



World Justice  
Project



# WJP Rule of Law Index Briefing

INL Africa and Middle East (INL AME)

September 17, 2019

# 1. WJP Rule of Law Index



# Rule of Law Factors



Constraints on  
Government Powers



Absence of  
Corruption



Open  
Government



Fundamental  
Rights



Order and  
Security



Regulatory  
Enforcement



Civil  
Justice



Criminal  
Justice



Informal  
Justice

# Rule of Law Sub-Factors



## Open Government

- 3.1 Publicized laws & government data
- 3.2 Right to information
- 3.3 Civic participation
- 3.4 Complaint mechanisms

### Conceptual Framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index

The conceptual framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index is comprised of eight factors further disaggregated into 44 sub-factors. These factors and sub-factors are presented below and described in detail in the section that follows.

|                                      |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| <br>Constraints on Government Powers | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1.1 Government powers are effectively limited by the legislature</li><li>1.2 Government powers are effectively limited by the judiciary</li><li>1.3 Government powers are effectively limited by independent auditing and review</li><li>1.4 Government officials are sanctioned for misconduct</li><li>1.5 Government powers are subject to non-governmental checks</li><li>1.6 Transition of power is subject to the law</li></ul>  |
| <br>Absence of Corruption            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>2.1 Government officials in the executive branch do not use public office for private gain</li><li>2.2 Government officials in the judicial branch do not use public office for private gain</li><li>2.3 Government officials in the police &amp; the military do not use public office for private gain</li><li>2.4 Government officials in the legislative branch do not use public office for private gain</li></ul>   |
| <br>Open Government                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>3.1 Publicized laws &amp; government data</li><li>3.2 Right to information</li><li>3.3 Civic participation</li><li>3.4 Complaint mechanisms</li></ul>   |
| <br>Fundamental Rights               | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>4.1 Equal treatment &amp; absence of discrimination</li><li>4.2 The right to life &amp; security of the person is effectively guaranteed</li><li>4.3 Due process of the law and rights of the accused</li><li>4.4 Freedom of opinion &amp; expression is effectively guaranteed</li><li>4.5 Freedom of belief &amp; religion is effectively guaranteed</li><li>4.6 Freedom from arbitrary interference with privacy is effectively guaranteed</li><li>4.7 Freedom of assembly &amp; association is effectively guaranteed</li><li>4.8 Fundamental labor rights are effectively guaranteed</li></ul> |
| <br>Order & Security                 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>5.1 Crime is effectively controlled</li><li>5.2 Civil conflict is effectively limited</li><li>5.3 People do not resort to violence to redress personal grievances</li></ul>   |
| <br>Regulatory Enforcement           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>6.1 Government regulations are effectively enforced</li><li>6.2 Government regulations are applied &amp; enforced without improper influence</li><li>6.3 Administrative proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delay</li><li>6.4 Due process is respected in administrative proceedings</li><li>6.5 The government does not expropriate without lawful process &amp; adequate compensation</li></ul>  |
| <br>Access to Justice                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>7.1 People can access &amp; afford civil justice</li><li>7.2 Civil justice is free of discrimination</li></ul>  |


# The WJP Rule of Law Index

## Measurement Approach


- General Population Poll (GPP): Household survey administered to 1,000 respondents per country
- Qualified Respondent's Questionnaires (QRQ): Online survey administered to in-country experts in a) Civil and Commercial Law; b) Criminal Justice; c) Labor Law; and d) Public Health
- Third Party Sources

## Coverage for 2019 Edition

- 126 countries and jurisdictions
- Data from more than 120,000 households and 3,800 experts
- More than 550 variables



