios <i>Universidad Nacional de San Agustín de Arequipa Perú</i>
Nikolcho Lazarov <i>Law Office Lazarov</i>	Stella Tuft <i>Microsoft</i>	Qazi Shams ul Haq <i>Qazi Law Associates</i>	Ernesto Shirley <i>Shirley & Asociados</i>	César Puntriano <i>Universidad ESAN</i>
Olivera Docevska <i>Justicia Association</i>	Tor Vale	Saira Khowaja <i>Interactive Research and Development</i>	Jorge Isaac Ortega	Christian Valencia Sarmiento <i>Estudio Gheri Abogados</i>
Sonja Stojcevska <i>Cakmakova Advocates</i>	Ulf Stridbeck <i>University of Oslo</i>	Shahid Anwar Bajwa <i>Shahid Anwar Bajwa & Co.</i>	Jorge Molina Mendoza <i>Fabrega Molino</i>	Danilo Sanchez Coronel <i>Universidad Norbert Wiener</i>
Svetlana Necheva <i>Law Office Pepeljugoski Skopje</i>	Werner Christie <i>World Health Connections Ltd.</i>	Shams ul Haque Joiya <i>Right Law Company</i>	Juan Pablo Fábrega Polleri <i>Fabrega Molino</i>	Dennis Oswaldo Vilchez Ramírez <i>Estudio Gheri Abogados</i>
Svetlana Veljanovska <i>St. Clement of Ohrid University of Bitola</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Tariq Rahim <i>Tariq Rahim Law Associates</i>	Julia Sáenz <i>Sistema Nacional de Investigadores; Secretaría Nacional de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación</i>	Eduardo Herrera Velarde
Vojdan Monevski <i>Monevski Law Firm</i>	Aiman Tariq <i>Jinnah Post Graduate Medical Center</i>	Umer Farooq <i>Ayub Medical College</i>	María Eugenia Brenes <i>Morgan & Morgan</i>	German Jimenez Borra <i>Estudio Muñiz</i>
Anonymous Contributors	Benazir Jatoi	Anonymous Contributors	Mario Adolfo Rognoni <i>Arosemena Noriega & Contreras</i>	Gonzalo Garcia Calderon
Norway	Faiza Muzaffar <i>Legis Inn, Attorneys & Corporate Consultants</i>	Panama	Mayte Sánchez G. <i>Morgan & Morgan</i>	Javier Castro Salinas <i>Baker McKenzie; Estudio Echecopar</i>
Bent Endresen <i>EBT AS</i>	Firasat Rizwana Siddiqui	Adán Arnulfo Arjona L. <i>Galindo, Arias & Lopez</i>	Milagros Caballero V. <i>Morgan & Morgan</i>	Javier Lizarraga <i>Universidad Nacional San Agustín</i>
Carl Arthur Christiansen <i>Advokatfirmaet Ræder AS</i>	Hasan Hameed Bhatti <i>Lahore Waste Management Company</i>	Alcides Gabriel Castillo Rivera <i>Acabogadopty</i>	Natalia Vega <i>University of South Florida</i>	José Luis Velarde Lazarte <i>Facto Litigios & Compliance</i>
Frank S. Thrana <i>Public Health Med-Base</i>	Khalid A. Rehman <i>Surridge and Beecheno Advocates and Corporate Consultant</i>	Alfonso Raúl Pineda Camaño	Rafael Rodrigo Rodriguez Barrios <i>Lex Labour Panama</i>	Julio Arbizu González <i>Estudio Arbizu & Gamarra Abogados</i>
Geir Steinberg <i>Advokatfirmaet Haavind AS</i>	M. Akram Sheikh <i>Akram Sheikh Law Associates</i>	Armando Barba <i>Ministerio de Salud</i>	Sebastián Rodríguez Robles <i>Rodríguez - Robles & Asociados</i>	Luciano López Flores <i>Estudio Luciano López Flores & Abogados</i>
Harald B. Ciarlo	Maliha Zia <i>Legal Aid Society</i>	Campo Elías Muñoz Arango <i>Universidad de Panamá</i>	Yariela Gonzalez Ortega <i>Universidad de Panamá</i>	Manuel Bermúdez Tapia <i>Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos</i>
Henrik Bjørnebye <i>University of Oslo</i>	Mehak Ali <i>Global Health Directorate - Indus Health Network</i>	Carlos A. Arrue Montenegro	Anonymous Contributors	
Inge Lorange Backer <i>University of Oslo</i>	Mohammad Akmal Wasim <i>Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education; Supreme Court of Pakistan</i>	Carlos Barsallo <i>Transparency International</i>	Peru	
Karl Harald Søvig <i>University of Bergen</i>			Alberto Varillas <i>García Sayán Abogados</i>	
Magne Strandberg <i>University of Bergen</i>				
Morten Grandal <i>The Consumer Authority</i>				

Manuel Villa-García Noriega <i>Estudio Olaechea</i>	Jesunito G. Morillos <i>Follosco Morillos & Herce</i>	Joanna Kosińska-Wiercińska <i>Kancelaria Adwokacka Adwokat</i>	Felipe Baião do Nascimento <i>Maricato, Lima & Associados - Sociedade de Advogados</i>	Pedro Miguel Branco <i>Escritório de Advocacia Pedro Miguel Branco</i>
Marcos Ricardo Revatta Salas <i>Universidad Nacional San Luis Gonzaga de Ica</i>	Jonathan Sale <i>ILPC</i>	Justyna Bartnik <i>Adam Morawski & Partners Law Firm</i>	Fernando Alves Correia <i>Universidade de Coimbra</i>	Pedro Rodrigues da Mata <i>PRM & Associados</i>
María del Pilar Pozo García <i>Hospital Central Fuerza Aerea del Peru</i>	Karen S. Gomez Dumpit <i>Commission on Human Rights of the Philippines</i>	Krzysztof Kaleta <i>University of Warsaw</i>	Francisco Marques Bom <i>Marques Bom & Associados</i>	Rui Costa Pereira <i>PLMJ Advogados, SP, RL</i>
Mario Castillo Freyre <i>Estudio Mario Castillo Freyre</i>	Leland R. Villadolid Jr. <i>Angara Abello Concepcion Regala & Cruz Law Offices</i>	Krzysztof Kowalczyk <i>BSJP Law Firm</i>	Francisco Marques Vieira <i>Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL</i>	Rui Tavares Correia <i>Abreu & Marques</i>
Oscar Cubas Barrueto <i>Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos</i>	Ma. Louisa M. Vilorio-Yap	Olga Sitarz <i>University of Silesia</i>	Francisco Moraes Coelho <i>Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL</i>	Sandrine Bisson Marvão
Rafael Sapler Zaidman <i>Estudio Ghersi Abogados</i>	Miguel B. Licalde <i>ALGA Law</i>	Paweł Waszkiewicz <i>University of Warsaw</i>	Gonçalo Gil Barreiros <i>PRA - Raposo, Sá Miranda & Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL</i>	Sofia Martins dos Santos <i>Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL</i>
Raul Alberto Tolmos Saponara	Nancy Joan Javier <i>Javier Law</i>	Piotr Kuzniak <i>Kancelaria Radcy Prawnego Piotr Kuzniak</i>	Gonçalo Tavares Gomes <i>Ferreira Leite, Rua, Pontes & Associados</i>	Sofia Monge <i>Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL</i>
Rossana Maccera	Reginald A. Tongol <i>Tongol Joven and Associates Law & Communications</i>	Wojciech Babicki <i>Miller Canfield, W. Babicki, A. Chelchowski and Partners</i>	Inês Reis <i>PBBR</i>	Spencer Dohner <i>Spencer Dohner, Catia Martins & Vanda Matos Lawyers</i>
Silvia Rebaza Santa Cruz <i>Estudio Gonzales Hunt</i>	Rhea Berba-Quimson <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>	Wojciech Klicki <i>Fundacja Panoptykon</i>	Joana Barrilaro Ruas <i>Ferreira da Conceição, Menezes & Associados</i>	Teresa Violante <i>Erlangen-Nürnberg Universität</i>
Sofia S. Sánchez Boluarte <i>Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Neurológicas</i>	Ronahlee A. Asuncion <i>University of the Philippines Diliman</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Joao Tavares Ribeiro <i>JTR Advogado</i>	Vânia Costa Ramos <i>Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL</i>
Susana Astorga Macedo <i>Estudio Villegas</i>	Roy Enrico C. Santos <i>PJS Law</i>	Ana Pires <i>Universidade Atlântica</i>	Luís Brito Correia	Anonymous Contributors
Anonymous Contributors	Anonymous Contributors	Ana Rita Gil <i>Universidade Nova de Lisboa</i>	Luis Miguel Amaral <i>Luis Miguel Amaral - Advogados / Lawyers</i>	Republic of Korea
Philippines	Poland	António Casa Nova <i>Escola Superior de Saúde de Portalegre</i>	Maria do Rosário Anjos <i>Anjos, Martins & Associados</i>	Chang Woo Lee <i>Donghwa Labor Consulting Corporation</i>
Carmelita Gopez Nuqui <i>Development Action for Women Network</i>	Adam Morawski <i>Adam Morawski & Partners Law Firm</i>	António Vaz de Castro <i>Universidade de Coimbra</i>	Maria Inês Gameiro <i>NOVA University of Lisbon</i>	Domyung Paek <i>Seoul National University</i>
Cesar Villanueva <i>Villanueva Gabionza Dy Law Firm</i>	Agnieszka Helsztyńska <i>Kancelaria Adwokacka Adwokat</i>	Carlos Lopes Ribeiro <i>Camargo & Radzinski Advogados Associados</i>	Maria João Mimoso <i>Nenhuma</i>	Haksoo Ko <i>Seoul National University</i>
Emerico O. De Guzman <i>ACCRALAW</i>	Andrzej Brodziak <i>University of Applied Sciences</i>	Carlos Pinto de Abreu <i>Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL</i>	Miguel Andrade	Hwang Lee <i>Korea University</i>
Enriqueito J. Mendoza <i>Romulo Mabanta Buenaventura Sayoc & de los Angeles</i>	Bartosz Kucharski <i>Bartosz Kucharski Advocate Chamber</i>	Carolina Boullosa Gonzalez <i>Bind Sociedade de Advogados</i>	P. Saragoça da Matta <i>Sociedade de Advogados Saragoça da Matta e Silveiro de Barros</i>	Jaehyuk Ahn <i>Kim & Chang</i>
Francis Tom Temprosa <i>Ateneo de Manila University</i>	Jaroslaw Gwizdak <i>Institute for Law and Society</i>	Eduardo Buisson Loureiro	Paulo de Sá e Cunha <i>Cuatrecasas</i>	Jaeseop Song <i>Shin & Kim</i>
Jelson Garcia <i>Commons Analytics and Strategies</i>				Junsok Yang <i>The Catholic University of Korea</i>

Moon-hyuck Ho
Seoul National University

Sangbong Lee
DR & AJU International
Law LLC

Sung Kyu (Scott) Lee
Kim & Chang

Young Hun Yoon
Hewlett Packard Korea
Ltd.

