



# World Justice Project RULE OF LAW INDEX<sup>®</sup>



### The World Justice Project Rule of Law Index<sup>®</sup> 2024

### The World Justice Project

The World Justice Project (WJP) Rule of Law Index<sup>®</sup> 2024 report was prepared by the World Justice Project. The Index's conceptual framework and methodology were developed by Juan Carlos Botero, Mark David Agrast, and Alejandro Ponce. Data collection and analysis for the 2024 report was performed by Allison Bostrom, Erin Campbell, James Davis, Giacomo D'Urbano, Alicia Evangelides, Joshua Fuller, Lauren Littlejohn, Alejandro Ponce, Natalia Rodríguez Cajamarca, and Katrina Wanner, with the assistance of Lloyd Cleary, Adrian Feinberg, James Marculitis, Andrea Marín Núñez de Arce, Charlotte Mast, Zayna Tubeishat, and Holly West.

The graphic design team for this report included Enrique Paulin, Irene Heras, Mariana Lopez, and Raquel Medina.

Credits for the images on the cover go to iStock.com.

Pitch Interactive served as lead website designer, with assistance from Irene Heras, Natalia Jardon, Mariana Lopez, Raquel Medina, and Enrique Paulin.

ISBN (print version): 978-1-951330-66-8 ISBN (digital version): 978-1-951330-67-5 Board of Directors: Shaikha Abdulla Al-Misnad; Kamel Ayadi; Michael Chu; William C. Hubbard; Suet-Fern Lee; Mondli Makhanya; M. Margaret McKeown; John Nery; William H. Neukom; Ellen Gracie Northfleet; and James R. Silkenat.

Directors Emeritus: Ashraf Ghani Ahmadzai, Emil Constantinescu, and Petar Stoyanov.

Officers: William C. Hubbard, Co-Founder and Chairman of the Board; William H. Neukom, Co-Founder and CEO; Mark D. Agrast, Vice President; Deborah Enix-Ross, Vice President; Judy Perry Martinez, Vice President; Nancy Ward, Vice President; Gerold W. Libby, General Counsel and Secretary; and James R. Silkenat, Director and Treasurer.

**Executive Director: Elizabeth Andersen** 

Chief Research Officer: Alejandro Ponce

The WJP Rule of Law Index 2024 report was made possible by the generous supporters of the work of the World Justice Project listed in this report on page 217.

© Copyright 2024 by the World Justice Project. The WJP Rule of Law Index and the World Justice Project Rule of Law Index are trademarks of the World Justice Project. All rights reserved. Requests to reproduce this document should be sent to:

WJP Rule of Law Index Permissions World Justice Project 1025 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20005 U.S.A.

E-mail: wjp@worldjusticeproject.org Subject line: WJP Rule of Law Index Permissions



# World Justice Project RULE OF LAW INDEX<sup>®</sup>





# 01

### About the WJP Rule of Law Index

- 8 Foreword
- 10 Overview of Scores and Rankings
- 12 Features of the WJP Rule of Law Index
- 13 Defining the Rule of Law
- **14** The Four Universal Principles of the Rule of Law
- 15 Conceptual Framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index
- 16 Indicators of the WJP Rule of Law Index

# 02

### **Scores and Rankings**

- 22 Rule of Law Around the World
- 24 Rule of Law by Region
- 26 Rule of Law by Income
- 28 Rule of Law by Factor

# 03

### **Country Profiles**

- 38 How to Read the Country Profiles
- **39** Country Profiles



# Behind the Numbers

- 184 Methodology Snapshot
- 185 Methodology
- 192 Contributing Experts
- 215 Acknowledgments
- 216 About the WJP
- 219 More From the WJP





- 8 Foreword
- 10 Overview of Scores and Rankings
- 12 Features of the WJP Rule of Law Index
- **13** Defining the Rule of Law
- **14** The Four Universal Principles of the Rule of Law
- **15** Conceptual Framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index
- 16 Indicators of the WJP Rule of Law Index



The rule of law is internationally recognized as a foundational element in guaranteeing peace, justice, human rights, effective democracy, and sustainable development. Around the world, however, the rule of law continues to weaken. This 2024 edition of the *World Justice Project* (*WJP*) *Rule of Law Index* reveals that a majority of countries are experiencing backsliding, marked by executive overreach, diminished human rights, and justice systems that are failing to meet people's needs.

In the face of these challenges, there is a need for a shared understanding of the landscape we face and a systematic, robust, and actionable assessment of adherence to the rule of law around the world. To this end, the World Justice Project is pleased to present the latest edition of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* so that a wide variety of stakeholders can identify rule of law strengths, weaknesses, progress, and setbacks across 142 countries and jurisdictions. The Index offers original, independent data organized into eight factors that encompass the concept of the rule of law: Constraints on Government Powers, Absence of Corruption, Open Government, Fundamental Rights, Order and Security, Regulatory Enforcement, Civil Justice, and Criminal Justice. Index factor scores reflect the perspectives and experiences of more than 214,000 everyday people and 3,500 legal experts around the world, and they are backed by a rigorous process of validation and analysis.

In 2024, overall rule of law has declined in a majority of countries yet again. The authoritarian trends that first spurred the global rule of law recession in 2016 persist in every region of the world today. However, overall rule of law declines are less widespread and extreme for the third year in a row. Some countries have managed to reverse authoritarian trends, while others have made sustained progress in justice, anti-corruption, and human rights. The Index data proves that progress is possible.

There is still much work to be done to advance the rule of law worldwide and to prevent the arbitrary exercise of power. As a global leader, the World Justice Project reaffirms its commitment to work hand in hand with governments, policy makers, political actors, the private sector, civil society organizations, the media, donors, and academia to support reform efforts aimed at advancing the rule of law. This edition of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* is a small but important step in this direction.

Dr. Alejandro Ponce

Chief Research Officer World Justice Project



"A majority of countries are experiencing backsliding... Index data [also] proves that progress is possible."



## Overview of Overall Scores and Rankings

The table below shows the overall scores and rankings of the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2024* in alphabetical order. Scores range from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating the strongest adherence to the rule of law.

(	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	% Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†		Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	% Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Globa Rank†
	Afghanistan	0.32	-0.4%	140	0	<b></b>	Dominica	0.58	-0.2%	53	0
Į.	Albania	0.48	-0.3%	89	2 🔺		Dominican Republic	0.49	1.1%	86	0
Ð	Algeria	0.49	1.0%	84	0	T	Ecuador	0.46	-2.0%	97	1 🔻
λ	Angola	0.43	0.3%	115	0	kis .	Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.35	0.7%	135	1 🔺
7	Antigua and Barbuda	0.64	0.3%	37	1 🔺	e	El Salvador	0.43	-3.2%	111	3 🔻
	Argentina	0.55	0.0%	63	0		Estonia	0.82	0.0%	10	1 🔻
÷	Australia	0.80	0.0%	11	2 🔺	<mark></mark> &	Ethiopia	0.37	-2.4%	130	1 🔹
	Austria	0.79	-0.8%	13	2 🔻		Finland	0.87	0.0%	3	0
	The Bahamas	0.59	-0.6%	50	0		France	0.72	-0.5%	22	1 🔹
	Bangladesh	0.39	0.3%	127	0		Gabon	0.40	1.2%	122	2 🔺
	Barbados	0.66	0.2%	35	0		The Gambia	0.49	0.4%	87	2 🔻
	Belarus	0.45	-1.2%	105	1 🔻	* *	Georgia	0.60	-1.3%	49	1 🔹
	Belgium	0.78	-0.3%	17	1 🔻		Germany	0.83	0.0%	5	0
	Belize	0.50	0.7%	82	2 🔻	*	Ghana	0.55	-0.3%	62	1 🔹
	Benin	0.48	-1.6%	93	3 🔻	1 <b>1</b>	Greece	0.60	-0.6%	47	0
	Bolivia	0.37	-1.6%	131	0		Grenada	0.60	-0.1%	48	1
	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.52	1.1%	71	4 🔺	(i)	Guatemala Guinea	0.44 0.41	1.3% -0.5%	107 119	4
- 1	Botswana	0.59	-0.6%	51	0						
	Brazil	0.50	1.5%	80	3 🔺		Guyana	0.50	-0.2%	77	1 •
	Bulgaria	0.56	0.3%	59	0	8	Haiti	0.33	-2.6%	139	0
	Burkina Faso	0.46	-2.6%	98	3 🔻		Honduras	0.42	1.1%	116	3 🔺
	Cambodia	0.31	-0.3%	141	0	*	Hong Kong SAR, China	0.72	-0.6%	23	0
	Cameroon	0.36	0.9%	133	1 🔺		Hungary India	0.51 0.50	-0.4%	73 79	0
Ľ	Canada	0.80	-0.3%	12	0	۲	India		0.8%		
51	Chile	0.66	-0.7%	36	3 🔻			0.53	-0.9%	68	2
1	China	0.47	1.2%	95	2 🔺	Φ	Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.39	0.0%	128 9	2
	Colombia	0.48	-0.2%	91	3 🔺		Ireland	0.82	1.0%		
	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	-0.4%	136	2 ▲		Italy	0.66	-0.8%	32	0
	Congo, Rep.	0.40	0.4%	121	1 🔺		Jamaica	0.57	-0.7%	54	0
	Costa Rica	0.68	-1.0%	29	0		Japan	0.79	0.1%	14	0
	Côte d'Ivoire	0.45	0.4%	104	2 🔺		Jordan	0.55	0.0%	61	1 4
	Croatia	0.45	-0.5%	45	0		Kazakhstan	0.54	0.5%	65 102	0
	Cyprus	0.67	-0.8%	31	0		Kenya	0.45	-0.7%	102	1
	Czechia	0.74	1.0%	20	0		Korea, Rep.	0.74	0.5%	19 50	0
	Denmark	0.90	0.0%	1	0	<b>*</b>	Kosovo	0.56	-0.5%	58	0
	Berninan	0.70	0.070	-	0		Kuwait	0.58	-0.2%	52	0

\* Scores are rounded to two decimal places and percentage changes in score are rounded to one decimal place.

† The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 142 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2023 Index with the rankings of the same 142 countries and jurisdictions in 2024.

		Overall	% Change in Overall	Global	Change in Global			Overall	% Change in Overall	Global	Cha in G
	Country/Jurisdiction	Score*	Score*	Rank	Rank <sup>†</sup>		Country/Jurisdiction	Score*	Score*	Rank	Ra
	Kyrgyz Republic	0.45	-0.1%	101	2 🔺		Romania	0.62	-1.6%	41	
	Latvia	0.73	0.7%	21	1 🔺		Russian Federation	0.43	-1.8%	113	
	Lebanon	0.44	-1.2%	108	1 💌	•	Rwanda	0.63	0.8%	40	
	Liberia	0.44	1.0%	109	3 🔺	*	Senegal	0.55	-0.3%	60	
	Lithuania	0.77	0.8%	18	0		Serbia	0.47	-1.5%	94	
	Luxembourg	0.83	-0.4%	7	1 💌		Sierra Leone	0.43	-1.3%	110	
	Madagascar	0.43	-0.2%	112	2 🔺	C	Singapore	0.78	0.8%	16	
	Malawi	0.52	-0.4%	70	1 💌	<b>.</b>	Slovak Republic	0.66	0.0%	34	
	Malaysia	0.57	0.0%	55	0	•	Slovenia	0.69	0.2%	27	
	Mali	0.39	-2.0%	124	3 🔻		South Africa	0.56	-1.0%	57	
	Malta	0.67	-1.2%	30	0	*	Spain	0.71	-0.9%	25	
	Mauritania	0.36	0.7%	132	1 🔺		Sri Lanka	0.51	1.6%	75	
	Mauritius	0.60	-1.0%	46	0	**	St. Kitts and Nevis	0.64	0.4%	38	
	Mexico	0.41	-0.6%	118	2 🔻		St. Lucia	0.62	0.0%	42	
	Moldova	0.54	1.5%	64	4 🔺	<b>v</b>	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.62	-1.5%	43	
	Mongolia	0.53	0.1%	66	2 🔻		Sudan	0.35	-2.6%	134	
	Montenegro	0.57	0.9%	56	1 🔺	*	Suriname	0.35	0.4%	85	
	Morocco	0.48	-1.0%	92	0		Sweden	0.49	0.4%	4	
	Mozambique	0.39	1.3%	125	3 🔺		Tanzania	0.80	0.3%	4 96	
	Myanmar	0.34	-3.8%	138	3 🔻		Thailand	0.47	1.5%	78	
	Namibia	0.61	-0.5%	44	0	*		0.30	-1.8%	106	
	Nepal	0.52	0.9%	69	2 🔺		Togo Trinidad and Tobago	0.43	-1.3%	74	
	Netherlands	0.83	-0.7%	8	1 🔻	©	Tunisia	0.51	-2.3%	76	
•	New Zealand	0.83	1.0%	6	2 🔺	G €*	Türkiye	0.30	-2.3%	117	
	Nicaragua	0.34	-2.8%	137	0	0	Uganda	0.42	-0.7%	117	
	Niger	0.43	-2.7%	114	5 💌		Ukraine	0.37	0.3%	88	
	Nigeria	0.40	-1.6%	120	0		United Arab Emirates		0.3%	00 39	
	North Macedonia	0.53	1.0%	67	0		United Kingdom	0.64 0.78	0.0%	15	
	Norway	0.89	0.0%	2	0		United States				
	Pakistan	0.38	0.5%	129	1 🔺			0.70	-0.6%	26	
	Panama	0.52	0.6%	72	2 🔺	* 	Uruguay Uzbekistan	0.72 0.49	0.4% -0.4%	24 83	
	Paraguay	0.46	-1.0%	100	1 🔻						
	Peru	0.48	-0.8%	90	2 🔻		Venezuela, RB	0.26	-1.2%	142	
	Philippines	0.46	0.1%	99	1 🔺	*	Vietnam	0.50	2.1%	81	
	Poland	0.66	3.2%	33	3 🔺		Zambia	0.45	0.6%	103	
	Portugal	0.68	-0.8%	28	0	<b>&gt;</b>	Zimbabwe	0.40	0.1%	123	

\* Scores are rounded to two decimal places and percentage changes in score are rounded to one decimal place.

† The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 142 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2023 Index with the rankings of the same 142 countries and jurisdictions in 2024.

## Features of the WJP Rule of Law Index

The World Justice Project (WJP) developed the *WJP Rule of Law Index* to serve as a quantitative tool that measures the rule of law in practice. The Index's methodology and comprehensive definition of the rule of law are the products of intensive consultation and vetting with academics, practitioners, and community leaders from more than 100 countries and jurisdictions and 17 professional disciplines.

The scores and rankings of the eight factors and 44 subfactors of the Index draw from two sources of data collected by the WJP:

- 1. A General Population Poll (GPP) conducted by leading local polling companies, using a representative sample of 1,000<sup>1</sup> respondents in each country and jurisdiction.
- 2. Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs) consisting of closed-ended questions completed by in-country legal practitioners, experts, and academics with expertise in civil and commercial law; constitutional law, civil liberties, and criminal law; labor law; and public health.<sup>2</sup>

Taken together, these two data sources provide current, original information reflecting the experiences and perceptions of the general public and in-country legal practitioners and experts in 142 countries and jurisdictions worldwide.

#### **Rule of Law in Practice**

The Index measures adherence to the rule of law by looking at policy outcomes, such as whether people have access to courts or whether crime is effectively controlled. This stands in contrast to efforts that focus on the written legal code, or the institutional means by which a society may seek to achieve these policy outcomes.

#### **Comprehensive and Multi-Dimensional**

While other indices cover particular aspects of the rule of law, such as absence of corruption or human rights, they do not yield a full picture of the state of the rule of law. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* is the only global instrument that looks at the rule of law comprehensively.

#### Perspective of Ordinary People

The WJP Rule of Law Index puts people at its core. It looks at a country's adherence to the rule of law from the perspective of ordinary individuals and their experiences with the rule of law in their societies. The Index examines practical, everyday situations, such as whether people can access public services and whether a dispute among neighbors can be resolved peacefully and cost-effectively by an independent adjudicator.

#### New Data Anchored in Actual Experiences

The Index is the only comprehensive set of indicators on the rule of law that is based on primary data. Index scores are built from the assessments of residents (generally 1,000 respondents per country or jurisdiction) and local legal practitioners and experts, which ensure that the findings reflect the conditions experienced by actual people, including residents from marginalized sectors of society.

#### **Culturally Competent**

The Index has been designed to be applied in countries and jurisdictions with vastly different social, cultural, economic, and political systems. No society has ever attained—let alone sustained—a perfect realization of the rule of law. Every country faces the perpetual challenge of building and renewing the structures, institutions, and norms that can support and sustain a rule of law culture.

#### **Country-Specific Data and Online Tools**

In addition to this written report, an interactive online platform for country-specific *WJP Rule of Law Index* data is available at: worldjusticeproject.org. The interactive data site invites viewers to browse each of the 142 country and jurisdiction profiles and explore overall and factor scores.



Scan the QR code or visit worldjusticeproject.org/index to view our interactive data portal.

1. Due to small populations or obstacles to data collection in certain countries and jurisdictions, the sampling plan was adjusted in some cases. For more information on specific countries and jurisdictions and sample sizes, see pages 188-191.

# Defining the Rule of Law

Effective rule of law reduces corruption, combats poverty and disease, and protects people from injustices large and small. It is the foundation for communities of justice, opportunity, and peace—underpinning development, accountable government, and respect for fundamental rights. Traditionally, the rule of law has been viewed as the domain of lawyers and judges. However, everyday issues of safety, rights, justice, and governance affect us all; everyone is a stakeholder in the rule of law.

Despite its profound importance for fair and functioning societies, the rule of law is notoriously difficult to define and measure. A simple way of approaching it is to examine a set of outcomes that the rule of law brings to societies, each of which reflects one aspect of the complex concept of the rule of law. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* seeks to embody these outcomes within a simple and coherent framework.

The WJP Rule of Law Index captures adherence to the rule of law as defined by the WJP's universal principles (see following page) through a comprehensive and multi-dimensional set of outcome indicators, each of which reflects a particular aspect of this complex concept. The theoretical framework linking these outcome indicators draws upon two main principles pertaining to the relationship between the state and the governed.

The first principle measures whether the law imposes limits on the exercise of power by the state and its agents, as well as individuals and private entities. This is measured in Factors One, Two, Three, and Four of the Index. The second principle measures whether the state limits the actions of members of society and fulfills its basic duties towards its population so that the public interest is served, people are protected from violence, and all members of society have access to dispute settlement and grievance mechanisms. This is measured in Factors Five, Six, Seven, and Eight of the Index. Although broad in scope, this framework assumes very little about the functions of the state, and when it does, it incorporates functions that are recognized by practically all societies, such as the provision of justice or the guarantee of order and security.

The resulting set of indicators is also an effort to strike a balance between what scholars call a "thin" or minimalist conception of the rule of law that focuses on formal, procedural rules, and a "thick" conception that includes substantive characteristics, such as self-governance and various fundamental rights and freedoms. Striking this balance between "thin" and "thick" conceptions of the rule of law enables the Index to apply to different types of social and political systems, including those that lack many of the features that characterize democratic nations, while including sufficient substantive characteristics to render the rule of law as more than a system of rules. The Index recognizes that a system of law that fails to respect core human rights guaranteed under international law is at best "rule by law" and does not deserve to be called a rule of law system.

The rule of law affects all of us in our everyday lives. Although we may not be aware of it, the rule of law is profoundly important—and not just for lawyers or judges. Every sector of society is a stakeholder in the rule of law. Below are a few examples:

#### **Business Environment**

Imagine an investor seeking to commit resources abroad. She would probably think twice before investing in a country where corruption is rampant, property rights are ill-defined, and contracts are difficult to enforce. Uneven enforcement of regulations, corruption, insecure property rights, and ineffective means to settle disputes undermine legitimate business and deter both domestic and foreign investment.

#### **Public Works**

Consider the bridges, roads, or runways we traverse daily or the offices and buildings in which we live, work, and play. What would happen if building codes governing design and safety were not enforced? What if government officials and contractors used low-quality materials to pocket the surplus? Weak regulatory enforcement and corruption decrease the security of physical infrastructure and waste scarce resources, which are essential to a thriving economy.

#### **Public Health and Environment**

Consider the implications of pollution, wildlife poaching, and deforestation for public health and the environment. What would happen if a company were pouring harmful chemicals into a river in a highly populated area and the environmental inspector ignored these actions in exchange for a bribe? Adherence to the rule of law is essential to holding governments, businesses, civil society organizations, and communities accountable for protecting public health and the environment.

# The Four Universal Principles of the Rule of Law

The World Justice Project defines the rule of law as a durable system of laws, institutions, norms, and community commitment that delivers:




The four universal principles are further developed in the following eight factors of the annual *WJP Rule of Law Index*:

Constraints on Government Powers, Absence of Corruption, Open Government, Fundamental Rights, Order and Security, Regulatory Enforcement, Civil Justice, and Criminal Justice.

# Conceptual Framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index

The conceptual framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index is comprised of eight factors further disaggregated into 44 sub-factors. These factors and sub-factors are presented below and described in detail in the section that follows.



#### Informal Justice and the Rule of Law

The conceptual framework of the Index includes a ninth factor on informal justice that is not included in the Index's aggregate scores and rankings. Informal justice systems often play a large role in countries and jurisdictions where formal legal institutions are weak, remote, or perceived as ineffective. As such, the WJP has devoted effort to collecting data on informal justice through our surveys. Nonetheless, the complexities of these systems and the difficulties of systematically measuring their fairness and effectiveness make cross-country assessments extraordinarily challenging. For this reason, the informal justice factor is not included in the Index scores and rankings.

#### Factor 9: Informal Justice

- 9.1 Informal justice is timely and effective
- 9.2 Informal justice is impartial and free of improper influence
- 9.3 Informal justice respects and protects fundamental rights

### Indicators of the WJP Rule of Law Index

#### FACTOR ONE: Constraints on Government Powers

1.1 Government powers are effectively limited by the legislature Measures whether legislative bodies have the independence and the ability in practice to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

#### 1.2 Government powers are effectively limited by the judiciary

Measures whether the judiciary has the independence and the ability in practice to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

#### 1.3 Government powers are effectively limited by independent auditing and review

Measures whether comptrollers or auditors, as well as national human rights ombudsman agencies, have sufficient independence and the ability to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

#### 1.4 Government officials are sanctioned for misconduct

Measures whether government officials in the executive, legislature, judiciary, and the police are investigated, prosecuted, and punished for official misconduct and other violations.

#### 1.5 Government powers are subject to non-governmental checks

Measures whether an independent media, civil society organizations, political parties, and individuals are free to report and comment on government policies without fear of retaliation.

#### 1.6 Transition of power is subject to the law

Measures whether government officials are elected or appointed in accordance with the rules and procedures set forth in the constitution. Where elections take place, it also measures the integrity of the electoral process, including access to the ballot, the absence of intimidation, and public scrutiny of election results.

#### FACTOR TWO: Absence of Corruption

2.1 Government officials in the executive branch do not use public office for private gain

Measures the prevalence of bribery, informal payments, and other inducements in the delivery of public services and the enforcement of regulations. It also measures whether government procurement and public works contracts are awarded through an open and competitive bidding process, and whether government officials at various levels of the executive branch refrain from embezzling public funds.

2.2 Government officials in the judicial branch do not use public office for private gain

Measures whether judges and judicial officials refrain from soliciting and accepting bribes to perform duties or expedite processes, and whether the judiciary and judicial rulings are free of improper influence by the government, private interests, or criminal organizations.

### 2.3 Government officials in the police and the military do not use public office for private gain

Measures whether police officers and criminal investigators refrain from soliciting and accepting bribes to perform basic police services or to investigate crimes, and whether government officials in the police and the military are free of improper influence by private interests or criminal organizations.

2.4 Government officials in the legislative branch do not use public office for private gain

Measures whether members of the legislature refrain from soliciting or accepting bribes or other inducements in exchange for political favors or favorable votes on legislation.

#### FACTOR THREE: Open Government

#### 3.1 Publicized laws and government data

Measures whether basic laws and information on legal rights are publicly available, presented in plain language, and made accessible in all languages used in the country or jurisdiction. It also measures the quality and accessibility of information published by the government in print or online, and whether administrative regulations, drafts of legislation, and high court decisions are made accessible to the public in a timely manner.

#### 3.2 Right to information

Measures whether requests for information held by a government agency are granted, whether these requests are granted within a reasonable time period, if the information provided is pertinent and complete, and if requests for information are granted at a reasonable cost and without having to pay a bribe. It also measures whether people are aware of their right to information, and whether relevant records are accessible to the public upon request.

#### 3.3 Civic participation

Measures the effectiveness of civic participation mechanisms, including the protection of the freedoms of opinion and expression, assembly and association, and the right to petition the government. It also measures whether people can voice concerns to various government officers and whether government officials provide sufficient information and notice about decisions affecting the community.

#### 3.4 Complaint mechanisms

Measures whether people are able to bring specific complaints to the government about the provision of public services or the performance of government officers in carrying out their legal duties in practice, and how government officials respond to such complaints.

#### FACTOR FOUR: Fundamental Rights

#### 4.1 Equal treatment and absence of discrimination

Measures whether individuals are free from discrimination based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity—with respect to public services, employment, court proceedings, and the justice system.

### 4.2 The right to life and security of the person is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether the police inflict physical harm upon criminal suspects during arrest and interrogation, and whether political dissidents or members of the media are subjected to unreasonable searches, arrest, detention, imprisonment, threats, abusive treatment, or violence.

#### 4.3 Due process of the law and rights of the accused

Measures whether the basic rights of criminal suspects are respected, including the presumption of innocence and the freedom from arbitrary arrest and unreasonable pre-trial detention. It also measures whether criminal suspects are able to access and challenge evidence used against them, whether they are subject to abusive treatment, and whether they are provided with adequate legal assistance. In addition, it measures whether the basic rights of prisoners are respected once they have been convicted of a crime.

#### 4.4 Freedom of opinion and expression is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether an independent media, civil society organizations, political parties, and individuals are free to report and comment on government policies without fear of retaliation.

#### 4.5 Freedom of belief and religion is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether members of religious minorities can worship and conduct religious practices freely and publicly, and whether non-adherents are protected from having to submit to religious laws.

### 4.6 Freedom from arbitrary interference with privacy is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether the police or other government officials conduct physical searches without warrants, or intercept electronic communications of private individuals without judicial authorization.

#### 4.7 Freedom of assembly and association is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether people can freely attend community meetings, join political organizations, hold peaceful public demonstrations, sign petitions, and express opinions against government policies and actions without fear of retaliation.

#### 4.8 Fundamental labor rights are effectively guaranteed

Measures the effective enforcement of fundamental labor rights, including freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining, the absence of discrimination with respect to employment, and freedom from forced labor and child labor.

#### FACTOR FIVE: Order and Security

#### 5.1 Crime is effectively controlled

Measures the prevalence of common crimes, including homicide, kidnapping, burglary and theft, armed robbery, and extortion, as well as people's general perceptions of safety in their communities.

#### 5.2 Civil conflict is effectively limited

Measures whether people are effectively protected from armed conflict and terrorism.

#### 5.3 People do not resort to violence to redress personal grievances

Measures whether people resort to intimidation or violence to resolve civil disputes amongst themselves or to seek redress from the government, and whether people are free from mob violence.

#### FACTOR SIX:

#### Regulatory Enforcement

#### 6.1 Government regulations are effectively enforced

Measures whether government regulations, such as labor, environmental, public health, commercial, and consumer protection regulations are effectively enforced.

### 6.2 Government regulations are applied and enforced without improper influence

Measures whether the enforcement of regulations is subject to bribery or improper influence by private interests, and whether public services, such as the issuance of permits and licenses and the administration of public health services, are provided without bribery or other illegal inducements.

### 6.3 Administrative proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delay

Measures whether administrative proceedings at the national and local levels are conducted without unreasonable delay.

#### 6.4 Due process is respected in administrative proceedings

Measures whether due process of the law is respected in administrative proceedings conducted by national and local authorities in issue areas such as the environment, taxes, and labor.

### 6.5 The government does not expropriate without lawful process and adequate compensation

Measures whether the government respects the property rights of people and corporations, refrains from the illegal seizure of private property, and provides adequate compensation when property is legally expropriated.

#### FACTOR SEVEN: Civil Justice

#### 7.1 People can access and afford civil justice

Measures the accessibility and affordability of civil courts, including whether people are aware of available remedies; can access and afford legal advice and representation; and can access the court system without incurring unreasonable fees, encountering unreasonable procedural hurdles, or experiencing physical or linguistic barriers.

#### 7.2 Civil justice is free of discrimination

Measures whether the civil justice system discriminates in practice based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity.

#### 7.3 Civil justice is free of corruption

Measures whether the civil justice system is free of bribery and improper influence by private interests.

#### 7.4 Civil justice is free of improper government influence

Measures whether the civil justice system is free of improper government or political influence.

#### 7.5 Civil justice is not subject to unreasonable delay

Measures whether civil justice proceedings are conducted and judgments are produced in a timely manner without unreasonable delay.

#### 7.6 Civil justice is effectively enforced

Measures the effectiveness and timeliness of the enforcement of civil justice decisions and judgments in practice.

### 7.7 Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms are accessible, impartial, and effective

Measures whether alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (ADRs) are affordable, efficient, enforceable, and free of corruption.

### Criminal Justice

#### 8.1 Criminal investigation system is effective

Measures whether perpetrators of crimes are effectively apprehended and charged. It also measures whether police, investigators, and prosecutors have adequate resources, are free of corruption, and perform their duties competently.

#### 8.2 Criminal adjudication system is timely and effective

Measures whether perpetrators of crimes are effectively prosecuted and punished. It also measures whether criminal judges and other judicial officers are competent and produce speedy decisions.

#### 8.3 Correctional system is effective in reducing criminal behavior

Measures whether correctional institutions are secure, respect prisoners' rights, and are effective in preventing recidivism.

#### 8.4 Criminal system is impartial

Measures whether the police and criminal judges are impartial and whether they discriminate in practice based on socioeconomic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity.

#### 8.5 Criminal system is free of corruption

Measures whether the police, prosecutors, and judges are free of bribery and improper influence from criminal organizations.

#### 8.6 Criminal system is free of improper government influence

Measures whether the criminal justice system is independent from government or political influence.

#### 8.7 Due process of the law and rights of the accused

Measures whether the basic rights of criminal suspects are respected, including the presumption of innocence and the freedom from arbitrary arrest and unreasonable pre-trial detention. It also measures whether criminal suspects can access and challenge evidence used against them, whether they are subject to abusive treatment, and whether they are provided with adequate legal assistance. In addition, it measures whether the basic rights of prisoners are respected once they have been convicted of a crime.





- 22 Rule of Law Around the World
- 24 Rule of Law by Region
- 26 Rule of Law by Income
- 28 Rule of Law by Factor



# Rule of Law Around the World

The table below shows the overall scores and rankings of the WJP Rule of Law Index<sup>®</sup> 2024 by country rank. Scores range from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating the strongest adherence to the rule of law.

ADHERENCE	Weaker			
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75
Country/Jurisdict	tion	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Coun
Denmark		0.90	1	Bark
Norway		0.89	2	Chil
Finland		0.87	3	Anti
Sweden		0.86	4	St. k
Germany		0.83	5	Unit
New Zealand		0.83	6	Rwa
Luxembourg		0.83	7	Rom
Netherlands		0.83	8	St. L
Ireland		0.82	9	St. V
Estonia		0.82	10	Grei
Australia		0.80	11	Nam
Canada		0.80	12	Croa
Austria		0.79	13	Mau
Japan		0.79	14	Gree
United Kingdo	om	0.78	15	Grei
Singapore		0.78	16	Geo
Belgium		0.78	17	The
Lithuania		0.77	18	Bots
Korea, Rep.		0.74	19	Kuw
Czechia		0.74	20	Don
Latvia		0.73	21	Jam
France		0.72	22	Mala
Hong Kong SA	R, China	0.72	23	Mor
Uruguay		0.72	24	Sout
Spain		0.71	25	Kos
United States		0.70	26	Bulg
Slovenia		0.69	27	Sene
Portugal		0.68	28	Jord
Costa Rica		0.68	29	Gha
Malta		0.67	30	Arge
Cyprus		0.67	31	Mol
Italy		0.66	32	Kaza
Poland		0.66	33	Mor
Slovak Republ	ic	0.66	34	Nor


Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Barbados	0.66	35
Chile	0.66	36
Antigua and Barbuda	0.64	37
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.64	38
United Arab Emirates	0.64	39
Rwanda	0.63	40
Romania	0.62	41
St. Lucia	0.62	42
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.62	43
Namibia	0.61	44
Croatia	0.61	45
Mauritius	0.60	46
Greece	0.60	47
Grenada	0.60	48
Georgia	0.60	49
The Bahamas	0.59	50
Botswana	0.59	51
Kuwait	0.58	52
Dominica	0.58	53
Jamaica	0.57	54
Malaysia	0.57	55
Montenegro	0.57	56
South Africa	0.56	57
Kosovo	0.56	58
Bulgaria	0.56	59
Senegal	0.55	60
Jordan	0.55	61
Ghana	0.55	62
Argentina	0.55	63
Moldova	0.54	64
Kazakhstan	0.54	65
Mongolia	0.53	66
North Macedonia	0.53	67

Stronger 1.00

> Overall Score\* Country/Jurisdiction Rank Indonesia 0.53 68 Nepal 0.52 69 Malawi 0.52 70 0.52 71 Bosnia and Herzegovina 0.52 Panama 72 0.51 73 Hungary Trinidad and Tobago 0.51 74 75 Sri Lanka 0.51 Tunisia 0.50 76 Guyana 0.50 77 Thailand 0.50 78 India 0.50 79 Brazil 0.50 80 Vietnam 0.50 81 Belize 0.50 82 Uzbekistan 0.49 83 84 Algeria 0.49 Suriname 0.49 85 **Dominican Republic** 0.49 86

Global

Scores are rounded to two decimal places.



Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
The Gambia	0.49	87
Ukraine	0.49	88
Albania	0.48	89
Peru	0.48	90
Colombia	0.48	91
Morocco	0.48	92
Benin	0.48	93
Serbia	0.47	94
China	0.47	95
Tanzania	0.47	96
Ecuador	0.46	97
Burkina Faso	0.46	98
Philippines	0.46	99
Paraguay	0.46	100
Kyrgyz Republic	0.45	101
Kenya	0.45	102
Zambia	0.45	103
Côte d'Ivoire	0.45	104
Belarus	0.45	105

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Тодо	0.45	106
Guatemala	0.44	107
Lebanon	0.44	108
Liberia	0.44	109
Sierra Leone	0.43	110
El Salvador	0.43	111
Madagascar	0.43	112
<b>Russian Federation</b>	0.43	113
Niger	0.43	114
Angola	0.43	115
Honduras	0.42	116
Türkiye	0.42	117
Mexico	0.41	118
Guinea	0.41	119
Nigeria	0.40	120
Congo, Rep.	0.40	121
Gabon	0.40	122
Zimbabwe	0.40	123
Mali	0.39	124

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Mozambique	0.39	125
Uganda	0.39	126
Bangladesh	0.39	127
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.39	128
Pakistan	0.38	129
Ethiopia	0.37	130
Bolivia	0.37	131
Mauritania	0.36	132
Cameroon	0.36	133
Sudan	0.35	134
Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.35	135
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	136
Nicaragua	0.34	137
Myanmar	0.34	138
Haiti	0.33	139
Afghanistan	0.32	140
Cambodia	0.31	141
Venezuela, RB	0.26	142

\* Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

# Rule of Law Around the World by Region

Change

E V===0/

#### East Asia and Pacific

Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score	5-Year % Change in * Overall Score	Global * Rank	Change in Global Rank†
1/15	New Zealand	0.83	1.0%	1.4%	6	2 🔺
2/15	Australia	0.80	0.0%	-0.9%	11	2 🔺
3/15	Japan	0.79	0.1%	0.8%	14	0
4/15	Singapore	0.78	0.8%	-1.7%	16	1 🔺
5/15	Korea, Rep.	0.74	0.5%	1.0%	19	0
6/15	Hong Kong SAR, China	0.72	-0.6%	-5.8%	23	0
7/15	Malaysia	0.57	0.0%	2.8%	55	0
8/15	Mongolia	0.53	0.1%	-2.0%	66	2 🔻

A ----- 1.0/

Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score	5-Year % Change in * Overall Score	Global * Rank	Change in Global Rank†
9/15	Indonesia	0.53	-0.9%	1.2%	68	2 🔻
10/15	Thailand	0.50	1.5%	-1.0%	78	4 🔺
11/15	Vietnam	0.50	2.1%	1.6%	81	6 🔺
12/15	China	0.47	1.2%	-2.7%	95	2 🔺
13/15	Philippines	0.46	0.1%	-2.2%	99	1 🔺
14/15	Myanmar	0.34	-3.8%	-19.9%	138	3 🔻
15/15	Cambodia	0.31	-0.3%	-4.0%	141	0

#### **Eastern Europe and Central Asia**

Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-Year % Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank <sup>†</sup>	Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score	5-Year % Change in * Overall Score	Global * Rank	Change in Global Rank†
1/15	Georgia	0.60	-1.3%	-2.1%	49	1 🔻	8/15	Uzbekistan	0.49	-0.4%	6.6%	83	5 🔻
2/15	Montenegro	0.57	0.9%	-	56	1 🔺	9/15	Ukraine	0.49	0.3%	-3.0%	88	1 🔺
3/15	Kosovo	0.56	-0.5%	-	58	0	10/15	Albania	0.48	-0.3%	-4.6%	89	2 🔺
4/15	Moldova	0.54	1.5%	10.6%	64	4 🔺	11/15	Serbia	0.47	-1.5%	-5.0%	94	1 🔻
5/15	Kazakhstan	0.54	0.5%	3.4%	65	0	12/15	Kyrgyz Republic	0.45	-0.1%	-5.1%	101	2 🔺
6/15	North Macedonia	0.53	1.0%	-0.7%	67	0	13/15	Belarus	0.45	-1.2%	-13.6%	105	1 🔻
7/15	Bosnia and	0.52	1.1%	-1.6%	71	4 🔺	14/15	<b>Russian Federation</b>	0.43	-1.8%	-9.3%	113	0
,,15	Herzegovina	0.52	1.170	1.070	, 1	. –	15/15	Türkiye	0.42	0.4%	-2.9%	117	0

EU, EFTA, and North America (European Union, European Free Trade Association, and North America)

Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-Year % Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†	Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-Year % Change in Overall Score	Global * Rank	Change in Global Rank†
1/31	Denmark	0.90	0.0%	0.0%	1	0	17/31	France	0.72	-0.5%	-1.6%	22	1 🔻
2/31	Norway	0.89	0.0%	-0.2%	2	0	18/31	Spain	0.71	-0.9%	-0.7%	25	1 🔻
3/31	Finland	0.87	0.0%	0.0%	3	0	19/31	United States	0.70	-0.6%	-2.9%	26	0
4/31	Sweden	0.86	0.3%	-0.4%	4	0	20/31	Slovenia	0.69	0.2%	2.3%	27	0
5/31	Germany	0.83	0.0%	-0.1%	5	0	21/31	Portugal	0.68	-0.8%	-4.9%	28	0
6/31	Luxembourg	0.83	-0.4%	-	7	1 🔻	22/31	Malta	0.67	-1.2%	-	30	0
7/31	Netherlands	0.83	-0.7%	-1.8%	8	1 🔻	23/31	Cyprus	0.67	-0.8%	-	31	0
8/31	Ireland	0.82	1.0%	-	9	1 🔺	24/31	Italy	0.66	-0.8%	0.9%	32	0
9/31	Estonia	0.82	0.0%	1.3%	10	1 🔻	25/31	Poland	0.66	3.2%	-0.8%	33	3 🔺
10/31	Canada	0.80	-0.3%	-1.4%	12	0	26/31	Slovak Republic	0.66	0.0%	-	34	0
11/31	Austria	0.79	-0.8%	-3.5%	13	2 🔻	27/31	Romania	0.62	-1.6%	-3.4%	41	1 🔻
12/31	United Kingdom	0.78	0.1%	-2.2%	15	0	28/31	Croatia	0.61	-0.5%	0.6%	45	0
13/31	Belgium	0.78	-0.3%	-1.3%	17	1 🔻	29/31	Greece	0.60	-0.6%	-2.3%	47	0
14/31	Lithuania	0.77	0.8%	-	18	0	30/31	Bulgaria	0.56	0.3%	2.5%	59	0
15/31	Czechia	0.74	1.0%	1.8%	20	0	31/31	Hungary	0.51	-0.4%	-3.9%	73	0
16/31	Latvia	0.73	0.7%	-	21	1 🔺							

ADHERENCE	Weaker	9	Stronger		
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	100

\* Scores are rounded to two decimal places. Percentage changes in score are rounded to one decimal place.