# GPP Fieldwork



# Variable Map

| Factor 2: Absence of Corruption   AVERAGE(2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4)  |              |  |   |
|--|--------------|--|---|
| 2.1 Government officials in the executive branch do not use public office for private gain   AVERAGE(2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.1.4) |              |  |   |
| 2.1.1 Procurement   AVERAGE(2.1.1.1, 2.1.1.2)  |              |  |   |
| 2.1.1.1 Government procurement   AVERAGE(QRQ46:QRQ47)  |              |  |   |
| QRQ46  | QRQ(CC)      | Single Answer  | <p>Please choose the statement that is closest to your views on how government procurement or major public works (airports, highways, power plants, etc.) operates in your country:</p> <p>(a) Most contracts are awarded through an open and competitive bidding procedure</p> <p>(b) There is a formal bidding procedure but it is flawed. Several contracts are awarded without competitive bidding, or through ineffective bidding processes, leaving open the possibility of corruption</p> <p>(c) There is no formal bidding procedure or it is superficial and ineffective. Most contracts are awarded to firms which offer bribes; to firms owned by political supporters; or to firms in which a relevant government officer has a financial stake</p>                   |
| QRQ47  | QRQ (CC, LB) | Very Likely (0), Likely (.333), Unlikely (.667), Very Unlikely (1) | How likely is it that corporations make illegal payments to high-ranking government officials in exchange for favorable treatment, such as awards of government contracts?  |
| 2.1.1.2 Procurement in public health   AVERAGE(QRQ48:QRQ50)  |              |  |   |
| QRQ48  | QRQ(PH)      | 100% (1), 75% (0.8), 50% (0.6), 25% (0.4), 5% (0.2), 0% (0)        | In your view, what percentage (%) of public funds spent on health-care in low-income areas actually reach frontline health facilities?  |
| QRQ49  | QRQ(PH)      | 100% (0), 75% (0.2), 50% (0.4), 25% (0.6), 5% (0.8), 0% (1)        | In your view, what percentage (%) of public funds allocated towards health-care expenditures is illegally diverted away from its intended target towards other ends?  |
| QRQ50  | QRQ(PH)      | Single Answer  | <p>Please choose the statement that is closest to your views on how public health procurement (i.e. money spent on medications, vaccines, medical equipment, buildings, etc.) works in your country:</p> <p>(a) Most contracts are awarded through an open and competitive bidding procedure</p> <p>(b) There is a formal bidding procedure, but it is flawed. Several contracts are awarded without competitive bidding, or through ineffective bidding processes, leaving open the possibility of corruption</p> <p>(c) There is no formal bidding procedure or it is superficial and ineffective. Most contracts are awarded to firms which offer bribes; to firms owned by political supporters; or to firms in which a relevant government officer has a financial stake</p> |

# Country Profiles

## Nicaragua

Overall Score Regional Rank Income Rank Global Rank

0.4 27/30 23/30 114/126

Score Change Rank Change


-0.03 -4

|                                  | Factor Trend | Factor Score | Regional Rank | Income Rank | Global Rank |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Constraints on Government Powers | ▼            | 0.27         | 29/30         | 30/30       | 125/126     |
| Absence of Corruption            | —            | 0.35         | 25/30         | 19/30       | 104/126     |
| Open Government                  | —            | 0.38         | 28/30         | 22/30       | 106/126     |
| Fundamental Rights               | ▼            | 0.39         | 29/30         | 23/30       | 111/126     |
| Order and Security               | —            | 0.70         | 10/30         | 11/30       | 72/126      |
| Regulatory Enforcement           | —            | 0.45         | 24/30         | 14/30       | 90/126      |
| Civil Justice                    | —            | 0.41         | 26/30         | 22/30       | 109/126     |
| Criminal Justice                 | —            | 0.28         | 27/30         | 27/30       | 120/126     |

▲ Trending up ▼ Trending down Low Medium High

Nicaragua Latin America & Caribbean Lower Middle

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Lower Middle



## Nicaragua

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Lower Middle

Overall Score Regional Rank Income Rank Global Rank

0.4 27/30 23/30 114/126



Score Change Rank Change

-0.03 -4

|                                  | Factor Trend | Factor Score | Regional Rank | Income Rank | Global Rank |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Constraints on Government Powers | ▼            | 0.27         | 29/30         | 30/30       | 125/126     |
| Absence of Corruption            | —            | 0.35         | 25/30         | 19/30       | 104/126     |
| Open Government                  | —            | 0.38         | 28/30         | 22/30       | 106/126     |
| Fundamental Rights               | ▼            | 0.39         | 29/30         | 23/30       | 111/126     |
| Order and Security               | —            | 0.70         | 10/30         | 11/30       | 72/126      |
| Regulatory Enforcement           | —            | 0.45         | 24/30         | 14/30       | 90/126      |
| Civil Justice                    | —            | 0.41         | 26/30         | 22/30       | 109/126     |
| Criminal Justice                 | —            | 0.28         | 27/30         | 27/30       | 120/126     |