Young Shim
Yonsei University

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Romania

Alexandru Athanasiu
University of Bucharest

Alina Daniela Nestor
Bar of Suceava

Andrei Danciu
SCA Cataniciu & Asociatii

**Andrei Mircea
Zamfirescu**
Gilescu, Valeanu,
Nathanzon & Partners

Aura Campranu
PETOŠEVIĆ

Bogdan C. Stoica
Popovici Nitu Stoica &
Asociatii

Bulai Nicolae-Bogdan
University of Bucharest

Cezara Batranu Ciuca
Cab. av. Cezara Batranu
Ciuca

Ciuca Aurora
Ștefan cel Mare University
of Suceava

Cosmin Flavius Costăș
Costăș, Negru &
Asociații - Lawyers' Civil
Partnership

Cristian Vladescu
National School of Public
Health, Management and
Professional Development

Dan Oancea
University of Bucharest

Diana Botau
Babes-Bolyai University

Dragoș Daghie
Daghie & Asociații Law
Firm; Universitatea
Dunărea de Jos Galați

Dunea Mihai
Alexandru Ioan Cuza
University of Iași

Eugen Octavian Chivu
SCP Doru Traila si Asociatii

Florentin Timoiianu
Rubin Meyer Doru &
Trandafir LPC

Florina Firaru
PETOŠEVIĆ

George Nedelcu

Gheorghe Piperea
Bucharest University;
Piperea si Asociatii Law
Firm

Iulian Alexander Stoia
Bucharest Bar

Larion Alina-Paula
Ștefan cel Mare University
of Suceava

Lazăr Ioan
1 Decembrie 1918
University; Baroul Alba

Marius Balan
Alexandru Ioan Cuza
University of Iași

Mihai Carabas
Carabas, Lungu - Societate
Civila de Avocati

Mihail Romeo Nicolescu
Romeo Nicolescu Law
Office

Mihnea Stoica
SCA Mihnea Stoica &
Asociatii

Miloiu Ciprian
AuxMundus Law

Nicolae-Horia Tit
Alexandru Ioan Cuza
University of Iași

Ovidiu Podaru
Babes-Bolyai University

**Ploesteanu Nicolae
Dragos**
Universitatea de
Medicină, Farmacie,
Științe și Tehnologie din
Tîrgu Mureș

Radu Rizoiu
Bucharest University

Raul Miron
Universitatea de
Medicină, Farmacie,
Științe și Tehnologie din
Tîrgu Mureș

Septimiu Panainte
Alexandru Ioan Cuza
University of Iași

Sergiu Bogdan
Sergiu Bogdan & Asociatii

Sergiu Golub
UBB Cluj-Napoca

Silvia Gabriela Scintee
National School of Public
Health, Management and
Professional Development

Valerius M. Ciuca
Alexandru Ioan Cuza
University of Iași

Veronica Dobozi
SCA Stoica & Asociatii

Veronica Voinescu
Voinescu & Partner

Vlad Neacsu
Popovici Nitu Stoica &
Asociatii

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Russian Federation

Andrey Demin
Russian Public Health
Association

Dmitry Garifulin
Corporations and
Shareholders Project

Eduard Margulyan

Elena Sergeevna Petri
Arabis Group

Elena Sinelnikova
Saint-Petersburg State
Pediatric Medical
University

Gleb Glinka
Glinka, Rubnstein &
Partners

**Mari Davitovna
Davtyan**
Consortium of Women's
NGOs

Maria Erokhova
Moscow Higher School
of Social & Economic
Sciences

Maria Voskobitova
ABA Rule of Law Initiative

Nikolai Kostenko
Moscow Helsinki Group

**Pavel Alexandrovich
Kabanov**
Kazan Innovative
University

Sergei L. Lazarev
Russin & Vecchi

Sergei Murzakokv
HSE - Nizhny Novhorod

Sergey Avrusin
Saint-Petersburg State
Pediatric Medical
University

Sergey Bogatyrev
Beiten Burkhardt

Sergey Stepanov
The Institute of Private
Law

**Svetlana Igorevna
Dobrovolskaya**
Moscow Regional Bar
Association

Viktor Pomelov
Russin & Vecchi

Vilena Voronich
Russin & Vecchi

Vyacheslav Chasnyk
Saint-Petersburg State Pe-
diatric Medical University

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Rwanda

Albert Nkundabatware M.
Ebenezer Law Firm Ltd.;
Rwanda Bar Association

Arsène Rutiyomba

Christine Habyarimana
HC General Legal Services
Ltd

Counsel Herbert Zziwa
Lavoix Advocates

Edgar Ankunda
Lavoix Advocates

Habimana Pie
Amilex Chambers

Jean Claude Twayigize
Rwanda Bar Association

Jean Pierre Nkurunziza
B&A Advocates

Kayijuka Ngabo
Nexus Attorneys

Mugemangango Paul
PMM Advocate and
Consultant Limited

Mukoka Ronald Wallter
MK Associated Advocates

Uwimabera Bata
Rwanda Bar Association

Vedaste Mugemanyi
Oasis Law Chambers

Yamfashije Jeannine
UCLouvain Saint-Louis

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Senegal

Adrien Dioh
Université Gaston Berger

Amadou Drame
Société de Développement
et des Fibres Textiles

Amadou Yakhya Fall
Lexisen Avocats

Ameth Ba
SCP Ba & Tandian

Balla Sy
Sonatel

Boubacar Diakite
Geni & Kebe

**Cheikh Ahmadou
Ndiaye**
Barreau du Sénégal

**Cheikh Fall Cabinet
d'Avocats**

Clément Diarga Basse

El Hadji Oumar Sane
District Sanitaire de
Bignona

**Gabriel Massène
Senghor**
District Sanitaire
d'Oussouye

Laura Petiot <i>L.P-Consulting</i>	Jane Paunkovic <i>Megatrend University</i>	Nemata Majeks-Walker <i>The 50/50 Group of Sierra Leone</i>	Iris Pensa <i>Jadek & Pensa Law Office</i>	Hugh Corder <i>University of Cape Town</i>
Mansour Gningue <i>Geni & Kebe</i>	Jovana Tomić <i>Caković Tomić Law Office</i>	Patrick Ngenda Johnbull <i>Centre for Access to Justice Peace and Human Rights</i>	Jorg Sladič <i>Nova Univerza</i>	Jacques Matthee <i>University of the Free State</i>
Meissa Toure <i>Euromed Universite</i>	Miljkan Karličić <i>Advokatska Kancelarija Karličić</i>		Ljuba Zupančič Čokert <i>Miro Senica and Attorneys, Ltd.</i>	Jacques van Wyk <i>Werksmans Attorneys</i>
Mohamadou Boye <i>Université Gaston Berger</i>	Nebojsa Stankovic <i>Stankovic & Partners Law Office</i>	Regena Juliana Kain <i>BRAC International</i>	Luka Ticar <i>University of Ljubljana</i>	Joey Berning <i>University of South Africa</i>
Ndeye Khoudia Tounkara <i>SCPA Mayacine Tounkara et Associes</i>	Ognjen Djuric	Ronald Gidwani <i>Halloway & Partners</i>	Matija Repolusk	Johann Kriegler <i>Freedom Under Law</i>
Ndiaye Semou <i>Univrsité Cheikh Anta DIOP de Dakar</i>	Petar Bulat <i>University of Belgrade</i>	Vandi Tamba Sombie <i>Bo Children's Hospital</i>	Nina Persak <i>University of Ljubljana</i>	Lesiba Lolly Motsepe <i>University of South Africa</i>
Ndiouma Ndour <i>Université Assane Seck de Ziguinchor</i>	Petar Stojanovic <i>Joksovic, Stojanovic & Partners</i>	Yembah Marah <i>Catholic Relief Services</i>	Peter Fašun <i>Fašun, Melihen, Milač, Strojnan</i>	Lukas Muntingh <i>University of the Western Cape</i>
Paul Babacar Faye <i>SCP Sow-Seck-Diagne & Associes</i>	Simonida Sladojevic-Stanimirovic	Anonymous Contributors	Peter Stanovnik <i>Institute for Economic Research</i>	Martin Brassey
Rahimine Azimari Toure <i>Geni & Kebe</i>	Vladimir Hrle <i>Hrle Attorneys</i>	Singapore	Primož Rožman <i>Zavod RS za Transfuzijsko Medicino</i>	Martin van Staden <i>Free Market Foundation</i>
Samba Cor Sarr <i>Ministère de la Sante et de l'Action Social</i>	Zoran Radovic <i>Radovic & Ratkovic Attorneys</i>	Benjamin Joshua Ong <i>Singapore Management University</i>	Sara Ahlin Doljak	Mbuzeni Johnson Mathenjwa <i>University of South Africa</i>
Serigne Niang	Anonymous Contributors	Chia Boon Teck <i>Chia Wong LLP</i>	Tajka Golob Obrenović <i>Gross & Golob</i>	Mildred Bekink <i>University of South Africa</i>
Seyni Gueye <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Houda</i>	Sierra Leone	Chia Ti Lik <i>Chia Ngee Thuang & Co.</i>	Tine Mišić <i>ODI Law Firm</i>	Nisha Jacob <i>University of Cape Town</i>
Anonymous Contributors	Ady Macauley <i>B & J Partners</i>	Dan W. Puchniak <i>National University of Singapore</i>	Tjasa Drgan <i>Law Office Drnovsek</i>	Ntombifkile Mtshali <i>University of KwaZulu-Natal</i>
Serbia	Alphonsus B. M. Gbanie <i>Human Rights Defenders Network</i>	Eric Tin Keng Seng <i>Donaldson & Burkinshaw LLP</i>	Uroš Čop <i>Miro Senica and Attorneys, Ltd.</i>	P. J. Schwikkard <i>University of Cape Town</i>
Ana Popovic <i>Zivkovic Samardzic Law Office</i>	Augustine Sorie-Sengbe Marrah <i>KMK Solicitors</i>	Scott G. Wheeler	Anonymous Contributors	Peter Jordi <i>Wits Law Clinic</i>
Danijela Korać-Mandić <i>Novi Sad Humanitarian Centre</i>	Editayo Pabs-Garnon <i>Lambert & Partners</i>	Simon Chesterman <i>National University of Singapore</i>	South Africa	Pieter du Toit <i>North-West University</i>
Dragan Psodorov <i>Joksovic, Stojanovic & Partners</i>	Fatmata Sorie <i>Legal Access through Women Yearning for Equality Rights and Social Justice</i>	Suet-Fern Lee <i>Morgan Lewis & Bockius</i>	Altair Richards <i>ENSafrica</i>	Richard Haslop <i>Woodhead Bigby Inc.</i>
Dušan M. Stojković <i>Law Office Stojković</i>	Isaac David Babatunde John <i>Legal Access through Women Yearning for Equality Rights and Social Justice; Sorie and Bangura</i>	Thio Li-ann <i>National University of Singapore</i>	B. N. Harris <i>University of Pretoria</i>	Riette du Plessis <i>University of the Witwatersrand</i>
Filip Milošević <i>Law Office Milošević</i>		Anonymous Contributors	C. I. Tshoose <i>University of South Africa</i>	S. S. Terblanche <i>University of South Africa</i>
Goran Vucic <i>Joksovic, Stojanovic & Partners</i>	Ishmael Philip Mammie <i>Fornah-Sesay, Cummings, Showers & Co.</i>	Slovenia	Dejo Olowu <i>Walter Sisulu University</i>	Shrikant Peters <i>University of the Western Cape</i>
Ivan Kovacevic <i>Lalin Law Office</i>		Anton Gradišek <i>Dagra D.O.O.</i>	Derek Hellenberg <i>University of Cape Town</i>	Stephan du Plessis <i>Webbers Attorneys</i>
		Borut Bernik Bogataj	F. Venter <i>North-West University</i>	Victoria Bronstein <i>University of the Witwatersrand</i>
		Grega Strban <i>University of Ljubljana</i>	Funmi Abioye <i>University of South Africa</i>	