The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 142 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2023 Index with the rankings of the same 142 countries and jurisdictions in 2024.

#### Latin America and Caribbean

Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-Year % Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†	Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-Year % Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
1/32	Uruguay	0.72	0.4%	2.0%	24	1 🔺	16/32	Guyana	0.50	-0.2%	-0.5%	77	1 🔻
2/32	Costa Rica	0.68	-1.0%	-1.5%	29	0	17/32	Brazil	0.50	1.5%	-6.3%	80	3 🔺
3/32	Barbados	0.66	0.2%	1.2%	35	0	18/32	Belize	0.50	0.7%	3.6%	82	2 🔻
4/32	Chile	0.66	-0.7%	-2.7%	36	3 🔻	19/32	Suriname	0.49	0.4%	-3.2%	85	4 🔻
5/32	Antigua and Barbuda	0.64	0.3%	1.4%	37	1 🔺	20/32	Dominican Republic	0.49	1.1%	6.5%	86	0
6/32	St. Kitts and Nevis	0.64	0.4%	-1.6%	38	1 🔺	21/32	Peru	0.48	-0.8%	-4.8%	90	2 🔻
7/32	St. Lucia	0.62	0.0%	0.3%	42	1 🔺	22/32	Colombia	0.48	-0.2%	-3.2%	91	3 🔺
8/32	St. Vincent and	0.62	-1.5%	-0.5%	43	1 🔻	23/32	Ecuador	0.46	-2.0%	-2.9%	97	1 🔻
0/02	the Grenadines	0.02	1.570	0.570	-0	·	24/32	Paraguay	0.46	-1.0%	-	100	1 🔻
9/32	Grenada	0.60	-0.1%	-0.1%	48	1 🔺	25/32	Guatemala	0.44	1.3%	-3.4%	107	4 🔺
10/32	The Bahamas	0.59	-0.6%	-3.5%	50	0	26/32	El Salvador	0.43	-3.2%	-9.7%	111	3 🔻
11/32	Dominica	0.58	-0.2%	-1.7%	53	0	27/32	Honduras	0.42	1.1%	3.8%	116	3 🔺
12/32	Jamaica	0.57	-0.7%	1.0%	54	0	28/32	Mexico	0.41	-0.6%	-8.6%	118	2 🔻
13/32	Argentina	0.55	0.0%	-6.3%	63	0	29/32	Bolivia	0.37	-1.6%	-3.8%	131	0
14/32	Panama	0.52	0.6%	-0.3%	72	2 🔺	30/32	Nicaragua	0.34	-2.8%	-16.8%	137	0
15/32	Trinidad and Tobago	0.51	-1.3%	-5.3%	74	4 🔻	31/32	Haiti	0.33	-2.6%	-	139	0
	-						32/32	Venezuela, RB	0.26	-1.2%	-5.6%	142	0

#### **Middle East and North Africa**

Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction		Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-Year % Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†	Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction		Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-Year % Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
1/9	United Arab	0.64	0.0%	-1.0%	39	2 🔻	5/9	Algeria	0.49	1.0%	-2.3%	84	0
1/ /	Emirates	0.04	0.070	1.070	57	2 .	6/9	Morocco	0.48	-1.0%	-4.8%	92	0
2/9	Kuwait	0.58	-0.2%	-	52	0	7/9	Lebanon	0.44	-1.2%	-6.0%	108	1 🔻
3/9	Jordan	0.55	0.0%	-3.5%	61	1 🔺	8/9	Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.39	0.0%	-13.5%	128	2 🔻
4/9	Tunisia	0.50	-2.3%	-5.5%	76	4 🔻	9/9	Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.35	0.7%	-1.9%	135	1 🔺

South	Asia		Annual %	5-Year %		Change				Annual %	5-Year %		Change
Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction		Change in	Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	in Global Rank†	Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction		Change in Overall Score*	Change in	Global * Rank	in Global Rank†
1/6	Nepal	0.52	0.9%	-1.6%	69	2 🔺	4/6	Bangladesh	0.39	0.3%	-6.9%	127	0
2/6	Sri Lanka	0.51	1.6%	-2.4%	75	2 🔺	5/6	Pakistan	0.38	0.5%	-3.0%	129	1 🔺
3/6	India	0.50	0.8%	-2.7%	79	0	6/6	Afghanistan	0.32	-0.4%	-9.0%	140	0

#### Sub-Saharan Africa

Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-Year % Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†	Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction		Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-Year % Change in Overall Score <sup>a</sup>	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
1/34	Rwanda	0.63	0.8%	4.1%	40	1 🔺	18/34	Sierra Leone	0.43	-1.3%	-4.6%	110	0
2/34	Namibia	0.61	-0.5%	-1.7%	44	0	19/34	Madagascar	0.43	-0.2%	-0.3%	112	2 🔺
3/34	Mauritius	0.60	-1.0%	-1.9%	46	0	20/34	Niger	0.43	-2.7%	-5.4%	114	5 🔻
4/34	Botswana	0.59	-0.6%	-0.2%	51	0	21/34	Angola	0.43	0.3%	3.3%	115	0
5/34	South Africa	0.56	-1.0%	-2.6%	57	1 🔻	22/34	Guinea	0.41	-0.5%	-5.8%	119	1 🔻
6/34	Senegal	0.55	-0.3%	0.1%	60	0	23/34	Nigeria	0.40	-1.6%	-6.5%	120	0
7/34	Ghana	0.55	-0.3%	-5.1%	62	1 🔻	24/34	Congo, Rep.	0.40	0.4%	-	121	1 🔺
8/34	Malawi	0.52	-0.4%	0.9%	70	1 🔻	25/34	Gabon	0.40	1.2%	-	122	2 🔺
9/34	The Gambia	0.49	0.4%	-	87	2 🔻	26/34	Zimbabwe	0.40	0.1%	-0.6%	123	0
10/34	Benin	0.48	-1.6%	-4.5%	93	3 🔻	27/34	Mali	0.39	-2.0%	-12.8%	124	3 🔻
11/34	Tanzania	0.47	0.2%	-0.3%	96	2 🔺	28/34	Mozambique	0.39	1.3%	-9.1%	125	3 🔺
12/34	Burkina Faso	0.46	-2.6%	-9.4%	98	3 🔻	29/34	Uganda	0.39	-0.7%	-4.1%	126	1 🔻
13/34	Kenya	0.45	-0.7%	0.8%	102	1 🔻	30/34	Ethiopia	0.37	-2.4%	-4.7%	130	1 🔻
14/34	Zambia	0.45	0.6%	-3.0%	103	2 🔺	31/34	Mauritania	0.36	0.7%	4.6%	132	1 🔺
15/34	Côte d'Ivoire	0.45	0.4%	-3.0%	104	2 🔺	32/34	Cameroon	0.36	0.9%	-5.0%	133	1 🔺
16/34	Togo	0.45	-1.8%	-1.8%	106	4 🔻	33/34	Sudan	0.35	-2.6%	-	134	2 🔻
17/34	Liberia	0.44	1.0%	-3.9%	109	3 🔺	34/34	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	-0.4%	2.9%	136	2 🔺

\* Scores are rounded to two decimal places. Percentage changes in score are rounded to one decimal place.

† The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 142 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2023 Index with the rankings of the same 142 countries and jurisdictions in 2024.

## Rule of Law Around the World by Income<sup>\*</sup>

#### Low Income

Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Annual % Change in Overall Score	5-Year % Change in † Overall Score†	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡
1/16	Rwanda	0.63	0.8%	4.1%	40	1 🔺
2/16	Malawi	0.52	-0.4%	0.9%	70	1 🔻
3/16	The Gambia	0.49	0.4%	-	87	2 🔻
4/16	Burkina Faso	0.46	-2.6%	-9.4%	98	3 🔻
5/16	Тодо	0.45	-1.8%	-1.8%	106	4 🔻
6/16	Liberia	0.44	1.0%	-3.9%	109	3 🔺
7/16	Sierra Leone	0.43	-1.3%	-4.6%	110	0
8/16	Madagascar	0.43	-0.2%	-0.3%	112	2 🔺
9/16	Niger	0.43	-2.7%	-5.4%	114	5 💌
10/16	Mali	0.39	-2.0%	-12.8%	124	3 🔻

Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Annual % Change in Overall Score†	5-Year % Change in Overall Score <sup>†</sup>	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡
11/16	Mozambique	0.39	1.3%	-9.1%	125	3 🔺
12/16	Uganda	0.39	-0.7%	-4.1%	126	1 🔻
13/16	Ethiopia	0.37	-2.4%	-4.7%	130	1 🔻
14/16	Sudan	0.35	-2.6%	-	134	2 🔻
15/16	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	-0.4%	2.9%	136	2 🔺
16/16	Afghanistan	0.32	-0.4%	-9.0%	140	0

#### Lower-Middle Income

Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Annual % Change in Overall Score†	5-Year % Change in Overall Score†	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡	Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Annual % Change in Overall Score <sup>†</sup>	5-Year % Change in Overall Score	Global † Rank	Change in Global Rank‡
1/38	Senegal	0.55	-0.3%	0.1%	60	0	20/38	Côte d'Ivoire	0.45	0.4%	-3.0%	104	2 🔺
2/38	Jordan	0.55	0.0%	-3.5%	61	1 🔺	21/38	Lebanon	0.44	-1.2%	-6.0%	108	1 🔻
3/38	Ghana	0.55	-0.3%	-5.1%	62	1 🔻	22/38	Angola	0.43	0.3%	3.3%	115	0
4/38	Mongolia	0.53	0.1%	-2.0%	66	2 🔻	23/38	Honduras	0.42	1.1%	3.8%	116	3 🔺
5/38	Nepal	0.52	0.9%	-1.6%	69	2 🔺	24/38	Guinea	0.41	-0.5%	-5.8%	119	1 🔻
6/38	Sri Lanka	0.51	1.6%	-2.4%	75	2 🔺	25/38	Nigeria	0.40	-1.6%	-6.5%	120	0
7/38	Tunisia	0.50	-2.3%	-5.5%	76	4 🔻	26/38	Congo, Rep.	0.40	0.4%	-	121	1 🔺
8/38	India	0.50	0.8%	-2.7%	79	0	27/38	Zimbabwe	0.40	0.1%	-0.6%	123	0
9/38	Vietnam	0.50	2.1%	1.6%	81	6 🔺	28/38	Bangladesh	0.39	0.3%	-6.9%	127	0
10/38	Uzbekistan	0.49	-0.4%	6.6%	83	5 🔻	29/38	Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.39	0.0%	-13.5%	128	2 🔻
11/38	Algeria	0.49	1.0%	-2.3%	84	0	30/38	Pakistan	0.38	0.5%	-3.0%	129	1 🔺
12/38	Ukraine	0.49	0.3%	-3.0%	88	1 🔺	31/38	Bolivia	0.37	-1.6%	-3.8%	131	0
13/38	Morocco	0.48	-1.0%	-4.8%	92	0	32/38	Mauritania	0.36	0.7%	4.6%	132	1 🔺
14/38	Benin	0.48	-1.6%	-4.5%	93	3 🔻	33/38	Cameroon	0.36	0.9%	-5.0%	133	1 🔺
15/38	Tanzania	0.47	0.2%	-0.3%	96	2 🔺	34/38	Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.35	0.7%	-1.9%	135	1 🔺
16/38	Philippines	0.46	0.1%	-2.2%	99	1 🔺	35/38	Nicaragua	0.34	-2.8%	-16.8%	137	0
17/38	Kyrgyz Republic	0.45	-0.1%	-5.1%	101	2 🔺	36/38	Myanmar	0.34	-3.8%	-19.9%	138	3 🔻
18/38	Kenya	0.45	-0.7%	0.8%	102	1 🔻	37/38	Haiti	0.33	-2.6%	-	139	0
19/38	Zambia	0.45	0.6%	-3.0%	103	2 🔺	38/38	Cambodia	0.31	-0.3%	-4.0%	141	0

ADHERENCE	Weaker			9	Stronger
TO THE RULE					
OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00

- \* Income groups used in this year's report are based on the World Bank's 2024 fiscal year income group classifications. For the 2024 fiscal year, low-income economies are defined as those with a GNI per capita, calculated using the World Bank Atlas method, of \$1,135 or less in 2022; lower middle-income economies are those with a GNI per capita between \$1,136 and \$4,465; upper middle-income economies are those with a GNI per capita between \$1,136 and \$4,465; upper middle-income economies are those with a GNI per capita of more than \$13,845. <a href="https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups">https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups</a>
- † Scores are rounded to two decimal places. Percentage changes in score are rounded to one decimal place.
- ‡ The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 142 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2023 Index with the rankings of the same 142 countries and jurisdictions in 2024.

#### **Upper-Middle Income**

Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Annual % Change in Overall Score†	5-Year % Change in Overall Score†	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡	Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Annual % Change in Overall Score†	5-Year % Change in Overall Score	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡
1/41	Costa Rica	0.68	-1.0%	-1.5%	29	0	22/41	Thailand	0.50	1.5%	-1.0%	78	4 🔺
2/41	St. Lucia	0.62	0.0%	0.3%	42	1 🔺	23/41	Brazil	0.50	1.5%	-6.3%	80	3 🔺
3/41	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.62	-1.5%	-0.5%	43	1 🔻	24/41	Belize	0.50 0.49	0.7%	3.6%	82	2 ▼ 4 ▼
4/41	Namibia	0.61	-0.5%	-1.7%	44	0	25/41	Suriname Dominican	0.49	0.4%	-3.2%	85	4 🔻
5/41	Mauritius	0.60	-1.0%	-1.9%	46	0	26/41	Republic	0.49	1.1%	6.5%	86	0
6/41	Grenada	0.60	-0.1%	-0.1%	48	1 🔺	27/41	Albania	0.48	-0.3%	-4.6%	89	2 🔺
7/41	Georgia	0.60	-1.3%	-2.1%	49	1 -	28/41	Peru	0.48	-0.8%	-4.8%	90	2 🔻
8/41	Botswana	0.59	-0.6%	-0.2%	51	0	29/41	Colombia	0.48	-0.2%	-3.2%	91	3 🔺
9/41	Dominica	0.58	-0.2%	-1.7%	53	0	30/41	Serbia	0.47	-1.5%	-5.0%	94	1 🔻
10/41	Jamaica	0.57	-0.7%	1.0%	54	0	31/41	China	0.47	1.2%	-2.7%	95	2 🔺
11/41	Malaysia	0.57	0.0%	2.8%	55	0	32/41	Ecuador	0.46	-2.0%	-2.9%	97	1 🔻
12/41	Montenegro	0.57	0.9%	-	56	1 🔺	33/41	Paraguay	0.46	-1.0%	-	100	1 🔻
13/41	South Africa	0.56	-1.0%	-2.6%	57	1 🔻	34/41	Belarus	0.45	-1.2%	-13.6%	105	1 🔻
14/41	Kosovo	0.56	-0.5%	-	58	0	35/41	Guatemala	0.44	1.3%	-3.4%	107	4 🔺
15/41	Bulgaria	0.56	0.3%	2.5%	59	0	36/41	El Salvador	0.43	-3.2%	-9.7%	111	3 🔻
16/41	Argentina	0.55	0.0%	-6.3%	63	0	37/41	Russian Federation	0.43	-1.8%	-9.3%	113	0
17/41	Moldova	0.54	1.5%	10.6%	64	4 🔺	38/41	Türkiye	0.42	0.4%	-2.9%	117	0
18/41	Kazakhstan	0.54	0.5%	3.4%	65	0		,					
19/41	North Macedonia	0.53	1.0%	-0.7%	67	0	39/41	Mexico	0.41	-0.6%	-8.6%	118	2 🔻
20/41	Indonesia	0.53	-0.9%	1.2%	68	2 🔻	40/41	Gabon	0.40	1.2%	-	122	2 🔺
21/41	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.52	1.1%	-1.6%	71	4 🔺	41/41	Venezuela, RB	0.26	-1.2%	-5.6%	142	0

High In	come		Annual %	5-Year %		Change				Annual %	5-Year %		Change
Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Change in Overall Score <sup>†</sup>	Change in	Global Rank	in Global Rank‡	Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Change in	Change in Overall Score	Global Rank	in Global Rank‡
1/47	Denmark	0.90	0.0%	0.0%	1	0	25/47	Spain	0.71	-0.9%	-0.7%	25	1 🔻
2/47	Norway	0.89	0.0%	-0.2%	2	0	26/47	United States	0.70	-0.6%	-2.9%	26	0
3/47	Finland	0.87	0.0%	0.0%	3	0	27/47	Slovenia	0.69	0.2%	2.3%	27	0
4/47	Sweden	0.86	0.3%	-0.4%	4	0	28/47	Portugal	0.68	-0.8%	-4.9%	28	0
5/47	Germany	0.83	0.0%	-0.1%	5	0	29/47	Malta	0.67	-1.2%	-	30	0
6/47	New Zealand	0.83	1.0%	1.4%	6	2 🔺	30/47	Cyprus	0.67	-0.8%	-	31	0
7/47	Luxembourg	0.83	-0.4%	-	7	1 🔻	31/47	Italy	0.66	-0.8%	0.9%	32	0
8/47	Netherlands	0.83	-0.7%	-1.8%	8	1 🔻	32/47	Poland	0.66	3.2%	-0.8%	33	3 🔺
9/47	Ireland	0.82	1.0%	-	9	1 🔺	33/47	Slovak Republic	0.66	0.0%	-	34	0
10/47	Estonia	0.82	0.0%	1.3%	10	1 🔻	34/47	Barbados	0.66	0.2%	1.2%	35	0
11/47	Australia	0.80	0.0%	-0.9%	11	2 🔺	35/47	Chile	0.66	-0.7%	-2.7%	36	3 🔻
12/47	Canada Austria	0.80	-0.3%	-1.4%	12 13	0	36/47	Antigua and Barbuda	0.64	0.3%	1.4%	37	1 🔺
13/47 14/47		0.79 0.79	-0.8% 0.1%	-3.5% 0.8%	13 14	2 ▼ 0	37/47	St. Kitts and Nevis	0.64	0.4%	-1.6%	38	1 🔺
14/47	Japan United Kingdom	0.79	0.1%	-2.2%	14 15	0	38/47	United Arab Emirates	0.64	0.0%	-1.0%	39	2 🔻
16/47	Singapore	0.78	0.8%	-1.7%	16	1 🔺	39/47	Romania	0.62	-1.6%	-3.4%	41	1 🔻
17/47	Belgium	0.78	-0.3%	-1.3%	17	1 🔻	40/47	Croatia	0.61	-0.5%	0.6%	45	0
18/47	Lithuania	0.77	0.8%	-	18	0	41/47	Greece	0.60	-0.6%	-2.3%	47	0
19/47	Korea, Rep.	0.74	0.5%	1.0%	19	0	42/47	The Bahamas	0.59	-0.6%	-3.5%	50	0
20/47	Czechia	0.74	1.0%	1.8%	20	0	43/47	Kuwait	0.58	-0.2%	-	52	0
21/47	Latvia	0.73	0.7%	-	21	1 🔺	44/47	Panama	0.52	0.6%	-0.3%	72	2 ▲
22/47	France	0.72	-0.5%	-1.6%	22	1 🔻	45/47	Hungary	0.51	-0.4%	-3.9%	73	0
23/47	Hong Kong SAR, China	0.72	-0.6%	-5.8%	23	0	46/47	Trinidad and	0.51	-1.3%	-5.3%	74	4 🔻
24/47	Uruguay	0.72	0.4%	2.0%	24	1 🔺	47/47	Tobago Guyana	0.50	-0.2%	-0.5%	77	1 🔻

† Scores are rounded to two decimal places. Percentage changes in score are rounded to one decimal place.

‡ The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 142 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2023 Index with the rankings of the same 142 countries and jurisdictions in 2024.

### â Constraints on Government Powers

Factor 1 measures the extent to which those who govern are bound by law. It comprises the means, both constitutional and institutional, by which the powers of the government and its officials and agents are limited and held accountable under the law. It also includes non-governmental checks on the government's power, such as a free and independent press. For a further breakdown of Constraints on Government Powers by sub-factor, please refer to page 16.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank									
Denmark	0.94	1	Jamaica	0.63	38	Trinidad and	0.52	72	Madagascar	0.42	107
Finland	0.92	2	Malta	0.63	39	Tobago			Mozambique	0.41	108
Norway	0.92	3	St. Kitts and	0.62	40	Georgia	0.52	73	Mali	0.41	109
Germany	0.86	4	Nevis	0.02	40	Brazil	0.51	74	Angola	0.40	110
New Zealand	0.86	5	Antigua and Barbuda	0.62	41	Morocco	0.51	75	Honduras	0.39	111
Sweden	0.86	6	South Africa	0.61	40	Sri Lanka	0.51	76	Congo, Dem.	0.39	112
Ireland	0.84	7	Rwanda	0.61	42 43	Dominican Republic	0.51	77	Rep.	0.57	
Netherlands	0.83	8	Botswana	0.61	43	Ecuador	0.51	78	Côte d'Ivoire	0.39	113
Estonia	0.82	9	Poland	0.61	44		0.51	78	Uganda	0.38	114
Canada	0.82	10	St. Lucia	0.60	45	Tunisia Liberia	0.51	80	Cameroon	0.37	115
Austria	0.82	11			40		0.50	81	Uzbekistan	0.37	116
United	0.82	12	Romania	0.60		Tanzania			Guinea	0.37	117
Kingdom	0.02	12	Nepal	0.59	48	Colombia	0.50	82	Niger	0.37	118
Australia	0.82	13	The Bahamas	0.59	49	Paraguay	0.49	83	Togo	0.37	119
Luxembourg	0.82	14	United Arab Emirates	0.58	50	Burkina Faso	0.49	84	El Salvador	0.36	120
Belgium	0.81	15	Grenada	0.58	51	Guatemala	0.49	85	Bangladesh	0.36	121
Uruguay	0.77	16	St. Vincent			Benin	0.49	86	Hungary	0.36	122
Lithuania	0.76	17	and the	0.58	52	Suriname	0.49	87	Congo, Rep.	0.36	123
Costa Rica	0.76	18	Grenadines			Nigeria	0.49	88	Haiti	0.35	124
Czechia	0.75	19	Peru	0.58	53	Kenya	0.48	89	Bolivia	0.35	125
Portugal	0.75	20	The Gambia	0.58	54	Algeria	0.48	90	Afghanistan	0.35	126
France	0.73	21	Malawi	0.57	55	Belize	0.48	91	Mauritania	0.34	127
Japan	0.72	22	Mauritius	0.57	56	Lebanon	0.48	92	Serbia	0.34	128
Korea, Rep.	0.71	23	Croatia	0.57	57	Philippines	0.48	93	Zimbabwe	0.33	129
Chile	0.71	24	Malaysia	0.57	58	North Macedonia	0.47	94	Ethiopia	0.33	130
Latvia	0.70	25	Kosovo	0.57	59	Vietnam	0.47	95	Iran, Islamic	0.32	131
Italy	0.70	26	India	0.56	60	Jordan	0.47	96	Rep.		
Spain	0.70	27	Argentina	0.56	61	Sierra Leone	0.47	97	China	0.32	132
Singapore	0.68	28	Hong Kong		01	Ukraine	0.46	98	Russian Federation	0.31	133
United States	0.67	29	SAR, China	0.56	62	Zambia	0.46	99	Gabon	0.31	134
Cyprus	0.67	30	Montenegro	0.55	63	Bosnia and			Türkiye	0.29	135
Ghana	0.66	31	Senegal	0.55	64	Herzegovina	0.46	100	Sudan	0.27	135
Slovenia	0.66	32	Kuwait	0.54	65	Thailand	0.46	101	Myanmar	0.26	137
Namibia	0.65	33	Panama	0.54	66	Kazakhstan	0.45	102	Belarus	0.26	137
Barbados	0.65	34	Guyana	0.53	67	Pakistan	0.45	103	Cambodia	0.25	139
Greece	0.64	35	Moldova	0.53	68	Kyrgyz	0.42	104	Egypt, Arab		
Slovak	0.64	36	Mongolia	0.53	69	Republic	0.43	104	Rep.	0.24	140
Republic			Dominica	0.53	70	Mexico	0.43	105	Nicaragua	0.22	141
Indonesia	0.64	37	Bulgaria	0.52	71	Albania	0.43	106	Venezuela, RB	0.18	142
			-								-

ADHERENCE	Weaker			S	stronger
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00

# Absence of Corruption

Factor 2 measures the absence of corruption in government. The factor considers three forms of corruption: bribery, improper influence by public or private interests, and misappropriation of public funds or other resources. These three forms of corruption are examined with respect to government officers in the executive branch, the judiciary, the military, the police, and the legislature. For a further breakdown of Absence of Corruption by sub-factor, please refer to page 16.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank									
Denmark	0.95	1	Slovenia	0.66	36	Argentina	0.46	72	Albania	0.37	107
Norway	0.94	2	Grenada	0.66	37	Belize	0.45	73	Ecuador	0.36	108
Singapore	0.92	3	St. Kitts and	0.65	38	Bulgaria	0.45	74	Lebanon	0.36	109
Sweden	0.91	4	Nevis			Algeria	0.45	75	Côte d'Ivoire	0.35	110
Finland	0.90	5	Italy	0.65	39	Guyana	0.45	76	Sierra Leone	0.35	111
New Zealand	0.89	6	Malta	0.64	40	Brazil	0.45	77	Sudan	0.35	112
Netherlands	0.88	7	Cyprus	0.64	41	Türkiye	0.45	78	El Salvador	0.35	113
Luxembourg	0.85	8	The Bahamas	0.63	42	Suriname	0.44	79	Guatemala	0.34	114
Germany	0.84	9	Costa Rica	0.63	43	Bosnia and	0.44	80	Mozambique	0.34	115
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.84	10	Antigua and Barbuda	0.63	44	Herzegovina Angola	0.44	81	Ukraine	0.34	116
Ireland	0.83	11	St. Lucia	0.63	45	Burkina Faso	0.43	82	Bangladesh	0.33	117
Australia	0.83	12	Dominica	0.61	46	Mongolia	0.43	83	Peru	0.33	118
United			Jordan	0.58	47	Philippines	0.43	84	Honduras	0.32	119
Kingdom	0.83	13	Mauritius	0.58	48	Тодо	0.42	85	Pakistan	0.32	120
Canada	0.82	14	Malaysia	0.57	49	Vietnam	0.42	86	Nigeria	0.32	121
Estonia	0.82	15	Botswana	0.57	50	Malawi	0.42	87	Mali	0.32	122
Japan	0.82	16	Croatia	0.57	51	Russian			Zimbabwe	0.31	123
Belgium	0.80	17	Greece	0.57	52	Federation	0.42	88	Liberia	0.31	124
Austria	0.79	18	Senegal	0.55	53	Indonesia	0.42	89	Afghanistan	0.30	125
United Arab	0.78	19	China	0.54	54	Ethiopia	0.41	90	Congo, Rep.	0.30	126
Emirates			Slovak	0.54	55	Nepal	0.41	91	Mauritania	0.30	127
France	0.75	20	Republic			Myanmar	0.41	92	Paraguay	0.30	128
Lithuania	0.75	21	Jamaica	0.53	56	Serbia	0.41	93	Nicaragua	0.30	129
Spain	0.73	22	Romania	0.53	57	Tanzania	0.41	94	Kyrgyz Republic	0.29	130
Uruguay	0.73	23	Namibia	0.52	58	Morocco	0.41	95	Guinea	0.29	131
United States	0.73	24	Kazakhstan	0.50	59	Dominican	0.41	96	Kenya	0.27	132
Portugal	0.72	25	Hungary	0.49	60	Republic			, Madagascar	0.27	133
Poland	0.71	26	Belarus	0.49	61	India -	0.41	97	Uganda	0.27	134
Barbados	0.70	27	Montenegro	0.49	62	Panama	0.41	98	Mexico	0.27	135
Latvia	0.69	28	Sri Lanka	0.49	63	Benin	0.40	99	Venezuela, RB	0.26	136
Chile	0.69	29	Trinidad and Tobago	0.48	64	Colombia	0.39	100	Cameroon	0.25	137
Rwanda	0.68	30	Kosovo	0.47	65	Niger	0.39	101	Gabon	0.25	138
Czechia	0.68	31	Tunisia	0.47	66	Ghana	0.39	102	Haiti	0.23	139
St. Vincent and the	0.68	32	The Gambia	0.47	67	Moldova	0.38	103	Cambodia	0.23	140
Grenadines	0.00		Uzbekistan	0.47	68	Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.38	104	Bolivia	0.23	141
Kuwait	0.67	33	South Africa	0.47	69	Zambia	0.38	105	Congo, Dem.		
Korea, Rep.	0.67	34	Thailand	0.46	70	Egypt, Arab			Rep.	0.17	142
Georgia	0.67	35	North			Rep.	0.37	106			
			Macedonia	0.46	71						

ADHERENCE	Weaker	Weaker								
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00					

### 🚺 Open Government

Factor 3 measures the openness of government defined by the extent to which a government shares information, empowers people with tools to hold the government accountable, and fosters citizen participation in public policy deliberations. This factor measures whether basic laws and information on legal rights are publicized and evaluates the quality of information published by the government. For a further breakdown of Open Government by sub-factor, please refer to page 17.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Norway	0.88	1	Argentina	0.61	37	Dominica	0.49	72	Bangladesh	0.40	107
Finland	0.86	2	Singapore	0.60	38	Mongolia	0.49	73	Türkiye	0.40	108
Denmark	0.86	3	Greece	0.60	39	Bosnia and	0.49	74	Zambia	0.40	109
Sweden	0.84	4	Bulgaria	0.60	40	Herzegovina		_	Jordan	0.39	110
New Zealand	0.83	5	Croatia	0.60	41	Thailand	0.48	75	Tanzania	0.39	111
Netherlands	0.81	6	Cyprus	0.60	42	St. Vincent and the	0.48	76	Benin	0.39	112
Luxembourg	0.81	7	Brazil	0.59	43	Grenadines	0.10	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Uganda	0.39	113
Estonia	0.81	8	India	0.59	44	The Bahamas	0.48	77	The Gambia	0.38	114
Ireland	0.81	9	Georgia	0.59	45	Kazakhstan	0.47	78	Suriname	0.38	115
Australia	0.81	10	Namibia	0.58	46	Albania	0.47	79	Sierra Leone	0.38	116
United	0.80	11	Mexico	0.57	47	Botswana	0.47	80	Uzbekistan	0.38	117
Kingdom			Moldova	0.57	48	Philippines	0.47	81	Angola	0.37	118
Canada	0.80	12	Dominican	0.57	49	St. Kitts and	0.46	82	Gabon	0.37	119
Germany	0.79	13	Republic			Nevis		_	Guinea	0.36	120
Belgium	0.76	14	Kosovo	0.57	50	Grenada	0.46	83	Algeria	0.36	121
Lithuania	0.76	15	Rwanda	0.56	51	Liberia	0.46	84	Mozambique	0.36	122
United States	0.75	16	Ukraine	0.56	52	Tunisia	0.46	85	Côte d'Ivoire	0.36	123
France	0.74	17	Jamaica	0.56	53	Honduras	0.45	86	Niger	0.35	124
Uruguay	0.74	18	Panama	0.56	54	Burkina Faso	0.45	87	Cameroon	0.34	125
Latvia	0.73	19	Paraguay	0.55	55	Hungary	0.45	88	United Arab	0.34	126
Korea, Rep.	0.72	20	Indonesia	0.55	56	Madagascar	0.45	89	Emirates	0.34	120
Czechia	0.72	21	Barbados	0.55	57	Belize	0.45	90	Congo, Dem.	0.34	127
Japan	0.71	22	Montenegro	0.55	58	Russian	0.45	91	Rep.	0.24	100
Slovak	0.71	23	Peru	0.54	59	Federation	0.45		Sudan	0.34	128
Republic	0.70	24	Guatemala	0.53	60	Malawi	0.45	92	Haiti	0.33	129
Chile	0.70	24	Trinidad and	0.53	61	Guyana	0.44	93	Congo, Rep.	0.33	130
Spain	0.69	25	Tobago			Senegal	0.44	94	Zimbabwe	0.33	131
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.69	26	Antigua and Barbuda	0.52	62	Serbia	0.44	95	Afghanistan	0.33	132
Costa Rica	0.69	27	Sri Lanka	0.52	63	Bolivia	0.44	96	Togo	0.31	133
Austria	0.68	28	Ecuador	0.52	64	Vietnam	0.44	97	Belarus	0.31	134
Slovenia	0.66	29	North			Mali	0.44	98	Nicaragua	0.30	135
Poland	0.65	30	Macedonia	0.52	65	Lebanon	0.44	99	Ethiopia	0.30	136
Italy	0.64	31	Nepal	0.51	66	El Salvador	0.43	100	Myanmar	0.28	137
Malta	0.63	32	Ghana	0.51	67	Kuwait	0.42	101	Mauritania	0.28	138
Portugal	0.63	33	Mauritius	0.51	68	Nigeria	0.42	102	Venezuela, RB	0.27	139
Romania	0.62	34	Kenya	0.50	69	Morocco	0.42	103	Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.27	140
Colombia	0.62	35	St. Lucia	0.50	70	Malaysia	0.41	104	Egypt, Arab		
South Africa	0.61	36	Kyrgyz	0.49	71	China	0.41	105	Rep.	0.23	141
could and	0.01	00	Republic	0.47	/1	Pakistan	0.41	106	Cambodia	0.23	142

ADHERENCE	Weaker	S	tronger		
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00

\* Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

## 🕴 Fundamental Rights

Factor 4 recognizes that a system of positive law that fails to respect core human rights established under international law is at best "rule by law," and does not deserve to be called a rule of law system. Since there are many other indices that address human rights, and because it would be impossible for the Index to assess adherence to the full range of rights, this factor focuses on a relatively modest menu of rights that are firmly established under the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights and are most closely related to rule of law concerns. For a further breakdown of Fundamental Rights by sub-factor, please refer to page 17.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.92	1	Argentina	0.68	36	Serbia	0.55	71	United Arab	0.44	109
Norway	0.90	2	United States	0.68	37	Hungary	0.54	72	Emirates	0.44	107
Finland	0.89	3	Croatia	0.68	38	Rwanda	0.53	73	Bolivia	0.44	110
Sweden	0.87	4	Singapore	0.68	39	Guatemala	0.53	74	Gabon	0.44	111
Germany	0.86	5	Montenegro	0.67	40	Ecuador	0.53	75	Tanzania	0.44	112
Luxembourg	0.85	6	St. Lucia	0.67	41	Belize	0.52	76	Algeria	0.43	113
Ireland	0.84	7	Romania	0.67	42	Benin	0.52	77	Haiti	0.43	114
Belgium	0.84	8	Namibia	0.65	43	Nepal	0.52	78	Morocco	0.43	115
New Zealand	0.83	9	Jamaica	0.64	44	Suriname	0.52	79	Nigeria	0.42	116
Netherlands	0.83	10	Mauritius	0.64	45	Liberia	0.52	80	El Salvador	0.41	117
Austria	0.83	11	Greece	0.64	46	The Gambia	0.51	81	Philippines	0.41	118
Estonia	0.82	12	The Bahamas	0.63	47	Burkina Faso	0.51	82	Zambia	0.40	119
Canada	0.82	13	South Africa	0.63	48	Colombia	0.51	83	Congo, Dem.	0.40	120
United	0.80	14	Grenada	0.63	49	Paraguay	0.50	84	Rep.	0.20	101
Kingdom	0.80	14	Poland	0.63	50	Brazil	0.50	85	Congo, Rep.	0.39	121
Uruguay	0.80	15	Dominica	0.63	51	Sri Lanka	0.49	86	Mozambique	0.39	122
Lithuania	0.79	16	Panama	0.63	52	Guinea	0.49	87	Mauritania	0.38	123
Czechia	0.79	17	North	0.62	53	Malaysia	0.49	88	Angola	0.37	124
Australia	0.78	18	Macedonia	0.62	55	Indonesia	0.49	89	Pakistan	0.37	125
Spain	0.78	19	Moldova	0.61	54	Sierra Leone	0.49	90	Russian Federation	0.36	126
Japan	0.78	20	Bulgaria	0.61	55	Kenya	0.48	91	Cameroon	0.36	127
Costa Rica	0.77	21	Kosovo	0.61	56	Tunisia	0.48	92	Uganda	0.34	128
Latvia	0.77	22	Georgia	0.60	57	Mali	0.48	93	Belarus	0.34	129
Slovenia	0.77	23	Peru	0.59	58	Thailand	0.48	94	Zimbabwe	0.34	130
Korea, Rep.	0.75	24	Ukraine	0.59	59	Mexico	0.47	95	Cambodia	0.33	131
Portugal	0.75	25	Senegal	0.59	60	Vietnam	0.46	96	Sudan	0.32	132
France	0.74	26	Botswana	0.59	61	Kazakhstan	0.46	97	Türkiye	0.31	133
Barbados	0.74	27	Hong Kong	0.59	62	Honduras	0.46	98	Bangladesh	0.30	134
Malta	0.74	28	SAR, China	_		Lebanon	0.46	99	Venezuela, RB	0.29	135
Cyprus	0.72	29	Trinidad and Tobago	0.58	63	Kyrgyz	0.44	100	Nicaragua	0.28	136
Italy	0.72	30	Dominican			Republic	0.46		Ethiopia	0.28	137
Slovak Republic	0.72	31	Republic	0.58	64	Madagascar	0.46	101	Afghanistan	0.25	138
Chile	0.72	32	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.58	65	India	0.46	102	China	0.25	139
Antigua and			Malawi	0.57	66	Jordan	0.46	103	Egypt, Arab	0.24	140
Barbuda	0.71	33	Ghana	0.57	67	Kuwait	0.45	104	Rep.		- 10
St. Vincent and	0.69	34	Albania	0.57	68	Niger	0.45	105	Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.20	141
the Grenadines	,		Mongolia	0.56	69	Côte d'Ivoire	0.45	106	Myanmar	0.18	142
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.69	35	Guyana	0.55	70	Togo	0.45	107	riyanna	0.10	± 12
110115			Guyana	0.55	70	Uzbekistan	0.44	108			