▲ Trending up ▼ Trending down Low Medium High

Nicaragua Latin America & Caribbean Lower Middle





# Rule of Law in the MENA and Sub-Saharan African

## Middle East & North Africa



| Country/Jurisdiction | Regional Rank | Overall Score* | Global Rank |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| United Arab Emirates | 1/8           | 0.64           | 32/126      |
| Jordan               | 2/8           | 0.57           | 49/126      |
| Tunisia              | 3/8           | 0.53           | 61/126      |
| Algeria              | 4/8           | 0.51           | 72/126      |

| Country/Jurisdiction | Regional Rank | Overall Score* | Global Rank |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| Morocco              | 5/8           | 0.50           | 74/126      |
| Lebanon              | 6/8           | 0.47           | 89/126      |
| Iran                 | 7/8           | 0.45           | 102/126     |
| Egypt                | 8/8           | 0.36           | 121/126     |

## Sub-Saharan Africa

| Country/Jurisdiction | Regional Rank | Overall Score* | Global Rank |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| Namibia              | 1/30          | 0.62           | 34/126      |
| Mauritius            | 2/30          | 0.61           | 37/126      |
| Rwanda               | 3/30          | 0.61           | 40/126      |
| Botswana             | 4/30          | 0.59           | 44/126      |
| South Africa         | 5/30          | 0.58           | 47/126      |
| Ghana                | 6/30          | 0.58           | 48/126      |
| Senegal              | 7/30          | 0.55           | 52/126      |
| Malawi               | 8/30          | 0.51           | 67/126      |
| Burkina Faso         | 9/30          | 0.50           | 73/126      |
| Benin                | 10/30         | 0.50           | 79/126      |
| Tanzania             | 11/30         | 0.47           | 91/126      |
| Zambia               | 12/30         | 0.47           | 92/126      |
| Cote d'Ivoire        | 13/30         | 0.46           | 93/126      |
| Liberia              | 14/30         | 0.46           | 97/126      |
| Sierra Leone         | 15/30         | 0.45           | 98/126      |

| Country/Jurisdiction | Regional Rank | Overall Score* | Global Rank |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| Togo                 | 16/30         | 0.45           | 100/126     |
| Kenya                | 17/30         | 0.45           | 101/126     |
| Mali                 | 18/30         | 0.45           | 103/126     |
| Niger                | 19/30         | 0.44           | 104/126     |
| Guinea               | 20/30         | 0.44           | 105/126     |
| Nigeria              | 21/30         | 0.43           | 106/126     |
| Madagascar           | 22/30         | 0.43           | 107/126     |
| Mozambique           | 23/30         | 0.43           | 108/126     |
| Angola               | 24/30         | 0.41           | 111/126     |
| Uganda               | 25/30         | 0.40           | 113/126     |
| Zimbabwe             | 26/30         | 0.40           | 116/126     |
| Ethiopia             | 27/30         | 0.39           | 118/126     |
| Cameroon             | 28/30         | 0.37           | 120/126     |
| Mauritania           | 29/30         | 0.35           | 122/126     |
| Congo, Dem. Rep.     | 30/30         | 0.33           | 124/126     |




# Improving & Declining Rule of Law

Categorization of countries according to whether their overall *Rule of Law Index* score is above or below the median, and whether it has improved or declined in the last year

In the last year, 56 countries' rule of law score changed by more than +/- .005.

**35%** of these countries are **above the median** but **declining**.

**13%** are of these countries are **below the median** but **improving**.



\*Stable countries—those with a score change between -.005 and .005—are not shown.

recording, claiming to having been a victim of a con artist. The Project's 2019 "Rule of Law Index" ranks Mauritania's government as the world's 11th-most corrupt, and fifth worst in terms of the rule of law.

Mexico goes back in rule of law according to a World Justice Project report

Botswana Ranking

PH among countries in A weakest rule of law global standing

# Global Rule of Law Index: Tunisia recedes in World Justice Project ranking

HUFFPOST

The Economist

CNN Philippines

Carrie on, for now

## A policy U-turn puts Hong Kong's leader in a precarious position

Massive protests in the territory have been a setback for China's leaders, too

The Washington Post

According to the World Justice Project, Sweden ranks fourth worldwide in the reliability of its rule of law — far ahead of the United States, which ranks 20th.