Wayne Mentz <i>North-West University</i>	Eduardo Santamaría Moral <i>J&A Garrigues SLP</i>	José Luis de Peray	Manuel Ángel De las Heras García <i>Universidad de Alicante</i>	Oscar Morales García <i>Uriá Menéndez</i>
Werner van Straaten <i>University of Pretoria</i>	Elena Espinosa	José Luis Goñi Sein <i>Universidad Pública de Navarra</i>	Manuel Cachón Cadenas <i>Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona</i>	Pablo López Ferrer <i>Uriá Menéndez</i>
Yousuf A. Vawda <i>University of KwaZulu-Natal</i>	Elena Sánchez Jordán <i>Universidad de La Laguna</i>	José Manuel Mateo Sierra <i>J&A Garrigues SLP</i>	Margarita Isabel Ramos Quintana <i>Universidad de La Laguna</i>	Patricia Barber <i>Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria</i>
Anonymous Contributors	Emilio Díaz Ruiz	José María Labeaga Azcona <i>Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia</i>	Margit Gaffal <i>Complutense University Madrid</i>	Rafael Ortiz Cervello <i>Garrigues Abogados</i>
Spain	Enric Fossas Espadaler <i>Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona</i>	José R. Repullo <i>Escuela Nacional de Sanidad</i>	María Acale Sánchez <i>Universidad de Cádiz</i>	Raquel Flórez Escobar <i>Freshfields</i>
Alba García Torres <i>Universidad Complutense de Madrid</i>	Federico Andrés Rodríguez Morata <i>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha</i>	José Vte. Martí Boscà <i>Sociedad Española de Sanidad Ambiental</i>	María Barberá Riera <i>Sociedad Española de Sanidad Ambiental</i>	Rebeca Benarroch Benarroch <i>Ciudad de Ceuta</i>
Alberto Blasco Hernando <i>J&A Garrigues SLP</i>	Federico Durán López <i>Universidad de Córdoba</i>	Juan Alberto Díaz López <i>J. A. Díaz Litigación Penal</i>	María del Rosario Gómez López <i>Universidad de Cádiz</i>	Remedios Aranda Rodríguez <i>Universidad Carlos III de Madrid</i>
Alfonso Pedrajas <i>Abdón Pedrajas Abogados</i>	Fernando Alberich Arjona <i>Rambla Abogados & Asesores</i>	Juan Antonio Lascuraín <i>Baker McKenzie; Universidad Autónoma de Madrid</i>	María Isabel Álvarez Vega <i>Universidad de Oviedo</i>	Remedios Menéndez Calvo <i>Universidad de Alcalá</i>
Almudena Álvarez <i>Álvarez Lentner</i>	Francisco Ramos Romeu <i>Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona</i>	Juan Francisco Aguiar Rodríguez <i>Servicio Canario de Salud, Gobierno de Canarias</i>	María José Benítez Jiménez <i>Universidad de Málaga</i>	Ricard Meneu <i>Fundación Instituto de Investigación en Servicios de Salud</i>
Amparo Garrigues Gimenez <i>Universidad Jaume I de Castellón</i>	Ildefonso Hernández Aguado <i>Universidad Miguel Hernández</i>	Juan Luis Beltrán Aguirre <i>Universidad Pública de Navarra</i>	Maria Ludivina Valvidares Suarez <i>Universidad de Oviedo</i>	Roberto Mazorriaga Las Hayas <i>Rambla Abogados & Asesores</i>
Andrea Macía Morillo <i>Universidad Autónoma de Madrid</i>	Iñigo Sagardoy de Simón <i>Sagardoy Abogados</i>	Juan Luis Moreno <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>	Maria Luisa de la Flor Fernández <i>Universidad de Cádiz</i>	Rocio Bonet <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>
Antonio Pedrajas Quiles <i>Abdón Pedrajas Abogados</i>	Jacobo Dopico Gómez-Aller <i>Universidad Carlos III de Madrid</i>	Juan M. Terradillos <i>Universidad de Cádiz</i>	María Pilar Marco Francia <i>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha</i>	Román Gil Alburquerque <i>Sagardoy Abogados</i>
Araceli Pelaez Rodriguez <i>De Castro Gabinete Juridico</i>	Jaime Flores Perez-Durías <i>J&A Garrigues SLP</i>	Juan Manuel López Ulla <i>Universidad de Cádiz</i>	Marina Lorente <i>J&A Garrigues SLP</i>	Rosa M. Satorras Fioretti <i>Universidad de Barcelona</i>
Benito Alaez Corral <i>Universidad de Oviedo</i>	Jaime Hernández Cuatrecasas	Juan Oliva Moreno <i>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha</i>	Mario Maraver Gómez <i>Universidad Autónoma de Madrid</i>	Rosa María Urbanos Garrido <i>Universidad Complutense de Madrid</i>
Bernardo del Rosal <i>Del Rosal & Adame Abogados; Universidad de Alicante</i>	Javier Melero <i>Melero & Gené Advocats</i>	Juan Pedro Cortés Labadía <i>Baker McKenzie</i>	Martín Godino <i>Sagardoy Abogados</i>	Rosario Vicente Martínez <i>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha</i>
Carla Sanahuja and Daniel Marín <i>Gomez-Acebo & Pombo</i>	Jesús Padilla Gálvez <i>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha</i>	Juan Roca Guillamón <i>Universidad de Murcia</i>	Miguel Ángel Presno Linera <i>Univesidad de Oviedo</i>	Santiago Fernandez Redondo <i>Hospital Universitario La Princesa</i>
Carlos Campillo-Artero <i>Servicio de Salud Islas Baleares; Universitat Ponpeu Fabra</i>	José Carlos Pinilla Domínguez <i>Garrigues Abogados</i>	Laura Pozuelo Pérez <i>Universidad Autónoma de Madrid</i>	Nicolás Bárcena Suárez <i>Universidad de Oviedo</i>	Sebastián Cantallops Mir <i>Rambla Abogados & Asesores</i>
Carlos Ramon Fernández Lies <i>Universidad Carlos III de Madrid</i>	José Fernández-Rañada <i>Garrigues Abogados</i>	Luis Gaité <i>Hospital Universitario Marques de Valdecilla</i>	Orlanda Díaz-García <i>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha</i>	
Christian Herrera <i>Herrera Advocats</i>				