ADHERENCE	Weaker	Weaker							
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00				

## Order and Security

Factor 5 measures how well a society ensures the security of persons and property. Security is one of the defining aspects of any rule of law society and is a fundamental function of the state. It is also a precondition for the realization of the rights and freedoms that the rule of law seeks to advance. For a further breakdown of Order and Security by sub-factor, please refer to page 18.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank									
Luxembourg	0.95	1	Montenegro	0.82	37	Greece	0.72	71	Mauritania	0.63	108
Singapore	0.94	2	Belgium	0.81	38	Dominica	0.72	72	Bangladesh	0.63	109
Denmark	0.93	3	Belarus	0.81	39	Guinea	0.71	73	Iran, Islamic	0.63	110
Ireland	0.93	4	China	0.81	40	Ghana	0.71	74	Rep.	0.00	110
Norway	0.93	5	Barbados	0.80	41	Belize	0.71	75	Russian Federation	0.63	111
Finland	0.93	6	North	0.80	42	Madagascar	0.71	76	Guyana	0.63	112
Sweden	0.93	7	Macedonia		_	Botswana	0.71	77	Congo, Rep.	0.63	113
Japan	0.93	8	Kazakhstan	0.80	43	El Salvador	0.71	78	Peru	0.62	114
Hong Kong	0.92	9	Cyprus	0.80	44	Uruguay	0.71	79	Ukraine	0.62	115
SAR, China			Grenada	0.79	45	Tanzania	0.70	80	Jamaica	0.61	116
Malta	0.91	10	Antigua and Barbuda	0.79	46	Senegal	0.70	81	Liberia	0.61	117
United Arab Emirates	0.91	11	Malaysia	0.78	47	Panama	0.70	82	Argentina	0.61	118
Estonia	0.91	12	Portugal	0.78	48	The Gambia	0.69	83	Angola	0.60	119
Austria	0.91	13	France	0.78	49	Indonesia	0.69	84	South Africa	0.60	120
Slovak			Vietnam	0.78	50	Nicaragua	0.69	85	Niger	0.60	120
Republic	0.90	14	Georgia	0.78	50	Costa Rica	0.69	86	Brazil	0.60	121
Lithuania	0.90	15	Bulgaria	0.78	52	Paraguay	0.69	87	Bolivia	0.60	122
Canada	0.90	16	Bosnia and			Togo	0.69	88	Guatemala	0.60	123
Uzbekistan	0.90	17	Herzegovina	0.77	53	Morocco	0.69	89	Myanmar	0.58	124
Hungary	0.90	18	Albania	0.77	54	Benin	0.69	90	Ecuador	0.50	125
Slovenia	0.89	19	St. Kitts and	0.77	55	Zimbabwe	0.68	91	Uganda	0.57	127
Czechia	0.89	20	Nevis	0.77	55	Zambia	0.68	92	Kenya	0.55	127
Germany	0.89	21	Serbia	0.76	56	Sri Lanka	0.68	93	Ethiopia	0.53	129
Kuwait	0.88	22	Jordan	0.76	57	Malawi	0.68	94	Colombia	0.53	130
New Zealand	0.88	23	Mongolia	0.76	58	Philippines	0.67	95	Venezuela, RB	0.53	131
Australia	0.87	24	Algeria	0.76	59	Côte d'Ivoire	0.67	96	Sudan	0.55	132
Latvia	0.86	25	Kyrgyz Republic	0.75	60	Chile	0.67	97	Mexico	0.52	133
Rwanda	0.85	26	Mauritius	0.75	61	India	0.67	98	Mozambique	0.50	134
Poland	0.85	27	Namibia	0.75	62	Sierra Leone	0.66	99	Cameroon	0.48	135
Netherlands	0.85	28		0.75	02	Cambodia	0.66	100	Congo, Dem.		
Korea, Rep.	0.84	29	St. Vincent and the	0.75	63	Lebanon	0.65	101	Rep.	0.47	136
United Kingdom	0.84	30	Grenadines Italy	0.75	64	Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.65	102	Haiti	0.43	137
Kosovo	0.84	31	Thailand	0.74	65	Gabon	0.65	103	Burkina Faso	0.43	138
Croatia	0.84	32	St. Lucia	0.73	66	Trinidad and		101	Afghanistan	0.38	139
United States	0.83	33	The Bahamas	0.73	67	Tobago	0.65	104	Pakistan	0.37	140
Romania	0.83	34	Nepal	0.73	68	Suriname	0.65	105	Mali	0.37	141
Spain	0.82	35	Tunisia	0.72	69	Dominican Republic	0.64	106	Nigeria	0.36	142
Moldova	0.82	36	Türkiye	0.72	70	•	0.44				
		-			. •	Honduras	0.64	107			

ADHERENCE	Weaker	S	stronger		
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00

\* Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

### Regulatory Enforcement

Factor 6 measures the extent to which regulations are fairly and effectively implemented and enforced. Regulations, both legal and administrative, structure behaviors within and outside of the government. This factor does not assess which activities a government chooses to regulate, nor does it consider how much regulation of a particular activity is appropriate. Rather, it examines how regulations are implemented and enforced. For a further breakdown of Regulatory Enforcement by sub-factor, please refer to page 18.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.89	1	Antigua and	0.62	37	Sri Lanka	0.49	73	Belarus	0.43	108
Norway	0.88	2	Barbuda			Nepal	0.49	74	Zambia	0.43	109
Singapore	0.87	3	Mauritius	0.62	38	Mongolia	0.49	75	Congo, Rep.	0.43	110
Finland	0.86	4	Rwanda	0.61	39	Trinidad and	0.48	76	Angola	0.43	111
Luxembourg	0.85	5	St. Kitts and Nevis	0.61	40	Tobago	0.10	/0	Uganda	0.43	112
New Zealand	0.85	6	St. Lucia	0.61	41	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.48	77	Ukraine	0.43	113
Germany	0.84	7	Portugal	0.60	42	India	0.48	78	Albania	0.43	114
Ireland	0.84	8	Malta	0.59	43	Guyana	0.48	79	Belize	0.42	115
Netherlands	0.84	9	Botswana	0.59	44	El Salvador	0.48	80	Guatemala	0.42	116
Sweden	0.83	10	Namibia	0.57	45	Benin	0.40	81	Türkiye	0.42	117
Australia	0.81	11	Georgia	0.50	46	Kosovo	0.47	82	Myanmar	0.41	118
Canada	0.81	12	Indonesia	0.57	47	Montenegro	0.47	83	Nigeria	0.41	119
Austria	0.80	13	Romania	0.57	47	Philippines	0.47	84	Liberia	0.41	120
Estonia	0.80	14	Senegal	0.57	40	Burkina Faso	0.47	85	Cameroon	0.41	121
Japan	0.80	15	Greece	0.57	50	Russian			Kyrgyz	0.40	122
Hong Kong	0.80	16	Malaysia	0.56	51	Federation	0.47	86	Republic		
SAR, China			Croatia	0.56	52	Malawi	0.46	87	Mozambique	0.39	123
Belgium	0.79	17	Grenada	0.55	53	Niger	0.46	88	Bangladesh	0.39	124
United Kingdom	0.78	18	Jordan	0.55	54	Peru	0.46	89	Honduras	0.39	125
Lithuania	0.76	19	Jamaica	0.55	55	Gabon	0.46	90	Madagascar	0.38	126
Korea, Rep.	0.75	20	St. Vincent	0.55	55	Moldova	0.46	91	Pakistan	0.38	127
France	0.73	20	and the	0.54	56	Vietnam	0.46	92	The Gambia	0.38	128
United States	0.74	21	Grenadines			Mali	0.46	93	Bolivia	0.38	129
Latvia	0.72	23	Dominica	0.53	57	Suriname	0.46	94	Guinea	0.37	130
Czechia	0.72	23	Kazakhstan	0.53	58	Tanzania	0.46	95	Zimbabwe	0.36	131
Uruguay	0.72	25	Bulgaria	0.53	59	North	0.45	96	Ethiopia	0.36	132
United Arab			Ghana	0.53	60	Macedonia	0.45	70	Sierra Leone	0.35	133
Emirates	0.70	26	Côte d'Ivoire	0.52	61	Ecuador	0.45	97	Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.35	134
Costa Rica	0.68	27	Colombia	0.52	62	Kenya	0.45	98	Afghanistan	0.35	135
Spain	0.68	28	South Africa	0.51	63	Thailand	0.45	99	Nicaragua	0.35	135
Cyprus	0.65	29	Morocco	0.50	64	Paraguay	0.45	100	Congo, Dem.	0.55	150
Kuwait	0.65	30	The Bahamas	0.50	65	Hungary	0.45	101	Rep.	0.35	137
Slovenia	0.64	31	Argentina	0.50	66	Serbia	0.44	102	Sudan	0.33	138
Chile	0.63	32	Togo	0.50	67	Uzbekistan	0.44	103	Mauritania	0.29	139
Poland	0.63	33	Brazil	0.50	68	Lebanon	0.44	104	Haiti	0.27	140
Barbados	0.63	34	China	0.50	69	Dominican Banublia	0.43	105	Cambodia	0.26	141
Slovak			Panama	0.49	70	Republic			Venezuela, RB	0.19	142
Republic	0.62	35	Algeria	0.49	71	Mexico	0.43	106			-
Italy	0.62	36	Tunisia	0.49	72	Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.43	107			

ADHERENCE	Weaker	S	tronger		
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00

## T Civil Justice

Factor 7 measures whether ordinary people can resolve their grievances peacefully and effectively through the civil justice system. It measures whether civil justice systems are accessible and affordable as well as free of discrimination, corruption, and improper influence by public officials. It examines whether court proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delays and whether decisions are enforced effectively. It also measures the accessibility, impartiality, and effectiveness of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. For a further breakdown of Civil Justice by sub-factor, please refer to page 18.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank									
Denmark	0.87	1	Kazakhstan	0.62	35	Guyana	0.52	72	India	0.44	107
Norway	0.87	2	Cyprus	0.62	36	Iran, Islamic	0.51	73	Ecuador	0.44	108
Sweden	0.83	3	Mauritius	0.62	37	Rep.	_		Angola	0.44	109
Netherlands	0.83	4	Malaysia	0.62	38	Uzbekistan	0.51	74	Dominican	0.44	110
Germany	0.82	5	Barbados	0.62	39	Côte d'Ivoire	0.51	75	Republic	0.11	_
Finland	0.80	6	Poland	0.62	40	North Macedonia	0.51	76	Burkina Faso	0.44	111
Estonia	0.80	7	Namibia	0.61	41	Brazil	0.51	77	Benin	0.43	112
Singapore	0.79	8	Romania	0.61	42	Morocco	0.51	78	Madagascar	0.42	113
New Zealand	0.79	9	Jordan	0.61	43	Thailand	0.50	79	Cameroon	0.42	114
Lithuania	0.78	10	Botswana	0.61	44	Russian			Uganda	0.42	115
Luxembourg	0.78	11	Costa Rica	0.60	45	Federation	0.50	80	Mozambique	0.42	116
Japan	0.77	12	Grenada	0.60	46	Kenya	0.50	81	Liberia	0.41	117
Korea, Rep.	0.76	13	Chile	0.60	47	The Gambia	0.50	82	Honduras	0.41	118
Australia	0.74	14	Malta	0.60	48	Moldova	0.50	83	Sierra Leone	0.41	119
Belgium	0.74	15	Greece	0.58	49	Suriname	0.49	84	Peru	0.41	120
Ireland	0.74	16	South Africa	0.58	50	El Salvador	0.49	85	Ethiopia	0.41	121
Austria	0.74	17	Kuwait	0.57	51	Tunisia	0.49	86	Türkiye	0.40	122
Uruguay	0.72	18	Italy	0.57	52	Panama	0.48	87	Paraguay	0.40	123
Hong Kong	0.71	19	The Bahamas	0.57	53	Tanzania	0.48	88	Gabon	0.40	124
SAR, China	_		Ghana	0.57	54	Kosovo	0.48	89	Mauritania	0.40	125
Latvia	0.71	20	Croatia	0.56	55	Togo	0.47	90	Lebanon	0.40	126
United Kingdom	0.71	21	Malawi	0.56	56	Kyrgyz	0.47	91	Mali	0.40	127
St. Kitts and			Argentina	0.56	57	Republic	0.47	71	Pakistan	0.39	128
Nevis	0.69	22	Dominica	0.56	58	Indonesia	0.47	92	Guinea	0.39	129
Antigua and	0.68	23	Algeria	0.56	59	Serbia	0.47	93	Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.38	130
Barbuda	0.00	23	Senegal	0.55	60	Zambia	0.46	94	Mexico	0.37	131
Czechia	0.68	24	Jamaica	0.55	61	Bosnia and	0.46	95	Bangladesh	0.36	131
Canada	0.68	25	Belarus	0.55	62	Herzegovina	0.46	96	Congo, Dem.		
France	0.67	26	Slovak	0.55	63	Vietnam	0.46	90 97	Rep.	0.35	133
Slovenia	0.67	27	Republic	0.00		Hungary	0.46		Sudan	0.35	134
United Arab	0.66	28	Trinidad and Tobago	0.55	64	Philippines		98 99	Guatemala	0.34	135
Emirates Rwanda	0.66	29	Mongolia	0.54	65	Colombia Zimbabwe	0.46 0.46	100	Haiti	0.34	136
St. Lucia	0.65	30	Montenegro	0.53	66	Albania	0.40	100	Myanmar	0.32	137
			Ukraine	0.53	67				Afghanistan	0.32	138
Spain Portugal	0.64 0.63	31 32	Georgia	0.53	68	Nepal Sri Lanka	0.45 0.45	102 103	Bolivia	0.30	139
United States	0.63	33	Bulgaria	0.53	69	Niger	0.45	103	Nicaragua	0.30	140
St. Vincent	0.03	55	China	0.53	70	Congo, Rep.	0.44	104	Cambodia	0.26	141
and the Grenadines	0.62	34	Belize	0.52	71	Nigeria	0.44 0.44	105	Venezuela, RB	0.25	142

ADHERENCE	Weaker	Ş	Stronger		
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00

\* Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

## 🖧 Criminal Justice

Factor 8 evaluates a country's criminal justice system. An effective criminal justice system is a key aspect of the rule of law, as it constitutes the conventional mechanism to redress grievances and bring action against individuals for offenses against society. An assessment of the delivery of criminal justice should take into consideration the entire system, including the police, lawyers, prosecutors, judges, and prison officers. For a further breakdown of Criminal Justice by subfactor, please refer to page 19.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.84	1	Uruguay	0.58	35	China	0.45	72	Egypt, Arab	0.34	109
Finland	0.84	2	Barbados	0.58	36	North	0.44	73	Rep.		
Norway	0.83	3	Slovenia	0.58	37	Macedonia			Congo, Rep.	0.33	110
Austria	0.79	4	Costa Rica	0.57	38	Malawi	0.44	74	Peru	0.33	111
Germany	0.78	5	Portugal	0.57	39	Moldova	0.42	75	Kyrgyz Republic	0.33	112
Sweden	0.78	6	Botswana	0.57	40	Benin	0.42	76	Brazil	0.33	113
Singapore	0.78	7	Rwanda	0.56	41	Sri Lanka	0.42	77	Colombia	0.32	114
Japan	0.77	8	Jordan	0.56	42	Algeria	0.42	78	Uganda	0.32	115
Estonia	0.75	9	Malaysia	0.56	43	Tunisia	0.41	79	Lebanon	0.31	115
Netherlands	0.75	10	Dominica	0.55	44	Thailand	0.41	80	Liberia	0.31	117
New Zealand	0.74	11	St. Lucia	0.55	45	The Gambia	0.40	81	Ecuador	0.31	117
Ireland	0.74	12	Namibia	0.55	46	Zambia	0.40	82	Madagascar	0.31	119
Canada	0.74	13	Mauritius	0.55	47	Belize	0.40	83	Mozambique	0.31	120
Luxembourg	0.73	14	Chile	0.54	48	Guyana	0.40	84	Trinidad and		
Korea, Rep.	0.72	15	Grenada	0.54	49	Argentina	0.40	85	Tobago	0.31	121
Australia	0.72	16	Antigua and	0.53	50	Indonesia	0.39	86	Bangladesh	0.31	122
Czechia	0.71	17	Barbuda			Kenya	0.39	87	Guinea	0.31	123
United	0.70	18	Romania	0.53	51	Belarus	0.39	88	Philippines	0.31	124
Kingdom			Suriname	0.53	52	India	0.38	89	Gabon	0.30	125
Belgium	0.70	19	Croatia	0.51	53	Serbia	0.38	90	Guatemala	0.30	126
Lithuania	0.70	20	Georgia	0.51	54	Nigeria	0.38	91	Russian	0.29	127
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.68	21	South Africa	0.50	55	Albania	0.38	92	Federation	0.29	127
United Arab			Greece	0.50	56	Dominican Republic	0.37	93	Mali	0.29	128
Emirates	0.68	22	Jamaica	0.48	57	Ukraine	0.37	94	Congo, Dem.	0.28	129
Latvia	0.68	23	Mongolia	0.48	58	Morocco	0.37	95	Rep.	0.07	100
Cyprus	0.67	24	Burkina Faso	0.48	59	Tanzania	0.37	96	Paraguay	0.27	130
Spain	0.67	25	Montenegro	0.48	60	Sierra Leone	0.36	97	Mauritania	0.27	131
France	0.65	26	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.47	61	Pakistan	0.36	98	Honduras	0.27	132 133
Italy	0.63	27	Kosovo	0.47	62	Côte d'Ivoire	0.36	99	Cambodia	0.26	
Malta	0.63	28	Vietnam	0.47	63	Togo	0.36	100	Mexico	0.25	134 135
Slovak	0.59	29	Nepal	0.47	64	Zimbabwe	0.36	101	Afghanistan	0.25 0.25	
Republic	0.57		Kuwait	0.47	65	Angola	0.36	101	Haiti		136
United States	0.59	30	Kazakhstan	0.46	66	Niger	0.35	102	Nicaragua	0.24	137
St. Kitts and	0.59	31	Senegal	0.46	67	Ethiopia	0.35	103	Cameroon	0.23	138
Nevis St Vincont			Hungary	0.40	68	Panama	0.35	104	Myanmar El Salvador	0.23	139
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.59	32	Ghana	0.40	69	Sudan	0.33	105	El Salvador	0.22	140
			Uzbekistan	0.45	70	Türkiye	0.34	107	Bolivia	0.20	141
The Bahamas	0.58	33	Bulgaria	0.45	70	Iran, Islamic			Venezuela, RB	0.11	142
Poland	0.58	34	Duigalla	0.45	/1	Rep.	0.34	108			

ADHERENCE	Weaker	S	Stronger		
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00





- 38 How to Read the Country Profiles
- **39** Country Profiles



## How to Read the Country Profiles

Section 1


This section presents profiles for the 142 countries and jurisdictions included in the WJP Rule of Law Index<sup>®</sup> 2024 report. Each profile presents the featured country's scores for each of the WJP Rule of Law Index's factors and sub-factors and draws comparisons between the scores of the featured country and the scores of other indexed countries in the same regional and income groups.

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score (strong adherence to rule of law) and 0 signifies the lowest possible score (weak adherence to rule of law). The country profiles consist of four sections, outlined below.

Displays the country's overall rule of law score; its overall global, income, and regional ranks; and its change in score and rank from the 2023 edition of the Index.



Displays the featured country's individual factor scores, along with its global, regional, and income group rankings. The global, regional, and income rankings are distributed across three tiers — high, medium, and low — as indicated by the color of the box where the score is found.



Section 4

Presents the individual sub-factor scores underlying each of the factors listed in Section 3 of the country profile.

Each of the 44 sub-factors is represented by a gray line drawn from the center to the periphery of the circle. The center of the circle corresponds to the worst possible score for each sub-factor (0), and the outer edge of the circle marks the best possible score for each sub-factor (1).

The featured country's scores for 2024 are represented by the purple line. The featured country's scores for 2023 are represented by the gray line.

#### Section 3


Displays the country's disaggregated scores for each of the sub-factors that compose the *WJP Rule of Law Index*.

The featured country's score is represented by the purple bar and labeled at the end of the bar. The average score of the country's region is represented by the orange line. The average score of the country's income group is represented by the green line.

# Afghanistan

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overa	all Score	Regional Ra	ank		Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
0	0.32 6/6			16/16			140/142	
Score	Change	Rank Chan	ge					
0	.00	0						
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.3	5	-0.02	6/6	14/16	126/142
š A	bsence of C	orruption	0.30	0	0.00	6/6	13/16	125/142
	)pen Govern	ment	0.3	3	-0.03*	6/6	14/16	132/142
<b>††</b> ⊧	undamental	Rights	0.2	5	-0.03*	6/6	16/16	138/142
f c	Order and Se	curity	0.3	8	0.08	5/6	15/16	139/142
E R	legulatory E	nforcement	0.3	5	0.00	6/6	14/16	135/142
4 <u>1</u> 4 c	Civil Justice		0.3	2	-0.01	6/6	16/16	138/142
රීට ර	Criminal Just	ice	0.2	5	-0.01	6/6	16/16	135/142
* Indicate 10 perce		significant chang	e at the	ė	Lov	v	Medium	High



İİ

10 percent level

🗕 Afghanistan 📒 South Asia 📒 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1	0.49
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.31
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.39
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.29
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.36
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.25
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Š

		\$
2.1		0.37
	In the executive branch	

2.2		0.21
In the jud	liciary	
2.3		0.41
In the po	lice/military	
2.4		0.24

2.4 In the legislature	0.24
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.20
3.2 Right to information	0.34
3.3 Civic participation	0.39
3.4	0.37

Complaint mechanisms

4.1 No discrimination	0.25
4.2 Right to life and security	0.10
4.3 Due process of law	0.24
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.36
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.18
4.6 Right to privacy	0.14
4.7 Freedom of association	0.39
4.8 Labor rights	0.37
Order and Security	G
5.1 Absence of crime	0.47
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.31
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.37
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.33
6.2 No improper influence	0.43
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4 Respect for due process	0.22

**Fundamental Rights** 

Civil Justice	<u>-</u> 12
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.42
7.2 No discrimination	0.09
7.3 No corruption	0.20
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.26
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.37
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.43
Criminal Justice	00
8.1 Effective investigations	0.29
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.40
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.22
8.4 No discrimination	0.18
8.5 No corruption	0.27
8.6	0.13

No improper gov't influence 0.24 8.7 Due process of law

### Albania

									Constraints on	
0.48	10/15		27/4	41	89/	142			Government Powers	
core Change	Rank Chang	ge							8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 8.5 1.4	
0.00	2 •							8.4	1.5	
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1	8.2	0.5	Absence of Corruption
Constraints of Government		0.43	0.00	10/15	33/41	106/142	7.7			3
Government		0.37	0.00	13/15	33/41	107/142	7.5			3.1
							Civil <sup>7.4</sup> Justice <sub>7.3</sub>		0	<sup>3.2</sup> Ope <sub>3.3</sub> Govern
Open Goveri		0.47	0.00	10/15	28/41	79/142	7.2			3.4
Fundamenta	l Rights	0.57	0.00	8/15	21/41	68/142	7.1		4.1	
Order and Se	ecurity	0.77	0.00	10/15	13/41	54/142		6.4	4.3	
Regulatory E	inforcement	0.43	0.00	13/15	37/41	114/142	Regulate Enforcem		6.1 4.6 Fundam	ental
Civil Justice		0.45	0.00	14/15	32/41	101/142	Enforcen	lent	Order and Righ	
Criminal Just	tice	0.38	-0.01	11/15	28/41	92/142			Security	
					r-Middle					
Constraints on	Government F	owers	<b>m</b>	F		ental Rights		tt	Civil Justice	4
		owers	0.43	4.1	undam			<b>††</b> 0.54	7.1	0.56
		owers		4.1	undam	ental Rights				
imits by legislat	ure	owers	0.43	4.1 N 4.2	<b>Fundam</b> No discrit		-	0.54	7.1 Accessibility and affordability 7.2 No discrimination	0.56
imits by legislat	rure Ty	Powers	0.43	4.1 N 4.2 F 4.3	<b>Fundam</b> No discrin Right to li	mination	-	0.54	7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.56
imits by legislat	rure Ty		0.43	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.3	Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proc	mination fe and security	-	0.54	7.1 Accessibility and affordability 7.2 No discrimination 7.3	0.56
imits by legislat imits by judician ndependent aud anctions for off	y diting icial misconduct		0.43	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.3 5 4.4 F 4.5	Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proc	nination fe and security ess of law of expression		0.54	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.3 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.4 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.5 Accessibility and affordability and affordability</li> <li>7.6 Accessibility and affordability and affordability</li> <li>7.7 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.8 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability and affordability and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability and affordab</li></ul>	0.56
imits by legislat imits by judician ndependent aud anctions for off	y diting icial misconduct		0.43 0.30 0.49 0.37	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.3 5 4.4 F 4.5	Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proc	nination fe and security ess of law		0.54 0.72 0.50 0.50	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influence</li> </ul>	0.56
imits by legislat imits by judician ndependent auc anctions for off lon-governmen	iure y Jiting icial misconduct tal checks		0.43 0.30 0.49 0.37 0.50	4.1 4.2 F 4.3 C 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F	Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proc	mination fe and security ess of law of expression of religion		0.54 0.72 0.50 0.50 0.75 0.57	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.3 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.4 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.5 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.6 Accessibility and affordability and affordability</li> <li>7.6 Accessibility and affordability and affordability</li> <li>7.8 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability and affordab</li></ul>	0.56 0.50 0.31 0.32 0.33 0.51
imits by legislat imits by judicial independent aud anctions for off lon-governmen awful transitior	iure y diting icial misconduct tal checks n of power		0.43 0.30 0.49 0.37 0.50 0.46	4.1 4.2 F 4.3 C 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.7	No discrin Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p	mination fe and security ess of law of expression of religion		0.54 0.72 0.50 0.50 0.75	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.3 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.4 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.5 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.6 Accessibility and affordability and affordability and affordability</li> </ul>	0.56 0.50 0.31 0.32 0.33
imits by legislat imits by judician independent aud anctions for off lon-governmen awful transitior	rure		0.43 0.30 0.49 0.37 0.50	4.1 4.2 F 4.3 C 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8	No discrin Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p	mination fe and security ess of law of expression of religion rivacy		0.54 0.72 0.50 0.50 0.75 0.57	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.3 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.4 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.5 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.6 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.6 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.7 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.8 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.3 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.4 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.7 Accessibility and affordability and</li></ul>	0.56 0.50 0.31 0.32 0.33 0.51 0.61
imits by legislat imits by judician independent aud anctions for off lon-governmen awful transitior	rure		0.43 0.30 0.49 0.37 0.50 0.46 <b>\$</b> 0.39	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.5 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.7 7 4.8 4.8	An discriment Right to li Due procession Freedoment Right to performent Freedoment Right to performent Freedoment Right to performent Freedoment Right to performent Freedoment	mination fe and security ess of law of expression of religion rivacy of association		0.54 0.72 0.50 0.50 0.75 0.57 0.51	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influence</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement</li> <li>7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs</li> </ul>	0.56 0.50 0.31 0.32 0.33 0.51 0.61
imits by legislat imits by judicial independent aud anctions for off lon-governmen awful transition Absence of Con n the executive	rure		0.43 0.30 0.49 0.37 0.50 0.46 0.39 0.35	4.1 4.2 F 4.3 C 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L	An discriment Right to li Due procession Freedoment Right to performent Freedoment Right to performent Freedoment Right to performent Freedoment Right to performent Freedoment	mination fe and security ess of law of expression of religion rivacy		0.54 0.72 0.50 0.50 0.57 0.51 0.48	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influence</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement</li> <li>7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs</li> <li>Criminal Justice</li> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> </ul>	0.56 0.50 0.31 0.32 0.33 0.51 0.61
imits by legislat imits by judicial independent aud anctions for off lon-governmen awful transition Absence of Con h the executive in the judiciary	ry diting diting tail misconduct tail checks of power ruption		0.43 0.30 0.49 0.37 0.50 0.46 <b>\$</b> 0.39	4.1 4.2 F 4.3 C 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L C 5.1	An discriment Right to li Due procession Freedoment Right to performent Freedoment Right to performent Freedoment Right to performent Freedoment Right to performent Freedoment	mination fe and security ess of law of expression of religion rivacy of association hts d Security		0.54 0.72 0.50 0.50 0.75 0.57 0.51	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influence</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement</li> <li>7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs</li> <li>Criminal Justice</li> <li>8.1 No No No No No No No No No No No No No</li></ul>	0.56 0.50 0.31 0.32 0.33 0.51 0.61
imits by legislat imits by judicial independent aud ianctions for off ianctions for	iure y diting di		0.43 0.30 0.49 0.37 0.50 0.46 0.39 0.35	4.1 4.2 6 4.3 4.4 7 4.5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Fundame No discrim Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom abor rigi Drder ar	mination fe and security ess of law of expression of religion rivacy of association hts d Security of crime		0.54 0.72 0.50 0.50 0.57 0.51 0.48	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influence</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement</li> <li>7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs</li> <li>Criminal Justice</li> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 No Accessibility and effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.56 0.50 0.31 0.32 0.33 0.51 0.61
imits by legislat imits by judicial independent aud ianctions for off ianctions for	iure y diting di		0.43 0.30 0.49 0.37 0.50 0.46 0.39 0.35 0.52	4.1 4.2 6 4.3 4.4 7 4.5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Fundame No discrim Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom abor rigi Drder ar	mination fe and security ess of law of expression of religion rivacy of association hts d Security		0.54 0.72 0.50 0.50 0.75 0.57 0.51 0.48	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.3 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.4 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.5 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.6 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.7 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.8 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability and affordab</li></ul>	0.56 0.50 0.31 0.32 0.33 0.51 0.61 0.61
imits by legislat imits by judician independent aud anctions for off lon-governmen awful transition Absence of Con in the executive in the judiciary in the police/mili	itary		0.43 0.30 0.49 0.37 0.50 0.46 0.39 0.35 0.52	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.5 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 5.1 5.2 7 5.3	Vo discrin Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Right to p Freedom Absence	mination fe and security ess of law of expression of religion rivacy of association hts d Security of crime		0.54 0.72 0.50 0.50 0.75 0.57 0.51 0.48	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.3 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.4 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.5 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.6 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.7 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>8.1 Accessibility and affective adjudication</li> <li>8.2 Accessibility and affective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Accessibility and affective adjudication</li> <li>8.4 Accessibility and affective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.56 0.50 0.31 0.32 0.33 0.51 0.61 0.42 0.35 0.33 0.33
imits by legislat imits by judicial independent aud anctions for off ion-governmen awful transition Absence of Con n the executive n the judiciary n the police/mili n the legislature Open Governm	rure ry diting diting diting diting for all misconduct dital checks ruption ruption ditary e tary		0.43 0.30 0.49 0.37 0.50 0.46 0.39 0.35 0.52 0.21	4.1 4.2 F 4.3 C 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 F 5.2 F 5.2 F 5.3 F	Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proc Freedom Freedom Right to p Freedom Right to p	mination fe and security ess of law of expression of religion rivacy of association nts d Security of crime of civil conflict		0.54 0.72 0.50 0.50 0.75 0.57 0.51 0.48 0.89 1.00 0.44	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.3 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.4 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.5 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.6 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.7 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.8 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>8.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>8.2 Accessibility and affordability and affordability</li> <li>8.3 Accessibility and affordability and</li></ul>	0.56 0.50 0.31 0.32 0.33 0.51 0.61 0.61 0.42 0.35 0.33
imits by legislat imits by judician independent aud canctions for off Non-governmen awful transition Absence of Con n the executive n the judiciary n the police/mili	rure ital checks of power ruption itary e ent and gov't data		0.43 0.30 0.49 0.37 0.50 0.46 0.39 0.35 0.52 0.21	4.1 4.2 F 4.3 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 <i>f</i> 5.2 <i>f</i> 5.3 <i>f</i> 6.1	Fundame No discrim Right to li Due proce Freedom Right to p Freedom Right to p Freedom Right to p Freedom Right to p Right Right	mination fe and security ess of law of expression of religion rivacy of association ts d Security of crime of civil conflict	t	0.54 0.72 0.50 0.50 0.75 0.57 0.51 0.48	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.2 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.3 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.4 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.5 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.6 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.7 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.8 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>7.9 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>8.1 Accessibility and affordability</li> <li>8.2 Accessibility and affordability and affordability</li> <li>8.3 Accessibility and affordability and</li></ul>	0.56 0.50 0.31 0.32 0.33 0.51 0.61 0.41 0.42 0.35 0.33 0.33

0.44 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

No improper influence \_\_\_\_

No unreasonable delay

6.4 Respect for due process

0.51

6.3

6.5

8.7 Due process of law

0.51

0.26

3.4

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

### Algeria

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.49	5/9		11/:	38	84/	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ige					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
	0.00	0						8.4 8.3 1.5 1.6
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 8.1 0.5 2.1 2.1 2.1 Absence of 2.2 Corruption 2.3
Ŵ	Constraints of Government		0.48	0.01	5/9	13/38	90/142	7.6 2.4
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.45	0.00	5/9	6/38	75/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 Open
	Open Goverr	nment	0.36	0.00	6/9	27/38	121/142	Justice 7.3 Government 3.4 Government
tt	Fundamenta	l Rights	0.43	0.00	6/9	21/38	113/142	7.1 4.1
G	Order and Se	curity	0.76	0.01	4/9	5/38	59/142	6.5 6.4 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.49	0.00	5/9	6/38	71/142	Regulatory 6.1 5.3 5.0 4.0 4.7 Fundamental
4 <b>1</b> 4	Civil Justice		0.56	0.00	4/9	3/38	59/142	Order and Security
්ට	Criminal Just	ice	0.42	0.01	4/9	10/38	78/142	Security
	ates statistically rcent level	v significant chang	ge at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	- 2024 Score 2023 Score
_	Algeria	Middle East and	North At	frica	Lower-M	iddle		

Constraints on Government Powers	Â
1.1	0.51
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.44
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.49
Independent auditing	
	0.54
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.40
	0.50
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.50
Absence of Corruption	*
	6
2.1 In the executive branch	0.38
2.2 In the judiciary	0.55
	0.48
In the police/military	0.40
2.4	0.39
In the legislature	0.07
Open Government	
3.1	0.22
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.34
Right to information	
3.3	0.38
Civic participation	
3.4	0.50
Complaint mechanisms	


Fundamental Rights	ţţ
4.1 No discrimination	0.58
4.2 Right to life and security	0.46
4.3 Due process of law	0.48
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.40
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.21
4.6 Right to privacy	0.46
4.7 Freedom of association	0.41
4.8 Labor rights	0.48
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.67
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.61
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.45
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.53
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.50
6.4	0.44
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.54
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	ation

Civil Justice	T
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.61
7.2 No discrimination	0.47
7.3 No corruption	0.60
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.45
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.72
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.56
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.29
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.45
0.2	0.47
8.3 Effective correctional system	
	0.62
Effective correctional system	0.62
Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5	

### Angola


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rank		Income	Rank	Global Rank	
	0.43 21/34		22/3	38	115/142		
Score Change		Rank Change					
	0.00 0						
			Facto Scor		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.40	0.00	21/34	22/38	110/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.44	4 0.00	8/34	7/38	81/142
	Open Government		0.37	7 -0.02	21/34	25/38	118/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental Rights		0.37	7 0.00	29/34	29/38	124/142
	Order and Se	curity	0.60	) <b>0.03</b> *	22/34	31/38	119/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.43	3 0.00	20/34	22/38	111/142
474	Civil Justice		0.44	1 0.00	19/34	24/38	109/142
90	Criminal Just	ice	0.36	6 0.00	20/34	22/38	102/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



10 percent level

🗕 Angola 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Lower-Middle



Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 No discrimination	0.44
4.2 Right to life and security	0.25
4.3 Due process of law	0.33
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.39
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.48
4.6 Right to privacy	0.17
4.7 Freedom of association	0.42
4.8 Labor rights	0.48
Order and Security	G
5.1 Absence of crime	0.48
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.33
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2 No improper influence	0.48
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.34
6.4 Respect for due process	0.51
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.41 ation

Civil Justice	_4 <b>T</b> ₹
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.52
7.2	0.51
No discrimination	0.48
No corruption	0110
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.31
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.30
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.58
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.37
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.35
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.27
8.4 No discrimination	0.40

	No discrimination	
8.5		0.50
	No corruption	
8.6		0.28
	No improper gov't influence	
8.7		0.33
	Due process of law	

### Antigua and Barbuda

Absence of Corruption


> Open Government

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.