EL PAIS

Último en Derecho. Venezuela ocupa el último puesto en el Índice de Estado de Derecho elaborado por el World Justice Project (WJP) entre 126 países del mundo y que analiza factores como ausencia de corrupción, limitaciones de los poderes del gobierno, justicia y cumplimiento de los derechos fundamentales, entre otros. El listado, publicado ayer jueves, lo encabeza Dinamarca, seguida por Noruega, Finlandia, Suecia y los Países

### Singapore ranks 13th in the world for rule of law, and has the highest score for order and security



July 2019. 26. 10:35 · Utolsó frissítés: July 2019. 26. 10:48 · HOME

### Hungary is the last European country on the world ranking of rule of law

# Organizations & Initiatives Using the WJP Rule of Law Index

- Equal Measure 2030
- European Union
- INL/WHP Monitoring and Evaluation Tool
- Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) Score Card
- Mo Ibrahim Foundation – Ibrahim Index on African Governance (IIAG)
- OECD Governance at a Glance
- Open Government Partnership Global Report
- Transparency International Corruption Perceptions Index
- USAID Journey to Self-Reliance Metric
- World Bank Worldwide Governance Indicators

## 2. Country-Specific Reports

A photograph of a street scene with several multi-story buildings. The buildings have various facades, including light-colored and reddish-brown ones. There are balconies with railings and windows with shutters. A street lamp is visible in the foreground. The entire image is overlaid with a semi-transparent blue filter.



World Justice Project

# The Rule of Law in Afghanistan

Key Findings from the 2018 Extended General Population Poll

## Criminal Justice in Afghanistan

### Afghan Criminal Justice System Performance Dashboard

Summary of indicators highlighting the performance of the formal criminal justice system in Afghanistan rated on a scale of 0 to 1, where 0 signifies poorest performance. For additional information about how these indicators are produced, please see the WJP's Dashboard Indicator Map linked in the Appendix.

#### Key


Primary or alternative sources from where data was collected

HHS Household Survey


DET Detainee Survey

DEF Defense Attorney

#### Law Enforcement



#### Criminal Court System



\*Due to the lack of representativeness of the sample, data for these experience questions from the WJP Justice Sector Survey 2018 cannot be presented.  
Source: WJP General Population Poll 2018 and WJP Afghanistan Justice Sector Survey 2018




World Justice Project

The Rule of Law in


# Nicaragua

Key Findings from the World Justice Project General Population Poll



## Corruption Across Institutions

Perceptions about the number of authorities involved in corrupt practices in Nicaragua.



# 3. Evolution of the WJP

A photograph of a traditional Moroccan fruit and juice stand (WJP) with a large white canopy, displaying various fruits and juice bottles. Three people are standing in front of the stand. The image has a purple tint.

صنوبر الميمون  
Jus de Pampleousse  
صنوبر الحامض  
Jus de Citron  
صنوبر  
Jus d'Oranges

10

N°24

4dh



# Evolution of WJP Research

- **WJP Rule of Law Index**

2008 to present: Report measuring rule of law around the world

- **Country-Specific Research**

2013 to present: Reports presenting disaggregated data for 24 countries

2015 to present: Sub-national Index comparing rule of law in Mexico

- **Thematic Research**

2015 to present: Thematic reports on Open Government, Access to Justice, and Criminal Justice

- **Storytelling With Data**

2016 to present: Multimedia and documentary videos highlighting rule of law in Mexico

# 4. WJP Mexico



Portada / Red Forbes /

**Invitado Forbes**

abril 10, 2018 @ 6:30 am

## El Estado de Derecho: una urgencia para México

*En el contexto electoral, cualquier planteamiento que implique retroceder en Estado de Derecho deberá ser vigilado por los ciudadanos y verse reflejado en su voto.*



Foto: Reuters.


**Por Gustavo Almaraz Petrie\***

El próximo 1 de julio se llevará a cabo la mayor elección de la historia moderna de México, se elegirán alrededor de 3,400 cargos a nivel local y federal, en un contexto de nuevas reglas que determinarán la relación entre gobernantes y ciudadanos, como la reelección legislativa y de autoridades municipales.


# The WJP in Mexico



\*En México actualmente no existe un registro adecuado para medir el sub-factor 4.2 a nivel estatal, y es un tema que no puede ser medido correctamente mediante encuestas, por lo que se dejó como un valor vacío que no tiene ningún efecto en las puntuaciones.