Vicente Pastor y Aldeguer <i>Universidad Autónoma de Madrid</i>	Prathiba Mahanamahewa <i>University of Colombo; University of Technology Jamaica</i>	St. Lucia	Minouche Bromet <i>Academic Hospital Paramaribo</i>	Mauro Zamboni <i>Stockholm University</i>
Víctor Torre de Silva <i>IE University</i>	Priyantha Gamage	Alberta Richelieu <i>Richelieu & Co.</i>	Nashreen R. J. Ilahibaks <i>Essed & Sohansingh Lawyers</i>	Olov Marsater <i>University of Uppsala</i>
Xavier Castells <i>Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona</i>	R. M. N. U. Rajapaksha <i>Ministry of Health</i>	Alberton Richelieu <i>Richelieu & Co.</i>	Prema Sohansingh <i>Law Firm Essed & Sohansingh</i>	Reinhold Fahlbeck <i>Lund University</i>
Anonymous Contributors	S. R. L. Rosa <i>The Open University of Sri Lanka</i>	Henri-Jacques Mangal <i>1st National Bank St. Lucia Limited</i>	Ramesh Arun V-A Malahe <i>Malahe Advocaten</i>	Staffan Michelson <i>Hellström Advokatbyrå</i>
Sri Lanka	Savantha De Saram <i>D. L. & F. De Saram</i>	Leandra Gabrielle Verneuil <i>Jennifer Remy & Associates</i>	Ruby C. A. Bleau <i>Lim A Po Lawfirm</i>	Sverker Jönsson <i>Lund University</i>
Ajithaa Edirimane	Sumedha Mahawanniarachchi	Mary Juliana Charles <i>Mary Juliana Charles Chambers</i>	Serena N. Essed <i>Sewcharan Law Firm</i>	Anonymous Contributors
Anusha Wickramasinghe	Upali Jayatilaka	Tamara Foster <i>Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Tanzania
Camena Guneratne <i>The Open University of Sri Lanka</i>	Vinodh Wickremasooriya	Anonymous Contributors	Sweden	Anne H. Outwater <i>Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences</i>
Chandima J. Ambepitiya	Anonymous Contributors	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	Anne Ramberg <i>Swedish Bar Association</i>	Asina Omari <i>University of Dar es Salaam</i>
Chrisantha Abeysena <i>University of Kelaniya</i>	St. Kitts and Nevis	Margaret Hughes Ferrari <i>Hughes & Company</i>	Bengt Lundell <i>Lund University</i>	Barnabas Hatson Mwashambwa <i>Tanzania Petroleum Development Corporation</i>
D. M. Nisanka Madhubhashini Jayarathna <i>The Open University of Sri Lanka</i>	Dia C. Forrester <i>Daniel Brantley</i>	Mikhail Charles <i>Prudhoe Caribbean</i>	Birgitta Nysröm <i>Lund University</i>	Deus M. Kibamba <i>Tanzania Citizens' Information Bureau</i>
Dhanushka Dissanayake	Henry Los Browne <i>Browne & Associates</i>	Moureeze L. Franklyn <i>Baptiste & Co. Law Firm</i>	Bjorn Ohde <i>Advokataktiebolaget Roslagen</i>	Eliud Kitime <i>Open University of Tanzania</i>
Dushyantha Perera <i>Sudath Perera Associates</i>	Krysta S. Liburd-Clarke <i>Liburd Law</i>	Rene M. Baptiste <i>Baptiste & Co. Law Firm</i>	Boel Flodgren <i>Lund University</i>	Emmanuel Charles Moshi <i>MMAKI Advocates; University of Dodoma</i>
Gamini Balasooriya <i>Sudath Perera Associates</i>	Kurlyn D. V. Merchant <i>Merchant Legal Chambers</i>	Stephen Williams <i>Williams & Williams Chambers</i>	Catherine Lions <i>Umea University</i>	Eric Frank Ringo <i>FIN & LAW</i>
Gamini Perera <i>International Law Chambers</i>	Leonora L. Walwyn <i>Walwyn Law</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Christer Thordson <i>Legal Edge AB</i>	Ernestilla John Bahati <i>Breakthrough Attorneys</i>
Heshika Rupasinghe and Ramani Muttettuwagama <i>Tiruchelvam Associates</i>	Maurisha Robinson <i>Morton Robinson, L.P.</i>	Suriname	Gunilla Lindmark <i>Uppsala University</i>	Eustard P. A. Ngatale
Kanchana Ratwatte	Michella Adrien <i>Michella Adrien Law Offices</i>	Anne-Marel Linger <i>4 Justice Advocaten</i>	Jack Ågren <i>Stockholm University</i>	Fundikila Wazambi <i>Legal and Human Rights Centre</i>
Kaumadi Galagedara	Midge A. Morton Williams <i>Morton Robinson, L.P.</i>	Antoon Karg <i>North West Legal</i>	Jessika van der Sluijs <i>Stockholm University</i>	George Thomas Masoud <i>GMT Attorneys and Legal Consultants</i>
Kumudu Wijewardene <i>University of Sri Jaywardenepura</i>	Rayana Dowden <i>Webster LP</i>	Eloa Fanita van der Hilst <i>4 Justice Advocaten</i>	Johan Sangborn <i>Swedish Bar Association</i>	Gerald Nangi <i>Clyde & Co.</i>
Lasantha Hettiarachchi <i>Law Chambers of Lasantha Hettiarachchi</i>	Shemica K. Maloney <i>Maloney & Co.</i>	Francyn Djajadi <i>Legal Aid Advocaten</i>	Karl-Arne Olsson <i>WSA Law</i>	Hakiel Ombeni Mgonja <i>Donaldson and Wood</i>
Nalin Kumudu Ashubodha <i>Kolonnawa Nursing Home</i>	Sonya Parry <i>Gonsalves Parry</i>	Humphrey R. Schurman <i>Schurman Advocaten</i>	Karol Nowak <i>Lund University</i>	Jones Sendodo <i>Tanzania Human Rights Defenders Coalition</i>
	Anonymous Contributors	Maureen Tjon-Jaw-Chong	Laura Carlson <i>Stockholm University</i>	

Kheri R. Mbiro <i>Breakthrough Attorneys</i>	Togo	Martial Koffi Akakpo <i>Martial Akakpo & Associes</i>	Tamara Jackson	Nadhir Ben Yedder
Lucky Michael Mgimba <i>Godwin Attorneys</i>	Adjowavi Rose Adjenou Atanley <i>Clinique Autel d'Elie</i>	Raymond Adadjisso <i>Men's Togo</i>	Vernon Rooplal Prashad <i>Prashad & Associates</i>	Rachida Jelassi <i>Université de Tunis El Manar</i>
Mathias Omar <i>Human Rights Centre and Advocacy for East Africa</i>	Agbéwonou Koudasse <i>Cabinet d'Avocat de Maître Soedjede Galolo</i>	Simtokina N'gani <i>Ministère de la Santé et de l'Hygiène Publique</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Ridha Mezghani <i>R. Mezghani Law Office</i>
Octavian W. Temu <i>Octavian & Company Advocates</i>	Akou Pignandi <i>Fonds Mondial de Lutte Contre le Sida et la Tuberculose</i>	Sossinou Awoussi <i>Ministère de la Santé et de l'Hygiène Publique</i>	Tunisia	Wajdi Abdelhedi <i>Wab Expert</i>
Stephen Ally Mwakibolwa <i>Alley & Associates</i>	Amèvi Kpogno <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Darius Atsoo</i>	Thérèse Akouvi O. Donu <i>Barreau du Togo</i>	Amel Aouij <i>Université de Tunis El Manar</i>	Anonymous Contributors
Anonymous Contributors	Augustin Dokla <i>RAS + Togo</i>	Yaovi Montcho <i>Martial Akakpo & Associes</i>	Amine Hamdi <i>Hamdi Law Office</i>	Turkey
Thailand	Claude Kokou Amegan <i>Association Togolaise des Droits de l'Homme</i>	Yawo Apélété Agbobli <i>CHU Campus de Lomé</i>	Anissa Tabai	Aysegul Kula
Anant Akanisthaphichat <i>Thai Law Firm</i>	Damien Amoussou <i>Centre pour la Promotion des Droits de l'Homme et du Développement en Afrique</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Aymen Zaghdoudi <i>Carthage University</i>	Cem Yeniaras <i>Yeniaras Attorneys at Law</i>
Chacrit Sitdhiwej <i>Thammasat University</i>	Dékawunga Djoliba Kutolbena <i>Barreau du Togo; Cabinet Me Kutolbena</i>	Trinidad and Tobago	Brahim Latrech <i>Dr. Brahim Latrech Law Office</i>	Demirhan Burak Çelik <i>Galatasaray University</i>
Chalermwut Sriporm <i>Thammasat University</i>	Ferdinand Ekouévi Amazohoun <i>SCPA Femiza Associes</i>	Ariel Moonsie <i>Girwar & Deonarine</i>	Elies Letaifa <i>Juris International</i>	Ece Göztepe <i>Bilkent University</i>
Chulapong Yukate	Jean Yaovi Degli <i>Bâtir le Togo</i>	Betty-Ann Pilgrim <i>Ministry of Health</i>	Elyes Chafter <i>Chafter Raouadi Cabinet d'Avocats</i>	Esenyel Barak Bal <i>Cailliau & Colakel Law Firm</i>
Chusert Supasitthumrong <i>Tilleke & Gibbins International</i>	Jil-Benoit Kossi Afangbedji <i>Barreau du Togo</i>	Christopher Sieuchand <i>M.G. Daly & Partners</i>	Emna Yahyaoui	Fatih Selim Yurdakul <i>Yurdakul Law Office</i>
Ittichai Prasongprasit <i>R&T Asia Limited</i>	Kafui A. Amekoudi <i>Martial Akakpo & Associes</i>	Dawn Gillian Seecharan <i>Seecharan Scott Chambers</i>	Fares Elheni, Najet Skander, and Raouf Elheni <i>Eversheds Sutherland Elheni</i>	Işık Önay <i>Koç Üniversitesi</i>
Munin Pongsapan <i>Thammasat University</i>	Kodjo Kekeli Togbi	Gerard Hutchinson <i>University of the West Indies</i>	Hassine Fekih Ahmed	Mahmut Kaçan <i>MK Law Office</i>
Pisut Rakwong <i>Pisut & Partners</i>	Kolou Simliwa Dassa <i>Université de Lomé</i>	Gina Maharaj	Hechmi Louzir <i>Institut Pasteur de Tunis</i>	Murat Volkan Dülger <i>Dülger Law Office</i>
Roll Chunhakasikarn <i>Tilleke & Gibbins International</i>	Kossi Adjedomole <i>Martial Akakpo & Associes</i>	Hasine Shaikh <i>Regius Chambers</i>	Hedia Kedadi <i>Cabinet Kedadi International</i>	Nuray Gökçek Karaca <i>Anadolu University</i>
Sudarat Sereewat <i>FACE Foundation</i>	Kossi Assiom Bokodjin <i>Barreau du Togo</i>	Jerome Maxime	Imed Oussaifi <i>La Cour d'Appel</i>	Orhan Yavuz Mavioglu <i>ADMD Law Office</i>
Sumet Sirikunchoat <i>Thammasat University</i>	Koundé (Innocent) Kpeto <i>Ordre National des Pharmaciens</i>	Kaveeta Persad <i>Fitzwilliam, Stone, Furness-Smith & Morgan</i>	Inès Zribi <i>L'Association Tunisienne de Droit de Santé</i>	Osman Hayran <i>Medipol University</i>
Wanchai Yiamsamatha <i>LS Horizon</i>	M. Koffi Houndebasso <i>Société Togolaise de l'Evaluation -Evalueurs émergents</i>	Keri A. Kitson	Insaf Ben Aoun	Ozer Tuncay <i>Tuncay Law Office</i>
Wandee Sirichokchatchawan <i>Chulalongkorn University</i>		Michelle T. Ramnarine <i>Oscar Noel Ocho University of the West Indies</i>	Karim Ben Hamida <i>KBH Law Firm</i>	R. Murat Önok <i>Turkish Press Council</i>
Anonymous Contributors		Petra A. Mahabalsingh <i>Lex Caribbean</i>	Lassâad Dhaouadi <i>l'Institut Tunisien des Conseils Fiscaux</i>	Savas Bozbel <i>Bozbel Law & Consulting</i>
		Sonnel David-Longe <i>M.G. Daly & Partners</i>	Mahmoud Dawoud Yacoub <i>Ordre National des Avocats du Tunisie</i>	Serdar Hizir <i>Atilim University</i>
				Şule Özsoy Boyunsuz <i>Galatasaray University</i>
				Ufuk Aydın <i>Anadolu University</i>