Ove	erall Score	Regional Rar	nk I	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank	
	0.64	5/32		36/4	7	37/3	L42	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	e					Criminal 8.6 Justice 8.5
	0.00	1 •						8.4 8.3 8.2
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1 7.7
	Constraints of Government		0.62	0.01	7/32	36/47	41/142	7.6
ė	Absence of C	orruption	0.63	0.00	9/32	39/47	44/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Goverr	ment	0.52	0.00	16/32	41/47	62/142	Justice 7.3
İİ	Fundamenta	Rights	0.71	-0.01	5/32	32/47	33/142	7.1
f	Order and Se	curity	0.79	0.00	3/32	36/47	46/142	6.5 6.4
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.62	0.00	5/32	36/47	37/142	6.3 Regulatory 6.2 Enforcement 6.1 5.3
474	Civil Justice		0.68	0.01	3/32	23/47	23/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.53	0.00	11/32	39/47	50/142	
* Il'		-ii6t-l	- + +			-1		2024
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	at the	Low	M	edium	High	

**Fundamental Rights** 



İİ

Antigua and Barbuda 🛛 🗕 Latin America and Caribbean 💻 High




4.1		0.68
	No discrimination	
4.2		0.84
	Right to life and security	
4.3		0.57
	Due process of law	
4.4		0.64
	Freedom of expression	
4.5		0.62
	Freedom of religion	
4.6		0.73
	Right to privacy	
4.7		0.72
	Freedom of association	
4.8		0.85
	Labor rights	
		~
	Order and Security	1
5.1		0.80
5.1		0.80
5.1 5.2	Absence of crime	1.00
	Absence of crime	
	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict	
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress	1.00
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	1.00
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	1.00
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00
5.2 5.3 6.1	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.55
5.2 5.3 6.1	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence	1.00 0.55
5.2 5.3 6.1 6.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence	1.00 0.55 0.55 0.80
5.2 5.3 6.1 6.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence No unreasonable delay	1.00 0.55 0.55 0.80
<ul><li>5.2</li><li>5.3</li><li>6.1</li><li>6.2</li><li>6.3</li></ul>	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence No unreasonable delay	1.00 0.55 0.55 0.80 0.51
<ul><li>5.2</li><li>5.3</li><li>6.1</li><li>6.2</li><li>6.3</li></ul>	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence No unreasonable delay Respect for due process	1.00 0.55 0.55 0.80 0.51 0.56 0.58

Civil Justice	414
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.75
7.2 No discrimination	0.64
7.3	0.81
7.4	0.78
No improper gov't influence	0.50
No unreasonable delay	0.54
Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.78
	Sa.
Criminal Justice	ðD
8.1 Effective investigations	0.47
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.53
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.36
8.4	0.50
No discrimination	
8.5	0.71
8.5 No corruption	0.71
8.5 No corruption	

### Argentina

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rank		Income	Rank	Global Rank	
0.55		13/32		16/4	41	63/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge				
	0.00	0					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.56	-0.01	13/32	14/41	61/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.46	0.00	14/32	20/41	72/142
	Open Government		0.61	0.00	5/32	4/41	37/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.68	-0.01	8/32	3/41	36/142
Ģ	Order and Se	curity	0.61	-0.01	24/32	34/41	118/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.50	0.01	14/32	17/41	66/142
474	Civil Justice		0.56	0.01	11/32	11/41	57/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.40	0.01	16/32	24/41	85/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



10 percent level

Argentina — Latin America and Caribbean — Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.60
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.44
1.3 Independent auditing	0.51
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.70
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.74
Absence of Corruption	å
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch	<b>0</b> .39
2.1	_
2.1 In the executive branch	0.39
2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the judiciary	0.39
2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 In the police of the	0.39 0.65 0.59
2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 In the legislature	0.39 0.65 0.59

Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.67
3.2 Right to information	0.50
3.3 Civic participation	0.67
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.59

Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 No discrimination	0.62
4.2 Right to life and security	0.75
4.3 Due process of law	0.55
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.70
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.80
4.6 Right to privacy	0.62
4.7 Freedom of association	0.77
4.8 Labor rights	0.66
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.55
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.27
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2 No improper influence	0.60
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.44
6.4 Respect for due process	0.46
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.50 ation

Civil Justice	T
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.72
7.2 No discrimination	0.66
7.3 No corruption	0.54
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.46
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.28
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.52
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.73
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.30
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.32
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.29
8.4 No discrimination	0.50
8.5 No corruption	0.46
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.37



### Australia


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	Global Rank		
C	0.80 2/1			11/4	11/142		
Score	e Change	Rank Change	è				
C	0.00	2 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
_	Constraints o Government		0.82	0.00	2/15	13/47	13/142
š /	Absence of C	orruption	0.83	0.02*	4/15	12/47	12/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.81	0.00	2/15	10/47	10/142
tt I	Fundamental	Rights	0.78	0.00	2/15	18/47	18/142
	Order and Se	curity	0.87	0.00	5/15	23/47	24/142
Ē F	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.81	0.00	3/15	11/47	11/142
<u>Ф</u>	Civil Justice		0.74	-0.01	5/15	14/47	14/142
80 0	Criminal Just	ice	0.72	-0.01	5/15	16/47	16/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the							

High




Australia — East Asia and Pacific –



3.1	0.90
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.71
Right to information	
3.3	0.78
Civic participation	
3.4	0.84
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	ţţ
4.1 No discrimination	0.66
4.2	0.93
Right to life and security 4.3	0.75
Due process of law	0.75
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.79
4.5	0.81
Freedom of religion 4.6	0.81
Right to privacy	
4.7 Freedom of association	0.80
4.8 Labor rights	0.72
Labor rights	
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.89
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	0.73
Absence of violent redress	0.70
Regulatory Enforcement	Ē
6.1	0.71
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.91
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.75
6.4	0.85
Respect for due process     6.5	0.83
No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	



Civil Justice	4 <b>T</b> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.57
7.2 No discrimination	0.63
7.3 No corruption	0.89
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.89
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.65
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.78
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.80
Criminal Justice	60
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.65
8.1	0.65 0.70
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Image: Additional Additiona Additional Additi</li></ul>	0.70
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Content of the system</li> </ul>	0.70
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.70
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No corruption</li> <li>8.6 </li> </ul>	0.70 0.61 0.57 0.85

### Austria

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income F	Rank	Global	Rank
	0.79	11/31		13/4	7	13/2	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge				
	0.01 -	-2 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.82	-0.02	10/31	11/47	11/142
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.79	-0.01	13/31	18/47	18/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.68	-0.02	20/31	27/47	28/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.83	-0.01	10/31	11/47	11/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.91	0.00	9/31	13/47	13/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.80	0.00	10/31	13/47	13/142
474	Civil Justice		0.74	0.00	12/31	17/47	17/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.79	0.00	4/31	4/47	4/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Low	М	edium	High

Constraints on Government Powers	<b>.</b>
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.84
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.81
1.3 Independent auditing	0.79
1.4	0.77
Sanctions for official misconduct	0.78
Non-governmental checks	0.93
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.75
2.2 In the judiciary	0.93
2.3 In the police/military	0.91
2.4 In the legislature	0.56
Open Government	0.71
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.71

Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.71
3.2 Right to information	0.58
3.3 Civic participation	0.77
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.66

	Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1		0.70
	No discrimination	
4.2	Right to life and security	0.95
4.3		0.84
	Due process of law	
4.4		0.78
4.5	Freedom of expression	0.79
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.79
4.6		0.88
	Right to privacy	
4.7	Freedom of association	0.84
4.8		0.82
	Labor rights	
	Order and Security	G
5.1		0.92
	Absence of crime	
5.1 5.2	Absence of crime	0.92
	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict	
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress	1.00
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	1.00 0.81
5.2 5.3 6.1	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.81
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.81
5.2 5.3 6.1	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence	1.00 0.81
<ul><li>5.2</li><li>5.3</li><li>6.1</li><li>6.2</li></ul>	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence	1.00 0.81 0.84 0.93
<ul><li>5.2</li><li>5.3</li><li>6.1</li><li>6.2</li></ul>	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence No unreasonable delay	1.00 0.81 0.84 0.93
<ul><li>5.2</li><li>5.3</li><li>6.1</li><li>6.2</li><li>6.3</li></ul>	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence No unreasonable delay Respect for due process	1.00 0.81 0.84 0.93 0.66

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

	Civil Justice	414
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.68
7.2	No discrimination	0.68
7.3	No corruption	0.87
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.83
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.63
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.76
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.71
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1	Effective investigations	0.65
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.79
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.80
8.4	No discrimination	0.69
8.5	No corruption	0.88
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.86
8.7		

## The Bahamas

Constraints on

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Ran	k	Income R	lank	Global Rank	
0.59	10/32		42/4	7	50/142	
Score Change	Rank Change	e				
0.00	0					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints of Government F	-	0.59	-0.01	9/32	39/47	49/142
<b>Absence of Co</b>	orruption	0.63	0.00	7/32	38/47	42/142
Open Govern	ment	0.48	-0.01	21/32	42/47	77/142
Fundamental	Rights	0.63	0.00	11/32	39/47	47/142
Order and Sec	curity	0.73	0.00	7/32	41/47	67/142
Regulatory Er	forcement	0.50	-0.01	13/32	43/47	65/142
Civil Justice		0.57	0.00	10/32	41/47	53/142
ර්ර් Criminal Justi	се	0.58	0.00	3/32	32/47	33/142

Government Powers Criminal Justice Absence of Corruption Civil Open Justice Government Regulatory Fundamental Enforcement Rights Order and Security 2024 Score 2023 Score

**Civil Justice** 

No discrimination

No corruption

Accessibility and affordability


7.1

7.2


7.3

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High 10 percent level

The Bahamas
 Latin America and Caribbean
 High



	<b>•</b>
2.1	0.50
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.83
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.81
In the police/military	
2.4	0.39
In the legislature	



Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 A discrimination	0.57
4.2 Right to life and security	0.78
4.3 Due process of law	0.52
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.64
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6 Right to privacy	0.57
4.7 Freedom of association	0.71
4.8 Labor rights	0.65
Order and Security	-
5.1 Absence of crime	0.77
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.42
Regulatory Enforcement	
( 4	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.39
	0.39

4	7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.62
3	7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.42
7	7.6 Effective enforcement	0.44
1	7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.70
5	Criminal Justice	්ර
	8.1 Effective investigations	0.49
7	8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.51
0	8.3 Effective correctional system	0.45
2	8.4 No discrimination	0.55
]	8.5 No corruption	0.78
9	8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.80
9	8.7	0.52

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

474

0.59

0.51

0.69


# Bangladesh

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rank			ncome	Rank	Global Rank		
	0.39 4/6				28/3	38	127/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge						
	0.00	0							
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.3	6	-0.01	5/6	28/38	121/142	
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.3	3	0.00	4/6	24/38	117/142	
	Open Govern	0.40	0	0.00	5/6	19/38	107/142		
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.30	0	0.00	5/6	34/38	134/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.63	3	0.00	4/6	27/38	109/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.3	9	0.01	4/6	28/38	124/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.3	6	0.00	5/6	33/38	132/142	
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.3	1	0.00	5/6	28/38	122/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High									


10 percent level

🗕 Bangladesh 👥 South Asia 💶 Lower-Middle



Open Government	
3.1	0.34
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.50
Right to information	
3.3	0.29
Civic participation	
3.4	0.48
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	ŧŧ
4.1 No discrimination	0.41
4.2 Right to life and security	0.14
4.3 Due process of law	0.27
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.25
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.51
4.6 Right to privacy	0.06
4.7 Freedom of association	0.30
4.8 Labor rights	0.44
Order and Security	-
5.1	0.77
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.92
	0.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.22
Regulatory Enforcement	Ē
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.44
6.2 No improper influence	0.39
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.24
6.4 Respect for due process	0.30
6.5	0.59
No expropriation w/out adequate compen	




Civil Justice	_4]4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.44
7.2 No discrimination	0.32
7.3 No corruption	0.34
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.39
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.19
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.30
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.54
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	0.39
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.42

8.2	0.42
Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3	0.31
Effective correctional system	
8.4	0.22
No discrimination	
8.5	0.32
No corruption	
8.6	0.22
No improper gov't influence	
8.7	0.27
Due process of law	

### Barbados


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Sco	Overall Score Regional Ra		nk	Income R	lank	Global Rank			
0.66	0.66 3/32			34/4	7	35/1			
Score Change		Rank Chang	ge						Criminal Justice
0.00		0							8.3
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank		8.2 8.1 7.7
Constra Govern			0.65	0.00	4/32	31/47	34/142		7.6
å Absenc	e of C	orruption	0.70	0.00	2/32	27/47	27/142	Civil	7.5
Open G	Govern	ment	0.55	0.00	12/32	39/47	57/142	Justice	7.3
Fundan	nental	Rights	0.74	0.00	3/32	26/47	27/142		7.1
Order a	and Se	curity	0.80	0.00	1/32	34/47	41/142		6.5 6.4
Regulat	tory Er	nforcement	0.63	0.01	4/32	33/47	34/142		6.3 Regulatory Enforcement
Civil Ju	stice		0.62	-0.01	6/32	33/47	39/142	1	inforcement
ර්ර් Crimina	al Justi	ice	0.58	0.00	5/32	35/47	36/142		
* Indicates statis 10 percent level		significant change	at the	Low	М	edium 🗌	High		



·

🗕 Barbados 🛑 Latin America and Caribbean 💻 High



0.67

3.4

Complaint mechanisms

	Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1	No discrimination	0.63
4.2		0.84
4.3	-	0.56
4.4		0.73
4.5		0.81
4.6		0.77
4.7		0.81
4.8		0.75
	Labor rights	
		$\sim$
	Order and Security	1
5.1		0.89
5.1 5.2	Absence of crime	1 0.89 1.00
	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict	
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.2	Absence of civil conflict Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	1.00 0.52
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00
5.2 5.3 6.1	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence	1.00 0.52
<ul><li>5.2</li><li>5.3</li><li>6.1</li><li>6.2</li></ul>	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence No unreasonable delay	1.00 0.52 0.61 0.84

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	<b>4</b> ]4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.73
7.2 No discrimination	0.62
7.3 No corruption	0.86
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.69
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.28
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.45
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.70
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.51
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.42
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.61
8.4 No discrimination	0.50
8.5 No corruption	0.80
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.69
8.7 Due process of law	0.56

# Belarus

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

	erall Score	Regional Rar		Income			al Rank		Constraints on	
	0.45	13/15		34/4	11	105	/142		Government Powers	
	e Change	Rank Chang	e					Criminal Justice 8.5 8.4	8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	
-(	0.01 •	-1 •						8.3 8.2	1.6	Absence of
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1		Corruption
i	Constraints o Government		0.26	0.00	15/15	40/41	138/142	7.6		
1	Absence of C	orruption	0.49	0.00	3/15	14/41	61/142	7.5	3	
	Open Govern	ment	0.31	-0.01	15/15	40/41	134/142	Civil 7.4 Justice 7.3	7 Mm	OL C
	Fundamental	Rights	0.34	-0.01	14/15	38/41	129/142	7.2	3.4	
	Order and Se	curity	0.81	0.00	5/15	4/41	39/142	6.5	4.2	
	Regulatory E		0.43	-0.01	11/15	36/41	108/142	6.4 6.3 Regulatory 6.2	4.3 4.4 4.5	
2	Civil Justice		0.55	-0.01	2/15	14/41	62/142	Regulatory 6.2 Enforcement 6.1	5.3 5.2 5.1 4.8 4.7 4.6 Fundame Rights	
)		iee							Order and Security	
	Criminal Just	ice	0.39	0.00	9/15	26/41	88/142	20	24 Score 2023 Score	
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	at the	Lov	v N	Aedium	High			
	Belarus	Eastern Europe a	nd Centr	al Asia 💻	Upper	r-Middle				
0	nstraints on	Government P	owers	<u> </u>	F	undam	ental Rights	††	Civil Justice	4 <u>7</u> 4
im	its by legislat			0.26	4.1	lo discri	mination	0.60 7.	1 Accessibility and affordability	0.54
	its by legislat	ure		0.25	4.2	NO GISCIN	Innation	0.32 7.		0.66
m	its by judiciar	y		0.27	F 4.3	Right to li	fe and securi	0.33 7.	No discrimination	0.58
d	ependent aud	iting		0.27		Due proc	ess of law	0.00 7.	No corruption	0.50
an	ctions for offi	cial misconduct		0.39	4.4 F	reedom	of expression	0.19 7.	4 No improper gov't influence	0.20
<u> </u>	n-government			0.19	4.5	roodom	of religion	0.45 7.	5 No unreasonable delay	0.65
01	-			0.18	4.6		orreligion	0.09 7.	,	0.58
aw	ful transition	ofpower			F 4.7	Right to p	orivacy	0.32 7.	Effective enforcement	0.64
b	sence of Cor	ruption		Š		reedom	of associatior	0.52 7.	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.04
				0.39	4.8 L	.abor rig	nts	0.42	Criminal Justice	්ර
i ti	ne executive b	oranch		0.68				8.		0.48
t	nejudiciary					Order ar	nd Security	<b>*</b>	Effective investigations	
n tl	ne police/mili	tary		0.62	5.1 A	bsence	of crime	0.89 8.	2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.48
. 41				0.27	5.2		م من بنا معموان	1.00 8.		0.41
ı Cl	ne legislature				۶.3	ADSENCE	of civil conflic	0.53 8.	Effective correctional system	0.47
)p	en Governm	ent			A	bsence	of violent red		No discrimination	
սե	licized laws a	nd gov't data		0.21	F	Regulato	ory Enforcen	ent	5 No corruption	0.50
				0.31	6.1			0.58	No improper gov't influence	0.03
igl	nt to informat	ion		0.07	_	Effective	regulatory en	8.		0.33
ivi	c participatio	n		0.27	6.2 N	No impro	per influence	0.53	Due process of law	
	nplaint mecha	anisms		0.44	6.3		sonable delay	0.58		
.Or	-promit meetic				1.	ani cd	sonable deidy			
.or					6.4		or due proces	0.21		


No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

6.5

# Belgium

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Ran	k l	ncome R	lank	Global Rank		
0.78	13/31		17/4	7	17/142		
Score Change	Rank Change	e					
0.00	-1 -						
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
Constraints of Government		0.81	-0.01	13/31	15/47	15/142	
Absence of C	orruption	0.80	0.00	12/31	17/47	17/142	
Open Govern	ment	0.76	0.00	12/31	14/47	14/142	
Fundamental	Rights	0.84	0.00	8/31	8/47	8/142	
Order and Se	curity	0.81	-0.01	25/31	33/47	38/142	
Regulatory E	nforcement	0.79	0.00	12/31	17/47	17/142	
Civil Justice		0.74	0.00	10/31	15/47	15/142	
Criminal Just	ice	0.70	0.00	14/31	19/47	19/142	
* Indicates statistically	significant change a	at the	Low	M	edium	High	



İİ

10 percent level



Constraints on Government Powe	ers 💼
1.1	0.83
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.78
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.84
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.75
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.79
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.88
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Å
0.4	0.78
2.1 In the executive branch	0.78
2.2	0.93
In the judiciary	0.93
2.3	0.88
In the police/military	0.88
2.4	0.59
In the legislature	0.37
Open Government	
3.1	0.71
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.70
Right to information	
3.3	0.78
Civic participation	
3.4	0.86

Complaint mechanisms

4.1 No discrimination	0.79
4.2 Right to life and security	0.95
4.3 Due process of law	0.79
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.79
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.85
4.6 Right to privacy	0.90
4.7 Freedom of association	0.84
4.8	0.81
Labor rights	
	-
Order and Security	<b>6</b>
Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime	0.86
5.1	0.86
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.89
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.89
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.89

**Fundamental Rights** 

6.4 0.78 Respect for due process


6.5 0.87 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	<u>а</u> р
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.73
7.2 No discrimination	0.79
7.3 No corruption	0.82
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.83
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.44
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.77
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.80
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.58
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.65
	0.65
Timely and effective adjudication	
Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4	0.52
Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5	0.52
Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6	0.52

### Belize

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income	Global Rank			
	0.50	18/32		24/4	11	82/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge					
	0.00	-2 •						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.48	0.00	25/32	27/41	91/142	
Š	Absence of Co	orruption	0.45	0.00	15/32	21/41	73/142	
	Open Govern	ment	0.45	0.01	25/32	31/41	90/142	
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.52	0.00	21/32	25/41	76/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.71	0.00	9/32	22/41	75/142	
	Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.42	0.00	26/32	38/41	115/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.52	0.00	15/32	19/41	71/142	
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.40	0.01	14/32	23/41	83/142	
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	



🗕 Belize 💶 Latin America and Caribbean 💶 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Â
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.53
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.54
1.3 Independent auditing	0.33
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.28
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.56
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.63
Absence of Corruption	š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.39
2.2 In the judiciary	0.64
2.3 In the police/military	0.58
2.4 In the legislature	0.22
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.36
3.2 Right to information	0.41
3.3 Civic participation	0.52
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.51

Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 No discrimination	0.53
4.2 Right to life and security	0.65
4.3 Due process of law	0.41
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.56
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.51
4.6 Right to privacy	0.48
4.7 Freedom of association	0.55
4.8 Labor rights	0.50
Order and Security	G
5.1 Absence of crime	0.70
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.44
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2 No improper influence	0.50
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.41
6.4 Respect for due process	0.28
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensi	0.49

Civil Justice	_12
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.49
7.2 No discrimination	0.50
7.3 No corruption	0.62
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.53
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.42
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	0.35
	0.35
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.32
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 </li> </ul>	0.32
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.32 0.22 0.46

#### Benin

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
0.48	10/34	Ļ	14/3	38	93/	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Score Change	Rank Char	nge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4
-0.01 •	-3 🔻						8.4 1.5 1.6
		Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	82 8.1 77 21 Absence of 22 Corruption 23
Constraints of Government		0.49	-0.01	13/34	10/38	86/142	7.6
<b>Absence of C</b>	orruption	0.40	0.00	14/34	16/38	99/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 0 3.1 3.2 Open
Open Govern	iment	0.39	0.00	17/34	23/38	112/142	Justice 7.3 7.2 7.2 7.2
Fundamenta	l Rights	0.52	0.00	9/34	5/38	77/142	7.1 3.4
Order and Se	ecurity	0.69	-0.05	12/34	15/38	90/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
Regulatory E	nforcement	0.47	0.00	10/34	12/38	81/142	Regulatory Enforcement 5.3 5.2 - 1 4.8 4.7 Fundamental
Civil Justice		0.43	0.00	21/34	25/38	112/142	Order and Security
ර්ර් Criminal Just	tice	0.42	0.00	10/34	8/38	76/142	Jecunty
* Indicates statistically	cignificant chang	ro at tho					2024 Score 2023 Score
10 percent level	r significant chang	ge at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1	0.48
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.42
Limits by judiciary	
1.3 Independent auditing	0.42
	0.49
Sanctions for official misconduct	0.47
1.5	0.48
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.64
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Ġ
2.1	0.46
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.48
In the judiciary	
2.3 In the police/military	0.46
	0.04
2.4 In the legislature	0.21
Open Government	
•	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.31
3.2	0.34
Right to information	0.01
3.3	0.52
Civic participation	
3.4	0.37
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	ŧŧ
4.1	0.64
No discrimination	0.00
4.2 Right to life and security	0.39
4.3	0.51
Due process of law	
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.48
4.5	0.74
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.23
Right to privacy	0.40
4.7 Freedom of association	0.60
4.8	0.59
Labor rights	
	0
Order and Security	I
5.1 Absence of crime	0.72
5.2	0.83
Absence of civil conflict	0.00
5.3	0.51
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	r=1
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2	0.61
No improper influence	
6.3	0.39
No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4 Respect for due process	0.40
6.5	0.46
No expropriation w/out adequate comp	ensation

Civil Justice	_4 <b>T</b> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.51
7.2 No discrimination	0.55
7.3 No corruption	0.40
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.23
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.39
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.37
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.56
Criminal Justice	00
8.1	0.37
Effective investigations	0.07
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.37
8.2	
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.39
<ul> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.39
<ul> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.39

## Bolivia


Open Gevernment         0.44         0.00         27/32         13/38         90/142           Pendamental Rights         0.44         0.00         28/32         19/38         100/142         23/36         Gm           Order and Security         0.60         0.00         24/32         13/38         12/3142         24/36         12/3142           Order and Security         0.60         0.00         24/32         32/38         12/3142         24/36         12/3142         24/36 <th>0.37</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Income</th> <th>Rank</th> <th>Globa</th> <th>al Rank</th> <th></th> <th></th>	0.37			Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank		
Concernance       Park       Concernance       Park       Factor<	0.07	29/32		31/3	38	131	/142	Government	
-0.01       0         Sector Gorege       Reginal Income Gold I	core Change	Rank Chang	ge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 <sup>1.1</sup> 1.2 1.3	
Factor         Good         Regulation         Interner         Extended           Constraints on Covernment         0.33         0.03         0.02         34/32         32/34 <t< td=""><td>-0.01 -</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>8.4 1.5</td><td></td></t<>	-0.01 -	0						8.4 1.5	
Conversions on Conversions of Compution         0.35         0.01         30/52         31/52         125/142           A bennee of Compution         0.33         0.00         22/52         13/88         9/6/12           Order and Security         0.60         22/52         13/88         9/6/12           Order and Security         0.60         26/52         12/82         12/1/82           Order and Security         0.60         26/52         32/88         12/1/82           Order and Security         0.60         26/52         32/88         12/1/82           Order and Security         0.60         26/52         32/88         12/1/82           Order and Security         0.60         26/52         32/88         12/1/82           Order and Security         0.60         20/23 Sore         20/23 Sore           Stillular         Later Matrix and Cariban security         0.60         21         20/24 Sore           Stillular         Later Matrix and Cariban security         0.67         7         7         No discrimination           Initis by judiciary         0.77         4         Preedom of expression         0.77         7         No consuption         0.71           No discrimination         0.26								8.1 2.2 Corruptio	
Absence of Corruption       0.23       0.02       32.02       38.08       141/12         Open Government       0.44       0.00       28.23       137.14       29.732       110/142         Order and Security       0.60       0.00       28.732       129.742       120.742         Order and Security       0.00       38.72       88.768       129.742       120.742				-				7.7 2.3	
Open Government       0.44       0.00       27.02       13/0.8       9/0/142         Fundamental Rights       0.44       0.00       28/0.82       12/0.142         Coder and Security       0.60       0.00       28/0.82       12/0.142         Code and Security       0.60       0.00       28/0.82       12/0.142         Code and Security       0.60       0.00       28/0.82       12/0.142         Code and Security       0.60       0.00       28/0.82       12/0.142         Code and Security       0.01       20/0.2       28/0.81       12/0.142         Code and Security       0.02       0.01       20/0.2       28/0.81       12/0.142         Code and Security       0.02       0.01       20/0.2       20/0.81       12/0.142         Code and Security       Median       High       Median       High         Debts       Low       Median       High       Chril Justice       20/0.2         Displaylediciary       0.27       4.1       Median       0.27       7.2       No discrimination         Inits by legislature       0.27       4.1       Median       0.27       7.2       No discrimination         Inits by legislature       0.2			0.23	-0.02	32/32	38/38	141/142	7.5 3.1	
Fundamental Rights       0.44       0.00       28.02       197.42         Order and Security       0.60       0.00       26.02       127.42         Predemental Rights       0.01       29.02       20.02       29.02       127.42         Order and Security       0.20       0.01       20.02 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ope overn</td></th<>									Ope overn
Order and Security       0.60       0.00       26/02       32/38       22/142         Regulatory Enforcement       0.38       0.01       20/02       34/38       22/142         Chilustice       0.30       0.01       30/02       34/38       12/142         Chilustice       0.20       0.01       31/02       34/38       12/142         Other and Security       0.20       0.01       31/02       34/08       14/142         Other and Security       0.20       0.01       0.02       34/08       14/142         Debuils       Latin America and Caribbean       Lower Middle       Civil Justice       0.00         Constraints on Government Powers       Fundamental Rights       Civil Justice       0.00         Inits by legislature       0.02       4.1       odscrimination       0.03       7.2       Accessibility and affordability       0.00         Independent auditing       0.27       4.2       Due process of law       0.7       7.4       Consultation       0.0         And transition of power       4.4       Freedom of expression       0.7       7.4       Consultation       0.2         Assence of Corruption       0.4       4.4       Freedom of expression       0.7       7									
Regulatory Enforcement       0.38       0.01       29/72       31/38       329/142         Civil Justice       0.30       0.01       31/32       38/38       139/142         Civil Justice       0.30       0.01       31/32       38/38       139/142         Ciningal Justice       0.30       0.01       31/32       38/38       141/142         diate stabilizing significant change at the encode least       Lower Middle       Fundamental Rights       Civil Justice       300       2023 Score         Stabilizing       Old       31/32       38/38       141/142       Old       Civil Justice       300 <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		-							
Civil Justice       0.30       0.01       30/32       36/38       337/42         Civil Justice       0.20       0.01       31/32       38/38       141/42       2024 Score       2023 Score         Civil Justice       0.20       0.01       31/32       38/38       141/42       2024 Score       2023 Score	-							6.3 4.4	
Cirkl Justice       0.33       0.01       0.002       0.003       0.003       0.004 <th0.004< th=""></th0.004<>	_	nforcement						Enforcement <sup>6.1</sup> <sup>5.3</sup> co 4.7 <sup>4.6</sup> Fundamental	
dicates statistically significant change at the two Medium High belivia Latin America and Caribbean Lower-Middle Constraints on Government Powers initis by legislature 0.27 4.1 No discrimination 1. No discrimination 0.27 4.2 Right to life and security 1. No discrimination 0.27 4.3 Due process of law 0.27 4.3 Due process of law 0.27 4.4 Freedom of religion 0.27 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.27 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.27 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.28 4.4 Right to privacy 1. No corruption 1. The public full transition of power 1. The public full tra								Order and	
diedee statistically significant changes at the personnel lever-Middle  Econstraints on Government Power  Ballvis  Luxer-Middle  Constraints on Government Power  And Ballvis  Luxer-Middle  Constraints by legislature  Constraints  Constrain	O Criminal Just	ice	0.20	-0.01	31/32	38/38	141/142		
Initis by legislature       0.37       4.1       0.36       7.1       Accessibility and affordability       0.0         Initis by legislature       0.27       4.2       0.40       7.2       No discrimination       0.0         Initis by legislature       0.27       4.3       0.27       7.3       0.0       0.0         Initis by legislature       0.27       4.3       0.27       7.3       0.0       0.0         Initis by legislature       0.27       4.3       0.27       7.3       0.0       0.0         Sanctions for official misconduct       0.26       4.4       Freedom of expression       0.47       7.4       No improper gov't influence       0.2         Non-governmental checks       0.47       4.5       Freedom of religion       0.32       7.5       No improper gov't influence       0.2         Absence of Corruption       0.14       4.4       Absence of corruption       0.56       7.7       Impartial and effective ADRs       0.6         n the judiciary       0.16       Order and Security       0.58       8.1       Effective investigations       0.7         n the police/military       0.18       5.2       0.60       Timely and effective adjudication       0.7         n the police/mil				Ĩ			ental Right	s 🙀 Civil Justice	4
imits by legislature       No discrimination       Accessibility and affordability         imits by legislature       0.27       4.2       0.40       7.2       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.72       0.40       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       No discrimination       0.71       Impartial and effective induce ADRs       0	constraints on		rowers			unuann			<b>1</b> • ).45
Imits by judiciary       Right to life and security       No discrimination         Independent auditing       0.27       4.3       0.27       7.3       0.00       0.00         Independent auditing       0.26       4.4       Freedom of expression       0.47       7.4       0.40       0.77       7.4       0.00       0.00         Independent auditing       0.26       4.4       Freedom of expression       0.47       7.4       0.47       0.50       0.00	imits by legislat	ure			_	No discrii		Accessibility and affordability	
ndependent auditing Due process of law No corruption   ianctions for official misconduct 0.26 4.4   Freedom of expression 0.47 7.4   Non-governmental checks 0.47 4.5   ianctions for official misconduct 0.47 4.5   Non-governmental checks 0.47 4.5   iand function of power 0.44 4.4   Right to privacy 0.22 7.6   iand function of power 0.44 4.4   iand function of power 0.44 4.4   iand function of power 0.47 7.5   iand function of power 0.44 4.4   iand function of power 0.47   in the executive branch 0.16   in the police/military 0.16   order and Security 6   in the police/military 0.18   in the police/military 0.18   in the police/military 0.18   in the legislature 0.31   in the legislature 0.31   in the legislature 0.31   in the legislature 0.31   in the legislature 0.31   in the legislature 0.31   in the legislature 0.31   in the legislature 0.31   in the legislature 0.31   in the police/military 0.44   in the police/military 0.44   in the police/military 0.44   in the legislature 0.31   in the legislature 0.31   in the leg	imits by judiciar	y I		0.27		Right to li			).29
Anctions for official misconduct Non-governmental checks Avon-governmental checks awful transition of power Avbence of Corruption In the executive branch In the police/military In the legislature O16 Order and Security In the legislature O27 S.1 Absence of crime O18 S.2 Absence of crime O18 S.2 Absence of crime O18 S.2 Absence of crime O18 S.2 Absence of crime O18 S.2 Absence of crime O19 O27 S.1 Absence of crime O18 S.2 Absence of crime O19 O27 S.1 Absence of crime O27 S.1 Absence of crime O28 Absence of crime O28 Absence of crime O28 S.2 Absence of crime S.2 Absence of violent redress S.2 Absence					-	le and secu	rity No discrimination		
Non-governmental checks Freedom of religion No unreasonable delay   awful transition of power 4.4 4.6 Right to privacy 0.32 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.31   Absence of Corruption 4.7 Freedom of association 0.56 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs 0.10   Absence of Corruption 4.8 1.00 0.50 Impartial and effective ADRs 0.10   In the executive branch 0.16 Order and Security 6.1 6.1 0.16   In the judiciary 0.16 Order and Security 6.1 6.1 0.16   In the police/military 0.18 5.2 1.00 8.3 Effective adjudication   In the legislature 0.31 5.2 1.00 8.3 Effective correctional system   Open Government 0.31 Regulatory Enforcement 0.20 8.4 0.00   No improper gov't influence 0.31 Regulatory enforcement 8.7 0.20   No improper gov't influence 0.40 No improper influence 8.7 Due process of law	ndependent aud	iting		0.27		Due proc		0.27 7.3	0.18
awful transition of power Right to privacy Effective enforcement   Absence of Corruption 4.7   Absence of Corruption 0.31   About the executive branch 0.31   Absence of crights 0.50   In the judiciary 0.16   Order and Security 63   Absence of crime 0.58   Absence of crime 0.58   Absence of crime 0.58   Absence of crime 0.58   Absence of crime 0.58   Absence of crime 0.50   Criminal Justice 0.50   Effective investigations 0.50   Criminal Justice 0.50   Criminal Justice 0.50   Absence of crime 0.58   Absence of crime 0.58   Absence of civil conflict 0.50   Sa Absence of violent redress   Absence of violent redress 0.50   No discrimination 0.51   Absence of violent redress 0.50   No improper influence 0.57   Absence of violent redress 0.60   No improper gov't influence 0.7   Barticipation 0.44   Crimplaint mechanisms 0.53   Absence of violent redress 0.40   No unreasonable delay 0.40		-	t		C 4.4		ess of law	0.27 7.3 0 0 No corruption 0.47 7.4 0	).18 ).20
Absence of Corruption 4.7   An the executive branch 0.31   Absence of crime 0.31   Absence of crime 0.36   Criminal Justice   Absence of crime   Absence of crime   Absence of crime   Absence of crime   Absence of crime   Absence of crime   Absence of civil conflict   Absence of civil conflict   Absence of violent redress   Absence of v	anctions for offi	cial misconduct	t	0.26	4.4 F 4.5	reedom	ess of law of expressio	0.27 7.3 0 0 No corruption 0 0.47 7.4 0 No improper gov't influence 0 0.63 7.5 0 0	
Alberte or correption   an the executive branch   0.31   Albert or rights   Order and Security   an the judiciary   0.16   Order and Security   an the judiciary   0.27   5.1   Absence of crime   0.18   5.2   Absence of civil conflict   0.18   5.2   Absence of civil conflict   0.31   Regulatory Enforcement   0.31   Regulatory Enforcement   0.31   Regulatory enforcement   0.44   6.1   Effective regulatory enforcement   8.5   No improper gov't influence   0.44   6.1   Civic participation   0.45   6.3   Oxume asonable delay	anctions for offi	cial misconduct	t	0.26	4.4 4.5 4.6	reedom reedom	of religion	0.27 7.3 0 0 No corruption 0 0.47 7.4 0 0 No improper gov't influence 0 0.63 7.5 0 0 No unreasonable delay 0 0.32 7.6 0 0	).20
Labor rights     Criminal Justice     Criminal Justice     In the executive branch     0.16   Order and Security     0.16   Order and Security   1   1   0.27   5.1   Absence of crime     0.18   5.2   Absence of civil conflict   5.3   Absence of civil conflict   5.3   Absence of violent redress   0.31   Regulatory Enforcement   0.31   Regulatory Enforcement   0.31   No improper influence   0.44   6.1   0.44   6.1   0.44   6.1   0.44   6.1   0.44   6.1   0.44   6.1   0.44   6.1   0.44   6.1   0.44   6.1   0.44   6.1   0.44   6.1   0.44   6.1   0.45   0.46   0.47   0.48    0.49   0.40   No improper influence   0.40   No unreasonable delay	anctions for offi	cial misconduct	t	0.26 0.47 0.44	4.4 4.5 4.5 4.6 F 4.6	reedom reedom Right to p	of religion	0.27     7.3     0.27	).20 ).21
order and Security Effective investigations   n the juliciary 0.27   n the police/military 0.27   0.18 5.2   Absence of crime 0.38   5.3 Absence of civil conflict   5.4 Absence of civil conflict   5.5 Absence of violent redress   0.31 Regulatory Enforcement   0.31 Regulatory Enforcement   0.31 Regulatory enforcement   0.31 Output of the control of	anctions for offi	cial misconduct	t	0.26 0.47 0.44	4.4 4.5 4.5 4.6 5 4.7 6	reedom reedom Right to p	of religion	0.27     7.3     0.27     0.27       0.27     7.3     0.00     0.00       0.47     7.4     0.00       0.47     7.4     0.00       0.63     7.5     0.00       0.32     7.6     0.00       0.32     7.6     0.00       0.56     7.7     0.00       0.50     7.7     0.00       0.50     1.7     0.00       0.50     1.7     0.00	).20 ).21 ).27
Absence of crime Timely and effective adjudication   In the legislature 0.18   5.2 Absence of civil conflict   Absence of civil conflict 0.00   S.3 Absence of violent redress   Open Government 0.31   Regulatory Enforcement 0.31   Publicized laws and gov't data 0.44   Civic participation 0.49   0.20 0.44   0.31 Regulatory enforcement   0.44 0.44   0.45 0.44   0.46 0.44   0.47 0.44   0.48 0.44   0.49 0.44   0.40 0.44   0.44 0.44   0.44 0.44   0.44 0.44   0.44 0.44   <	anctions for offi Non-government awful transition	cial misconduct	t	0.26 0.47 0.44	4.4 4.5 4.5 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.7 8 4.7	reedom Right to p	ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association	0.27     7.3     0.27     7.3     0       No corruption     0.47     7.4     0     0       No improper gov't influence     0.63     7.5     0     0       0.32     7.6     0     0     0       0.32     7.6     0     0     0       0.56     7.7     0     0     0       0.50     0.50     0.50     0     0	).20 ).21 ).27
0.18 5.2   Absence of civil conflict   0.18   5.2   Absence of civil conflict   5.3   Absence of violent redress   0.31   Regulatory Enforcement   0.31   Regulatory Enforcement   0.31   Regulatory enforcement   0.31   Regulatory enforcement   0.31   No corruption   8.6   No improper gov't influence   0.44   Absence of siolent redress   0.31   Regulatory enforcement   0.31   No improper influence   0.44   No improper influence   0.43   No urreasonable delay	anctions for offi Non-government awful transition Absence of Cor	cial misconduct	t	0.26 0.47 0.44 § 0.31	4.4 4.5 4.5 4.6 7 4.6 7 8 4.8 4.8	Freedom Right to p Freedom	ess of law of expression of religion privacy of association hts	0.27 7.3 No corruption 0.47 7.4 0 0 No improper gov't influence 0.63 7.5 0 0 No unreasonable delay 0.32 7.6 0 0 Effective enforcement 0.56 7.7 0 0 Impartial and effective ADRs 0.50 Criminal Justice	).20 ).21 ).27 ).53
Dpen Government 5.3   0.31   0.31   0.31   0.31   0.31   0.31   0.31   Regulatory Enforcement   0.31   0.31   0.31   0.31   0.31   0.31   0.31   0.31   0.31   0.31   0.31   0.31   0.31   Regulatory Enforcement   0.40   No improper gov't influence   0.41   0.42   0.43   0.44   0.44   0.45   0.46   0.47   0.48   0.40   No improper influence   0.40   No unreasonable delay	anctions for offi Non-government awful transition Absence of Cor In the executive b	cial misconduct	t	0.26 0.47 0.44 0.31 0.16	4.4 4.5 4.5 4.6 7 4.7 7 8 4.8 4.8 4.8 5.1	Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor right	ess of law of expression of religion vrivacy of association hts	0.27       7.3       No corruption       0         0.47       7.4       0       0         0       0.47       7.4       0       0         0       0.63       7.5       0       0         0       0.63       7.5       0       0         0       0.32       7.6       0       0         0       0.32       7.6       0       0         0       0.56       7.7       0       0         0       0.50       Criminal Justice       0         0       0.58       8.2       0       0	).20 ).21 ).27 ).53
0.31 Regulatory Enforcement   0.31 Regulatory Enforcement   0.44 6.1   Right to information 0.44   0.49 6.2   0.40 0.44   No improper influence 0.44   No improper influence 0.44   0.53 6.3   No unreasonable delay	anctions for offi Non-government awful transition Absence of Cor In the executive b In the judiciary In the police/mili	cial misconduct cial checks of power ruption pranch tary	t	0.26 0.47 0.44 0.31 0.16 0.27	4.4 4.5 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.7 4.8 4.8 5.1 5.1	reedom Right to p reedom Labor righ <b>Drder ar</b>	ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association hts <b>nd Security</b>	0.27       7.3       No corruption       0         0.47       7.4       0       0         0       0.47       7.4       0       0         0       0.47       7.4       0       0         0       0.63       7.5       0       0         0       0.32       7.6       0       0         0       0.32       7.6       0       0         0       0.56       7.7       0       0         0       0.56       7.7       0       0         0       0.56       7.7       0       0         0       0.50       0       0       0         0       0.50       0       0       0         0       0.58       8.2       0       0         0       0.58       8.2       0       0         0       0.58       8.2       0       0         0       0.58       8.2       0       0         0       1.00       8.3       0       0	).20 ).21 ).27 ).53
0.31 Regulatory Enforcement   Publicized laws and gov't data     0.44   0.44   0.44   0.47   0.49   0.47   0.40   No improper influence   0.41   No improper influence   0.42   No improper influence   0.43   No unreasonable delay	Sanctions for offi Non-government awful transition Absence of Cor n the executive b n the judiciary n the police/mili	cial misconduct cial checks of power ruption pranch tary	t	0.26 0.47 0.44 0.31 0.16 0.27	4.4 4.4 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 F 5.2 F	reedom Right to p reedom Labor righ <b>Drder ar</b>	ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association hts <b>nd Security</b>	0.27       7.3       0       0         No corruption       0.47       7.4       0       0         No improper gov't influence       0.63       7.5       0       0         No unreasonable delay       0.32       7.6       0       0         No improper gov't influence       0.63       7.5       0       0         No unreasonable delay       0.32       7.6       0       0         On       0.56       7.7       0       0       0         On       0.56       7.7       0       0       0         On       0.50       Criminal Justice       0       0         Effective investigations       0.58       8.2       0       0         Image: Delay and effective adjudication       0       0       0       0         Interview correctional system       1.00       8.3       0       0       0	).20 ).21 ).27 ).53 ).21 ).21
0.44     6.1     0.46     No improper gov't influence       Right to information     0.49     6.2     0.44     0.44       No improper influence     0.44     0.44     0.44       Civic participation     0.53     6.3     0.40       Complaint mechanisms     0.53     6.3     0.40	Sanctions for offi Non-government awful transition Absence of Cor In the executive b In the judiciary In the police/mili In the legislature	cial misconduct cial checks of power ruption branch tary	t	0.26 0.47 0.44 0.31 0.16 0.27 0.18	4.4 4.4 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 F 5.1 F 5.2 F 5.3	Freedom Freedom Right to p Freedom abor right <b>Drder ar</b> Absence of Absence of	ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association hts of crime of civil confl	0.27       7.3       0.7	).20 ).21 ).27 ).53 ).27 ).21 ).21 ).21
0.49     6.2     0.44     0.44     Due process of law       Civic participation     0.53     6.3     0.40       Complaint mechanisms     No unreasonable delay     0.40	Sanctions for offi Non-government awful transition Absence of Cor n the executive b n the judiciary n the police/mili n the legislature Open Governm	ent	t	0.26 0.47 0.44 0.31 0.16 0.27 0.18	4.4 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.7 4.8 4.8 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.2 5.2 5.3 6	Freedom Freedom Right to p Freedom abor right <b>Drder ar</b> Absence of Absence of	ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association hts of crime of civil confl of violent re	0.27 7.3   No corruption   0.47   7.4   No improper gov't influence   0.63   7.5   No unreasonable delay   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.7   0.32   0.50   Criminal Justice   0.50   Criminal Justice   0.51   0.58   8.2   0.58   8.2   0.58   8.2   0.58   8.2   0.50   0.58   8.2   0.50   0.58   8.2   0.50   0.58   8.2   0.50   0.58   8.2   0.50   0.50   0.50   0.51   0.52   0.53   0.54   0.5	0.20 0.21 0.27 0.53 0.21 0.17 0.20 0.27 0.18
Complaint mechanisms No unreasonable delay	Sanctions for offi Non-government Lawful transition Absence of Cor In the executive b In the judiciary In the police/mili In the legislature Open Governm Publicized laws a	ent	t	0.26 0.47 0.44 0.31 0.16 0.27 0.18	4.4 4.4 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 F 5.1 F 5.2 F 5.3 F 5.3 F 6.1	Freedom Freedom Right to p Freedom abor right Absence of Absence of Absence of Absence of Absence of Absence of Absence of Absence of Absence of	ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association hts of association of civil confl of civil confl of violent re ory Enforce	0.27 7.3   No corruption   0.47   7.4   No improper gov't influence   0.47   0.47   7.4   No improper gov't influence   0.47   0.47   0.47   7.4   No improper gov't influence   0.47   0.47   0.47   7.4   No improper gov't influence   0.47   0.47   0.47   0.47   0.47   0.47   0.43   7.5   0.50   Criminal Justice   0.50   Criminal Justice   0.58   8.2   0.58   8.2   0.58   8.2   0.59   1.00   8.3   0.20   8.4   0.20   8.4   0.20   8.4   0.20   8.4   0.20   8.4   0.20   8.4   0.20   8.4   0.20   8.5   0.20   8.6   0.46	).20 ).21 ).27 ).53 ).27 ).21 ).21 ).21
	Sanctions for offi Non-government awful transition Absence of Cor In the executive b In the judiciary In the judiciary In the police/mili In the legislature Open Governm Publicized laws a Right to informat	ent	t	0.26 0.47 0.44 0.31 0.16 0.27 0.18 0.31 0.31	4.4 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 6.1 5.2 6.1 6.1 6.2	Freedom Freedom Right to p Freedom abor righ <b>Drder ar</b> Absence of Absence of <b>Regulato</b>	ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association hts of association hts of crime of civil confl of civil confl of violent re ory Enforce regulatory of	0.27 7.3   No corruption   0.47   7.4   No improper gov't influence   0.63   7.5   No unreasonable delay   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.7   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.7   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.7   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.7   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.7   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.7   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.7   0.32   7.6   0.32   7.7   0.32   7.7   0.32   7.7   0.32   7.7   0.32   7.7   0.32   7.7   0.32   7.7   0.32   7.7   0.40   0.58   8.2   0.58   8.2   0.58   8.2   0.58   8.2   0.59   0.50   0.58   8.2   0.59   0.50   0.58   8.2   0.59   0.50   0.50   0.50   0.51   0.52 </td <td>0.20 0.21 0.27 0.53 0.21 0.17 0.20 0.27 0.18</td>	0.20 0.21 0.27 0.53 0.21 0.17 0.20 0.27 0.18
	Sanctions for offi Non-government awful transition Absence of Cor In the executive b In the judiciary In the judiciary In the police/mili In the legislature Dpen Governm Publicized laws a Right to informat	ent a gov't data in	t	0.26 0.47 0.44 0.31 0.16 0.27 0.18 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31	4.4 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.7 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.2 4 5.3 6.1 6.1 6.2 N 6.3	Freedom Freedom Right to p Freedom abor right <b>Drder ar</b> Absence of Absence of <b>Regulato</b> Effective No impro	ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association hts of association of civil confl of civil confl of civil confl of civil confl of violent re pry Enforce regulatory of per influence	0.27 7.3   No corruption   0.47   7.4   No improper gov't influence   0.32   7.6   0.50   Criminal Justice   0.50   Criminal Justice   0.58   8.2   0.58   8.2   0.58   8.2   0.58   8.2   0.58   8.2   0.59   8.4   0.40   0.40   0.41   0.41   0.42   0.40	0.20 0.21 0.27 0.53 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.20 0.27 0.18 0.11