World Justice Project  
**Índice de Estado de Derecho en México 2018**  
 —  
 Perspectivas y experiencias en los 32 estados del país



# Estado de derecho en México es débil: WJP

- Es una situación generalizada que afecta a millones de personas, afirma
- Guerrero, el estado más rezagado en orden, seguridad y justicia, señala

MANUEL ESPINO

—justiciaysociedad@eluniversal.com.mx

En México hay un Estado de derecho “débil generalizado” producto de las “situaciones de violencia, corrupción e impunidad” que afectan a millones de mexicanos, reporta el World Justice Project (WJP) en su primer informe a nivel local en la materia.

Tal situación se acentúa en Guerrero, el estado más rezagado del país en orden y seguridad, contrapesos al gobierno estatal en turno, derechos humanos y justicia civil y penal.

Según el documento, que por primera vez hace un análisis focalizado en un país, por entidad, el promedio nacional de cumplimiento en México de las normas que llevan a una organización efectiva de la sociedad y el gobierno es de apenas 0.39 en una escala de 0 a 1, donde 1 indica el máximo apego al Estado de derecho, entendido como un elemento fundamental para garantizar la paz, la justicia, los derechos humanos, la democracia efectiva y el desarrollo sostenible.

Los rubros en los que el país resultó con los niveles de evaluación más bajos son ausencia de corrupción, con un puntaje de 0.35; justicia civil, 0.36, y justicia penal, 0.38, lo que significa que los principales retos a atender son “la corrupción, la seguridad y la justicia”, considera Leslie Solís, integrante de WJP.

“Dentro de la justicia, lo que vemos más débil son la investigación de los

delitos y las policías”, añade en entrevista con EL UNIVERSAL.

“En México, el fortalecimiento del Estado de derecho sigue siendo un tema pendiente. Las situaciones de violencia, corrupción e impunidad que afectan a millones de mexicanos son testimonio de la insuficiencia de mecanismos para garantizar el cumplimiento de las normas que lleven a una organización efectiva de la sociedad y el gobierno”, asegura Alejandro Ponce, jefe de Investigación de WJP.

Ahora, en la medición del caso por país, afirma que “México se encuentra en una coyuntura única para orientar sus políticas públicas y consolidar sus instituciones, especialmente a nivel local”.


De los ocho indicadores analizados, Guerrero, que obtuvo una evaluación general de 0.29, ocupa el último lugar en cinco, y es en los rubros de orden y seguridad (0.28), límites al poder gubernamental (0.28), ausencia de corrupción (0.28) y justicia civil (0.28) donde presenta los mayores atrasos institucionales.

Le siguen Baja California Sur (0.35), Estado de México (0.36), Sonora (0.36), Puebla (0.36), Quintana Roo (0.36), Morelos (0.37) y la Ciudad de México (0.37).

Las entidades mejor evaluadas son Yucatán (0.45), Aguascalientes (0.44), Zacatecas (0.44), Campeche (0.43), “Yucatán y Zacatecas salieron bien evaluados, pero destacan en el área de

## Rezagos institucionales

Por estado de la República



Por factor:

|                                  |      |
|----------------------------------|------|
| 1 Límites al poder gubernamental |      |
| Veracruz                         | 0.34 |
| Baja California Sur              | 0.31 |
| Guerrero                         | 0.28 |
| 2 Ausencia de corrupción         |      |
| Ciudad de México                 | 0.27 |
| Guerrero                         | 0.28 |
| Estado de México                 | 0.28 |
| 3 Gobierno abierto               |      |
| Nayarit                          | 0.33 |
| Tlaxcala                         | 0.29 |
| Querétaro                        | 0.27 |
| 4 Derechos fundamentales         |      |
| Puebla                           | 0.44 |
| Veracruz                         | 0.42 |
| Guerrero                         | 0.35 |

**“México debe convertirse en un país donde impere la ley y donde todos la cumplan y la respeten. Su gente lo demanda”**

ALEJANDRO PONCE

Jefe de Investigación de WJP

justicia penal y no es coincidencia porque, junto con otros estados, éstos fueron los primeros en implementar la reforma al sistema de justicia penal, son los que llevan más tiempo consolidando este sistema”, comenta por su parte Jorge Morales, investigador de World Justice Project.

El informe puntualiza que, a pesar de que la distancia entre el primer lugar (Yucatán, 0.45) y el último (Guerrero, 0.29) es relativamente corta, hay “diferencias que son reflejo de distintas realidades, retos y éxitos de política pública” y “muestran que

México no es del todo homogéneo en materia de Estado de derecho”.