Anonymous Contributors

Uganda

Adrian Jjuuko
Human Rights Awareness and Promotion Forum

Albert Turyahabwe
National Water and Sewerage Corporation

Alunga Patrick Alvarez
BJA Advocates

Atim Evelyn
Buwembo & Co. Advocates

Birungyi Cephas Kagyenda
Birungyi Barata & Associates

Brigitte Kusiima Byarugaba Sendi
Shonubi, Musoke and Co. Advocates

Bwiite Lydia
Platform for Labour Action

C. K. Kalumiya
Kampala Associated Advocates

Claire Amanyia Rukundo-Kakeeto
CR. Amanyia Advocates & Solicitors

Doreen Nawaali
MMAKS Advocates

Emmanuel Luyirika
African Palliative Care Association

Ferdinand Tumuhaise
Son of Loyola Legal Consultants

Francis Opedun
Mutalya & Co. Advocates

Fredrick K. Sentomero
OSH Advocates

Grace Mukwaya
Platform for Labour Action

Idoot Augustine Obilil
Kampala Associated Advocates

Justine Balya
Human Rights Awareness and Promotion Forum

Laura Nyirinkindi
Pro Initiatives Agency

Lilian Keene-Mugerwa
Kleeva Associated Advocates

Mary Nalwoga
Uganda Law Society

Miria Matembe

Raymond Mwebesa
Kampala Associated Advocates

Robert Mugisa
The Human Rights Centre Uganda

Ronald Tukachungurwa
Kodili & Co. Advocates

Sam Mayanja
Kampala Associated Advocates

Anonymous Contributors

Ukraine

Anna Mikhailyuk
Mikhailyuk, Sorokolat & Partners

Anna Sakalosh

Evgen Ryabokon
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

Hryhoriy Trotskyy
Danylo Halytskyi Lviv National Medical University

Igor Fedorenko
AVER LEX Attorneys at Law

Iryna Shevchuk
Engarde Attorneys at Law

Ivan Horodyskyy
Ukrainian Catholic University

Katerina Vlasyyuk
AVG Law Firm

Konstantin Naduty
Ukrainian Medical Expert Association

Kostiantyn Doroshenko
Leshchenko, Doroshenko & Partners

Larysa Matiukha
National Medical Academy of Postgraduate Educational

Lesia Sakalosh
Danylo Halytskyi Lviv National Medical University

Liliy V. Kriachkova
Dnipropetrovsk Medical Academy

Lyubomyr Drozdovskyy
Khasin & Drozdovskyy Barristers Association

Oleksandr Skliarenko
Skliarenko, Sydorenko and Partners

Oleksii Makarenkov
Zaporizhzhya National University

Olesia Otradnova
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

Olga Prosyanyuk

Pavlo Lukomskyi
Salkom Law Firm

Roman Maydanyk
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

Roman Sabodash
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

Sergiy Gryshko
Redcliffe Partners

Shkvorets Yaroslav
RULG - Ukrainian Legal Group

Taras Tsymbriivskyy
Human Rights in Action, USAID; Ukrainian Helsinki Human Rights Union

Viktoriia Ivchuk
KNP Clinic

Vladislava Pukhalenko
Leshchenko, Doroshenko & Partners

Vyacheslav Sokolov
Paradox Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

United Arab Emirates

Ali Al Balooshi
Al Balooshi & Al Marashda Advocates & Legal Consultants

El Mukashfi Majzoob
Al Balooshi & Al Marashda Advocates & Legal Consultants

Haleama Al Sabbah
Zayed University

Mirza R. Baig
Dubai Pharmacy College for Girls

Nasiruddeen Muhammad
University of Dubai

Rami Omar Abdellatif
Hadeef & Partners

Tessa Dignam
IKM Advocates, DLA Piper Africa

Zayed Saeed Alshamsi
Zayed Alshamsi Advocates & Legal Consultants

Zeyad Jaffal
Al Ain University

Anonymous Contributors

United Kingdom

Cathy Scott-Clark

Christopher May
Lancaster University

David Josse
Five St. Andrew's Hill Chambers

Gavin Irwin
Chambers of Jonathan Laidlaw QC

Jill Stavert
Edinburgh Napier University

Jonathan S. Nguyen-Van-Tam
University of Nottingham

Jonathan Stuart Mitchell
Chambers of Paul Mandelle

Kyle Phillips
Fieldfisher

Maryellen Reynolds
Attorneys Judicial Military Mediators Consultants International Group

Nigel Duncan

Octávio Luiz Motta Ferraz
King's College London

Peter McTigue
Nottingham Trent University

Rebecca Niblock
Kingsley Napley LLP

Richard Ashcroft
Queen Mary University of London

Richard W. Whitecross
Edinburgh Napier University

Steven Lorber
Lewis Silkin LLP

Thomas Garner
Gherson

Tonia Novitz
University of Bristol

Tony Ward
Northumbria University

Anonymous Contributors

United States

Abigail Margaret Reay Yull
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Alan W. Houseman
National Equal Justice Library

Allen S. Weiner
Stanford University

Amy Widman
Rutgers University

Artie Renee Pobjecky
Pobjecky and Pobjecky, LLP

Bryan A. Liang
S-3 Research

Charles Garcia
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Christopher R. Drahozal
University of Kansas