6.5 0.40 0.40 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

# Bosnia and Herzegovina

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	Overall Score Regional F		ank Income Rank			Rank	Global Rank		
	0.52 7/15				21/4	11	71/142		
Score Change Rank Cha			ge						
(	0.01 •	4.							
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints of Government		0.40	6	0.01	7/15	29/41	100/142	
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.44	4	0.02	9/15	26/41	80/142	
	Open Govern	iment	0.49	9	0.02	8/15	24/41	74/142	
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.58	8	0.00	7/15	20/41	65/142	
•	Order and Se	curity	0.77	7	0.00	9/15	12/41	53/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.48	8	0.00	3/15	20/41	77/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.40	6	0.00	13/15	30/41	95/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.47	7	0.00	3/15	15/41	61/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High									



10 percent level

🗕 Bosnia and Herzegovina 📒 Eastern Europe and Central Asia 💻 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.52
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.39
1.3 Independent auditing	0.54
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.39
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.43
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.49
Absence of Corruption	Ś
2.1 In the executive branch	0.40
2.2 In the judiciary	0.51
2.3 In the police/military	0.57
2.4 In the legislature	0.26
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.43
3.2 Right to information	0.53
3.3 Civic participation	0.46
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.53


Fundamental Rights	ţţ
4.1	0.55
No discrimination	
4.2	0.68
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.63
Due process of law	
4.4	0.43
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.62
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.53
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.55
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.61
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.86
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.47
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	1
6.1	0.42
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.52
No improper influence	
6.3	0.46
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.43
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.58
No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	

Civil Justice	4 <u>T</u> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.58
7.2	0.50
No discrimination	
7.3	0.44
No corruption	
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.41
7.5	0.33
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.34
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.66
Impartial and effective ADRs	
	84
Criminal Justice	Q
8.1	0.44
Effective investigations	
8.2	0.54
Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.45
Lifective confectional system	
0.4	0.45
8.4 No discrimination	0.45
No discrimination	
	0.45
No discrimination	
No discrimination 8.5 No corruption	0.46
No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6	0.46
No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.46

#### Botswana

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	Overall Score Regional Rank		k I	Income Rank			Global Rank	
	0.59	4/34		8/41		51/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	è					
	0.00	0						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints of Government		0.61	0.00	5/34	6/41	44/142	
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.57	0.00	3/34	9/41	50/142	
	Open Govern	iment	0.47	0.00	7/34	29/41	80/142	
ŤŤ	Fundamental	Rights	0.59	0.00	5/34	18/41	61/142	
G	Order and Se	curity	0.71	-0.01	7/34	23/41	77/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.59	0.00	3/34	4/41	44/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.61	0.00	4/34	7/41	44/142	
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.57	-0.02	1/34	3/41	40/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



10 percent level

🗕 Botswana 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 🛑 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	<b>İ</b>
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.63
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.63
1.3 Independent auditing	0.54
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.53
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.60
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.71
Absence of Corruption	ġ
2.1 In the executive branch	0.54
2.2 In the judiciary	0.75
2.3 In the police/military	0.71
2.4 In the legislature	0.29
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.24
3.2 Right to information	0.50
3.3 Civic participation	0.61
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.53


Fundamental Rights	ŧŧ
4.1	0.63
No discrimination	0.00
4.2	0.65
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.55
Due process of law	
4.4	0.60
Freedom of expression	
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6 Right to privacy	0.38
4.7	0.67
Freedom of association	0.07
4.8	0.59
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.67
Absence of crime	0.07
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.47
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	Ê
6.1	0.54
Effective regulatory enforcement	0.01
6.2	0.70
No improper influence	
6.3	0.43
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.56
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.70
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	ation

Civil Justice	
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.54
7.2 No discrimination	0.58
7.3 No corruption	0.66
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.64
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.52
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.65
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.69
Criminal Justice	60
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>ර</mark> ්ට 0.39
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.39
8.1 Effective investigations	
<ul> <li>8.1</li> <li>Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2</li> <li>Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3</li> </ul>	0.55
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No discrimination</li> </ul>	0.55
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No corruption</li> <li>8.6 </li> </ul>	0.55
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No corruption</li> </ul>	0.55 0.53 0.61 0.68

#### Brazil

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	Overall Score Regional Ra		nk	Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.50 17/32				23/41		80/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge					
(	0.01 •	3 •						
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>İ</b>	Constraints of Government		0.5	1	0.01	18/32	20/41	74/142
S	Absence of C	orruption	0.4	5	0.01	17/32	23/41	77/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.59	9	0.00	6/32	6/41	43/142
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.50	0	0.01	25/32	29/41	85/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.60	0	0.00	25/32	36/41	122/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.50	0	0.02	15/32	18/41	68/142
414	Civil Justice		0.5	1	0.01	17/32	21/41	77/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.3	3	0.00	20/32	32/41	113/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



Brazil Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1	0.63
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.57
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.41
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.28
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.53
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.66
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	å
2.1	0.41
In the executive branch	0.41
2.2	0.69
In the judiciary	0.07
2.3	0.60
In the police/military	
2.4	0.08
In the legislature	
Open Government	
	0.72
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.57
Right to information	
3.3 Civic participation	0.52
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.55
Complaint mechanisms	


Fundamental Rights	ţţ
4.1 No discrimination	0.46
4.2 Right to life and security	0.47
4.3 United and the second seco	0.35
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.53
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.53
4.6 Right to privacy	0.57
4.7 Freedom of association	0.60
4.8 Labor rights	0.48
Order and Security	G
5.1 Absence of crime	0.57
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.85
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.37
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.53
6.2 No improper influence	0.64
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.26
6.4 Respect for due process	0.45
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.62 ation

Civil Justice	4]4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.66
7.2 No discrimination	0.49
7.3 No corruption	0.64
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.50
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.35
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.62
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.31
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.25
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.19
8.4 No discrimination	0.10
8.5 No corruption	0.55
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.54
8.7 Due process of law	0.35

# Bulgaria


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	Overall Score Regional Rank		k I	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.56	30/31		15/4	1	59/2	L42
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	e				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.52	0.01	30/31	18/41	71/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.45	0.00	31/31	22/41	74/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.60	0.03*	28/31	5/41	40/142
ŤŤ	Fundamental	Rights	0.61	-0.01	30/31	14/41	55/142
Ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.78	0.00	29/31	11/41	52/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.53	0.00	30/31	14/41	59/142
474	Civil Justice		0.53	-0.01	30/31	17/41	69/142
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.45	0.00	31/31	18/41	71/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the							



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High 10 percent level

 Bulgaria
 EU, EFTA, and North America Upper-Middle



2.3		0.63
In the police/military		
2.4		0.19
In the legislature		

	Open Government		
3.1	Publicized laws and gov't	data	0.59
3.2	Right to information		0.55
3.3	Civic participation		0.61
3.4	Complaint mechanisms		0.65

Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1	0.58
No discrimination	
4.2	0.60
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.55
Due process of law	
4.4	0.60
Freedom of expression	
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.75
	0.42
4.6 Right to privacy	0.43
4.7	0.68
Freedom of association	0.00
4.8	0.66
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
	0.87
5.1 Absence of crime	0.87
5.1	0.87
5.1 Absence of crime	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	0.47
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.47
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.47
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.47
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.47
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	1.00 0.47 ().64 ().59
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress <b>Regulatory Enforcement</b> 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	1.00 0.47 ().64 ().59
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	1.00 0.47 0.64 0.59 0.53

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation


Civil Justice	414
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.60
7.2 No discrimination	0.58
7.3 No corruption	0.50
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.48
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.37
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.67
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.30
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.51
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.34
8.4 No discrimination	0.50
8.5 No corruption	0.47

0.46 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.55 8.7 Due process of law

### Burkina Faso

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Over	all Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
0	).46	12/34		4/1	6	98/	/142
Score	e Change	Rank Chang	ge				
-0	.01 -	-3 -					
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.49	-0.04*	12/34	5/16	84/142
š /	Absence of C	orruption	0.43	0.00	9/34	3/16	82/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.45	-0.02*	9/34	3/16	87/142
<b>††</b> ⊧	Fundamental	Rights	0.51	-0.02	12/34	5/16	82/142
	Order and Se	curity	0.43	0.00	32/34	14/16	138/142
F	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.47	0.00	11/34	3/16	85/142
4 <b>1</b> 4 o	Civil Justice		0.44	-0.02	20/34	6/16	111/142
රීට ර	Criminal Just	ice	0.48	-0.01	6/34	2/16	59/142
* Indicate 10 perce		significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



İİ

10 percent level

💻 Burkina Faso 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1	0.54
Limits by legislature	
	0.46
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.49
Independent auditing	
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.44
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.53
-	
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.48
Lawrul transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	_ 🔊
2.1	0.44
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.51
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.56
In the police/military	
2.4	0.23
In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.33
	0.47
3.2 Right to information	0.47
	0.55
3.3 Civic participation	0.52
	0.50
3.4	0.50

Complaint mechanisms

4.1 No discrimination	0.63
4.2 Right to life and security	0.42
4.3 Due process of law	0.47
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.53
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.61
4.6 Right to privacy	0.31
4.7 Freedom of association	0.55
4.8 Labor rights	0.54
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.69
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.17
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.43
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2 No improper influence	0.55
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4 Respect for due process	0.37
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.59 ition


**Fundamental Rights** 

	Civil Justice	4]4
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.33
7.2	No discrimination	0.47
7.3	No corruption	0.42
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.43
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.46
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.34
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60
	Criminal Justice	්ට
8.1		0.42
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.42 0.49
	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.49
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.49 0.40
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.49 0.40 0.54

### Cambodia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.


Ov	Overall Score Regional Rank Income Rank		Rank	Global Rank				
	0.31	15/15			38/3	38	141	/142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge					
	0.00	0						
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.2	5	-0.01	15/15	36/38	139/142
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.23	3	0.00	15/15	37/38	140/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.23	3	-0.01	15/15	38/38	142/142
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.3	3	0.00	13/15	33/38	131/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.6	6	0.00	14/15	22/38	100/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.2	6	0.00	15/15	38/38	141/142
474	Civil Justice		0.2	6	0.01	15/15	38/38	141/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.2	6	0.00	14/15	33/38	133/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



Cambodia — East Asia and Pacific — Lower-Middle



-	
2.1	0.26
2.2	0.13
In the judiciary	0.15
2.3	0.21
In the police/military	
2.4	0.32



Fundamental Rights	
	11
4.1	0.43
No discrimination	
4.2	0.30
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.29
Due process of law	
4.4	0.25
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.44
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.16
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.35
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.44
Labor rights	
Order and Security	۵
	2
5.1	0.81
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00

Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.17
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.25
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.24
No improper influence	
6.3	0.42
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.12


Effective correctional syst 0.17 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence ement 0.24 8.7 Due process of law

 Respect for due process
 0.28

 6.5
 0.28

 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

	Civil Justice	474
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.35
7.2	No discrimination	0.20
7.3	No corruption	0.11
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.15
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.24
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.47




#### ameroon

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income	Rank	Global Rank		
	0.36 32/34			33/3	38	133/142		
Score Change		Rank Chan	ge					
	0.00	1 •						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
Â	Constraints o Government		0.37	0.00	25/34	25/38	115/142	
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.25	0.00	32/34	35/38	137/142	
	Open Govern	ment	0.34	0.02	27/34	29/38	125/142	
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.36	0.00	30/34	31/38	127/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.48	0.00	30/34	35/38	135/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.41	0.00	24/34	26/38	121/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.42	0.02	23/34	26/38	114/142	
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.23	-0.01	34/34	36/38	138/142	
	ates statistically	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	Medium	High	



10 percent level

🗕 Cameroon 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.40
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.30
1.3 Independent auditing	0.43
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.35
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.38
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.26
2.2 In the judiciary	0.31
2.3 In the police/military	0.24
2.4 In the legislature	0.19
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.21
3.2 Right to information	0.35
3.3 Civic participation	0.40
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.41

Fundamental Rights	_ ††
4.1 No discrimination	0.45
4.2 Right to life and security	0.16
4.3 Due process of law	0.29
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.35
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.58
4.6 Right to privacy	0.07
4.7 Freedom of association	0.45
4.8 Labor rights	0.48
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.59
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.54
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.30
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.43
6.2 A second sec	0.44
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.29
6.4 Respect for due process	0.42
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.45 ation


Civil Justice	_4 <b>T</b> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.53
7.2 No discrimination	0.48
7.3 No corruption	0.33
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.24
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.43
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.53
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice         8.1         Effective investigations	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.27
8.1	0.27
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.27
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 </li> </ul>	0.27
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 1000</li> </ul>	0.27

### Canada

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Ra	nk I	ncome R	Rank	Global	Rank	
0.80	10/31		12/4	7	12/1	142	
Score Change	Rank Chang	ge					
0.00	0						
		Factor	Score	Regional	Income	Global	
Construints		Score	Change	Rank	Rank	Rank	
Constraints Government		0.82	0.00	9/31	10/47	10/142	
<b>Absence of </b>	Corruption	0.82	0.00	10/31	14/47	14/142	
Open Gover	nment	0.80	0.00	10/31	12/47	12/142	
Fundamenta	Dights	0.82	0.00	12/31	13/47	13/142	
	ii Kigiits	0.02	0.00	12/31	13/47	13/142	
Order and So	ecurity	0.90	0.00	12/31	16/47	16/142	
Regulatory I	Enforcement	0.81	-0.01	9/31	12/47	12/142	
Civil Justice		0.68	-0.01	16/31	25/47	25/142	
- do Criminal Jus	tice	0.74	0.00	10/31	13/47	13/142	
Ŭ							
* Indicates statistical 10 percent level	y significant change	e at the	Low	Me	edium 🗌	High	
Canada	EU, EFTA, and No	orth Ameri	ca 💻	High			
Constraints on	Government	owers	÷	Fu	Indomer	ntal Righ	
	Government	owers	🏛	FU	inuanici		
1.1 Limits by legisla	ture		0.70	4.4		0	
1.2			0.78	4.1 No	o discrim		
			0.78	No 4.2		ination	
Limits by judicia			0.84	4.2 Rij		ination	
	ry			4.2 Ri 4.3		ination e and secur	
Limits by judicia 1.3 Independent au 1.4	ry diting		0.84	4.2 4.2 4.3 Du 4.4	ght to life	ination e and secur ss of law	
Limits by judicia	ry diting		0.84	4.2 4.2 4.3 Du 4.4	ght to life	ination e and secu ss of law	
Limits by judicia 1.3 Independent au 1.4 Sanctions for off	ry diting ficial misconduct		0.84 0.76 0.76 0.85	4.2 4.3 4.3 01 4.4 5 Fr 4.5 Fr	ght to life ue proces eedom o	ination e and secur	
Limits by judicia 1.3 Independent au 1.4 Sanctions for off 1.5	ry diting ficial misconduct ital checks		0.84	4.2 4.3 4.3 4.4 4.4 Fr 4.5 Fr 4.5	ght to life ue proces eedom o	ination e and secur ss of law f expressio f religion	
Limits by judicia 1.3 Independent au 1.4 Sanctions for off 1.5 Non-governmer 1.6	ry diting ficial misconduct ital checks		0.84 0.76 0.76 0.85	No. 4.2 4.3 4.3 4.4 4.5 Fr 4.5 Fr 4.6 Ri 1 4.7	ght to life ue proces eedom o eedom o ght to pr	ination e and secur ss of law f expression f religion	





4.1	0.62
No discrimination	
4.2	0.95
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.77
Due process of law	
4.4	0.85
Freedom of expression	
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.83
4.6	0.01
4.0 Right to privacy	0.91
4.7	0.88
Freedom of association	0.00
4.8	0.73
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.4	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.90
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.80
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	È
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.77
6.2	0.95
No improper influence	0.75
6.3	0.64
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.89
Respect for due process	
6.5	
	0.78
No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	

7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.56
Accessibility and anordability	
7.2 No discrimination	0.51
7.3 No corruption	0.89
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7.4	0.88
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.44
No unreasonable delay	0.44
7.6	0.70
Effective enforcement	017 0
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.76
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	80
	Ŭ
8.1	0.69
Effective investigations	
8.2	0.68
Timely and effective adjudication	0.00
8.3	0.68
Effective correctional system	
8.4	0.54
No discrimination	
8.5	0.85
	0.05
No corruption	
8.6	0.94
No improper gov't influence	
8.7	0.77
Due process of law	
·	

### Chile

Absence of Corruption


Fundamental Rights

Open Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Rar	nk I	ncome R	ank	Global Rank		
	0.66	4/32		35/4	7	36/1	L <b>42</b>	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	je					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4
	0.00	-3 •						84 83 82
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1 7.7
	Constraints o Government		0.71	0.00	3/32	23/47	24/142	7.6 NN 5
s	Absence of Co	orruption	0.69	0.00	3/32	29/47	29/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Govern	ment	0.70	0.00	2/32	24/47	24/142	Justice 7.3
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.72	-0.01	4/32	31/47	32/142	7.1 7/1 12
•	Order and Se	curity	0.67	0.00	16/32	45/47	97/142	6.5
	Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.63	-0.01	3/32	31/47	32/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 5.3 5.3 5.4 5.4 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5
474	Civil Justice		0.60	-0.02	9/32	36/47	47/142	Order and Security
්ර	Criminal Justi	ice	0.54	0.00	9/32	38/47	48/142	Security
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High	— 2024 Score — 2023 Score

----- Chile ----- Latin America and Caribbean ----- High



Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 No discrimination	0.55
4.2 Right to life and security	0.81
4.3 Due process of law	0.62
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.72
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.76
4.6 Right to privacy	0.83
4.7 Freedom of association	0.74
4.8 Labor rights	0.72
Order and Security	<b>F</b>
5.1 Absence of crime	0.74
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.27
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.60
6.2 No improper influence	0.76
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.51
6.4 Respect for due process	0.53
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.76


Civil Justice	474
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.65
7.2 No discrimination	0.62
7.3 No corruption	0.62
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.69
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.41
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.55
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.68
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.35
8.1	0.35 0.55
8.1 Effective investigations	
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Control of the second s</li></ul>	0.55
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 </li> </ul>	0.55
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.55

## China

Constraints on


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional Ra		ank	nk Income Rank			Global Rank		
0.47		12/15		31/4	41	95/142		
Sco	ore Change	Rank Char	ige					
	0.01 •	2 •						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.32	0.00	13/15	36/41	132/142	
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.54	0.01	8/15	10/41	54/142	
	Open Government		0.41	0.01	13/15	36/41	105/142	
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.25	0.00	14/15	41/41	139/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.81	-0.01	7/15	5/41	40/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.50	0.01	9/15	19/41	69/142	
4]4	Civil Justice		0.52	0.01	9/15	18/41	70/142	
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.45	0.01	10/15	19/41	72/142	
	cates statistically	significant chang	ge at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	



İİ


10 percent level



4.1	
No discrimination	0.46
4.2 Right to life and security	0.26
4.3 Due process of law	0.46
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.11
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.18
4.6 Right to privacy	0.10
4.7 Freedom of association	0.11
4.8 Labor rights	0.32
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.80
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.62
Regulatory Enforcement	È
	🗄
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.52
Effective regulatory enforcement	0.52
Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.52

**Fundamental Rights** 


Civil Justice	1
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.68
7.2 No discrimination	0.42
7.3	0.43
7.4	0.20
No improper gov't influence	0.72
No unreasonable delay 7.6	0.55
Effective enforcement	0.66
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	ŝħ



### Colombia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
0.48	22/32		29/4	41	91/	142
Score Change	Rank Chan	ge				
0.00	3 •					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints of Government F		0.50	0.00	21/32	23/41	82/142
<b>Absence of Co</b>	orruption	0.39	0.01	21/32	31/41	100/142
Open Govern	ment	0.62	0.01	4/32	2/41	35/142
Fundamental	Rights	0.51	0.01	23/32	27/41	83/142
Order and Sec	curity	0.53	-0.03	29/32	39/41	130/142
Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.52	0.00	12/32	15/41	62/142
Civil Justice		0.46	-0.01	21/32	31/41	99/142
්රී Criminal Justi	ice	0.32	0.00	21/32	33/41	114/142
* Indicates statistically 10 percent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



🗕 Colombia 🛑 Latin America and Caribbean 💶 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Â
1.1	0.57
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.48
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.47
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.37
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.51
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.58
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1	0.38
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.59
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.52
In the police/military	
2.4	0.10
In the legislature	
Open Government	•
3.1	0.66
Publicized laws and gov't data	0.00
3.2	0.62
Right to information	0.02
3.3	0.53
Civic participation	
3.4	0.66
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 No discrimination	0.46
4.2 Right to life and security	0.53
4.3 Due process of law	0.38
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.51
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6 Right to privacy	0.51
4.7 Freedom of association	0.58
4.8 Labor rights	0.45
Order and Security	-
5.1 Absence of crime	0.47
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.84
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.28
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2 No improper influence	0.63
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.34
6.4 Respect for due process	0.47
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.63 sation


Civil Justice	474
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.58
7.2 No discrimination	0.43
7.3 No corruption	0.51
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.49
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.18
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.37
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.66
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.21
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.30
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.18
8.4 No discrimination	0.31
8.5 No corruption	0.43
8.6	0.44

No improper gov't i	nfluence	
8.7		0.38
Due process of law		

# Congo, Dem. Rep.

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.34	34/34		15/:	16	136	/142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ige				
	0.00	2 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.39	-0.01	22/34	10/16	112/142
Ġ	Absence of C	orruption	0.17	0.00	34/34	16/16	142/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.34	0.00	28/34	12/16	127/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.40	-0.01	25/34	11/16	120/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.47	0.02	31/34	13/16	136/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.35	0.00	32/34	15/16	137/142
474	Civil Justice		0.35	-0.01	33/34	14/16	133/142
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.28	0.00	32/34	15/16	129/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant chang	ge at the	Lov	v N	Medium	High



10 percent level

🗕 Congo, Dem. Rep. 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.49
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.38
1.3 Independent auditing	0.38
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.37
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.38
Absence of Corruption	š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.23
2.2 In the judiciary	0.17
2.3 In the police/military	0.19

	In the police,	/military	
2.4			0.08
	In the legisla	ture	

	Open Government	
3.1		0.16
	Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2		0.34
	Right to information	



Fundamental Rights	İÌ
4.1	0.50
No discrimination 4.2	0.19
Right to life and security	0117
4.3 Due process of law	0.32
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.37
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.62
4.6 Right to privacy	0.19
4.7 Freedom of association	0.49
4.8 Labor rights	0.48
Order and Security	G
5.1	0.50
5.1 Absence of crime	
5.1	0.50 0.56
5.1 Absence of crime	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.56
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.56
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.56
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.56 0.33 1111 0.33
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 Absence	0.56 0.33 0.33 0.33

				0.27
No expropri	ation w/o	out adequate	compensa	tion

	Civil Justice	414
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.42
7.2	No discrimination	0.41
7.3	No corruption	0.16
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.23
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.40
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.35
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.51
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1	Effective investigations	0.25
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.37
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.15

 8.4
 0.43

 No discrimination
 0.20

 8.5
 0.20

 No corruption
 0.24

 8.6
 0.24

 No improper gov't influence
 0.32

8.7 Due process of law

# Congo, Rep.

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.40	24/34		26/3	38	121	/142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	re Change	Rank Char	ige		1			Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
	0.00	1 •						8.4 1.5 1.6
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 8.1 0,5 2.1 2.1 Absence of 2.2 Corruption 2.3
	Constraints o Government		0.36	0.00	29/34	29/38	123/142	7.6 2.4
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.30	0.01	26/34	29/38	126/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 Open
	Open Govern	iment	0.33	0.00	30/34	31/38	130/142	Justice 73 72 72 72
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.39	0.00	26/34	27/38	121/142	7.1 4.1
F	Order and Se	curity	0.63	0.01	20/34	29/38	113/142	6.5 6.4 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.43	0.00	19/34	21/38	110/142	Regulatory 6.1 5.3 5.2 5.1 4.8 4.7 Fundamental
4 <b>1</b> 4	Civil Justice		0.44	0.00	17/34	21/38	105/142	Order and Security
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.33	0.00	24/34	25/38	110/142	occarty
* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level Low Medium High								
	Congo, Rep. — Sub-Saharan Africa — Lower-Middle							

**Constraints on Government Powers** 1.1 0.38 Limits by legislature 1.2 0.33 Limits by judiciary Independent auditing 1.3 0.33 1.4 0.35 Sanctions for official misconduct 1.5 0.28 Non-governmental checks 1.6 0.46 Lawful transition of power **Absence of Corruption** ġ 2.1 0.31 In the executive branch 0.42 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 0.30 In the police/military 2.4 In the legislature 0.19 **Open Government** · 3.1 0.16 Publicized laws and gov't data 3.2 0.38 Right to information 3.3 0.35 Civic participation 0.44 3.4 Complaint mechanisms

Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1	0.49
No discrimination	0.00
4.2 Right to life and security	0.28
4.3	0.39
Due process of law	0.28
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.28
4.5	0.68
Freedom of religion 4.6	0.17
Right to privacy	0.17
4.7	0.43
Freedom of association	0.44
Labor rights	0.44
	-
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.55
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.33
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.39
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.48
6.3	0.39
No unreasonable delay	
6.4 Respect for due process	0.45
6.5	0.44
No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	tion

Civil Justice	<u>4</u> 74
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.43
7.2 No discrimination	0.45
7.3 No corruption	0.29
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.41
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.53
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.45
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.52
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.22
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.37
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.28
8.4 No discrimination	0.46
8.5 No corruption	0.31
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.29
8.7 Due process of law	0.39

### Costa Rica

Constraints on

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ran	ık I	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank
	0.68	2/32		1/41	L	29/1	L42
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	e				
-	0.01 -	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.76	-0.01	2/32	1/41	18/142
Š	Absence of Co	orruption	0.63	-0.01	8/32	4/41	43/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.69	-0.01	3/32	1/41	27/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.77	-0.01	2/32	1/41	21/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.69	0.00	14/32	26/41	86/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.68	0.00	2/32	1/41	27/142
474	Civil Justice		0.60	0.00	7/32	8/41	45/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.57	-0.01	6/32	2/41	38/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							

Government Powers Criminal Justice Absence of Corruption 0.5 Civil Open Justice Government Regulatory Fundamental Rights Enforcement Order and Security 2024 Score \_\_\_\_\_ 2023 Score


**Civil Justice** 

Accessibility and affordability

7.1

10 percent level

Costa Rica	Latin America and Caribbean	



Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1	0.62
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.76
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.74
In the police/military	

In the police	e/military		
2.4			0.41
In the legisla	ature		

	Open Government		
3.1			0.48
	Publicized laws and go	v't data	
3.2			0.69
	Right to information		
3.3			0.77
	Civic participation		

0.80

52 76 74

6.5

Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.70
6.2 No improper influence	0.76
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.48
6.4 Respect for due process	0.70

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 7.2 0.71 No discrimination 7.3 0.68 No corruption 7.4 0.71 No improper gov't influence 7.5 0.25 No unreasonable delay 044 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.75 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs **Criminal Justice** 80 0.43 8.1 Effective investigations 0.43 Timely and effective adjudication 0.40 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.70 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 0.66 No corruption 8.6 0.67 No improper gov't influence

44

0.69

0.72

8.7 Due process of law

0.79

Upper-Middle	
Fundamental Rights	ţţ
4.1 No discrimination	0.69
4.2 Right to life and security	0.88
4.3 Due process of law	0.72
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.81
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.81
4.6 Right to privacy	0.81
4.7 Freedom of association	0.83
4.8 A Contraction association and the contraction of the contraction o	0.63
Order and Security	۵
5.1 Absence of crime	0.71
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.37
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.70
6.2 <b></b>	0.76

3.4

Complaint mechanisms

## Côte d'Ivoire

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
0.45	15/34	ł	20/3	38	104	/142
Score Change	Rank Char	nge		L.		
0.00	2 •					
		Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Po		0.39	0.01	23/34	24/38	113/142
Absence of Cor	rruption	0.35	0.00	18/34	22/38	110/142
Open Governm	nent	0.36	0.00	25/34	28/38	123/142
Fundamental R	lights	0.45	0.00	19/34	17/38	106/142
Order and Secu	urity	0.67	0.00	16/34	20/38	96/142
Regulatory Enf	orcement	0.52	0.00	7/34	4/38	61/142
Civil Justice		0.51	0.00	9/34	9/38	75/142
්රී Criminal Justice	e	0.36	0.00	17/34	20/38	99/142
* Indicates statistically si 10 percent level	ignificant chan	ge at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High

Côte d'Ivoire — Sub-Saharan Africa — Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.41
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.36
1.3 Independent auditing	0.45
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.38
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.32
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.40
Absence of Corruption	š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.34
2.2 In the judiciary	0.37
2.3 In the police/military	0.43
2.4 In the legislature	0.25
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.21
3.2 Right to information	0.44
3.3 Civic participation	0.42
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.36


Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1 No discrimination	0.61
4.2 Right to life and security	0.33
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.32
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6 Right to privacy	0.13
4.7 Freedom of association	0.52
4.8 Labor rights	0.60
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.67
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.34
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2 No improper influence	0.53
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.48
6.4 Respect for due process	0.53
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.55 ation

Civil Justice	4 <u>T</u> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.52
7.2 No discrimination	0.59
7.3 No corruption	0.36
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.29
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.55
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.59
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.67
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.35
8.1	0.35
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.49
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 </li> </ul>	0.49
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.49

### Croatia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income R	lank	Global	Rank	
	0.61	28/31		40/4	7	45/:	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	e					Criminal         8.6         8.7         1.1         1.2         1.3           Justice         8.5         1.4         1.4         1.4
	0.00	0						8.4 8.3 1.5 1.6
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 8.1 7.7 8.1 7.7 8.1 7.7 8.1 7.7 8.1 8.1 7.7 8.1 7.7 8.1 8.1 7.7 8.1 7.7 8.1 7.7 8.1 7.7 8.1 7.7 8.1 7.7 8.1 7.7 8.1 7.7 8.1 7.7 8.1 8.1 7.7 8.1 8.1 7.7 8.1 8.1 7.7 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.57	-0.01	29/31	41/47	57/142	7.6
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.57	0.00	26/31	40/47	51/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 Open
	Open Govern	ment	0.60	-0.01	29/31	36/47	41/142	Justice 7.3 Government 3.4 Government
ŤŤ	Fundamental	Rights	0.68	0.00	26/31	35/47	38/142	7.1 3.4 4.1
G	Order and Se	curity	0.84	0.00	21/31	29/47	32/142	6.5 6.4 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.56	0.00	29/31	42/47	52/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 5.3 5.0 4.0 4.5 Fundamental
414	Civil Justice		0.56	0.00	28/31	42/47	55/142	Order and Security
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.51	0.00	28/31	41/47	53/142	Security
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	at the	Low	М	edium	High	— 2024 Score — 2023 Score
	Croatia 🗾	EU, EFTA, and No	rth Amer	ica	High			



Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 No discrimination	0.62
4.2 Right to life and security	0.79
4.3 Due process of law	0.66
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.68
4.6 Right to privacy	0.63
4.7 Freedom of association	0.73
4.8 Labor rights	0.71
Order and Security	۵
5.1	0.94
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.57
Regulatory Enforcement	Ì
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.60
6.2 No improper influence	0.66
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.44
6.4 Respect for due process	0.49
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.60 ation


	Civil Justice	414
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.68
7.2	No discrimination	0.70
7.3	No corruption	0.56
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.54
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.71
	Criminal Justice	80
8.1		<mark>ර</mark> ්ට 0.47
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.47 0.47
	Effective investigations	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.47
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.47 0.44
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.47 0.44 0.48
8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence	0.47 0.44 0.48 0.58

### prus

Constraints on


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rar	nk I	ncome R	lank	Global Rank		
0.67		23/31		30/4	7	31/142		
Scor	e Change	Rank Chang	e					
-(	).01 <del>•</del>	0						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.67	0.00	22/31	29/47	30/142	
å	Absence of Co	orruption	0.64	-0.01	25/31	37/47	41/142	
	Open Government			-0.01	30/31	37/47	42/142	
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.72	0.00	22/31	28/47	29/142	
6	Order and Se	curity	0.80	-0.02	26/31	35/47	44/142	
	Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.65	-0.01	20/31	28/47	29/142	
414	Civil Justice		0.62	0.00	22/31	32/47	36/142	
ðð	Criminal Justi	ce	0.67	-0.01	17/31	24/47	24/142	
	tes statistically ent level	significant change	at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High	