Para la organización internacional, el fortalecimiento del Estado de derecho en México sigue siendo un tema pendiente y recordó que el año pasado el país se posicionó en el lugar 92 del Índice de Estado de Derecho a nivel mundial, que clasifica a 113 países, lo que significó una caída de cuatro posiciones con respecto al año precedente.

“México debe convertirse en un país donde impere la ley y donde todos la cumplan y la respeten. Su gente lo demanda y anhela”, afirma el jefe de Investigación de WJP, Alejandro Ponce.

World Justice Project midió la percepción y experiencias sobre ocho indicadores: límites al poder gubernamental, ausencia de corrupción, gobierno abierto, derechos fundamentales, orden y seguridad, cumplimiento regulatorio, justicia civil y justicia penal, a través de encuestas aplicadas a 25 mil 600 personas en ge-

|                            |      |
|----------------------------|------|
| 5 Orden y seguridad        |      |
| Morelos                    | 0.23 |
| Estado de México           | 0.21 |
| Guerrero                   | 0.19 |
| 6 Cumplimiento regulatorio |      |
| Nayarit                    | 0.28 |
| Morelos                    | 0.27 |
| Sonora                     | 0.24 |
| 7 Justicia penal           |      |
| Puebla                     | 0.31 |
| Veracruz                   | 0.30 |
| Guerrero                   | 0.30 |
| 8 Justicia civil           |      |
| Veracruz                   | 0.31 |
| Nayarit                    | 0.31 |
| Guerrero                   | 0.28 |

Fuente: World Justice Project

neral y mil 500 especialistas, en zonas rurales y urbanas de los 32 estados.

Aclara que el Índice de Estado de Derecho en México 2018 emplea un marco conceptual y metodológico semejante al utilizado por el WJP para medir la adhesión al Estado de derecho alrededor del mundo desde una perspectiva ciudadana. Sin embargo, el marco conceptual y metodológico se adaptó para reflejar el contexto nacional y la arquitectura institucional del país.

En el desglose de factores evaluados destaca que cuando se miden las entidades con mayores problemas de corrupción la lista la encabeza la Ciudad de México, seguida de Guerrero, Estado de México, Quintana Roo, Jalisco, Veracruz, Baja California Sur, Chiapas, Durango y Sonora.


Respecto a la aplicación de la justicia penal, las entidades más debilitadas son Guerrero, Veracruz, Puebla, Ciudad de México, Quintana Roo, Estado de México, Jalisco, Nayarit, Chiapas y Durango. ●



## ALMANAQUE NACIONAL

### **Memoria estadística de la transición entre dos Méxicos:**


Logros y retos del Nuevo Sistema de Justicia Penal



Análisis basado en la Encuesta Nacional  
de Población Privada de la Libertad 2016


## Proceso Judicial

### FRECUENCIA DE ATENCIÓN DEL JUEZ DURANTE EL DEBATE EN AUDIENCIA MÉXICO




Notas:  
 1. Resultados de las respuestas a la pregunta 5.19 "¿El juez estuvo atento a toda su discusión?" y 5.36. "¿El juez está atento a toda su discusión?"  
 2. Universo: 206,891 personas privadas de la libertad (161,892 del Sistema anterior; 44,999 bajo el NSJP). Cálculos elaborados con factores de expansión.  
 3. No se incluyen las respuestas "No sabe"/"No responde": 0.2% en el Sistema anterior; 0% en el NSJP.  
 Fuente: Elaboración del WJP con datos de la Encuesta Nacional de Población Privada de la Libertad 2016.

### CLARIDAD DE LOS SUJETOS PROCESALES DURANTE LAS AUDIENCIAS CLARIDAD DE LA DEFENSA DURANTE LA AUDIENCIA MÉXICO




Notas:  
 1. Resultados de las respuestas a las preguntas 5.20.1. "¿Indique en general qué tan claro fue su abogado al defenderlo?" y 5.37.1. "¿Indique en general qué tan claro ha sido su abogado al defenderlo?"  
 2. Universo: 206,891 personas privadas de la libertad (161,892 del Sistema anterior; 44,999 bajo el NSJP). Cálculos elaborados con factores de expansión.  
 3. No se incluyen las respuestas "No sabe"/"No responde": 0.0% en el Sistema anterior; 0% en el NSJP.  
 Fuente: Elaboración del WJP con datos de la Encuesta Nacional de Población Privada de la Libertad 2016.