David K. Y. Tang <i>K&L Gates, LLP</i>	Stephen A. Saltzburg <i>The George Washington University</i>	Magela Ramón Hughes & Hughes	Madina Tursunova <i>Legalmax Law Firm</i>	Bernardo Pulido Márquez <i>Consultores Jurídicos</i>
H. David Kelly, Jr. <i>Beins Axelrod PC</i>	Stephen C. Veltri <i>Ohio Northern University</i>	Manuel Reyes Puig <i>Intrust Business Solutions</i>	Minora Ferdovsieвна Saydahmedova <i>Legisland Law Office</i>	Catherina Gallardo <i>Gallardo Vaudo y Asociados</i>
James H. Pietsch <i>University of Hawaii</i>	Steven Ramirez <i>Loyola University Chicago</i>	María Durán <i>Hughes & Hughes</i>	Muratayev Serikbey Alpamisovich <i>Tashkent State University of Law</i>	Claudia Madrid Martínez <i>Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>
Jeffrey Aresty <i>InternetBar.org Institute</i>	Tarek Azhari <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>	Mariana Fernandez Fasciolo <i>Universidad de la República</i>	Yana Niyazmetova <i>Azizov and Partners</i>	Diego Thomás Castagnino <i>Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>
John Pollock <i>National Coalition for a Civil Right to Counsel</i>	Tim K. Mackey <i>University of California San Diego</i>	Martín Miguel Fridman Monasterolo <i>Ferrere Abogados</i>	Ziyoda Ataxodjaeva <i>Turon Mega Stroy LLC</i>	Eduardo J. Sánchez R. Álvarez, Sánchez & Asociados Abogados
John R. LaBar <i>Henry, McCord, Bean, Miller, Gabriel & LaBar, P.L.L.C.</i>	Timothy E. Dolan <i>Policy Foresight</i>	Martín Risso Ferrand <i>Universidad Católica del Uruguay</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Edward Pérez <i>Instituto Interamericano de Responsabilidad Social y Derechos Humanos</i>
Jonathan Hiatt <i>Solidarity Center</i>	Vernellia Randall <i>The University of Dayton</i>	Natalia Veloso <i>Universidad de Montevideo</i>	Venezuela, RB	Ernesto Gonzalez Rubio <i>Casas Rincon, Gonzalez Rubio & Asoc.</i>
Karen A. Lash <i>American University</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Nicolás Pallas <i>Posadas, Posadas & Vecino</i>	Alberto Blanco-Urbe Quintero <i>Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>	Eugenio Hernández-Bretón <i>Academia de Ciencias Políticas y Sociales</i>
Kepler B. Funk <i>Funk, Szachacz & Diamond, LLC</i>	Uruguay	Pedro J. Montano Gómez <i>Scelza & Montano Estudio Jurídico</i>	Alberto Jurado <i>ALC Penal</i>	Faustino Flamarique <i>LEGA Abogados</i>
Laurel G. Bellows <i>Bellows Law Group PC</i>	Alfredo Taullard <i>Hughes & Hughes</i>	Ricardo Mezzera <i>Mezzera Abogados</i>	Alejandro Risquez Parra <i>Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>	Félix Ignacio Sánchez Hernández <i>Álvarez, Sánchez & Asociados Abogados</i>
Leonard A. Sandler <i>University of Iowa</i>	Andrés Fuentes <i>Arcia Storace Fuentes Medina Abogados</i>	Santiago Pereira Campos <i>Rueda Abadi Pereira; Universidad de Montevideo</i>	Alessandra Corona <i>Araquereyna; Harvard University</i>	Fernando Javier Baralt Briceño <i>Universidad Rafael Urdaneta</i>
Maha Jweied	Camilo Martínez Blanco <i>Universidad de Montevideo</i>	Santiago Theoduloz <i>Guyer & Regules</i>	Alexander Marcano Montero <i>Lawyers Group, Despacho de Abogados</i>	Fernando M. Fernandez
Matthew Keck <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>	Carlos Pittamiglio <i>Estudio Jurídico Bartesaghi</i>	Tomás Guerrero <i>Posadas, Posadas & Vecino</i>	Alí Daniels <i>Acceso a la Justicia</i>	Francisco Allende <i>Cámara Venezolana del Medicamento</i>
Michele Forzley <i>Forzley & Associates</i>	Eduardo Ferrari <i>Posadas, Posadas & Vecino</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Alvaro Badell Madrid <i>Badell & Grau</i>	Franco Puppio Pérez <i>Universidad Católica Andrés Bello</i>
Paul Bender <i>Arizona State University</i>	Escandor El Ters <i>Instituto Nacional del Cáncer</i>	Abdujabbor <i>The Council of the Social Democratic Party of Uzbekistan</i>	Andrea Cardone <i>Álvarez, Sánchez & Asociados Abogados</i>	Frederick Cabrera Conde <i>Universidad Católica Andrés Bello; Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>
Renaldy J. Gutierrez <i>Gutierrez & Associates</i>	Isabel Abarno <i>Delpiazco Abogados</i>	Aziz Sattarov <i>Reliable Lawyer Law Firm</i>	Andrés Carrasquero Stolk <i>ESC+G Abogados</i>	Fredy Ernesto Martinez Díaz <i>Martinez Diaz & Asociados Firma de Abogados</i>
Renée M. Landers <i>Suffolk University</i>	Joaquin Reyes Puig <i>Reyes Rius</i>	Botir Yigitaliev <i>CODEX Law Firm</i>	Andres L. Halvorssen <i>RdHOO Abogados</i>	
Ricks Frazier	Juan Diego Menghi Arburúas <i>Pérez del Castillo & Asociados</i>	Ilkhom Azizov <i>Azizov and Partners</i>	Antonio Silva Aranguren <i>Centro para la Integración y el Derecho Público</i>	
Robert J. Collins <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Juan Federico Fischer <i>Andersen Tax & Legal</i>	Jakhongir Z. Djuraev	Arturo De Sola Lander <i>De Sola Pate & Brown</i>	
Roy M. Poses <i>Brown University</i>	Julio Lens <i>LENS</i>		Beatriz Borges Urrutia	
Russell C. Maulitz <i>Tapestry Health Information Technologies, LLC</i>	Lucía Acosta <i>Ferrere Abogados</i>			
Sara Elizabeth Dill <i>Anethum Global</i>				

Gilberto A. Guerrero-Rocca <i>Florida International University</i>	Laura Louza <i>Acceso a la Justicia</i>	Valentina Arianna Russo Borzellino <i>Cigala, Puppio y Asociados</i>	Pham Van Phat <i>An Phat Pham Law Firm</i>	Yusuf Ahmed <i>University Teaching Hospitals</i>
Gilles Valensi Sosa	Lolymar Hernández Camargo <i>Universidad Católica Andrés Bello</i>	Victor R. Hernández-Mendible <i>HMO Consultores Internacionales</i>	Phong <i>CNC VN</i>	Anonymous Contributors
Gonzalo Himiob Santomé <i>Foro Penal</i>	Manuel A. Gomez <i>Florida International University</i>	Victor Rujano Bautista <i>Asociación Civil Culturas Indígenas y Medio Ambiente Sustentable</i>	Tran Thanh Tung <i>Global Vietnam Lawyers Law Firm</i>	Zimbabwe
Guillermo López Bolet & Terrero	Maria Delina Sánchez Villegas <i>Loreto Abogados Penalistas</i>	Yeoshua Bograd Lamberti	Vu Dzung <i>YKVN Lawyers</i>	Abraham Mateta <i>MC Mukome Legal Practitioners</i>
Hector Cardoze Rangel <i>Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>	María Gabriela Vicent Allende <i>Dentons</i>	Zuleima Espinel <i>JBA Legal</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Andrew Makoni <i>Mbidzo, Muchadehama & Makoni Legal Practitioners</i>
Ignacio J. Andrade Cifuentes <i>Ponte Andrade & Casanova</i>	Mauricio Ramirez Gordon <i>Fundación Verdad Venezuela</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Zambia	Archlove Takunda Tanyanyiwa <i>Ramangwana Grassroots Development Initiative</i>
Jacqueline Richter <i>Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>	Natasha Saturno Siñovsky <i>Acción Solidaria</i>	Danny Đức Duy <i>Santa Lawyers Company</i>	Annie Kangwa Chewe <i>University of Zambia</i>	Bright Mahuni <i>Scanlen & Holderness</i>
Jaime Martínez Estévez <i>Rodner, Martínez & Asociados</i>	Nayibe Chacón Gómez <i>Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>	David Lam <i>The Lam Law Company Limited</i>	Ernest Muketoi Beele <i>University of Zambia</i>	Casper Pound <i>Family AIDS Support Organisation</i>
Javier Alfredo Villamizar Gordon <i>Palacios, Torres & Korody</i>	Nelson Chitty La Roche <i>Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>	Do Thi Hang <i>ANT Lawyers Law Firm</i>	Fares Phiri <i>Nodi Trust School</i>	Charisma Ncube <i>Scanlen & Holderness</i>
Jorge Rosell Senhenn <i>Bloque Constitucional</i>	Oscat Hernández Alvarez	Haley Chau Kim Hanh Le & Tran	Isaac Simbeye <i>Muyatwa Legal Practitioners</i>	Chiratidzo Ellen Ndhlovu <i>University of Zimbabwe</i>
José Rafael Vargas Rincón <i>José Rafael Vargas, S.C.</i>	Rafael Ignacio Olivar <i>Escritorio Jurídico Aguilar y Asociados</i>	Kent Wong <i>VCI Legal</i>	Jacqueline Cornhill Jhala <i>Corpus Legal Practitioners</i>	Cinginkosi Dube <i>Scanlen & Holderness</i>
Juan Alberto Berríos Ortigoza <i>Universidad del Zulia</i>	Rafael Molina <i>Molina & Asociados</i>	Le An Hai	John Chibalabala <i>John Chibalabala Legal Practitioners</i>	David Tinashe Hofisi <i>University of Wisconsin-Madison</i>
Juan Carlos Garantón-Blanco <i>Universidad Católica Andrés Bello</i>	Raul Sanchez Urribarri <i>La Trobe University</i>	Le Tien Dat <i>Apolat Legal Law Firm</i>	Mehluli Malisa Batakathi <i>Muyatwa Legal Practitioners</i>	Edwin Isaac Manikai <i>Dube, Manikai & Hwacha</i>
Juan Carlos Oliveira Bonomi <i>RdHOO Abogados</i>	Reinaldo Jesús Guilarte Lamuño <i>Ayala Dillon Fernández Linares Chavero Consultores Jurídicos</i>	Le Van Thanh <i>SureLaw Law Firm</i>	Namwene Kamoto Phiri <i>Corpus Legal Practitioners</i>	Elizabeth M. Chadambuka <i>Africa University</i>
Juan Carlos Sainz Borgo <i>University for Peace</i>	Ricardo J. Cruz Rincón <i>Escritorio Chumaceiro González Rubio</i>	Ngo Huu Nhi <i>Thien An Law Office</i>	O'Brien Kaaba <i>University of Zambia</i>	Farai Chizengeni
Juan Domingo Alfonzo Paradisi <i>Torres Plaz y Araujo</i>	Roberto Hung Cavaliere <i>Cultura Jurídica Org</i>	Nguyen Huu Phuoc <i>Phuoc and Associates</i>	Oscar Kaubi <i>Mopani Copper Mines PLC</i>	Fraser Edkins <i>Coghlan Welsh and Guest</i>
Julio César Fernández Toro <i>Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>	Rubén Guía Chirino <i>Cultura Jurídica Org</i>	Nguyen Nam Hung <i>VPS Securities Company</i>	Pamela Sibanda Mumbi <i>SCM Legal Practitioners</i>	Godfrey Sibanda <i>Mbidzo, Muchadehama & Makoni Legal Practitioners</i>
Karla Andreína Sáez Rodríguez <i>ESC+G Abogados</i>	Simón Jurado-Blanco Sandoval <i>Jurado-Blanco & Aguirre Abogados</i>	Nguyen Nhan Quang	Pamela Towela Sambo	Godman Chingoma <i>Dube, Manikai & Hwacha</i>
		Nguyen Nhan Tuan	Sebastian Chinkoyo <i>Ndola Teaching Hospital</i>	Hezel Sibanda <i>Maweresibanda Commercial Lawyers</i>
		Nguyen Truong Tho <i>Dai Nghia Law Office</i>	Tiziana Marietta <i>Sharpe & Howard Legal Practitioners</i>	Jeremiah Mutongi Bamu <i>Mbidzo, Muchadehama & Makoni Legal Practitioners</i>
		Phạm Thị Thoa <i>Apolat Legal Law Firm</i>	Vincent Kaunda Mwewa <i>Messrs V K Mwewa and Company</i>	
		Phạm Tri Dung <i>Hanoi University of Public Health</i>		