10 percent level

Cyprus EU, EFTA, and North America High



Complaint mechanisms

Fundamental Rights	_††
4.1 No discrimination	0.63
4.2 Right to life and security	0.83
4.3 Due process of law	0.70
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6 Right to privacy	0.81
4.7 Freedom of association	0.74
4.8 Labor rights	0.61
Order and Security	f
Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime	0.81
5.1	0.81
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.96
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.96
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.96
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 Absence of violent redress	0.96
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	0.96 0.62 0.63 0.70

		0.74
No expropriation w/out adequat	e compensa	tion


Civil Justice	_4 <b>T</b> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.70
7.2 No discrimination	0.63
7.3 No corruption	0.69
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.75
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.31
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.57
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.69
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.71
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.65
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.66
8.4 No discrimination	0.59
8.5 No corruption	0.64
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.77
8.7 Due process of law	0.70

### Czechia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Rar	nk I	Income F	lank	Global	Rank	
	0.74	15/31		20/4	7	20/3	142	Constraints on Government
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change	e					Powers           Criminal         8.6         8.7         1.1         1.2         1.3           Justice         8.5         1.4         1.4
	0.01 -	0						8.4
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 0.5
Ŵ	Constraints of Government		0.75	0.01	15/31	18/47	19/142	7.7 7.6 2.3 2.4
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.68	0.02	21/31	30/47	31/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 Open
	Open Govern	iment	0.72	0.03	17/31	21/47	21/142	Justice 7.3 7.2
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.79	0.01	15/31	17/47	17/142	7.1 4.1
G	Order and Se	curity	0.89	0.00	15/31	19/47	20/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.72	0.00	18/31	24/47	24/142	Regulatory 6.1 5.3 co to 4.7 4.6 Fundamental
4]4	Civil Justice		0.68	-0.01	15/31	24/47	24/142	Order and Rights
ර්ට	Criminal Just	ice	0.71	0.01	12/31	17/47	17/142	Security
	cates statistically rcent level	v significant change	at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High	— 2024 Score — 2023 Score





3.1	0.70
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.69
Right to information	
3.3	0.73
Civic participation	
3.4	0.74
Complaint mechanisms	

	Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1	No discrimination	0.71
4.2	Right to life and security	0.93
4.3	Due process of law	0.80
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.73
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.81
4.6		0.76
4.7		0.79
4.8		0.75
	Order and Security	C
5.1		0.89
	Absence of crime	
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.77
	Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.72
6.2		0.87
6.3	· · ·	0.64
6.4		0.64
6.5		0.72
	No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	tion

	Civil Justice	414
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.68
7.3	No corruption	0.78
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.76
7.5		0.49
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.61
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.79
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1	Effective investigations	0.61
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.69
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.61
8.4	No discrimination	0.68
8.5	No corruption	0.75
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.83
8.7	Due process of law	0.80

### Denmark

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	Overall Score Regional Rank		In	Income Rank			Global Rank	
	0.90	1/31		1/47		1/142		
Sco	Score Change Rank Change							
	0.00 0							
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.94	-0.01	1/31	1/47	1/142	
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.95	-0.01	1/31	1/47	1/142	
	Open Government		0.86	-0.01	3/31	3/47	3/142	
tt	Fundamental Rights		0.92	-0.01	1/31	1/47	1/142	
G	Order and Se	curity	0.93	0.00	2/31	3/47	3/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.89	0.01	1/31	1/47	1/142	
4]4	Civil Justice		0.87	0.01	1/31	1/47	1/142	
්ර	Criminal Just	ice	0.84	0.01	1/31	1/47	1/142	
	ates statistically rcent level	significant change at	the	Low	Me	dium	High	

Constraints on Downs

10 percent level

Denmark — EU, EFTA, and North America — High

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1	0.91
Limits by legislature	
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.96
1.3	0.96
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.93
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.93
1.6	0.97
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	<u>š</u>
2.1	0.93
In the executive branch	_
2.2 In the judiciary	0.99
2.3	0.97
In the police/military	0.77
2.4	0.90
In the legislature	
Or an Communit	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.86
3.2	0.81
Right to information	0.01
3.3	0.90
Civic participation	
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.85
Complaint mechanisms	

	Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1	No discrimination	0.84
4.2		0.98
4.3	Right to life and security	0.90
4.5	Due process of law	0.70
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.93
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.84
4.6		0.96
	Right to privacy	
4.7	Freedom of association	0.93
4.8	Labor rights	0.93
	Labor rights	
	Order and Security	6
5.1	a de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constan	0.95
5.2	Absence of crime	1.00
J.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.84
	Absence of violent real ess	
	Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1		0.85
6.2	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.96
0.2	No improper influence	0.70
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.87
6.4		0.92
	Respect for due process	
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	0.87
	no expropriation w/out adequate compensa	


Civil Justice	
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.79
7.2 No discrimination	0.87
7.3 No corruption	0.99
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.92
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.69
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.94
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.87
Criminal Justice	á D
	$\sim$
8.1 Fffective investigations	0.67
Effective investigations 8.2	0.67
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4	0.75
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5	0.75
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6	0.75 0.78 0.81
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption	0.75 0.78 0.81 0.98

### Dominica

Constraints on


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional Rank		k I	Income Rank			Global Rank	
	0.58	11/32		9/41	L	53/1	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	÷				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.53	0.00	16/32	17/41	70/142
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.61	0.01	11/32	6/41	46/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.49	0.00	19/32	23/41	72/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.63	0.01	13/32	11/41	51/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.72	-0.01	8/32	21/41	72/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.53	0.00	11/32	12/41	57/142
474	Civil Justice		0.56	-0.01	12/32	12/41	58/142
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.55	-0.01	7/32	5/41	44/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



10 percent level

Dominica Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle



In the executive bran	ch	
2.2		0.86
In the judiciary		
2.3		0.75
In the police/military		
2.4		0.30
In the legislature		

111110108,010		
Open Gover	rnment	
3.1 Publicized la	ws and gov't data	0.39
3.2 Right to infor	rmation	0.50
3.3		0.55

0.51

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

Fundamental Rights	ŧŧ
4.1	0.60
No discrimination	
4.2	0.79
Right to life and security	
4.3 Due process of law	0.61
4.4	0.51
Freedom of expression	0.01
4.5	0.74
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.57
Right to privacy	0.59
4.7 Freedom of association	0.59
4.8	0.60
Labor rights	
Order and Security	_
5.1	0.81
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
	0.34
Absence of civil conflict	
Absence of civil conflict	
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.34
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	0.34
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.34
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	0.34
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.34
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.34 
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.34
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.34 0.40 0.72 0.45 0.54

Civil Justice	_4]4
7.1	0.73
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2 No discrimination	0.59
7.3	0.73
No corruption	
7.4	0.73
No improper gov't influence	
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.24
	0.00
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.22
7.7	0.66
Impartial and effective ADRs	0.00
Criminal Justice	60
8.1	0.50
Effective investigations	
8.2	0.47
Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3	0.36
Effective correctional system	
8.4 No discrimination	0.61
	0.70
8.5 No corruption	0.70
8.6	0.61
No improper gov't influence	

0.61


8.7

3.4

# Dominican Republic

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.49	20/32		26/41		86/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ige				
	0.01 •	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Â	Constraints o Government		0.51	0.00	19/32	21/41	77/142
Š	Absence of Co	orruption	0.41	0.01	19/32	30/41	96/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.57	0.02	8/32	11/41	49/142
ŤŤ	Fundamental	Rights	0.58	0.00	17/32	19/41	64/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.64	0.00	19/32	30/41	106/142
Ē	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.43	0.01	24/32	34/41	105/142
474	Civil Justice		0.44	0.00	23/32	34/41	110/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.37	0.00	17/32	29/41	93/142
	ates statistically	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



10 percent level

Dominican Republic — Latin America and Caribbean — Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.59
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.45
1.3 Independent auditing	0.36
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.35
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.67
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.63
Absence of Corruption	š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.43
2.2 In the judiciary	0.51
2.3 In the police/military	0.47
2.4 In the legislature	0.21
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.37
3.2 Right to information	0.62
3.3 Civic participation	0.61
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.66


Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 No discrimination	0.51
4.2 Right to life and security	0.62
4.3 Due process of law	0.40
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.67
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6 Right to privacy	0.49
4.7 Freedom of association	0.71
4.8 Labor rights	0.58
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.64
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.29
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2 No improper influence	0.63
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4 Respect for due process	0.24
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.48 ation

Civil Justice	414
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.49
7.2 No discrimination	0.59
7.3 No corruption	0.47
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.37
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.23
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.37
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.55
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.33
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.39
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.24
8.4 No discrimination	0.43
8.5 No corruption	0.46
8.6	0.37

## Ecuador


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	Regional Rank		Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.46	23/32		32/4	11	97/	′142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge					
-	0.01 -	-1 •						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.51	0.02	20/32	22/41	78/142	
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.36	-0.01	22/32	34/41	108/142	Civil 7
	Open Govern	iment	0.52	0.00	17/32	19/41	64/142	Justice
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.53	0.00	20/32	24/41	75/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.57	-0.02	28/32	38/41	126/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.45	-0.01	22/32	30/41	97/142	Re En
474	Civil Justice		0.44	-0.02	22/32	33/41	108/142	LII
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.31	-0.02	22/32	34/41	118/142	
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	
—	Ecuador	Latin America ar	nd Caribl	bean	Upper-№	liddle		



Fundamental Rights	_ ††
4.1 No discrimination	0.38
4.2 Right to life and security	0.56
4.3 Due process of law	0.45
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.55
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.65
4.6 Right to privacy	0.46
4.7 Freedom of association	0.64
4.8 Labor rights	0.54
	-
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.42
5.1	0.42
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.29
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00 0.29
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.29
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 Absence	<ul> <li>1.00</li> <li>0.29</li> <li>0.52</li> <li>0.50</li> </ul>

de la contra li Di alche



\*\*

Civil Justice	44
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.52
7.2 No discrimination	0.34
7.3 No corruption	0.37
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.43
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.34
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.42
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65
Criminal Justice	්ර්
8.1 Effective investigations	0.19

	0-
8.1	0.19
Effective investigations	
8.2	0.33
Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3	0.15
Effective correctional system	
8.4	0.31
No discrimination	
8.5	0.36
No corruption	
8.6	0.39
No improper gov't influence	
8.7	0.45
Due process of law	

Complaint mechanisms

# Egypt, Arab Rep.

Regional Rank

Overall Score

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Low Medium High

4.1

Lower-Middle


**Fundamental Rights** 

No discrimination

Global Rank

Income Rank

0	erall Score	all Score Regional Rank		Income	капк	Global Rank		
	0.35	9/9		34/3	38	135/142		
Score Change		Rank Chan	ank Change					
	0.00	1 •						
			Facto Scor		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
Â	Constraints o Government		0.24	4 -0.01	9/9	37/38	140/142	
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.37	7 -0.01	8/9	20/38	106/142	
	Open Govern	iment	0.23	3 0.00	9/9	37/38	141/142	
ŤŤ	Fundamental	Rights	0.24	4 0.00	8/9	36/38	140/142	
F	Order and Se	curity	0.65	5 0.03	8/9	24/38	102/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.3	5 -0.01	9/9	34/38	134/142	
4 <b>1</b> 2	Civil Justice		0.38	3 0.01	9/9	32/38	130/142	
්ට	Criminal Just	ice	0.34	4 0.01	8/9	24/38	109/142	



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Egypt, Arab Rep.
 Middle East and North Africa

Constraints on Government Powers ó

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.09
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.31
1.3 Independent auditing	0.26
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.38
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.07
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.31
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.36
2.2 In the judiciary	0.48
2.3 In the police/military	0.40
2.4	0.27

2.4 In the legislature	0.27
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't	0.31 : data
3.2 Right to information	0.12
3.3 Civic participation	0.17
3.4	0.33

Complaint mechanisms

4.2 Right to life and security 4.3 Due process of law 4.4 Freedom of expression 4.5 Freedom of religion 4.6 Right to privacy 4.7 Freedom of association 4.8 Labor rights **Order and Security** 5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress **Regulatory Enforcement** 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence



ŧŧ

0.44

0.17

0.30

0.07

0.24

0.10

0.21

0.37


6

0.30 6.4 Respect for due process 0.40 6.5 

6.3


No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	4 <u>1</u> 2
7.1	0.45
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.30
No discrimination	
7.3	0.48
No corruption	
7.4	0.36
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.31
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.29
Effective enforcement	
	0.50
Impartial and effective ADRs	
	8a.
Criminal Justice	d <sup>O</sup>
81	0.42



# El Salvador

						10.00			Constraints	on	
0.43	26/32	2	36/4	1	111	/142			Governme Powers	ent	
icore Change	Rank Chan	nge						iminal Istice 8.4	8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	1.5	
-0.01 •	-3 •							8.3 8.2		1.6	
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	7.7	8.1	0.5	,	ence of ruption
Constraints Governmen		0.36	-0.04*	28/32	34/41	120/142	7.6		MZ	2.4	
Absence of	Corruption	0.35	0.00	23/32	35/41	113/142	7.5 Civil <sup>7.4</sup>		2ª S	3.1	Ор
Open Gover	nment	0.43	-0.03*	28/32	34/41	100/142	Justice 7.3			3.3	Goverr
Fundamenta	l Rights	0.41	-0.04*	30/32	36/41	117/142	7.1		SN	4.1	
Order and S	ecurity	0.71	0.05	10/32	24/41	78/142		6.4		4.2	
Regulatory	Enforcement	0.48	0.00	19/32	21/41	80/142	Regula Enforce		6.1 4.6	4.4 4.5 <b>Fundamenta</b>	I
Civil Justice		0.49	-0.01	19/32	26/41	85/142	2		<sup>5.3</sup> 5.2 5.1 4.8 <sup>4.7</sup> Order and Security	Rights	
Criminal Jus	tice	0.22	-0.03	30/32	40/41	140/142			occurry		
	Latin Americ			Upper	r-Middle	High     High ental Rights		ŤŤ	Civil Justice		1
Constraints or	Government		÷	Upper	r-Middle Fundame	ental Rights	1	<b>††</b> 0.52	7.1	ability	0.59
Constraints or .imits by legisla	Government			Upper 4.1	r-Middle F <b>undam</b> No discrii	ental Rights	11		7.1 Accessibility and afforda 7.2	ability	
Constraints or imits by legisla imits by judicia	Government ture ry		0.42	Upper 4.1 4.2 R 4.3	r-Middle Fundame No discrir Right to li	ental Rights mination ife and securi	11	0.52	<ul> <li>7.1</li> <li>Accessibility and afforda</li> <li>7.2</li> <li>No discrimination</li> <li>7.3</li> </ul>	ability	0.59
Constraints or .imits by legisla .imits by judicia ndependent au	Government	Powers	0.42	Upper 4.1 4.2 R 4.3 C 4.4	r-Middle Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proc	ental Rights mination ife and securi ess of law	ty	0.52	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and afforda</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No corruption</li> </ul>		0.59
Constraints or Limits by legisla Limits by judicia Independent au Ganctions for of	Government	Powers	0.42 0.34 0.24	Upper 4.1 4.2 6 4.3 6 4.4 7 4.4 7 4.5	r-Middle Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proce	ental Rights mination ife and securi ess of law of expression	ty	0.52	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and afforda</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influe</li> <li>7.5 1</li> </ul>		0.59
Constraints or imits by legisla imits by judicia ndependent au Ganctions for of	Government	Powers	0.42 0.34 0.24 0.28	Upper 4.1 4.2 6 4.3 6 4.4 7 4.4 7 4.5	r-Middle Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proce	ental Rights mination ife and securi ess of law	ty	0.52 0.32 0.24 0.43	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and afforda</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influe</li> </ul>		0.59 0.64 0.50 0.30
Constraints or imits by legisla imits by judicia ndependent au Sanctions for of Non-governmen	Government	Powers	0.42 0.34 0.24 0.28 0.43	Upper 4.1 4.2 8 4.3 1 4.4 5 4.4 7 5 7 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	r-Middle Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proce	ental Rights mination ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion	<pre>     I  </pre>	0.52 0.32 0.24 0.43 0.54	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and afforda</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influe</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay</li> </ul>		0.59 0.64 0.50 0.30 0.35
Constraints or imits by legisla imits by judicia ndependent au Sanctions for of Non-government awful transitio	Government	Powers	0.42 0.34 0.24 0.28 0.43	Upper 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.5 5 6 4.6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	r-Middle Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proce Freedom Right to p	ental Rights mination ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion privacy of association	<pre>     I      I</pre>	0.52 0.32 0.24 0.43 0.54 0.32 0.42	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordation</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influe</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement</li> </ul>	ence	0.59 0.64 0.50 0.30 0.35 0.48
Constraints or imits by legisla imits by judicia ndependent au Sanctions for of Non-governmen Lawful transitio	Government	Powers	0.42 0.34 0.24 0.28 0.43 0.43	Upper 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.3 5 4.4 4.5 6 4.5 7 7 7 7 8 4.6 8 4.7 7 8 4.8	r-Middle Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proce Freedom Right to p	ental Rights mination ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion privacy	<pre></pre>	0.52 0.32 0.24 0.43 0.54 0.32	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and affordation</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influe</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement</li> <li>7.7</li> </ul>	ence	0.59 0.64 0.50 0.30 0.35 0.48
Constraints or imits by legisla imits by judicia ndependent au Sanctions for of Non-governmen awful transitio Absence of Co n the executive	Government	Powers	0.42 0.34 0.24 0.28 0.43 0.43	Upper 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.5 7 4.4 4.5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	r-Middle Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proce Freedom Right to p Freedom	ental Rights mination ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion privacy	<pre>     I      I</pre>	0.52 0.32 0.24 0.43 0.54 0.32 0.42 0.52	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and afforda</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influe</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement</li> <li>7.7 Impartial and effective A</li> </ul>	ence	0.59 0.64 0.50 0.30 0.35 0.48 0.59
Constraints or imits by legisla imits by judicia ndependent au Sanctions for of Non-government awful transitio Absence of Co n the executive n the judiciary	Government	Powers	0.42 0.34 0.24 0.28 0.43 0.43 0.48	Upper 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.5 F 4.6 7 F 4.6 8 4.7 F 4.8 L C 5.1	r-Middle Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proce Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi	ental Rights mination ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion of religion of association hts	<pre>     I      I</pre>	0.52 0.32 0.24 0.43 0.54 0.32 0.42	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and afforda</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influe</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement</li> <li>7.7 Impartial and effective A</li> <li>Criminal Justice</li> <li>8.1 4</li> </ul>	ence NDRs	0.59 0.64 0.50 0.30 0.35 0.48 0.59
Constraints or imits by legisla imits by judicia ndependent au Sanctions for of Non-government awful transitio Absence of Coon n the executive n the police/mi	Government	Powers	0.42 0.34 0.24 0.28 0.43 0.43 0.48 0.31 0.50	Upper 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.5 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.8 4.7 7 7 7 7 4.8 4.8 4.7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	r-Middle Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proco Freedom Right to p Freedom Right to p Freedom Absence o	ental Rights mination ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion of religion of association hts nd Security	ty	0.52 0.32 0.24 0.43 0.54 0.32 0.42 0.52	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and afforda</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influe</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement</li> <li>7.7 Impartial and effective A</li> <li>Criminal Justice</li> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adju</li> <li>8.3 Impartial additionaddity additional</li></ul>	ince	0.59 0.64 0.50 0.30 0.35 0.48 0.59
Constraints or imits by legisla imits by judicia ndependent au Sanctions for of Non-governmen awful transitio Absence of Co n the executive n the police/mi n the police/mi n the legislatur	Government	Powers	0.42 0.34 0.24 0.28 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.48 0.31 0.50 0.50 0.49 0.08	Upper 4.1 4.2 4.2 4.4 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.5 7 4.6 7 4.8 4.7 7 7 7 4.8 4.8 4.7 7 7 5.1 7 4 5.3	r-Middle Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proco Freedom Right to p Freedom Absence of Absence of Absence of	ental Rights mination ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion of religion of association hts hd Security of crime	ty	0.52 0.32 0.24 0.43 0.54 0.32 0.42 0.52	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and afforda</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influe</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement</li> <li>7.7 Impartial and effective A</li> <li>Criminal Justice</li> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Effective adjustion</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional sy</li> <li>8.4 Monther State A</li> </ul>	ince	0.59 0.64 0.50 0.30 0.35 0.48 0.59 0.12 0.12
Constraints or imits by legisla imits by judicia ndependent au Sanctions for of Non-government awful transitio Absence of Co n the executive n the police/mi	Government	Powers	0.42 0.34 0.24 0.28 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43	Upper 4.1 4.2 4.2 4.4 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.5 7 4.6 7 4.8 4.7 7 7 7 4.8 4.8 4.7 7 7 5.1 7 4 5.3	r-Middle Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proco Freedom Right to p Freedom Absence of Absence of Absence of	ental Rights mination ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion of religion of association hts hd Security of crime	ty	0.52 0.32 0.24 0.43 0.54 0.32 0.42 0.52	<ul> <li>7.1 Accessibility and afforda</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination</li> <li>7.3 No corruption</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influe</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement</li> <li>7.7 Impartial and effective A</li> <li>Criminal Justice</li> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjustice</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional sy</li> </ul>	ince	0.59 0.64 0.50 0.30 0.35 0.48 0.59 0.12 0.12 0.24



0.63

0.49

0.34

Effective regulatory enforcement

No improper influence 6.3

No unreasonable delay

6.4 Respect for due process

6.2

6.5

No improper gov't influence 0.24 8.7 Due process of law

### Estonia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

0 ,	7	0	,	0			0 1
Overall Score	Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank	
0.82	9/31		10/4	7	10/1	142	
Score Change	Rank Change	9					Crim Just
0.00	-1 •						
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1
Constraints o Government I		0.82	-0.01	8/31	9/47	9/142	7.6
<b>Absence of Co</b>	orruption	0.82	0.01	11/31	15/47	15/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
. Open Govern	ment	0.81	0.00	7/31	8/47	8/142	Justice 7.3
Fundamental	Rights	0.82	0.00	11/31	12/47	12/142	7.1
Order and See	curity	0.91	0.01	8/31	12/47	12/142	6.5
Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.80	-0.01	11/31	14/47	14/142	Regulato Enforcem
Civil Justice		0.80	-0.01	7/31	7/47	7/142	Enforcem
්ර් Criminal Justi	ice	0.75	0.00	7/31	9/47	9/142	
* Indicates statistically 10 percent level	significant change a	at the	Low	М	edium 🗌	High	

Constraints on Government Powers minal stice Absence of 2.2 Corruption 0.5 0 Open Government tory ment Fundamental Rights Order and Security 2024 Score 2023 Score

Estonia EU, EFTA, and North America	—	High
Constraints on Government Powers	<b>İ</b>	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.81	4.
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.82	4.
1.3 Independent auditing	0.87	4.
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.78	4.
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.78	4.
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.88	4.
Absence of Corruption		4.
2.1 In the executive branch	<b>5</b> 0.76	4.
2.2 In the judiciary	0.96	
2.3 In the police/military	0.94	5.
2.4 In the legislature	0.61	5.
Open Government		5.
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.88	
3.2 Right to information	0.80	6.
3.3 Civic participation	0.77	6.
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.79	6.
		4


	Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1	No discrimination	0.81
4.2	Right to life and security	0.93
4.3	Due process of law	0.81
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.78
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	Right to privacy	0.89
4.7	Freedom of association	0.82
4.8	Labor rights	0.73
	Order and Security	f
5.1	Absence of crime	0.94
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.78
	Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.83
6.2	No improper influence	0.91
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.80
6.4	Respect for due process	0.69
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	0.76 Ition

Civil Justice	414
Accessibility and affordability	0.69
	0.84
	0.90
No corruption	0.86
No improper gov't influence	0.75
No unreasonable delay	
Effective enforcement	0.73
Impartial and effective ADRs	0.84
Criminal Justice	â
	0.63
Effective investigations	
Timely and effective adjudication	0.58
Effective correctional system	0.73
Effective correctional system	0.73 0.77
Effective correctional system	
Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.77
Effective correctional system	0.77 0.89
	No unreasonable delay Effective enforcement Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice Effective investigations

# Ethiopia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.


Overall Score		Regional Ra	nk	Income Rank		Global Rank	
0.37		30/34		13/16		130/142	
Score Change		Rank Chan	ge				
-	0.01 -	-1 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.33	-0.02*	32/34	15/16	130/142
Š	Absence of Corruption		0.41	-0.03*	12/34	6/16	90/142
ŀ	Open Government		0.30	0.00	33/34	16/16	136/142
İİ	Fundamental Rights		0.28	-0.02	34/34	15/16	137/142
Ģ	Order and Security		0.53	0.00	27/34	10/16	129/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.36	0.00	30/34	12/16	132/142
414	Civil Justice		0.41	-0.01	28/34	12/16	121/142
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.35	0.00	22/34	8/16	104/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



ŧŧ

Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

🗕 Ethiopia 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 🛛 Low



2.1	0.37
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.37
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.43
In the police/military	
2.4	0.48

2.1		0.10
	In the legislature	
	Open Government	
3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.22
3.2	Right to information	0.37
3.3	Civic participation	0.24
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.38

4.1 No discrimination	0.42
4.2 Right to life and security	0.15
4.3 Due process of law	0.31
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.23
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.41
4.6 Right to privacy	0.06
4.7 Freedom of association	0.28
4.8 Labor rights	0.37
Order and Security	0
Order and Security	2
5.1 Absence of crime	0.62
5.2	0.50
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.48
Regulatory Enforcement	Î
6.1	0.34
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.34
6.2 No improper influence	0.48
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.36
6.4 Respect for due process	0.22
6.5	0.38
No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	ation

**Fundamental Rights** 


Civil Justice	
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.43
7.2 No discrimination	0.37
7.3 No corruption	0.32
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.41
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.59



# Finland

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rank	In	come Ra	ank	Global F	Rank
	0.87	3/31		3/47		3/14	12
Sco	re Change	Rank Change					
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.92	0.00	2/31	2/47	2/142
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.90	0.01	4/31	5/47	5/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.86	0.00	2/31	2/47	2/142
ŤŤ	Fundamental	Rights	0.89	-0.01	3/31	3/47	3/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.93	0.01	5/31	6/47	6/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.86	-0.01	3/31	4/47	4/142
474	Civil Justice		0.80	-0.01	6/31	6/47	6/142
්ර	Criminal Just	ice	0.84	0.00	2/31	2/47	2/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change at	the	Low	Me	dium	High



Finland EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.87
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.90
1.3 Independent auditing	0.97
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.93
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.88
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.98
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.89
2.2 In the judiciary	0.98
2.3 In the police/military	0.97
2.4 In the legislature	0.76
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.90
3.2 Right to information	0.78
3.3 Civic participation	0.88
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.86

	Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1	No discrimination	0.82
4.2	Right to life and security	0.96
4.3	о ,	0.92
4.4		0.88
4.5		0.89
4.6		0.96
4.7		0.90
4.8		0.82
	Labor rights	
5.1	Order and Security	0.95
	Absence of crime	
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.83
	Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.81
6.2		0.97
6.3		0.80
6.4		0.93
6.5		0.79
	No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	tion


Civil Justice	414
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.71
7.2 No discrimination	0.84
7.3 No corruption	0.94
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.90
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.57
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.89
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.76
Criminal Justice	ර්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.59
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.77
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.84
8.4 No discrimination	0.83
8.5 No corruption	0.93
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.98
8.7 Due process of law	0.92

#### France

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income F	Rank	Global	Rank	
	0.72	17/31		22/4	7	22/:	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 Justice 8.5 1.4
	0.00	-1 •						8.4 1.5 1.6
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 0.5
Ŵ	Constraints o Government		0.73	0.00	17/31	20/47	21/142	7.7 7.6 2.3 2.4
Š	Absence of Co	orruption	0.75	0.00	14/31	20/47	20/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1
	Open Govern	iment	0.74	-0.01	15/31	17/47	17/142	Justice 7.3 Go
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.74	0.00	20/31	25/47	26/142	72 7.1 3.4 4.1
f	Order and Se	curity	0.78	-0.01	28/31	38/47	49/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.74	-0.01	15/31	21/47	21/142	Regulatory 6.2 Enforcement 6.1 5.3 4.7 4.6 Fundamental
474	Civil Justice		0.67	-0.01	17/31	26/47	26/142	Enforcement 5.3 5.2 5.1 4.8 4.7 5.6 Fundamental Order and Security
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.65	0.02	19/31	26/47	26/142	Security
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Low	М	edium 🗌	High	— 2024 Score — 2023 Score

ŧŧ



ŀ 0.83

0.66

0.71

0.75

In the legislature

3.1

3.2

3.3

3.4

**Open Government** 

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

Publicized laws and gov't data

4.1	
No discrimination	0.63
4.2 Right to life and security	0.84
4.3 Due process of law	0.71
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.68
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.76
4.6 Right to privacy	0.74
4.7 Freedom of association	0.80
4.8 Labor rights	0.77
Order and Security	G
5.1 Absence of crime	0.81
5.2	0.98
Absence of civil conflict	
	0.56
Absence of civil conflict	0.56
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	÷.
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.70
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.70 0.83

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

**Fundamental Rights** 

	Civil Justice	414
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.64
7.3	No corruption	0.75
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.76
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.53
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.67
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.74
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1	Effective investigations	0.62
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.62
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.56
8.4	No discrimination	0.54
8.5	No corruption	0.76
8.6		0.72
8.7		0.71

### Gabon

Open Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.40	25/34	Ļ	40/4	11	122	/142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	re Change	Rank Char	nge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
	0.00	2 •						8.4 1.5 1.6
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 0,5
Ū	Constraints o Government		0.31	-0.01	33/34	38/41	134/142	7.7 7.6 23 2.4
5	Absence of C	orruption	0.25	0.01	33/34	41/41	138/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Govern	ment	0.37	0.00	22/34	39/41	119/142	Justice 7.3 3.4
İ	Fundamental	Rights	0.44	0.01	21/34	35/41	111/142	7.1 4.1
	Order and Se	curity	0.65	0.01	18/34	28/41	103/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.46	0.00	14/34	26/41	90/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.4 6.4 4.4 4.5 Fundament
4	Civil Justice		0.40	0.00	29/34	38/41	124/142	Corder and Security
0	Criminal Just	ice	0.30	0.01	30/34	35/41	125/142	Security
	ates statistically cent level	significant chang	ge at the	Lov	v N	∕ledium	High	— 2024 Score — 2023 Score

🗕 Gabon — Sub-Saharan Africa — Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.38
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.27
1.3 Independent auditing	0.37
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.25
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.32
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.25
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.23
2.2 In the judiciary	0.39
2.3 In the police/military	0.28
2.4 In the legislature	0.09
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.35
3.2 Right to information	0.23
3.3 Civic participation	0.39
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.51

Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 No discrimination	0.49
4.2 Right to life and security	0.45
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.32
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.62
4.6 Right to privacy	0.15
4.7 Freedom of association	0.48
4.8 Labor rights	0.62
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.55
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.39
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2 No improper influence	0.49
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.38
6.4 Respect for due process	0.49
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compen	0.44 sation

Civil Justice	
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.49
7.2 No discrimination	0.50
7.3 No corruption	0.28
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.23
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.38
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.36
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.57
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>ුර්</mark> ර 0.29
8.1	0.29
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication	0.24
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Effective to the system</li> </ul>	0.24
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.24

# The Gambia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional		Regional Ra	ank	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.49 9/34			3/16		87/142	
Score Change Rank Chang			ge				
	0.00	-2 •					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.58	0.01	6/34	2/16	54/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.47	0.00	6/34	2/16	67/142
	Open Government		0.38	0.00	19/34	8/16	114/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.51	-0.01	11/34	4/16	81/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.69	0.00	10/34	3/16	83/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.38	0.01	27/34	11/16	128/142
474	Civil Justice		0.50	0.00	11/34	3/16	82/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.40	0.00	11/34	4/16	81/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							

10 percent level


🗕 The Gambia 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	_ 🏛
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.72
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.67
1.3 Independent auditing	0.30
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.41
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.62
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.75
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.41
2.2 In the judiciary	0.56
2.3 In the police/military	0.49
2.4 In the legislature	0.42
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.15
3.2 Right to information	0.39
3.3 Civic participation	0.59

Complaint mechanisms

0.41

Fundamental Rights	ŧŧ
4.1	0.47
No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.39
4.3	0.39
Due process of law	
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.62
4.5	0.71
Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6	0.40
Right to privacy	
4.7 Freedom of association	0.66
4.8	0.46
Labor rights	
Order and Security	0
•	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.56
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.52
Absence of violent regress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.36
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.50
6.3	0.32
No unreasonable delay	
6.4 Respect for due process	0.28
6.5	0.43
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	




Civil Justice	T
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.45
7.2 No discrimination	0.65
7.3 No corruption	0.59
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.57
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.36
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.41
8.1	0.41 0.38
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
<ul> <li>8.1</li> <li>Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2</li> <li>Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3</li> </ul>	0.38
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.38
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.38

3.4

# Georgia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rar	nk	Income R	lank	Global Rank	
0.60		1/15		7/41	L	49/1	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	e				
-	0.01 -	-1 •					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>İ</b>	Constraints of Government		0.52	-0.01	4/15	19/41	73/142
å	Absence of C	orruption	0.67	-0.01	1/15	2/41	35/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.59	0.00	1/15	7/41	45/142
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.60	-0.02	5/15	16/41	57/142
<b>F</b>	Order and Se	curity	0.78	-0.01	8/15	10/41	51/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.57	0.00	1/15	6/41	46/142
474	Civil Justice		0.53	0.00	5/15	16/41	68/142
ðð	Criminal Just	ice	0.51	-0.01	1/15	11/41	54/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



İİ

10 percent level

🗕 Georgia 🛑 Eastern Europe and Central Asia 🛑 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.56
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.44
1.3 Independent auditing	0.57
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.64
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.53
Absence of Corruption	<u></u>
2.1 In the executive branch	0.59
2.2 In the judiciary	0.71
2.3 In the police/military	0.87
2.4 In the legislature	0.49
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.52
3.2 Right to information	0.67
3.3 Civic participation	0.60
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.55

4.1	0.58
No discrimination	
4.2	0.70
Right to life and security	
4.3 Due process of law	0.59
	0.64
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.63
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.43
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.66
Freedom of association	0.00
4.8	0.58
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.92
5.1 Absence of crime	0.92
Absence of crime	0.92
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.98
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.98
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.98
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.98
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.98
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.98
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.98
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.98
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	0.98 0.45 0.58 0.80
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.98
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	0.98 0.45 0.58 0.80
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.98 0.45 0.58 0.80
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.98 0.45 0.58 0.80 0.50
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.98 0.45 0.58 0.80 0.50
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4 Respect for due process	0.98 0.45 0.58 0.50 0.50 0.41


**Fundamental Rights** 

	Civil Justice	474
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.62
7.2		0.52
7.3		0.60
7.4		0.40
7.5		0.33
7.6	No unreasonable delay	0.52
7.7	Effective enforcement	0.72
	Impartial and effective ADRs	
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1		<mark>ර්</mark> ර 0.35
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.35 0.55
	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.55
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.55 0.62
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.55 0.62 0.48
8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence	0.55 0.62 0.48 0.70

#### Germany

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	lank	Global Rank			
	0.83	5/31		5/47	7	5/142			
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change	e						
	0.00	0							
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank		
	Constraints o Government		0.86	0.00	4/31	4/47	4/142		
Ś	Absence of C	orruption	0.84	0.01	7/31	9/47	9/142		
	Open Govern	ment	0.79	0.00	11/31	13/47	13/142		
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.86	0.00	5/31	5/47	5/142		
•	Order and Se	curity	0.89	0.00	16/31	20/47	21/142		
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.84	0.00	5/31	7/47	7/142		
474	Civil Justice		0.82	-0.01	5/31	5/47	5/142		
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.78	0.00	5/31	5/47	5/142		
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High									



**Civil Justice** 

Accessibility and affordability


ŤŤ

0.78

7.1

10 percent level

Germany
 EU, EFTA, and North America
 High



No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.97
4.3 Due process of law	0.84
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.83
4.5	0.86
Freedom of religion 4.6	0.89
Right to privacy 4.7	0.87
Freedom of association 4.8	0.83
Labor rights	
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.90
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.76
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.78
6.2 A No improper influence	0.87
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.77
6.4	0.87
Respect for due process 6.5	0.91

**Fundamental Rights** 

7.2 0.81 No discrimination 7.3 0.88 No corruption 7.4 0.91 No improper gov't influence 0.72 7 -No unreasonable delay 0.82 76 Effective enforcement 0.81 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs **Criminal Justice** 80 0.61 8.1 Effective investigations 0.75 Timely and effective adjudication 0.79 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.72 8.4 No discrimination 0.88 8.5 No corruption 0.90 8.6 No improper gov't influence 8.7 0.84

575

0.75

6.5

0.84

0.85

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

3.3

3.4

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

Due process of law

#### Ghana

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall S	Score F	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
0.55	5	7/34		3/3	8	62/	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Score Ch	lange	Rank Chan	ige					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
0.00	C	-1 •						8.4 1.5 1.6
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7
	straints on ernment Pov	vers	0.66	0.00	1/34	1/38	31/142	7.6 N 2.4
Åbse	ence of Corr	uption	0.39	0.00	16/34	17/38	102/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
Oper	n Governme	nt	0.51	0.00	4/34	5/38	67/142	Justice 7.3
Fund	lamental Rig	;hts	0.57	-0.01	7/34	3/38	67/142	72 7.1 3.4 4.1
Orde	er and Secur	ity	0.71	0.00	5/34	10/38	74/142	65 64 42
Regu	latory Enfo	rcement	0.53	-0.01	6/34	3/38	60/142	Regulatory 62 Enforcement 6.1 5.3 4.7 4.6 Fundamental
Civil.	Justice		0.57	0.00	6/34	2/38	54/142	Order and Rights
්ර Crim	inal Justice		0.45	0.00	8/34	6/38	69/142	Security
* Indicates sta 10 percent lev	atistically sign evel	nificant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	

🗕 Ghana 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1	0.76
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.63
Limits by judiciary	0.00
1.3	0.66
Independent auditing	0.00
	0.40
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.49
1.5	0.70
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.72
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Å
2.1 In the executive branch	0.37
2.2	0.53
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.38
In the police/military	
2.4	0.26
In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1	0.25
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.41
Right to information	
3.3	0.68
Civic participation	
3.4	0.70
Complaint mechanisms	


Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1	0.59
No discrimination	
4.2	0.48
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.41
Due process of law	
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.70
	0.70
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	0.42
Right to privacy	0.12
4.7	0.72
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.52
Labor rights	
Order and Security	G
5.1	0.77
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.38
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.45
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.51
No improper influence	
6.3	0.43
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.59
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.64
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	ation

Civil Justice	-12
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.56
7.2 No discrimination	0.61
7.3 No corruption	0.55
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.67
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.36
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.49
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.72
Criminal Justice	0
8.1	0.43
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.43 0.43
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.43
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.43
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> </ul>	0.43
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No corruption</li> <li>8.6 1000000000000000000000000000000000000</li></ul>	0.43 0.36 0.53 0.39