### LA POLICÍA O AUTORIDAD INSINUÓ O GENERÓ CONDICIONES PARA QUE LA PERSONA IMPUTADA LE PROPORCIONARA UN BENEFICIO MÉXICO



Notas:  
 1. Respuesta a la pregunta 3.16.2.: "La policía o autoridad que lo arrestó, ¿le insinuó o generó las condiciones para que proporcionara algún beneficio como dinero, bienes, regalos o favores?"  
 2. Universo: 207,249 personas privadas de la libertad (162,179 del Sistema anterior; 45,070 bajo el NSJP). Cálculos elaborados con factores de expansión.  
 3. No se incluyen las respuestas "No sabe"/"No responde": 0% en el Sistema anterior; 0% en el NSJP.  
 Fuente: Elaboración del WJP con datos de la Encuesta Nacional de Población Privada de la Libertad 2016.

### LA POLICÍA O AUTORIDAD PIDIÓ UN BENEFICIO A LA PERSONA IMPUTADA PARA DEJARLA IR MÉXICO



Notas:  
 1. Respuesta a la pregunta 3.17.1.: "¿Para qué fin la policía o autoridad le pidió algún beneficio (dinero, bienes, regalos o favores)? Para dejarlo ir."  
 2. Universo: 56,673 personas privadas de la libertad (44,790 del Sistema anterior; 11,883 bajo el NSJP). Cálculos elaborados con factores de expansión.  
 Fuente: Elaboración del WJP con datos de la Encuesta Nacional de Población Privada de la Libertad 2016.



I'm here at the waiting room of  
the prosecutor... do you hear me?





**Arely Gómez González** ✓

@ArelyGomezGlz

Following



Me reúno con los integrantes de [@TheWJP](#), [@LaydaNegrete](#) y Roberto Hernández [@PresuntoC](#), con relación a su trabajo sobre estado de derecho.

Translate from Spanish



9:48 PM - 16 Jan 2018

IN MEXICO OF DETAINEES WERE  
**85%** **TORTURED**  
OR MISTREATED IN CUSTODY\*



\*Data obtained from the 2016 National Inmate Survey (ENPOL) were 178,633 persons reported having suffered at least one type of torture or ill-treatment while in custody, from a universe of 210,991 inmates.

**MEASURE IT,  
CHANGE IT!**

**WE CAN END THE USE OF TORTURE  
AS AN INVESTIGATIVE TOOL**



The World Justice Project® (WJP) is an independent organization that employs a multi-disciplinary approach through original research and data, an active and global network, and practical, locally-led programs to advance the rule of law worldwide.

# Impact



# Impact with Governments

La gobernadora **Claudia Pavlovich Arellano** aceptó tener conocimiento del estudio de **World Justice Project** y señaló que existen otros indicadores que dicen lo contrario y que, en conjunto con su equipo de trabajo, analizará las acciones a tomar.

*“Sí, estamos haciendo todo lo posible, estamos viendo esos indicadores. Tenemos que hacer como un cruce de (datos de) todas aquellas que están atacando, para ver hacia dónde vamos, qué es lo que tenemos que hacer y (en) qué es lo que estamos fallando”, indicó.*

En el  
Mexico  
observa  
encuentra



Gobierno Querétaro, Pancho Domínguez, Fiscalía Querétaro and 2 others



8 12



A photograph of a street scene featuring several multi-story buildings with balconies and a street lamp. The image is overlaid with a blue tint. The text "5. Future of the WJP" is centered in white.

# 5. Future of the WJP

# Evolution of WJP Research

## ■ WJP Rule of Law Index

2008 to present: Report measuring rule of law around the world

- Future: Expand coverage to 170 countries and jurisdictions by 2022

## ■ Country-Specific Research

2013 to present: Reports presenting disaggregated data for 24 countries

2015 to present: Sub-national Index comparing rule of law in Mexico

- Future: Expand coverage of country-specific research

## ■ Thematic Research

2015 to present: Thematic reports on Open Government, Access to Justice, and Criminal Justice

- Future: Develop people-centered indicators to measure criminal justice outcomes (including inmate surveys)

## ■ Storytelling With Data

2016 to present: Multimedia and documentary videos highlighting rule of law in Mexico

- Future: Develop multimedia content for more countries and levels of justice



World Justice  
Project



**Thank you.**