Kudzai Caroline Tandi
Mangwiro Tandi Law

Maxwell C. C. Musingafi
*Zimbabwe Open
University*

**Memory Kudzayi
Melody**
Scanlen & Holderness

**Mordecai Pilate
Mahlangu**
Gill Godlonton & Gerrans

Moses Nkomo
DNM Attorneys

Moyo Evans T.
Scanlen & Holderness

Mutsa Remba
Dube, Manikai & Hwacha

Nobert Musa Phiri
*Muvingi & Mugadza Legal
Practitioners*

**Nyasha Brighton
Munyuru**
*Muvingi & Mugadza Legal
Practitioners*

Obey Shava
*Mbidzo, Muchadehama &
Makoni Legal Practitioners*

**Paidamoyo Bryne
Saurombe**
*Adventist Lawyers
Association; Scanlen and
Holderness; Zimbabwe
Lawyers for Human Rights*

Philemon Mutukwa
*N. Mashizha and
Associates Legal
Practitioners*

**Reveal E.
Nyamayemombe**
*Muvingi & Mugadza Legal
Practitioners*

Simon Sadomba
Gill Godlonton & Gerrans

Simplicio Bhebhe
*Kantor & Immerman Legal
Practitioners*

**Tafadzwa Ralph
Mugabe**
*Tafadzwa Ralph Mugabe
Legal Counsel*

Tapiwanashe Mukandi
Scanlen & Holderness

Tariro Dzinomwa
*National University of
Science and Technology*

Tawanda Tandi
*Kantor & Immerman Legal
Practitioners*

Terence Hussein
*Hussein Ranchhod and
Co.*

Tshuma Mercia Monica
Zambezi Law Trust

**Anonymous
Contributors**

Acknowledgements

The World Justice Project's Honorary Chairs, Directors, Officers, Staff, Financial Supporters, and Sponsoring Organizations are listed in the last section of this report. Polling companies, research organizations, and contributing experts are listed in the Methodology section of this report.

Academic Advisors

Mark David Agrast, American Society of International Law; Jose M. Alonso, World Wide Web Foundation; Rolf Alter, OECD; Eduardo Barajas, Universidad del Rosario; Maurits Barendrecht, Tilburg University; Tonu Basu, Open Government Partnership; Lowell Bergman, University of California, Berkeley; Tim Besley, London School of Economics; Christina Biebesheimer, The World Bank; Juan Carlos Botero, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana; Paul Brest, Stanford University; Jose Caballero, IMD Business School; David Caron, Kings College, London; Thomas Carothers, Carnegie Endowment; Marcela Castro, Universidad de los Andes; Peter Chapman, Open Society Justice Initiative (OSJI); Eduardo Cifuentes, Universidad de los Andes; Sherman Cohn, Georgetown University; Christine M. Cole, Crime & Justice Institute; Mariano-Florentino Cuellar, Stanford University; Helen Darbishire, Access Info Europe; Nicolas Dassen, Inter-American Development Bank; Larry Diamond, Stanford University; Claudia J. Dumas, Transparency International USA; Sandra Elena, Ministerio de Justicia y Derechos Humanos; Brad Epperly, University of South Carolina; Julio Faundez, Warwick University; Hazel Feigenblatt, Global Integrity; Todd Foglesong, Munk School of Global Affairs at the University of Toronto; Tom Ginsburg, University of Chicago; Joseph Foti, Open Government Partnership; James Goldston, Open Society Justice Initiative (OSJI); Jorge Gonzalez, Universidad Javeriana; Alejandro Gonzalez-Arriola, Open Government Partnership; Jon Gould, American University; Martin Gramatikov, Hiil; Brendan Halloran, Transparency and Accountability Initiative; Linn Hamnergren; Tim Hanstad, Landesa; Wassim Harb, Arab Center for the Development of Rule of Law and Integrity; Nathaniel Heller, Open Government Partnership; Vanessa Herringshaw, Transparency and Accountability Initiative; Susan Hirsch, George Mason University; Ronald Janse, University of Amsterdam Law School; Erik G. Jensen, Stanford University; Haroon Khadim, PAE; Rachel Kleinfeld, Carnegie Endowment; Jack Knight, Duke University; Harold H. Koh, Yale University; Margaret Levi, Stanford University; Iris Litt, Stanford University; Clare Lockhart, The Institute for State Effectiveness; Zsuzsanna Lonti, OECD; Diego Lopez, Universidad de los Andes; William T. Loris, Loyola University; Lauren E. Loveland, National Democratic Institute (NDI); Paul Maassen, Open Government Partnership; Beatriz Magaloni, Stanford University; Jenny S. Martinez, Stanford University; Toby McIntosh, FreedomInfo.org;

Toby Mendel, Centre for Law and Democracy; Nicholas Menzies, The World Bank; Ghada Moussa, Cairo University; Sam Muller, Hiil; Robert L. Nelson, American Bar Foundation and Northwestern University; Alfonsina Peñaloza, Hewlett Foundation; Harris Pastides, University of South Carolina; Randal Peerenboom, La Trobe University and Oxford University; Angela Pinzon, Universidad del Rosario; Pascoe Pleasence, University College London; Shannon Portillo, George Mason University; Michael H. Posner, New York University; Roy L. Prosterman, University of Washington; Anita Ramasastry, University of Washington; Mor Rubinstein, Open Knowledge Foundation; Angela Ruiz, Universidad del Rosario; Audrey Sacks, The World Bank; Lutfurahman Saeed, Kabul University; Michaela Saisana, EU-JRC; Andrea Saltelli, EU-JRC; Moises Sanchez, Alianza Regional por la Libertad de Expresión; Andrei Shleifer, Harvard University; Jorge Luis Silva, The World Bank; Gordon Smith, University of South Carolina; Christopher Stone, Open Society Foundations; John Temple, University of California, Berkeley; Rene Uruena, Universidad de los Andes; Stefan Voigt, University of Hamburg; Barry Weingast, Stanford University; Michael Woolcock, The World Bank.

Roland Abeng; Lukman Abdul-Rahim; Mariam Ahmed; Lina Alameddine; Sarah Alexander; Jessica Álvarez; Rose Karikari Anang; Evelyn Ankumah; Jassim Alshamsi; Jessica Álvarez; Ekaterina Baksanova; Hamud M. Balfas; Laila El Baradei; Rachael Beitler; Laurel Bellows; Clever Bere; Rindala Beydoun; Karan K. Bhatia; Cherie Blair; Rob Boone; Raúl Izurieta Mora Bowen; Ariel Braunstein; Kathleen A. Bresnahan; Michael Brown; William R. Brownfield; David Brusino; Carolina Cabrera; Javier Castro De León; John Catalfamo; Fahima Charaffeddine; David Cheyette; Sophie Clark; Jose Cochingyan, III; Sonkita Conteh; Barbara Cooperman; Hans Corell; Adriana Cosgriff; Alexander E. Davis; Beth Davis; James P. DeHart; Brackett B. Denniston, III; Russell C. Deyo; Surya Dhungel; Adama Dieng; Andrew Domingoes; Sandra Elena; Roger El Khoury; Sanal Enkhaabaar; Adele Ewan; Juan Farré; Fatima Fettar; Eric Florenz; Abderrahim Foukara; Kristina Fridman; Morly Frishman; Viorel Furdui; Minoru Furuyama; William H. Gates, Sr.; Anna Gardner; Sujith George; Adam Gerstenmier; Jacqueline Gichinga; Suzanne E. Gilbert; Felipe Gómez; Nengak Daniel Gondyi; Lindsey Graham; Deweh Gray; Michael S. Greco; Elise Groulx; Heena Gupta; Arkady Gutnikov; Karen Hall; Kunio Hamada; Sana Hawamdeh; Alvaro Herrero; Sheila Hollis; Michael Holston; R. William Ide, III; Murtaza Jaffer; Sunil Kumar Joshi; Marie-Therese Julita; Megan Kabre; Rashvin

Kaur; Anne Kelley; Howard Kenison; Junaid Khalid; Elsa Khwaja; Stuti Kokkalera; Simeon Koroma; Steven H. Kraft; Larry D. Kramer; Jack Krumholtz; Lianne Labossiere; Joanna Lim; Deborah Lindholm; Annie Livingston; Jeanne L. Long; Carlos López; Clarissa Lopez-Diarte; Maha Mahmoud; Biawakant Mainali; Andrew Makoni; Dijana Malbaša; Ermek Mamaev; Frank Mantero; Madison Marks; Roger Martella; Vivek Maru; John Mason; Elisa Massimino; Hiroshi Matsuo; Michael Maya; Sindi Medar-Gould; Ludmila Mendonça; Ellen Mignoni; Aisha Minhas; María Cristina Montaña; Claros Morean; Junichi Morioka; Marion Muller; Norhayati Mustapha; Ilija Nedelkoski; Niku Neshati; Javier Nicolás; Daniel Nitu; Elida Nogoibaeva; Victoria Norelid; Justin Nyekan; Sean O'Brien; Peggy Ochanderena; Bolaji Olaniran; Joy Olson; Mohamed Olwan; Gustavo Alanis Ortega; Bolaji Owasanoye; Pablo Parás; Angeles Melano Paz; Ronen Plechnin; Kamal Pokhrel; John Pollock; Mercy Alejandra Portillo; Cynthia Powell; Humberto Prado Sifontes; Nathalie Rakotomalía; Javier Ramirez; Eduardo Ramos-Gómez; Daniela Rampani; Richard Randerson; Claudia Rast; Yahya Rayegani; Adrian F. Revilla; Salvador Reyes; Lopes Ribeiro; Nigel H. Roberts; Amir Ron; Liz Ross; Irma Russell; Marc Sepama; Adam Severance; Bruce Sewell; Uli Parmlian Sihombing; Hajrija Sijerčić-Čolić; William Sinnott; Lumba Siyanga; Brad Smith; Julie Smith; Lourdes Stein; Thomas M. Susman; Elizabeth Thomas-Hope; Laurence Tribe; Martha Uc; Patricia van Nispen; Robert Varenik; Maria Vinot; Raymond Webster; Dorothee Wildt; Jason Wilks; Malin Winbom; Nazgul Yergalieva; Xueling You; Stephen Zack; Jorge Zapp-Glauser; Roula Zayat.