### Greece

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

0	verall Score	Regional Ran	ık I	ncome F	Rank	Global	Rank	
	0.60	29/31		41/4	7	47/:	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sc	ore Change	Rank Change	е					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
	0.00	0						8.4 8.3
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 2.1 Absence 2.2 Corrupt 2.3
Ŵ	Constraints o Government		0.64	-0.03*	24/31	32/47	35/142	7.6
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.57	0.01	27/31	41/47	52/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1
	Open Goverr	ment	0.60	0.00	27/31	35/47	39/142	Justice 7.3 72 34
tt	Fundamenta	Rights	0.64	-0.01	28/31	38/47	46/142	7.1 4.1
f	Order and Se	curity	0.72	0.00	31/31	42/47	71/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
Ē	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.56	0.01	28/31	41/47	50/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 5.3 5.0 4.6 4.4 4.5 Fundamental
1	Civil Justice		0.58	-0.01	26/31	38/47	49/142	Enforcement 5.3 5.2 5.1 4.8 4.7 Fundamental Order and Security
ð	Criminal Just	ice	0.50	0.00	29/31	42/47	56/142	Security
	cates statistically cent level	significant change a	at the	Low	М	edium 🗌	High	



Fundamental Rights	_ ††
4.1 No discrimination	0.57
4.2 Right to life and security	0.75
4.3 Due process of law	0.54
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6 Right to privacy	0.58
4.7 Freedom of association	0.73
4.8 Labor rights	0.60
Order and Security	Ģ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.80
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.36
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.61
6.2 No improper influence	0.64
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.44
6.4 Respect for due process	0.46
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.67 sation


Civil Justice	1
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.61
7.2 No discrimination	0.64
7.3 No corruption	0.70
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.57
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.77
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	0.51
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.46
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.36
8.4 No discrimination	0.43
8.5 No corruption	0.67
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.54
8.7 Due process of law	0.54

Complaint mechanisms

## Grenada

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Rank	< l	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank	
	0.60	9/32		6/41	L	48/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Change						
	0.00	1 •						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.58	0.00	10/32	8/41	51/142	
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.66	0.00	5/32	3/41	37/142	
	Open Govern	iment	0.46	0.01	23/32	30/41	83/142	
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.63	0.00	12/32	10/41	49/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.79	0.00	2/32	8/41	45/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.55	0.00	8/32	9/41	53/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.60	-0.01	8/32	9/41	46/142	
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.54	0.00	10/32	9/41	49/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



10 percent level

💳 Grenada 💶 Latin America and Caribbean 💶 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.53
	0.70
Limits by judiciary	
1.3 Independent auditing	0.42
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.49
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.65
1.6	0.70
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.63
2.2	0.84
In the judiciary	0.76
In the police/military	0.70
2.4 In the legislature	0.40
C C	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.29
3.2 Right to information	0.40
3.3 Civic participation	0.64
3.4	0.52
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	_††
4.1 No discrimination	0.67
4.2 Right to life and security	0.72
4.3 August 2015	0.52
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.65
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6 Right to privacy	0.40
4.7 Freedom of association	0.72
4.8 Labor rights	0.72
Order and Security	C I
5.1 Absence of crime	0.91
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.47
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2 No improper influence	0.80
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.52
6.4 Respect for due process	0.41
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.51 sation

	Civil Justice	414
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.58
7.2	No discrimination	0.66
7.3	No corruption	0.84
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.71
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.37
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.32
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.74
	Criminal Justice	්ට
8.1		0.52
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.52 0.54
	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.54
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.54 0.42
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.54 0.42 0.50

### Guatemala

Overall Score	Regional Ra	пк	Income Rank		Income Rank		nk Global Rank		Constraints on						
0.44	25/32		35/4	11	107	/142	Constraints on Government Powers								
Score Change	Rank Chang	ge						Crimi Justi	ice	8.6 <sup>8.3</sup>	<sup>7</sup> <sup>1.1</sup> <sup>1.2</sup> 1	I.3 1.4			
0.01 -	4 •								8.4 8.3 3.2			1.5	1.6		
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank		8.1			0.5			sence of rruption	
Constraints Government		0.49	-0.01	23/32	25/41	85/142		7.7					2.3		
Absence of C	Corruption	0.34	0.00	24/32	36/41	114/142	Civi	7.5		Z	/		3.1	Ор	
Open Govern	nment	0.53	0.01	14/32	18/41	60/142	Justic	e <sub>7.3</sub>		2		4	3.3	Govern	
Fundamenta	l Rights	0.53	0.00	19/32	23/41	74/142		7.2		$\mathcal{V}$	1	$\mathcal{L}$	3.4		
Order and Se	ecurity	0.60	0.01	27/32	37/41	124/142		6.5	6.4		/ ~		4.2		
Regulatory E	Inforcement	0.42	0.01	27/32	39/41	116/142		Regulator		6.1		4.5	4.4		
Civil Justice		0.34	0.01	28/32	40/41	135/142		Enforceme	ent	5.3 5.3	2 5.1 4.8 <sup>4</sup> er and	1.7	Fundament Rights	al	
Criminal Jus	tice	0.30	0.00	24/32	36/41	126/142				Sec	urity				
Indicates statistically Dipercent level Guatemala	Latin America		ibbean 💻			High									
Constraints on	Government F	Powers		F	Fundam	ental Righ	:s		tt	Civil	Justice			474	
														_	
			0.61	4.1					0.38	7.1		l = <del>(</del> = d =  = :	:	0.35	
Limits by legislat	ture		0.61		No discrii	mination			0.38	Acce 7.2	ssibility and		ity	0.35	
Limits by legislat Limits by judicia			0.47	4.2 F		mination fe and secu	rity		0.63	Acce 7.2 No d	ssibility and		ity	0.28	
Limits by legislat Limits by judician Independent aud	ry		0.47	4.2 F 4.3	Right to li		rity		0.63	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No c			ity	0.28	
Limits by legislat Limits by judician Independent aud	ry diting	t	0.47	4.2 4.3 4.4	Right to li Due proc	fe and secu			0.63	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No c 7.4	iscriminatio	on I		0.28	
Limits by legislat Limits by judicial Independent aud Sanctions for off	ry diting icial misconduct	t	0.47	4.2 F 4.3 4.4 F 4.5	Right to li Due proc Freedom	fe and secu ess of law			0.63	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5	iscriminatio	v't influence		0.28	
Limits by legislat Limits by judicial Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen	ry diting ficial misconduct ital checks	t	0.47	4.2 F 4.3 [ 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.5	Right to li Due proc Freedom	fe and secu ess of law of expressi of religion			0.63 0.40 0.60	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 No u	nproper gov	v't influence		0.28 0.44 0.36	
Limits by legislat Limits by judicial Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen	ry diting ficial misconduct ital checks	t	0.47 0.34 0.32 0.60	4.2 F 4.3 [ 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p	fe and secu ess of law of expressi of religion rivacy	Don		0.63 0.40 0.60 0.65	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7	nproper gov	v't influence e delay ement	e	0.28	
Limits by legislat Limits by judicial Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition	ry diting ficial misconduct atal checks	t	0.47 0.34 0.32 0.60 0.59	4.2 F 4.3 [ 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p	fe and secu ess of law of expressi of religion	Don		0.63 0.40 0.60 0.65 0.57	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7	nproper gov	v't influence e delay ement	e	0.28 0.44 0.36 0.13 0.22	
Limits by legislat Limits by judicial Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition	ry diting icial misconduct ital checks n of power	t	0.47 0.34 0.32 0.60 0.59	4.2 F 4.3 [ 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p	fe and secu ess of law of expressi of religion rivacy of associat	Don		0.63 0.40 0.60 0.65 0.57 0.62	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No d 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa	nproper gov	on	e	0.28 0.44 0.36 0.13 0.22	
Limits by legislat Limits by judician Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition	ry diting icial misconduct ital checks n of power	t	0.47 0.34 0.32 0.60 0.59	4.2 F 4.3 C 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.8 L	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom	fe and secu ess of law of expressi of religion rivacy of associat	on		0.63 0.40 0.60 0.65 0.57 0.62	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1	iscrimination proper gov nreasonable tive enforce	on v't influence e delay ement fective ADF	e	0.28 0.44 0.36 0.13 0.22 0.62	
Limits by legislat Limits by judicial Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition Absence of Con In the executive In the judiciary	ry diting ficial misconduct atal checks n of power rruption	t	0.47 0.34 0.32 0.60 0.59 0.59	4.2 F 4.3 C 4.4 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi	fe and secu ess of law of expressi of religion rivacy of associat nts	on		0.63 0.40 0.60 0.65 0.57 0.62 0.41	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1 Effec 8.2	iscrimination orruption nproper gov nreasonable tive enforce rtial and eff	on v't influence e delay ement fective ADF	e As	0.28 0.44 0.36 0.13 0.22 0.62	
Limits by legislat Limits by judicial Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition Absence of Con In the executive In the judiciary In the police/mil	ry diting ficial misconduct atal checks rruption branch	t	0.47 0.34 0.32 0.60 0.59 0.59	4.2 F 4.3 T 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 K 5.2	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi <b>Order ar</b>	fe and secu ess of law of expressi of religion rivacy of associat nts of Security	on		0.63 0.40 0.60 0.65 0.57 0.62 0.41	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No d 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1 Effec 8.2 Time 8.3	iscrimination orruption nproper gov nreasonable tive enforce inal Justic	on v't influence e delay ement fective ADF se gations tive adjudi	e R Ss cation	0.28 0.44 0.36 0.13 0.22 0.62	
Limits by legislat Limits by judician Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition Absence of Con In the executive In the judiciary In the police/mil	ry diting ficial misconduct atal checks rruption branch	t	0.47 0.34 0.32 0.60 0.59 0.59 0.36 0.41 0.52	4.2 F 4.3 T 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 K 5.2	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi <b>Order ar</b>	fe and secu ess of law of expressi of religion rivacy of associat nts	on		0.63 0.40 0.60 0.65 0.57 0.62 0.41	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No d 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1 Effec 8.2 Time 8.3	iscrimination orruption nproper gov nreasonable tive enforce rtial and eff	on v't influence e delay ement fective ADF se gations tive adjudi	e R Ss cation	0.28 0.44 0.36 0.13 0.22 0.62 0.62 0.20 0.20	
Limits by legislat Limits by judicial Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition Absence of Con In the executive In the judiciary In the police/mil	ry diting ficial misconduct stal checks of power rruption branch	t	0.47 0.34 0.32 0.60 0.59 0.59 0.36 0.41 0.52	4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.5 4.5 4.6 4.7 6 4.8 1 5.1 5.3	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi <b>Drder ar</b> Absence	fe and secu ess of law of expressi of religion rivacy of associat nts of Security	on itict		0.63 0.40 0.60 0.65 0.57 0.62 0.41	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No d 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1 Effec 8.2 Time 8.3 Effec 8.3 Effec 8.4 No d	iscrimination orruption nproper gov nreasonable tive enforce inal Justic	on v't influence e delay ement fective ADF re gations tional syste on	e R Ss cation	0.28 0.44 0.36 0.13 0.22 0.62 0.20 0.20 0.28 0.15 0.31	
Limits by legislat Limits by judician Independent and Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition Absence of Con In the executive In the pudiciary In the police/mil In the legislature	ry diting ficial misconduct ficial misconduct atal checks for of power rruption branch itary	t	0.47 0.34 0.32 0.60 0.59 0.36 0.36 0.41 0.52 0.08	4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.5 6 4.6 7 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 5.1 5.2 7 5.3 7	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi <b>Drder ar</b> Absence of Absence of	fe and secu ess of law of expressi of religion rivacy of associat nts of associat of crime of crime	on on i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		0.63 0.40 0.60 0.65 0.57 0.62 0.41	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No d 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1 Effec 8.2 Time 8.3 Effec 8.3 Effec 8.4 No d 8.5	iscrimination orruption nproper gov nreasonable tive enforce rtial and eff inal Justic ly and effec itve investig ly and effec iscriminatio	on v't influence e delay ement fective ADF re gations tional system inn inn inn inn inn inn inn in	e R Ss cation	0.28 0.44 0.36 0.13 0.22 0.62 0.62 0.20 0.20 0.28 0.15	
Limits by legislat Limits by judician Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition Absence of Con In the executive In the judiciary In the police/mil In the legislature Open Governm Publicized laws a	ry diting ficial misconduct ficial misconduct ficial checks n of power rruption branch itary itary	t	0.47 0.34 0.32 0.60 0.59 0.59 0.36 0.41 0.52 0.08	4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.5 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.7 7 4.8 1 4.7 7 5.1 4.5 7 5.3 7 6.1	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor righ <b>Order ar</b> Absence Absence	fe and secu ess of law of expressi of religion rivacy of associat nts of associat nts of crime of crime of civil conf ory Enforc	on iict edress ement		0.63 0.40 0.60 0.65 0.57 0.62 0.41 0.57 1.00 0.22	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No d 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1 Effec 8.3 Effec 8.3 Effec 8.3 Effec 8.4 No d 8.5 No c	iscrimination orruption nproper gov nreasonable tive enforce rtial and eff inal Justic ly and effec itve investig ly and effec iscriminatio	on v't influence e delay ement fective ADF re gations tional syste on i	e R R R S Cation R R	0.28 0.44 0.36 0.13 0.22 0.62 0.20 0.20 0.28 0.15 0.31	
Limits by legislat Limits by judician Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition Absence of Con In the executive In the judiciary In the police/mil In the legislature Open Governm Publicized laws a Right to informa	ry diting ficial misconduct ital checks n of power rruption branch itary	t	0.47 0.34 0.32 0.60 0.59 0.36 0.41 0.52 0.08	4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 6.1 5.2 4.8 5.3 4.8 5.1 5.2 4.8 5.3 4.8 5.1 5.2 4.8 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi <b>Drder ar</b> Absence Absence <b>Regulato</b>	fe and secu ess of law of expressi of religion rivacy of associat nts of associat nts of crime of crime of civil conf ory Enforc regulatory	on int int int int int int int int int in	t	0.63 0.40 0.60 0.65 0.57 0.62 0.41 0.57 1.00 0.22	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No d 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 6.1 Effec 8.3 Effec 8.3 Effec 8.4 No d 8.5 No c 8.6 No ir 8.7	iscrimination opruption nproper gov tive enforce tive enforce inal Justic inal Justic ly and effect iscrimination opruption	on v't influence e delay e delay fective ADF re gations tional syste v't influence v't influence	e R R R S Cation R R	0.28 0.44 0.36 0.13 0.22 0.62 0.20 0.20 0.28 0.28 0.15 0.31	
Limits by legislat Limits by judician Independent and Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition Absence of Con In the executive In the police/mil In the police/mil In the legislature Open Governm Publicized laws a Right to informa	ry diting ficial misconduct ital checks n of power rruption branch itary	t	0.47 0.34 0.32 0.60 0.59 0.36 0.41 0.52 0.08	4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 6.1 5.2 4.8 5.3 4.8 5.1 5.2 4.8 5.3 4.8 5.1 5.2 4.8 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi <b>Drder ar</b> Absence Absence <b>Regulato</b>	fe and secu ess of law of expressi of religion rivacy of associat nts of associat nts of crime of crime of civil conf ory Enforc	on int int int int int int int int int in	nt	0.63 0.40 0.60 0.65 0.57 0.62 0.41 0.57 1.00 0.22	Acce 7.2 No d 7.3 No d 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 6.1 Effec 8.3 Effec 8.3 Effec 8.4 No d 8.5 No c 8.6 No ir 8.7	iscrimination	on v't influence e delay e delay fective ADF re gations tional syste v't influence v't influence	e R R R S Cation R R	0.28 0.44 0.36 0.13 0.22 0.62 0.20 0.20 0.28 0.15 0.31 0.31	

Respect for due process

6.5

0.48 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

#### Guinea

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income	Pank	Globa	al Rank	
	Ū.						Constraints on
0.41	22/34	2/34 24/38		119/142		Government Powers	
Score Change	Rank Chan	ge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
0.00	-1 •						8.4 8.3 1.6
		Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	82 8.1 7.7 8.1 0,5 2.3
Constraints on Government Po	wers	0.37	-0.01	26/34	27/38	117/142	7.6 2.4
<b>Absence of Corr</b>	ruption	0.29	0.00	28/34	33/38	131/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
Open Governme	ent	0.36	-0.01	23/34	26/38	120/142	Justice 7.3 33
Fundamental Ri	ghts	0.49	0.00	13/34	8/38	87/142	7.1 4.1
Order and Secu	rity	0.71	0.00	4/34	9/38	73/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
Regulatory Enfo	orcement	0.37	0.00	28/34	32/38	130/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 5.3 5.0 4.0 4.7 Fundamenta
Civil Justice		0.39	0.00	32/34	31/38	129/142	Order and Security
Criminal Justice		0.31	0.01	29/34	29/38	123/142	Security
1		++					2024 Score 2023 Score
* Indicates statistically sig 10 percent level	sinicant chang	earthe	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	

🗕 Guinea 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 🛑 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.44
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.30
1.3 Independent auditing	0.35
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.31
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.48
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.34
Absence of Corruption	š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.30
2.2 In the judiciary	0.27
2.3 In the police/military	0.35
2.4 In the legislature	0.24
Open Government	·
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.18
3.2 Right to information	0.34
3.3 Civic participation	0.48
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.43

Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1 No discrimination	0.57
4.2 Right to life and security	0.44
4.3 Due process of law	0.36
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.48
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6 Right to privacy	0.23
4.7 Freedom of association	0.57
4.8 Labor rights	0.58
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.73
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.41
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.38
6.2 No improper influence	0.41
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.35
6.4 Respect for due process	0.35
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.34 ation

Civil Justice	
	T•
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.40
7.2	0.48
No discrimination	
7.3 No corruption	0.15
7.4	0.28
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.50
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.43
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.46
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice	0.25
	0.25
8.1	0.25
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.34
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> </ul>	0.34
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.34
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> </ul>	0.34 0.17 0.46
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.34
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No corruption</li> </ul>	0.34 0.17 0.46 0.29
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No corruption</li> <li>8.6 </li> </ul>	0.34 0.17 0.46
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No corruption</li> <li>8.6 No improper gov't influence</li> </ul>	0.34 0.17 0.46 0.29 0.28
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No corruption</li> <li>8.6 No improper gov't influence</li> <li>8.7 No</li> </ul>	0.34 0.17 0.46 0.29
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No corruption</li> <li>8.6 No improper gov't influence</li> </ul>	0.34 0.17 0.46 0.29 0.28

#### Guyana

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional Rank		ank	Income Rank Gl			al Rank							
	0.50	16/32	2	47/4	17	77/	/142				Constraints on Government Powers		
Sco	re Change	Rank Char	nge					Crim Just	ice	8.5 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2	1.3 1.4		
	0.00	-1 •							8.4 8.3 8.2		1.5		nce of
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1		<b>N</b> 0.5		2.2 Corr	uption
â	Constraints of Government		0.53	-0.01	15/32	45/47	67/142	7.7			4	2.3	
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.45	0.01	16/32	46/47	76/142	7.5 Civil 7.4	-	0	4	3.1	Open
	Open Goverr	nment	0.44	-0.01	26/32	45/47	93/142	Justice 7.3		Ζ.		3.3	Government
ŧŧ	Fundamenta	l Rights	0.55	0.00	18/32	44/47	70/142	7.2		VI		3.4	
G	Order and Se	curity	0.63	0.00	21/32	47/47	112/142	6.5	6.4		$\backslash \backslash \rangle$	4.2 4.3	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.48	0.01	18/32	46/47	79/142	Regulato	6.3 ry <sup>6.2</sup>	6.1	4.4		
4]4	Civil Justice		0.52	0.00	16/32	45/47	72/142	Enforcem	ent	<sup>5.3</sup> 5.2 5.1 4.8 <b>Order and</b>	4.7	Fundamental Rights	
්ර	Criminal Just	ice	0.40	0.00	15/32	45/47	84/142			Security			
10 per	cent level	v significant chang		Lov		Medium	High			• 2024 Score 🥌 2	2023 Score		
		Latin America a			High	undame	ental Rights		ŧŧ	Civil Justice			474
1.1 Lin	nits by legislat	ure		° 0.60	4.1	No discrii	nination		0.54	7.1 Accessibility a	nd affordability	,	0.54
1.2	nits by judiciar			0.60	4.2		fe and securi	y	0.57	7.2 No discriminat			0.38
1.3 Ind	ependent auc	liting		0.46	4.3	Due proc	ess of law		0.41	7.3 No corruption			0.59
1.4 Sar	nctions for off	icial misconduc	ct	0.37	4.4 F	reedom	ofexpression		0.57	7.4 No improper g	ov't influence		0.58
1.5				0.57	4.5				0.72	7.5			0.42

Non-governmental checks 1.6 Lawful transition of power

Absence of Corruption

Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.42
2.2 In the judiciary	0.63
2.3 In the police/military	0.41
2.4	0.34

0.59

6.5


Т

In the legislature **Open Government** ŀ 0.30 3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data 3.2 0.41 Right to information 0.53 3.3 Civic participation 0.54 3.4 I Complaint mechanisms

Fundamental Rights	_ ††
4.1 No discrimination	0.54
4.2 Right to life and security	0.57
4.3 Due process of law	0.41
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.57
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6 Right to privacy	0.42
4.7 Freedom of association	0.58
4.8 Labor rights	0.59
Order and Security	- F
5.1 Absence of crime	0.64
5.1	0.64
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.25
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00 0.25
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.25

0.47

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation



# Haiti

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional R	Rank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank						
0.33	0.33 31/32		37/38		139/142		Constraints on Government Powers					
Score Change	Rank Cha	nge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4					
-0.01 -	0						8.4 8.3					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1 0.5 2.2 Corruption					
Constraints of Government		0.35	-0.01	29/32	30/38	124/142	7.7 7.6 2.3 2.4					
<b>Absence of C</b>	orruption	0.23	-0.01	31/32	36/38	139/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 0 3.1 3.2 Open					
Open Govern	iment	0.33	-0.01	30/32	30/38	129/142	Justice 7.3 Governmen					
Fundamenta	l Rights	0.43	0.01	29/32	22/38	114/142	7.2 7.1 4.1					
Order and Se	curity	0.43	-0.04	32/32	36/38	137/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3					
Regulatory E	nforcement	0.27	0.00	31/32	37/38	140/142	Regulatory Enforcement 5.3 co 4.7 4.6 Fundamental					
Civil Justice		0.34	-0.01	29/32	34/38	136/142	Enforcement 5.3 5.2 5.1 4.8 4.7 Rights Order and Security					
්ර් Criminal Just	tice	0.25	0.00	28/32	34/38	136/142	Security					
* Indicates statistically 10 percent level	v significant chan	ge at the	Lov	v N	∕ledium	High	— 2024 Score — 2023 Score					
🗕 Haiti 💻 L	atin America and	d Caribbea	n 🗕 l	ower-Mido	lle							
Constraints on	Government	Powers	Â	F	Fundame	ental Right	s ți Civil Justice					
.1 Limits by legislat	ure		0.35	4.1	No discrii	mination	0.48 7.1 0.36 Accessibility and affordability					
.2			0.35	4.2			0.33 7.2 0.33					

Limits by legislature	
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.35
1.3 Independent auditing	0.36
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.21
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.52
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.33
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.23
2.2 In the judiciary	0.33
2.3 In the police/military	0.33
2.4 In the legislature	0.05
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.23
3.2 Right to information	0.35
3.3 Civic participation	0.45
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.31

Fundamental Rights	
4.1 No discrimination	0.48
4.2 Right to life and security	0.33
4.3 Due process of law	0.30
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.52
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.53
4.6 Right to privacy	0.29
4.7 Freedom of association	0.53
4.8 Labor rights	0.48
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.41
	0.41
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.75
Absence of crime	0.75
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.75
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.75
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.75

Civil Justice	
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.36
7.2 No discrimination	0.33
7.3 No corruption	0.31
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.20
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.31
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.37
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.51
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<u>්</u> රි 0.31
8.1	0.31
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.22
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 4</li> </ul>	0.22
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.22

# Honduras

No improper gov't influence

Due process of law

8.7

0.36

0.50


0.34

0.23

0.49

0.34

	Regional Ran	۱k	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank				Caratustists		
0.42	27/32		23/3	38	116	6/142				Constraints on Government Powers		
Score Change	Rank Change	e							8.6 <sup>8</sup>	3.7 <sup>1.1</sup> <sup>1.2</sup> <sup>1.3</sup> <sup>1.4</sup>		
0.00	3 •							8.4 8.3		1.5		
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank		8.2 8.1 7.7		0.5	2.1 Absen 2.2 Corru 2.3	
Constraints of Government		0.39	0.01	27/32	23/38	111/142	7	7.6		M	2.4	
s Absence of C	orruption	0.32	0.00	26/32	25/38	119/142	7.4 Civil <sup>7.4</sup>		7		3.1	Ope
Open Govern	iment	0.45	0.00	24/32	11/38	86/142	Justice 7.	3	LI			Govern
Fundamental	Rights	0.46	0.01	27/32	12/38	98/142	7	7.2	V	7.N	3.4	
Order and Se	curity	0.64	0.00	20/32	25/38	107/142		6.5			4.2	
Regulatory E	nforcement	0.39	-0.01	28/32	29/38	125/142	Re	6.3 gulatory <sup>6.2</sup>		4.4		
Civil Justice		0.41	0.01	24/32	27/38	118/142		prcement		4.6 5.2 5.1 4.8 4.7 der and	Fundamental Rights	
Criminal Just	ice	0.27	0.01	26/32	32/38	132/142				curity		
Constraints on	Government Po	owers	<u> </u>	F	undam	ental Rights		tt	Civ	il Justice		_4 <b>T</b> 4
		owers	0.43	4.1			1	0.42	7.1			<b>414</b> 0.48
Limits by legislat		owers	0.43	4.1		ental Rights mination	1	0.42	7.1 Acc	essibility and affordability	/	
Limits by legislat Limits by judiciar	ure Y	owers	0.43	4.1 4.2 F	No discrii		l L V	0.42	7.1 Acco 7.2 No c		/	0.48
Limits by legislat Limits by judiciar	ure Y	owers	0.43	4.1 4.2 4.3	No discrii Right to li	mination	y	0.42	7.1 Acco 7.2 No o 7.3	essibility and affordability	/	0.48
Limits by legislat Limits by judiciar Independent aud	y liting		0.43	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.3	No discrii Right to li Due proc	mination ife and securit		0.42	7.1 According to the formula of the	essibility and affordability discrimination	/	0.48
Limits by legislat Limits by judiciar Independent aud Sanctions for offi	ure y liting cial misconduct		0.43	4.1 4.2 F 4.3 [ 4.4 F 4.5	No discrii Right to li Due proc	mination ife and securit ess of law of expression		0.42	7.1 Acco 7.2 No o 7.3 No o 7.4 No i 7.5 No i	essibility and affordability discrimination corruption improper gov't influence	/	0.48 0.38 0.37
Limits by legislat Limits by judiciar Independent aud Sanctions for offi	ure y liting cial misconduct tal checks		0.43 0.35 0.36 0.25	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.5 F 4.5	No discrin Right to li Due proc Freedom	mination ife and securit ess of law of expression of religion		0.42	7.1 According to the formula of the	essibility and affordability discrimination corruption improper gov't influence unreasonable delay	/	0.48 0.38 0.37 0.32
Limits by legislat Limits by judiciar Independent aud Sanctions for offi	ure y liting cial misconduct tal checks		0.43 0.35 0.36 0.25 0.51	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.5 F 4.5	No discrii Right to li Due proc	mination ife and securit ess of law of expression of religion		0.42 0.39 0.34 0.51 0.59	7.1 According to the formula of the	essibility and affordability discrimination corruption improper gov't influence	/	0.48 0.38 0.37 0.32 0.28
Limits by legislat Limits by judiciar Independent aud Sanctions for offi Non-government Lawful transition	y y liting cial misconduct tal checks		0.43 0.35 0.36 0.25 0.51	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 6 4.5 6 4.5 7 7 7 7 7 7	No discrin Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p	mination ife and securit ess of law of expression of religion		0.42 0.39 0.34 0.51 0.59 0.34 0.59	7.1 According to the formula of the	essibility and affordability discrimination corruption improper gov't influence unreasonable delay		0.48 0.38 0.37 0.32 0.28 0.40
Limits by legislat Limits by judiciar Independent aud Sanctions for offi Non-government Lawful transition	ure y liting icial misconduct tal checks of power ruption		0.43 0.35 0.36 0.25 0.51 0.47	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.8	No discrin Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p	mination ife and securit ess of law of expression of religion privacy		0.42 0.39 0.34 0.51 0.59 0.34	7.1 Accor 7.2 No o 7.3 No o 7.4 No o 7.5 No o 7.6 Effe 7.7 Imp	essibility and affordability discrimination corruption improper gov't influence unreasonable delay		0.48 0.38 0.37 0.32 0.28 0.40
Limits by legislat Limits by judiciar Independent aud Sanctions for offi Non-government Lawful transition Absence of Cor In the executive l	ure y liting icial misconduct tal checks of power ruption		0.43 0.35 0.36 0.25 0.51 0.47	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.5 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.8	No discrin Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom	mination ife and securit ess of law of expression of religion privacy		0.42 0.39 0.34 0.51 0.59 0.34 0.59 0.34	7.1 Accord 7.2 No o 7.3 No o 7.4 No i 7.5 No o 7.6 Effe 7.7 Imp Crir 8.1	essibility and affordability discrimination corruption improper gov't influence unreasonable delay ective enforcement artial and effective ADRs minal Justice		0.48 0.38 0.37 0.32 0.28 0.40 0.65
Limits by legislat Limits by judiciar Independent aud Sanctions for offi Non-government Lawful transition Absence of Cor In the executive l	y y liting cial misconduct al checks of power ruption		0.43 0.35 0.36 0.25 0.51 0.47 0.47	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.8 4.8 1 4.8	No discrir Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rig <b>Drder ar</b>	mination ife and securit ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association hts		0.42 0.39 0.34 0.51 0.59 0.34 0.59	7.1 Acco 7.2 No o 7.3 No o 7.4 No i 7.5 No o 7.6 Effe 7.7 Imp Crir 8.1 Effe 8.2	essibility and affordability discrimination corruption improper gov't influence unreasonable delay ective enforcement artial and effective ADRs minal Justice		0.48 0.38 0.37 0.32 0.28 0.40 0.65
Limits by legislat Limits by judiciar Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-government Lawful transition Absence of Cor In the executive l In the judiciary In the police/mili	y y liting cial misconduct al checks of power ruption		0.43 0.35 0.36 0.25 0.51 0.47 0.47 0.34 0.34	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 4.8 4.8 1 4.8	No discrir Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rig <b>Drder ar</b>	mination ife and securit ess of law of expression of religion of association		0.42 0.39 0.34 0.51 0.59 0.34 0.59 0.49	7.1 Accord 7.2 No o 7.3 No o 7.4 No o 7.4 Crit 7.5 Strate 7.6 Effe 7.7 Imp Crit 8.1 Effe 8.2 Crit	essibility and affordability discrimination corruption improper gov't influence unreasonable delay ective enforcement artial and effective ADRs minal Justice		0.48 0.38 0.37 0.32 0.28 0.40 0.65
Limits by legislat Limits by judiciar Independent aud Sanctions for offi Non-government Lawful transition Absence of Cor In the executive l In the judiciary In the police/mili	y y iting it		0.43 0.35 0.36 0.25 0.51 0.47 0.34 0.34 0.37 0.46	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.5 7 4.6 7 4.8 4.8 1 4.8 1 5.1 7 5.2	No discrin Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom abor rig Drder ar Absence	mination ife and securit ess of law of expression of religion privacy of association hts hts hts of crime of civil conflic		0.42 0.39 0.34 0.51 0.59 0.34 0.59 0.49 0.49	7.1 Accord 7.2 No o 7.3 No o 7.4 No o 7.4 Crin 7.5 No o 7.6 Effe 7.7 Imp Crin 8.1 Effe 8.2 Tim 8.3 Effe	essibility and affordability discrimination corruption improper gov't influence unreasonable delay ective enforcement artial and effective ADRs minal Justice ective investigations	tion	0.48 0.38 0.37 0.32 0.28 0.40 0.65 0.22 0.22 0.28 0.28
Limits by legislat Limits by judiciar Independent aud Sanctions for offi Non-government Lawful transition Absence of Cor In the executive b	ure y y liting icial misconduct a of power ruption tary		0.43 0.35 0.36 0.25 0.51 0.47 0.34 0.34 0.37 0.46	4.1 4.2 F 4.3 T 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.8 L 5.1 C 5.2 F 5.3	No discrin Right to li Due proc Freedom Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi <b>Drder ar</b> Absence	mination ife and securit ess of law of expression of religion privacy of association hts hts hts of crime of civil conflic		0.42 0.39 0.34 0.51 0.59 0.34 0.59 0.49 0.49	7.1 Accord 7.2 No o 7.3 No o 7.4 No o 7.4 No o 7.5 No o 7.6 Effe 7.7 Imp Crir 8.1 Effe 8.2 Tim 8.3 Effe 8.4 M	essibility and affordability discrimination corruption improper gov't influence unreasonable delay ective enforcement artial and effective ADRs minal Justice ective investigations	tion	0.48 0.38 0.37 0.32 0.28 0.40 0.65 0.22 0.22



Complaint mechanisms

6.1

6.2

6.3

6.5

No improper influence

No unreasonable delay 6.4

Respect for due process

Effective regulatory enforcement

94

# Hong Kong SAR, China


**Regional Rank** 

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Global Rank

Income Rank

0.1	0.00000	10001011011001				oloparitaint		
	0.72	6/15		23/47		23/2	142	
Sco	core Change Rank Change		9					
	0.00	0						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
<b>İ</b>	Constraints of Government		0.56	-0.01	8/15	42/47	62/142	
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.84	0.00	3/15	10/47	10/142	
	Open Govern	iment	0.69	0.00	5/15	26/47	26/142	
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.59	-0.01	6/15	42/47	62/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.92	-0.01	3/15	9/47	9/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.80	0.00	5/15	16/47	16/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.71	0.00	6/15	19/47	19/142	
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.68	-0.01	6/15	21/47	21/142	
* 1 1*								



**Civil Justice** 

Accessibility and affordability

ŤŤ

0.69

7.1


7.2

8.7

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High 10 percent level


**Overall Score** 

 Hong Kong SAR, China East Asia and Pacific High



Absence of Corruption		Ś
2.1		0.79
In the executive branch		

2.2	0.94
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.90
In the police/military	
2.4	0.72



4.2 0.60 Right to life and security 4.3 0.70 Due process of law 4.4 0.45 Freedom of expression 0.78 45 Freedom of religion 0.39 4.6 Right to privacy 0.46 4.7 Freedom of association 0.63 4.8 Labor rights Order and Security 6 0.93 Absence of crime 1.00 Absence of civil conflict 0.83 5.3 Absence of violent redress **Regulatory Enforcement** 6.1

**Fundamental Rights** 

No discrimination

4.




No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

No discrimination 7.3 0.94 No corruption 0.61 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.61 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.72 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.79 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs **Criminal Justice** 0.69 Effective investigations 0.60 Timely and effective adjudication 0.76 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.64 8.4 No discrimination 0.89 8.5 No corruption 0.50 8.6

44

0.66


0.65



### Hungary

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ra	ink		Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.51	31/31			45/4	17	73/	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge					
	0.00	0						
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.3	6	-0.01	31/31	47/47	122/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.4	9	-0.01	30/31	44/47	60/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.4	5	0.01	31/31	44/47	88/142
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.54	4	-0.01	31/31	45/47	72/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.9	C	-0.01	13/31	17/47	18/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.4	5	-0.01	31/31	47/47	101/142
414	Civil Justice		0.4	6	0.01	31/31	47/47	97/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.4	6	0.01	30/31	44/47	68/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	•	Lov	v N	1edium [	High



**Civil Justice** 

No discrimination

No corruption

Accessibility and affordability

7.1

7.2

7.3

7.4

575

0.58

0.29

0.64

0.31

0.35

0.40

0.65

80

0.50

0.51


0.43

0.26

П

 Hungary EU, EFTA, and North America High







Fundamental Rights	
4.1 No discrimination	0.43
4.2 Right to life and security	0.74
4.3 Due process of law	0.57
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.40
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.60
4.6 Right to privacy	0.53
4.7 Freedom of association	0.47
4.8 Labor rights	0.59
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.90
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00

0.79 5.3 Absence of violent redress **Regulatory Enforcement** 0.48 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.62 6.2 No improper influence 0.48 6.3 I No unreasonable delay 0.27 6.4

No improper gov't influence 7 -No unreasonable delay 76 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs **Criminal Justice** Effective investigations - 1 Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 0.64 8.5 No corruption 0.31 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.57 87 Due process of law



Respect for due process

### India

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

	0			· ·		,		
Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.50	3/6		8/3	8	79/	/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Char	ige					Criminal Justice <sup>8.5</sup>
	0.00	0						8.4 8.3
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.56	-0.01	2/6	3/38	60/142	7.6
Š	Absence of Co	orruption	0.41	0.00	3/6	15/38	97/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.59	0.00	1/6	1/38	44/142	Justice 7.3
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.46	0.00	3/6	15/38	102/142	7.1
f	Order and Se	curity	0.67	0.02*	3/6	21/38	98/142	6.5 6.4
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.48	0.00	3/6	11/38	78/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 6.1
474	Civil Justice		0.44	0.01	3/6	23/38	107/142	Linorcement
ර්ව	Criminal Just	ice	0.38	0.01	3/6	14/38	89/142	
	ates statistically cent level	significant chang	ge at the	Lov	w N	∕ledium	High	2

Fundamental Rights

Absence of 2.2 Corruption Open Government Fundamental Rights Order and Security 2024 Score 2023 Score

İİ

- India - South Asia - Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.66
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.60
1.3 Independent auditing	0.52
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.51
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.69
Absence of Corruption	Ś
2.1 In the executive branch	0.42
2.2 In the judiciary	0.48
2.3 In the police/military	0.42
2.4 In the legislature	0.31
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.57
3.2 Right to information	0.55
3.3 Civic participation	0.53
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.70

4.1	0.45
No discrimination	
4.2	0.36
Right to life and security	0.50
Right to me and security	
4.3	0.38
Due process of law	
4.4	0.51
Freedom of expression	
	0.50
4.5	0.52
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.39
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.53
Freedom of association	
	0.50
4.8	0.50
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
	-
5.1	0.79
5.1 Absence of crime	0.79
	0.79
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.89
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.89
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.89
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.89
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	0.89
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.89
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	0.89
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.89
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.89
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	0.89 0.33 0.42 0.44
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.89
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.89 0.33 0.42 0.44 0.39
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.89 0.33 0.42 0.44
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.89 0.33 0.42 0.44 0.39
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.89 0.33 0.42 0.44 0.39
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4 Respect for due process	0.89 0.33 0.42 0.44 0.39 0.51 0.63


Civil Justice	_4 <b>T</b> &
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.43
7.2 No discrimination	0.37
7.3 No corruption	0.49
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.58
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.23
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.37
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.61
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.26
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.36
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.37
8.4 No discrimination	0.36
8.5 No corruption	0.46
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.49
8.7 Due process of law	0.38

# Indonesia

Constraints on

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.