Institutional Contributors and Advisors

Altus Global Alliance; APCO Worldwide; Fleishman-Hillard; The Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University; The Center on Democracy, Development, and the Rule of Law, Stanford University; The German Bar Association in Brussels; Governance Data Alliance; Google Inc.; The Hague Institute for Innovation of Law (Hiil); Investigative Reporting Program, UC Berkeley Graduate School of Journalism; The Legal Department of Hewlett Packard Enterprise; The Legal Department of Microsoft Corporation; The Whitney and Betty MacMillan Center for International and Area Studies, Yale University; Rule of Law Collaborative, University of South Carolina; The University of Chicago Law School; Vera Institute of Justice.

About the World Justice Project

The World Justice Project (WJP) is an independent, multidisciplinary organization working to create knowledge, build awareness, and stimulate action to advance the rule of law worldwide. Effective rule of law reduces corruption, combats poverty and disease, and protects people from injustices large and small. It is the foundation for communities of justice, opportunity, and peace—underpinning development, accountable government, and respect for fundamental rights.

Our Approach

Traditionally, the rule of law has been viewed as the domain of lawyers and judges. However, everyday issues of safety, rights, justice, and governance affect us all; everyone is a stakeholder in the rule of law. Based on this, the WJP builds and supports a global, multi-disciplinary movement for the rule of law by:

1. Collecting, organizing, and analyzing

original, independent rule of law data, including the *WJP Rule of Law Index*;

2. Supporting research, scholarship, and teaching

about the importance of rule of law, its relationship to development, and effective strategies to strengthen it; and

3. Connecting and building an engaged global network of policy-makers and advocates to advance the rule of law through strategic partnerships, convenings, coordinated advocacy, and support for locally-led initiatives, including through the Resource Hub, a directory of leading organizations advancing the rule of law worldwide; the World Justice Challenge, a competition to identify, recognize, and promote good practices and successful solutions for strengthening the rule of law worldwide; and the World Justice Forum.

Honorary Chairs

The World Justice Project has the support of outstanding leaders representing a range of disciplines around the world. The Honorary Chairs of the World Justice Project are:

Madeleine Albright; Giuliano Amato; Robert Badinter; James A. Baker III; Cherie Blair; Stephen G. Breyer; Sharan Burrow; David Byrne; Jimmy Carter; Maria Livanos Cattai; Emil Constantinescu; Hans Corell; Hilario G. Davide, Jr.; Hernando de Soto; Adama Dieng; William H. Gates, Sr.; Ruth Bader Ginsburg; Richard J. Goldstone; Kunio Hamada; Lee H. Hamilton; Mohamed Ibrahim; Tassaduq Hussain Jillani; Anthony M. Kennedy; Beverley McLachlin; George J. Mitchell; Sandra Day O'Connor; Ana Palacio; Colin L. Powell; Roy L. Prosterman; Richard W. Riley; Mary Robinson; Richard Trumka; Desmond Tutu; Antonio Vitorino; Harold Woolf; and Andrew Young.

Board of Directors

Shaikha Abdulla Al-Misnad; Kamel Ayadi; William C. Hubbard; Hassan Bubacar Jallow; Suet-Fern Lee; Mondli Makhanya; M. Margaret McKeown; John Nery; William H. Neukom; Ellen Gracie Northfleet; James R. Silkenat; and Petar Stoyanov.

Director Emeritus

President Dr. Ashraf Ghani Ahmadzai

Officers and Staff

William C. Hubbard, Board Chair; William H. Neukom, Founder and CEO; Mark D. Agrast, Vice President; Deborah Enix-Ross, Vice President; Nancy Ward, Vice President; James R. Silkenat, Director and Treasurer; Gerold W. Libby, General Counsel and Secretary.

Staff and Consultants: Elizabeth Andersen, Executive Director; Paul Fisher, Chief Development Officer; Matthew Harman, Chief Communications Officer; Ted Piccone, Chief Engagement Officer; Alejandro Ponce, Chief Research Officer; Richard Schorr, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer; Tim Kessler, Country Director; Laura Aquino; Ester Arroyo; Courtney Babcock; Lindsey Bock; Isabel Campana; Erin Campbell; Ana Cardenas; Estefany Caudillo; Lilian Chapa Koloffon; Glenda Charles; Maria Chavarria; Miguel Contreras; Paulina Del Paso; Killian Dorier; Alicia Evangelides; Vianney Fernández; Marco Ivan Figueroa; Emma Frerichs; Joshua Fuller; Amir Galvan; Felipe Gomez; Erendira Gonzalez; Lucia Gonzalez; Kirssy González; Amy Gryskiewicz; Juan Carlos Guarneros; Issa Guerra; Fernando Gutierrez O.; Joseph Haley; Irene Heras; Roberto Hernández; Jaya Khetarpal; Priyanka Khosla; Sarah Chamness Long; Alejandro Lopez; Rafael Lozano; Debby Manley; Gabriela Marquez; Joel Martinez; Oswaldo Mejia; Ignacio Miranda; Jorge A. Morales; Jason Murray; Layda Negrete; Fernando Omedé; Marien Rivera; Mario Rodríguez; Natalia Rodríguez Cajamarca; Juan Salgado; Alicia Segovia; Rebecca Silvas; Leslie Solís Saravia; Marcelo Torres; Paulina Vega; Gerard Vinluan; and Emily Youatt.

World Justice Project Funders


The World Justice Project thanks the following major 2019-2020 donors whose support makes our work possible:

American Bar Association – Section of Environment, Energy, and Resources
American Council of Learned Societies
Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
British Council
Charles Stewart Mott Foundation
Cordaid
Barbara and R. Bradford Evans
German Corporation for International Cooperation
Hague Institute for Innovation of Law
Hague Municipality
Hewlett Packard Enterprise
Inter-American Development Bank
Jones Day
Knowledge Management Fund
LexisNexis
Microsoft Corporation
Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Netherlands
Mo Ibrahim Foundation
Neukom Family Foundation
Open Society Justice Initiative
Sally and William H. Neukom
United States Agency for International Development
United States Department of State
Nancy Ward and Toby Bright
Kent Walker and Diana Walsh
Western Hemisphere Drug Policy Commission

WJP League of Law Firms 2019-2020

Cooley
Davis Wright Tremaine
Fenwick & West
K&L Gates
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough
Perkins Coie
White & Case
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati Foundation
Zuber Lawler & Del Duca

A list of funders can be found at:
worldjusticeproject.org.



**Effective rule of law
reduces corruption,
combats poverty
and disease, and
protects people
from injustices large
and small.**

Rule of Law

"Laws of justice which Hammurabi, the wise king, established... That the strong might not injure the weak, in order to protect the widows and orphans..., in order to declare justice in the land, to settle all disputes, and heal all injuries."

–**Codex Hammurabi**

"Treat the people equally in your court and give them equal attention, so that the noble shall not aspire to your partiality, nor the humble despair of your justice."

–**Judicial Guidelines from 'Umar Bin Al-Khattab, The Second Khalifa of Islam'**

"All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights... Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status."

–**Universal Declaration of Human Rights**

"We are all servants of the laws in order that we may be free."

–**Cicero (106 BCE - 43 BCE)**

"No freeman is to be taken or imprisoned or disseised of his free tenement or of his liberties or free customs, or outlawed or exiled or in any way ruined, nor will we go against such a man or send against him save by lawful judgement of his peers or by the law of the land. To no-one will we sell or deny or delay right or justice."

–**Magna Carta**

"I could adjudicate lawsuits as well as anyone. But I would prefer to make lawsuits unnecessary."

–**Analects of Confucius**

"It is more proper that law should govern than any one of the citizens."

–**Aristotle, Politics (350 BCE)**

"If someone disobeys the law, even if he is (otherwise) worthy, he must be punished. If someone meets the standard, even if he is (otherwise) unworthy, he must be found innocent. Thus the Way of the public good will be opened up, and that of private interest will be blocked."

–**The Huainanzi 139 BCE (Han Dynasty, China)**

"The Law of Nations, however, is common to the entire human race, for all nations have established for themselves certain regulations exacted by custom and human necessity."

–**Corpus Juris Civilis**

"Where-ever law ends, tyranny begins."

–**John Locke, Two Treatises of Government (1689)**

"Good civil laws are the greatest good that men can give and receive. They are the source of morals, the palladium of property, and the guarantee of all public and private peace. If they are not the foundation of government, they are its supports; they moderate power and help ensure respect for it, as though power were justice itself."

–**Jean-Étienne-Marie Portalis, Discours Préliminaire du Premier Projet de Code Civil**



More from the World Justice Project




World Justice Project
Rule of Law Index
2019



WJP Rule of Law Index 2019



WJP Rule of Law Index 2019 Insights
Highlights and data trends from the WJP
Rule of Law Index 2019




WJP Mexico States Rule of Law Index 2019-2020
Perceptions and experiences in 32 states



Realizing Justice For All
World Justice Forum Report 2019



Global Insights on Access to Justice 2019
Findings from the World Justice Project
General Population Poll in 101 Countries



Measuring the Justice Gap 2019
A People-Centered Assessment of Unmet
Justice Needs Around the World

For more information or to read these reports, visit
worldjusticeproject.org/our-work



World Justice
Project



WASHINGTON, DC

SEATTLE

SINGAPORE

MEXICO CITY



worldjusticeproject.org



facebook.com/thewjp



twitter.com/theWJP