Ov	erall Score	Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	ank	Global	Rank
	0.53	9/15		20/4	1	68/1	L42
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	e				
	0.00	-2 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.64	-0.02*	6/15	3/41	37/142
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.42	0.01	13/15	28/41	89/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.55	0.00	7/15	15/41	56/142
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.49	-0.02	9/15	31/41	89/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.69	-0.01	12/15	25/41	84/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.57	0.00	7/15	7/41	47/142
474	Civil Justice		0.47	0.00	11/15	28/41	92/142
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.39	0.00	12/15	25/41	86/142
	ates statistically	significant change a	at the	Low	M	edium	High



İİ

Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

 Indonesia
 East Asia and Pacific Upper-Middle




0.66

	_
4.1 No discrimination	0.47
4.2	0.45
Right to life and security 4.3	0.38
Due process of law	0.62
Freedom of expression	
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.42
4.6 Right to privacy	0.33
4.7 Freedom of association	0.61
4.8	0.63
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.86
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.80
5.3	0.42
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.59
6.2 No improper influence	0.69
6.3	0.52
No unreasonable delay	0.48
Respect for due process	

**Fundamental Rights** 

6.5				0.59
	No expropriation w/out	t ac	lequate compensa	tion

Civil Justice	1
7.1	0.50
Accessibility and affordability 7.2	0.36
No discrimination 7.3	0.45
No corruption 7.4	0.48
No improper gov't influence	0.50
No unreasonable delay	
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.42
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.56




3.4

Complaint mechanisms

# Iran, Islamic Rep.

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income	Rank	Globa	ıl Rank
0.39	8/9		29/3	38	128	/142
Score Change	Rank Chan	ge				
0.00	-2 •					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints o Government		0.32	0.00	8/9	34/38	131/142
Absence of Co	orruption	0.38	0.00	7/9	18/38	104/142
Open Govern	ment	0.27	0.00	8/9	36/38	140/142
Fundamental	Rights	0.20	0.00	9/9	37/38	141/142
Order and See	curity	0.63	0.00	9/9	28/38	110/142
Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.43	0.00	8/9	19/38	107/142
Civil Justice		0.51	0.00	5/9	7/38	73/142
Criminal Justi	ice	0.34	0.00	7/9	23/38	108/142
* Indicates statistically 10 percent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium [	High




10 percent level

Iran, Islamic Rep.
 Middle East and North Africa

**Constraints on Government Powers** Á

	<u> </u>
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.36
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.34
1.3 Independent auditing	0.37
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.34
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.17
1.6	0.37
Lawful transition of power	_
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.34
2.2 In the judiciary	0.46
2.3	0.47

2.3					0.47
	In the police	e/military	/		
2.4					0.23
	1				



Fundamental Rights	††
4.1 No discrimination	0.37
4.2 Right to life and security	0.17
4.3 Due process of law	0.37
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.17
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.03
4.6 Right to privacy	0.13
4.7 Freedom of association	0.10
4.8 Labor rights	0.23
Order and Security	

Lower-Middle

5.1	0.77
Absence of crime	
5.2	0.58
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.56
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.35
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.42
No improper influence	
6.3	0.50
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.41
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.49

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	<u>4</u> 74
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.62
7.2 No discrimination	0.35
7.3 No corruption	0.41
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.42
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.57
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.71
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	0.27
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.40
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.46
8.4 No discrimination	0.26
8.5 No corruption	0.36
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.24

Due process of law

8.7

0.37

# Ireland

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

0\	verall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income F	Rank	Global	Rank
	0.82	8/31		9/47	7	9/1	42
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.01	1 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.84	0.00	6/31	7/47	7/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.83	0.01	8/31	11/47	11/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.81	0.01	8/31	9/47	9/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.84	0.02	7/31	7/47	7/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.93	-0.02	3/31	4/47	4/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.84	0.01	6/31	8/47	8/142
474	Civil Justice		0.74	0.01	11/31	16/47	16/142
්ර	Criminal Just	ice	0.74	0.01	9/31	12/47	12/142
	cates statistically ercent level	significant change	e at the	Low	М	edium	High

ŧŧ

Constraints on Government Powers	Â
1.1	0.85
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.83
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.86
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.73
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.80
Non-governmental checks	
	0.95
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1	0.82
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.96
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.85
In the police/military	
2.4	0.69
In the legislature	
	_
Open Government	·
3.1	0.89
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.72

0.80

0.82

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

3.3

3.4

4.1	0.72
No discrimination 4.2	0.91
Right to life and security	0.91
4.3	0.83
Due process of law	
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.80
4.5	0.82
Freedom of religion	
4.6 Right to privacy	0.94
4.7	0.85
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.83
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.90
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	0.89
Absence of violent redress	0.89
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.72
Effective regulatory enforcement	0.00
6.2 No improper influence	0.92
6.3	0.67
No unreasonable delay	
6.4 Respect for due process	0.93
6.5	0.95

**Fundamental Rights** 

		0.75
No expropriation w/out adequat	e compen	sation


Civil Justice	<b>4</b> 14
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.64
7.2 No discrimination	0.72
7.3 No corruption	0.88
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.92
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.64
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.56
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.83
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.69
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.67
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.66
8.4 No discrimination	0.60
8.5 No corruption	0.83
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.89
8.7 Due process of law	0.83

# Italy


10 percent level

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ran	k I	Income Rank			Global Rank	
	0.66	24/31		31/47		32/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	e					
-	0.01 -	0						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.70	-0.01	19/31	25/47	26/142	
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.65	0.00	23/31	35/47	39/142	
	Open Govern	iment	0.64	0.01	23/31	30/47	31/142	
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.72	-0.01	23/31	29/47	30/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.75	0.00	30/31	40/47	64/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.62	-0.02	24/31	35/47	36/142	
4]4	Civil Justice		0.57	-0.01	27/31	40/47	52/142	
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.63	-0.01	20/31	27/47	27/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



Italy EU, EFTA, and North America High




Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1	0.66
No discrimination 4.2	0.87
Right to life and security	
4.3 Due process of law	0.68
4.4	0.67
Freedom of expression 4.5	0.74
Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6 Right to privacy	0.81
4.7	0.74
Freedom of association	0.59
Labor rights	0.57
Order and Security	0
Order and Security	1
	0.00
5.1 Absence of crime	0.80
Absence of crime	0.80
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.45
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.45
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00 0.45
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	1.00 0.45
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	1.00 0.45 0.62 0.83
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4 Respect for due process	1.00 0.45 0.62 0.83 0.45 0.58
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	1.00 0.45 0.62 0.83 0.45 0.58 0.58

Civil Justice	_1 <u>4</u>
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.61
7.2 No discrimination	0.65
7.3 No corruption	0.68
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.67
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.30
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.70
Criminal Justice	82
	_00
8.1 Effective investigations	0.46
	0.46
Effective investigations	
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.56
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4	0.56
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5	0.56 0.51 0.65

### Jamaica

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

	0 /	,		0,	0	'	
Overall Score Regio		Regional Ra	ank	nk Income Rank 10/41		Global Rank <b>54/142</b>	
	0.57 12/32						
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.63	0.00	5/32	4/41	38/142
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.53	0.00	12/32	11/41	56/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.56	-0.01	9/32	13/41	53/142
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.64	0.00	10/32	7/41	44/142
<b>F</b>	Order and Se	curity	0.61	0.00	23/32	33/41	116/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.55	0.00	9/32	10/41	55/142
474	Civil Justice		0.55	0.00	13/32	13/41	61/142
ðð	Criminal Just	ice	0.48	-0.01	13/32	13/41	57/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



10 percent level

🗕 Jamaica 🛑 Latin America and Caribbean 💻 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.62
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.70
1.3 Independent auditing	0.65
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.44
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.64
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.74
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.47
2.2 In the judiciary	0.84
2.3 In the police/military	0.59
2.4	0.24

2.2 In the judiciary	0.84
2.3 In the police/military	0.59
2.4 In the legislature	0.24
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.37
3.2	0.59
Right to information	
Right to information 3.3 Civic participation	0.63

Fundamental Rights	ŧŧ
4.1	0.63
No discrimination	0.00
4.2	0.61
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.50
Due process of law	
4.4	0.64
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.74
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.68
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.70
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.64
Labor rights	
Order and Security	
5.1	0.63
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.21
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.53
Effective regulatory enforcement	0.55
6.2	0.69
No improper influence	0.07
6.3	0.37
No unreasonable delay	0.07
6.4	0.53
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.63
No overenziation w/out adequate compa	nection

5				0.05	
	No expropriation w/out a	adeq	uate compensa	tion	

Civil Justice	4 <b>T</b> &
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.52
7.2 No discrimination	0.59
7.3 No corruption	0.77
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.74
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.25
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.34
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.67
Criminal Justice	්ර්
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.37
8.1	0-
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.37
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.37
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 </li> </ul>	0.37
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No discrimination</li> </ul>	0.37 0.33 0.31 0.50

#### Japan


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rank	< I	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.79 3/15 14/47		7	14/142			
Sco	re Change	Rank Change					
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.72	-0.01	3/15	21/47	22/142
š	Absence of Co	orruption	0.82	0.00	5/15	16/47	16/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.71	0.00	4/15	22/47	22/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.78	0.00	3/15	20/47	20/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.93	0.00	2/15	8/47	8/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.80	0.00	4/15	15/47	15/142
474	Civil Justice		0.77	0.01	3/15	12/47	12/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.77	0.00	2/15	8/47	8/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							

Constraints on Government Powers Criminal Justice Absence of Corruption 0.5 Civil Justice Open Government Regulatory Enforcement Fundamental Rights Order and Security 2024 Score \_\_\_\_\_ 2023 Score

10 percent level

 Japan East Asia and Pacific High



In the executive branch		
2.2		0.98
In the judiciary		
2.3		0.93
In the police/military		
2.4		0.58
In the legislature		

In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.75
3.2 Right to information	0.66
3.3 Civic participation	0.69
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.73


	Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1	No discrimination	0.81
4.2	Right to life and security	0.88
4.3	Due process of law	0.76
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.72
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.69
4.6	Right to privacy	0.90
4.7	Freedom of association	0.73
4.8	Labor rights	0.75
	Order and Security	f
5.1	Absence of crime	0.93
5.2		1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.85
	Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.71
6.2	No improper influence	0.95
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.76
6.4	Respect for due process	0.79
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	0.77 tion

Civil Justice	4 <b>T</b> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.65
7.2 No discrimination	0.78
7.3 No corruption	0.94
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.77
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.69
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.70
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.83
Criminal Justice	්ර්
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>ුර්</mark> රි 0.67
8.1	්ර් 0.67 0.67
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Image: Section 2016 Section 2017 Sect</li></ul>	0.67
<ul> <li>8.1</li> <li>Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2</li> <li>Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3</li> <li>Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4</li> </ul>	0.67
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.67
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No corruption</li> <li>8.6 </li> </ul>	0.67 0.82 0.79 0.91

# Jordan

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional Ran		ank	Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.55 3/9			2/38		61/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	1-					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.47	0.01	7/9	17/38	96/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.58	0.00	3/9	1/38	47/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.39	0.00	5/9	21/38	110/142
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.46	0.00	3/9	16/38	103/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.76	0.00	3/9	3/38	57/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.55	0.00	3/9	2/38	54/142
474	Civil Justice		0.61	0.00	2/9	1/38	43/142
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.56	-0.01	2/9	1/38	42/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the							



10 percent level

🗕 Jordan 🛑 Middle East and North Africa 💶 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.47
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.47
1.3 Independent auditing	0.54
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.53
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.38
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.41
Absence of Corruption	å
2.1 In the executive branch	0.55
2.2 In the judiciary	0.72
2.3 In the police/military	0.77
2.4 In the legislature	0.30
Open Government	
3.1	0.35

3.1		0.35
Publicized laws	and gov't data	
3.2		0.50
Right to informa	ation	
3.3		0.34
Civic participati	on	
3.4		0.37
Complaint mech	nanisms	

Fundamental Rights	
4.1 No discrimination	0.61
4.2 Right to life and security	0.51
4.3 Due process of law	0.49
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.38
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.41
4.6 Right to privacy	0.34
4.7 Freedom of association	0.37
4.8	0.54
Labor rights	
Order and Security	_
E 1	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.85
	0.85
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.44
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.44
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	1.00 0.44
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	1.00 0.44 0.46 0.71
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	1.00 0.44 0.46 0.71 0.55

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	4 <b>T</b> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.55
7.2 No discrimination	0.74
7.3 No corruption	0.74
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.59
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.37
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.59
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.70
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.50
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.62
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.50
8.4 No discrimination	0.56


8.5 No corruption 0.69 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.56 8.7 Due process of law

# Kazakhstan

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.


Upper-Middle

Overall Score Regional Rank		ank	Income Rank		Global Rank	
0.54	5/15		18/4	41	65/	142
Score Change	Rank Chan	ge				
0.00	0					
		Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints of Government		0.45	0.00	8/15	31/41	102/142
Absence of C	orruption	0.50	0.01	2/15	13/41	59/142
Open Govern	iment	0.47	0.01	9/15	27/41	78/142
Fundamental	Rights	0.46	0.00	10/15	34/41	97/142
Order and Se	curity	0.80	0.00	7/15	7/41	43/142
Regulatory E	nforcement	0.53	0.01	2/15	13/41	58/142
Civil Justice		0.62	-0.01	1/15	3/41	35/142
්ට Criminal Just	ice	0.46	-0.01	5/15	17/41	66/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High						



10 percent level

Kazakhstan
 Eastern Europe and Central Asia





Absence of Corruption	<u>š</u>
2.1 In the executive branch	0.49
2.2 In the judiciary	0.57
2.3 In the police/military	0.54
2.4	0.37

in the police	s/mintary	
2.4		0.37
In the legisl	ature	

Open Gov	vernment	
3.1		0.53
Publicized	laws and goy't data	

T abileized laws and gov t data	
3.2	0.42
Right to information	
3.3	0.39
Civic participation	
3.4	0.55
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	ţţ
4.1 No discrimination	0.59
4.2 Right to life and security	0.48
4.3 Due process of law	0.45
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.38
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.58
4.6 Right to privacy	0.28
4.7 Freedom of association	0.40
4.8 Labor rights	0.53
Labor rights	
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.79
Absence of crime 5.2	0.79
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.60
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.60

Respect for due process

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation


6.4

6.5

0.27

0.48

	Civil Justice	<u>4</u> 74
7.1	1 Accessibility and affordability	0.59
7.2	2 No discrimination	0.58
7.3	No corruption	0.57
7.4	<sup>4</sup> No improper gov't influence	0.38
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.80
7.6	6 Effective enforcement	0.65
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.77
	Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	Effective investigations	0.44
8.2	2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.64
8.3	B Effective correctional system	0.46
8.4	4	0.42



WJP Rule of Law Index 2024 | 105

# Kenya

Absence of 2.2 Corruption

Fundamental Rights

Open Government

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

	0.			· ·				
Ov	erall Score	Regional R	lank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.45	13/34	1	18/3	38	102	/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Char	nge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 Justice 8.5
	0.00	-1 •						8.4
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7
	Constraints o Government I		0.48	-0.01	15/34	12/38	89/142	7.6
š	Absence of Co	orruption	0.27	0.00	29/34	34/38	132/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Govern	ment	0.50	0.00	6/34	6/38	69/142	Justice 7.3
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.48	0.01	15/34	9/38	91/142	7.2
Ģ	Order and Sec	curity	0.55	-0.03	26/34	34/38	128/142	6.5 6.4
	Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.45	0.00	17/34	16/38	98/142	Regulatory 6.2
4 <b>1</b> 4	Civil Justice		0.50	0.01	10/34	11/38	81/142	Enforcement 5.3 5.2 5.1 Order and
්ට	Criminal Justi	ce	0.39	0.00	13/34	13/38	87/142	Security
	cates statistically rcent level	significant chanį	ge at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	- 2024 Score =

🗕 Kenya 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 🛑 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Â
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.59
	0.54
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.51
1.3	0.45
Independent auditing	
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.33
1.5	0.53
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.49
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1	0.28
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.46
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.26
In the police/military	
2.4 In the legislature	0.08
in the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1	0.33
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.40
Right to information	
3.3	0.57
Civic participation	
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.71
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	ŧŧ
4.1	0.50
No discrimination	0.00
4.2 Right to life and security	0.33
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.53
4.5	0.66
Freedom of religion	
4.6 Right to privacy	0.24
4.7	0.62
Freedom of association	0.55
4.8 Labor rights	0.55
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.58
5.1	
Absence of crime	0.50
5.2	0.74
5.2	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.74
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.74
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.74
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.74
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.74
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.74
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.74 0.33 0.43 0.45 0.43
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.74 0.33 0.43 0.45
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.74 0.33 0.43 0.45 0.43

Civil Justice	<b>4</b> ]4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.48
7.2 No discrimination	0.57
7.3 No corruption	0.44
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.50
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.35
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.66
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.35
8.1	0.35 0.39
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication	0.39
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Control of the system</li> </ul>	0.39 0.43
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.39

# Korea, Rep.

Constraints on Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Low Medium High

Overall Score Regional Ran		nk I	Income Rank			Global Rank	
0.74 5/15			19/4	7	19/142		
Score Change Rank Change							
0.00	0						
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
Constraints Governmer		0.71	0.01	4/15	22/47	23/142	
<b>Absence of</b>	Absence of Corruption			6/15	32/47	34/142	
Open Gove	Open Government		0.00	3/15	20/47	20/142	
Fundament	al Rights	0.75	0.00	4/15	23/47	24/142	
Order and S	Security	0.84	0.00	6/15	27/47	29/142	
Regulatory	Enforcement	0.75	0.01	6/15	20/47	20/142	
Civil Justice	e	0.76	0.01	4/15	13/47	13/142	
ර්ර් Criminal Ju	stice	0.72	0.00	4/15	15/47	15/142	
* Indicates statistically significant shapes at the							


Powers Criminal Justice Absence of Corruption 0.5 Civil Open Justice Government Regulatory Fundamental Rights Enforcement Order and Security 2024 Score \_\_\_\_\_ 2023 Score

**Civil Justice** 

7.1

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level


Korea, Rep. East Asia and Pacific High





in the judic	lary		
2.3			0.78
In the polic	e/military		
2.4			0.31





Complaint mechanisms

	Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1	No discrimination	0.74
4.2	Right to life and security	0.92
4.3	Due process of law	0.79
4.4		0.66
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6	Right to privacy	0.84
4.7	Freedom of association	0.72
4.8	Labor rights	0.62
	Order and Security	6
5.1	Absence of crime	0.91
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.61
	Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.60
6.2	No improper influence	0.86
6.3		0.81
6.4	·	0.69
6.5		0.77

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

	Accessibility and affordability	
7.2		0.74
	No discrimination	
7.3		0.75
	No corruption	
7.4		0.72
	No improper gov't influence	
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.74
- /	·	0.77
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.77
7.7		0.87
	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.07
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1		0.58
	Effective investigations	
8.2		0.77
	Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3		0.75
	Effective correctional system	
8.4	No discrimination	0.74
0.5		0 (7
8.5	No corruption	0.67
8.6		0.72
0.0	No improper gov't influence	5.72
8.7		0.79
	Due process of law	


474

0.71

#### Kosovo

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ran	k	Income Rank		ank	Global Rank	
0.56 3/15			14/41		58/142			
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	e					
	0.00	0						
			Fac Sco		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints of Government		0.5	57	0.00	1/15	13/41	59/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.4	17	0.00	5/15	16/41	65/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.5	57	-0.01	3/15	12/41	50/142
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.6	51	0.00	4/15	15/41	56/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.8	34	0.00	2/15	1/41	31/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.4	17	-0.01	4/15	22/41	82/142
474	Civil Justice		0.4	18	-0.02	10/15	27/41	89/142
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.4	17	0.01	4/15	16/41	62/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



10 percent level

🗕 Kosovo 🛑 Eastern Europe and Central Asia 💻 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	<b>A</b>
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.62
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.46
1.3 Independent auditing	0.56
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.47
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.60
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.68
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.48
2.2 In the judiciary	0.47
2.3 In the police/military	0.60
2.4 In the legislature	0.34
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.49
3.2 Right to information	0.51
3.3 Civic participation	0.58

0.69

Fundamental Rights	_††
4.1 No discrimination	0.58
4.2 Right to life and security	0.70
4.3 Due process of law	0.58
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.60
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.69
4.6 Right to privacy	0.53
4.7 Freedom of association	0.65
4.8 Labor rights	0.50
Order and Security	F
5.1 Absence of crime	0.91
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.60
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.36
6.2 No improper influence	0.59
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.46
6.4 Respect for due process	0.37
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.58 sation

Civil Justice	4 <b>T</b> &
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.59
7.2 No discrimination	0.49
7.3 No corruption	0.43
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.50
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.34
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.30
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.56
8.4 No discrimination	0.58
8.5 No corruption	0.49
8.6	0.45

8.7 Due process of law

No improper gov't influence

0.58

3.4

Complaint mechanisms


#### **Kuwait**


10 percent level

3.4

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.58 2/9			43/47		52/142	
Sco	Score Change Rank Chang						
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Â	Constraints of Government		0.54	-0.01	2/9	43/47	65/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.67	0.01	2/9	31/47	33/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.42	-0.01	3/9	46/47	101/142
İİ	Fundamental	Rights	0.45	0.00	4/9	46/47	104/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.88	0.01	2/9	21/47	22/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.65	-0.01	2/9	29/47	30/142
474	Civil Justice		0.57	0.00	3/9	39/47	51/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.47	0.00	3/9	43/47	65/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							





Complaint mechanisms

Fundamental Rights	††
4.1 No discrimination	0.35
4.2 Right to life and security	0.51
4.3 Due process of law	0.54
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.51
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.31
4.6 Right to privacy	0.38
4.7 Freedom of association	0.51
4.8 Labor rights	0.54
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.94
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.72

**Regulatory Enforcement** 


0.76 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influe 6.3 No unreasonable d 6.4 Respect for due pro

0.50

		0.74	Due process o
ence			Baeprocesse
		0.58	
lelay			
		0.48	
ocess			

0.70 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	_4 <b>T</b> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.64
7.2 No discrimination	0.52
7.3 No corruption	0.68
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.63
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.32
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.72
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.48
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.37
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.56
8.4	0.24



# Kyrgyz Republic

Absence of Corruption

> Open Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.45	12/15	j	17/3	38	101	/142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	ore Change	Rank Char	ige		L.			Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 Justice 8.5 1.4
	0.00	2 •						8.4 1.5 1.6
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 2.3
	Constraints o Government		0.43	0.00	9/15	21/38	104/142	7.8 2.4
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.29	0.00	15/15	32/38	130/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.49	-0.01	7/15	7/38	71/142	Justice 7.3
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.46	0.00	11/15	14/38	100/142	7.2 7.1 3.4 4.1
F	Order and Se	curity	0.75	0.02	12/15	6/38	60/142	6.5 6.4 4.2
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.40	-0.01	15/15	27/38	122/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 5.3 5.0 4.4 4.5 Fundamental
474	Civil Justice		0.47	-0.01	11/15	14/38	91/142	Order and Rights
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.33	0.00	14/15	26/38	112/142	Security
	cates statistically rcent level	significant chang	ge at the	Lov	w N	/ledium	High	— 2024 Score — 2023 Score

Kyrgyz Republic 🛑 Eastern Europe and Central Asia 🛑 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1	0.50
Limits by legislature	0.00
1.2	0.28
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.41
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.43
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.53
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.44
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	š
2.1	0.35
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.05
	0.35
In the judiciary	0.35
In the judiciary	0.35
2.3	
2.3 2.3 In the police/military	0.36
2.3 In the police/military	0.36
2.3 In the police/military	0.36

3.1	0.51
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.49
Right to information	
3.3	0.52
Civic participation	
3.4	0.45
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	_ ŧŧ
4.1 No discrimination	0.52
4.2 Right to life and security	0.41
4.3 Due process of law	0.36
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.53
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.55
4.6 Right to privacy	0.21
4.7 Freedom of association	0.56
4.8 Labor rights	0.52
Order and Security	_
5.1 Absence of crime	0.81
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.45
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2 No improper influence	0.38
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.49
6.4 Respect for due process	0.29
6.5 <b>No expropriation w/out adequate compen</b>	0.39 sation

Civil Justice	
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.60
7.2 No discrimination	0.52
7.3 No corruption	0.35
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.30
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.47
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.66
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.38
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.53
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.28
8.4 No discrimination	0.29
8.5 No corruption	0.28
8.6	0.17

No improper gov't influence 

Due process of law

0.36


8.7

#### Latvia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

1 21/42 nge Factor Score Change 0.70 0.00	core Regional Income Global	81 Corrupt
Factor Score Score Change 0.70 0.00		Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 2.1 Absence
Score Change 0.70 0.00		8.4 8.3 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2
Score Change 0.70 0.00		
		0.5
0.40 0.04	0.00 18/31 24/47 25/142	7.7 7.6 2.3 2.4
0.69 0.01	0.01 20/31 28/47 28/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
0.73 0.01	0.01 16/31 19/47 19/142	Justice 7,3 7,2 7,2 7,2 7,2 7,2 7,2 7,2 7,2 7,2 7,2
0.77 0.00	0.00 17/31 21/47 22/142	7.1 4.1
0.86 0.00	0.00 17/31 24/47 25/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
0.72 0.01	0.01 17/31 23/47 23/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 5.3 6.2 4.6 4.7 Fundamental
0.71 0.02	0.02 13/31 20/47 20/142	Order and Security
0.68 -0.01	0.01 16/31 23/47 23/142	Security
	0.71 ( 0.68 -	0.71 0.02 13/31 20/47 20/142

💻 Latvia 💻 EU, EFTA, and North America 💻 High



3.4

Complaint mechanisms

0.76


Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1	0.75
No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.90
4.3	0.72
Due process of law	
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.68
4.5	0.73
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.83
Right to privacy	0.75
4.7 Freedom of association	0.75
4.8	0.78
Labor rights	
Order and Security	C
	1
5.1 Absence of crime	0.83
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.75
Absence of violent real ess	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.80
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.84
6.3	0.67
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.58
Respect for due process 6.5	0.71
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	

	Civil Justice	474
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.66
7.2	No discrimination	0.77
7.3	No corruption	0.75
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.74
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.51
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.78
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.76
	Criminal Justice	80
		90
8.1		0.56
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.56
	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.55
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.55 0.68
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.55 0.68 0.74

### Lebanon

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional R	ank	Income Rank		Global Rank		
0.44		7/9		21/3	38	108/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Char	nge					
-	0.01 -	-1 -						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.48	-0.01	6/9	14/38	92/142	
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.36	0.00	9/9	21/38	109/142	
	Open Govern	ment	0.44	0.00	2/9	15/38	99/142	
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.46	0.00	2/9	13/38	99/142	
<b>F</b>	Order and Se	curity	0.65	-0.02*	7/9	23/38	101/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.44	0.00	7/9	18/38	104/142	
414	Civil Justice		0.40	-0.01	8/9	29/38	126/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.31	0.00	9/9	27/38	116/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



10 percent level


Lebanon Middle East and North Africa Lower-Middle



open obvernment	
3.1	0.30
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.46
Right to information	
3.3	0.51
Civic participation	
3.4	0.47
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	ŤŤ
4.1 No discrimination	0.36
4.2 Right to life and security	0.50
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.55
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.42
4.6 Right to privacy	0.41
4.7 Freedom of association	0.61
4.8 Labor rights	0.45
Order and Security	Ē,
5.1 Absence of crime	0.70
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.94
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.33
Regulatory Enforcement	Ē
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2 No improper influence	0.39
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4 Respect for due process	0.50
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.44 ation


Civil Justice	4T4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.54
7.2 No discrimination	0.44
7.3 No corruption	0.37
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.33
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.37
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.48
Criminal Justice	්ර



#### Liberia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.


Overall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
0.44	17/34		6/1	6	109	/142
Score Change	Rank Chan	ige				
0.00	3 •					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraint Governme		0.50	0.01	10/34	4/16	80/142
<b>Absence of</b>	f Corruption	0.31	0.00	25/34	12/16	124/142
Open Gove	ernment	0.46	0.00	8/34	2/16	84/142
Fundamen	tal Rights	0.52	0.00	10/34	3/16	80/142
Order and	Security	0.61	0.01	21/34	7/16	117/142
Regulatory	/ Enforcement	0.41	0.01	23/34	8/16	120/142
Civil Justic	e	0.41	0.01	26/34	10/16	117/142
්ට Criminal Ju	ustice	0.31	-0.02	26/34	11/16	117/142
* Indicates statistica 10 percent level	ally significant chang	ge at the	Lov	v N	∕ledium ∣	High



🗕 Liberia 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.52
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.49
1.3 Independent auditing	0.46
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.35
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.57
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.62
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.31
2.2 In the judiciary	0.30
2.3 In the police/military	0.38
2.4 In the legislature	0.24
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.17
3.2 Right to information	0.44
3.3 Civic participation	0.58
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.65

Fundamental Rights	ţ,
4.1 No discrimination	0.47
4.2 Right to life and security	0.53
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.57
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6 Right to privacy	0.45
4.7 Freedom of association	0.63
4.8 Labor rights	0.47
Order and Security	Ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.47
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.35
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.39
6.2 No improper influence	0.40
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4 Respect for due process	0.48
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.40 ation




	Civil Justice	4]4
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.49
7.2	No discrimination	0.44
7.3	No corruption	0.33
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.34
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.37
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.44
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.51
	Criminal Justice	80
8.1		0.30
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	<mark>ර්</mark> ර 0.30 0.32
	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.32
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.32 0.21
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.32 0.21 0.32
8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence	0.32 0.21 0.32 0.38

### Lithuania

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.


Ov	erall Score	Regional Ran	k	Ir	ncome R	ank	Global	Rank
	0.77	14/31			18/4	7	18/2	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	e					
	0.01 -	0						
			Fact Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.7	6	0.00	14/31	17/47	17/142
Š	Absence of Co	orruption	0.7	5	0.02	15/31	21/47	21/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.7	6	0.01	13/31	15/47	15/142
İİ	Fundamental	Rights	0.7	9	0.00	14/31	16/47	16/142
•	Order and Se	curity	0.9	0	0.01	11/31	15/47	15/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.7	6	0.01	14/31	19/47	19/142
4]4	Civil Justice		0.7	8	-0.01	8/31	10/47	10/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.7	0	0.01	15/31	20/47	20/142
	ates statistically	significant change a	at the	ì	Low	Me	edium 🗌	High



ŧŧ

10 percent level

EU, EFTA, and North America High



0.78

4.1 No discrimination	0.78
4.2 Right to life and security	0.94
4.3 Due process of law	0.71
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.75
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.81
4.6 Right to privacy	0.77
4.7 Freedom of association	0.79
4.8 Labor rights	0.73
-	~
Order and Security	G
5.1 Absence of crime	0.92
Absence of crime	
	0.92
Absence of crime 5.2	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.79
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00 0.79
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.79
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	1.00 0.79 0.80 0.81
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	1.00 0.79 0.80 0.81 0.79 0.65 0.76

**Fundamental Rights** 

	Civil Justice	414
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.78
7.2	No discrimination	0.82
7.3	No corruption	0.70
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.79
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.74
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.83
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.77
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1		0.67
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.67 0.58
	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.58
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.58 0.61
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.58 0.61 0.80


3.4

Complaint mechanisms

### Luxembourg

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Ran	ık	Income R	lank	Global Rank		
0.83	0.83 6/31		7/47	7	7/142		
Score Change	Rank Change	9					
0.00	-1 -						
		Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
Constraints o Government I		0.82	0.00	12/31	14/47	14/142	
Absence of Co	orruption	0.85	0.00	6/31	8/47	8/142	
Open Govern	. Open Government		0.00	6/31	7/47	7/142	
Fundamental	Rights	0.85	-0.01	6/31	6/47	6/142	
Order and Sec	curity	0.95	0.00	1/31	1/47	1/142	
Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.85	-0.01	4/31	5/47	5/142	
Civil Justice		0.78	0.00	9/31	11/47	11/142	
්රී Criminal Justi	ice	0.73	0.00	11/31	14/47	14/142	
* Indicates statistically 10 percent level	significant change a	at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High	



10 percent level

Luxembourg EU, EFTA, and North A	America	High
Constraints on Government Powers	<b>.</b>	Fu
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.86	4.1 No
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.81	4.2 Rig
1.3 Independent auditing	0.84	4.3 Du
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.72	4.4 Fre
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.76	4.5 Fre
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.90	4.6 Rig
Absence of Corruption	å	4.7 Fre
2.1 In the executive branch	0.84	4.8 Lal
2.2 In the judiciary	0.93	Or
2.3 In the police/military	0.88	5.1 Ab
2.4 In the legislature	0.74	5.2 Ab
Open Government		5.3 Ab
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.82	Re
3.2 Right to information	0.77	6.1 Eff
3.3 Civic participation	0.81	6.2 No
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.85	6.3 No
		6.4

Fundamental Rights	_ 11
4.1	0.76
No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.95
4.3	0.86
Due process of law	0.00
4.4	0.76
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.86
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.91
Right to privacy	
4.7 Freedom of association	0.88
4.8	0.80
Labor rights	0.00
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.94
Absence of crime	0.74
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	_
5.3	0.91
Absence of violent redress	
	-
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.78
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.92
No improper influence	
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.85
No unreasonable delay	
	0.85
No unreasonable delay	

		0.05
No expropriation w/out adequat	e com	pensation

	Civil Justice	4]4
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.71
7.2	No discrimination	0.77
7.3	No corruption	0.85
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.85
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.66
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.76
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.83
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1	Effective investigations	0.75
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.60
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.75
8.4	No discrimination	0.59
8.5	No corruption	0.86
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.72
8.7		0.86

# Madagascar

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	Overall Score Regional Rank			Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.43	19/34			8/16		112/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chang	ge					
	0.00	2 •						
			Fact Sco		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.4	2	-0.01	18/34	7/16	107/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.2	7	0.00	30/34	14/16	133/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.4	5	0.00	10/34	4/16	89/142
ŤŤ	Fundamental	Rights	0.4	6	0.00	17/34	8/16	101/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.7	1	0.01	6/34	2/16	76/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.3	8	0.00	26/34	10/16	126/142
474	Civil Justice		0.4	2	0.00	22/34	7/16	113/142
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.3	1	-0.01	27/34	12/16	119/142
** **								

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High 10 percent level

🗕 Madagascar 💻 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Low


Constraints on Government Powers	Ă
1.1 Limits by legislature	<b></b> 0.44
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.37
1.3 Independent auditing	0.46
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.35
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.46
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.44
Absence of Corruption	Ś

2.1		0.32
In the executi	ve branch	
2.2		0.26
In the judiciar	У	
2.3		0.34
In the police/	military	
2.4		0.16

In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.33
3.2 Right to information	0.42
3.3 Civic participation	0.48
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.58

4.1 No discrimination	0.59
4.2 Right to life and security	0.44
4.3 Automatical Au	0.34
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.46
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.54
4.6 Right to privacy	0.26
4.7 Freedom of association	0.51
4.8 Labor rights	0.53
Order and Security	Ō
	2
5.1 Absence of crime	0.67
5.1	0.67
5.1 Absence of crime	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.46
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.46
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	1.00 0.46 0.33 0.40

**Fundamental Rights** 



ŧŧ


Civil Justice	4 <u>T</u> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.42
7.2 No discrimination	0.52
7.3 No corruption	0.32
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.29
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.44
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.57
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.32
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.42
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.19
8.4 No discrimination	0.36
8.5 No corruption	0.30
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.24

8.7 0.34 Due process of law

## Malawi

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

0 .				• •		
Overall Score	Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	ank	Global	Rank
0.52	8/34		2/16	5	70/1	142
Score Change	Rank Change	1				
0.00	-1 •					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints of Government F		0.57	0.00	7/34	3/16	55/142
Absence of Co	orruption	0.42	0.00	11/34	5/16	87/142
Open Govern	ment	0.45	-0.01	11/34	5/16	92/142
Fundamental	Rights	0.57	-0.01	6/34	1/16	66/142
Order and Sec	curity	0.68	0.00	15/34	5/16	94/142
Regulatory Er	oforcement	0.46	0.00	12/34	4/16	87/142
Civil Justice		0.56	0.00	7/34	2/16	56/142
්ට Criminal Justi	ce	0.44	0.00	9/34	3/16	74/142
* Indicates statistically 10 percent level	significant change a	t the	Low	Me	edium 🗌	High



İİ

10 percent level

🗕 Malawi 👥 Sub-Saharan Africa 💶 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.59
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.64
1.3 Independent auditing	0.49
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.46
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.68
Absence of Corruption	š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.38
2.2 In the judiciary	0.53
2.3 In the police/military	0.40
2.4 In the legislature	0.35
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.15
3.2 Right to information	0.46
3.3 Civic participation	0.61
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.57

i unuuniontui riights	
4.1 No discrimination	0.52
4.2 Right to life and security	0.58
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.59
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6 Right to privacy	0.55
4.7 Freedom of association	0.71
4.8 Labor rights	0.53
Order and Security	۵
	1
5.1 Absence of crime	0.68
5.1	0.68
5.1 Absence of crime	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00 0.34
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.34
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00 0.34 0.42 0.41


**Fundamental Rights** 

	Civil Justice	573
		÷ +
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.50
	· · ·	
7.2		0.54
	No discrimination	
7.3		0.50
	No corruption	
7.4		0.68
	No improper gov't influence	
7.5		0.43
	No unreasonable delay	
7.6		0.65
	Effective enforcement	
7.7		0.62
	Impartial and effective ADRs	
	Criminal Justice	00
8.1		0.34
8.1		0.34
	Effective investigations	
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.34 0.47
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	0.47
	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.47 0.32
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.47
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.47 0.32 0.47
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.47 0.32
8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.47 0.32 0.47 0.45
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.47 0.32 0.47
8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.47 0.32 0.47 0.45
8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence	0.47 0.32 0.47 0.45
8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.6	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence	0.47 0.32 0.47 0.45 0.65

# Malaysia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional Ra		ank	Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.57	7/15		11/4	41	55/	142
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.57	0.00	7/15	12/41	58/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.57	0.01	7/15	8/41	49/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.41	-0.01	12/15	35/41	104/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.49	0.00	8/15	30/41	88/142
Ģ	Order and Se	curity	0.78	0.00	8/15	9/41	47/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.56	0.01	8/15	8/41	51/142
474	Civil Justice		0.62	0.00	7/15	5/41	38/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.56	0.00	7/15	4/41	43/142
	cates statistically	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



**Civil Justice** 

Accessibility and affordability

7.1

0.50


No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

6.5

ŧŧ

10 percent level

 Malaysia
 East Asia and Pacific Upper-Middle



4.1 No discrimination	0.51
4.2	0.52
Right to life and security 4.3	0.53
Due process of law	
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.47
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.45
4.6 Right to privacy	0.41
4.7 Freedom of association	0.46
4.8 Labor rights	0.58
Order and Security	G
5.1 Absence of crime	0.78
5.1	0.78
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.57
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.57 

**Fundamental Rights** 

7.2	0.56
No discrimination	
7.3	0.71
No corruption	
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.55
	0.45
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.65
7.6	0.62
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.66
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	_60
Criminal Justice	0.60
	<mark>ර</mark> ්ට 0.60
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.60 0.58
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> </ul>	0.58
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.58
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> </ul>	0.58 0.61 0.48
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.58
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No corruption</li> </ul>	0.58 0.61 0.48 0.65
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.58 0.61 0.48

0.53

44

0.58


8.7 Due process of law

118

### Mali

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	Overall Score Regional Rank		Income	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.39	27/34		10/1	16	124	/142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge				
-	0.01 -	-3 •					
			Facto Scor		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.41	-0.01	20/34	9/16	109/142
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.32	2 0.00	23/34	11/16	122/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.44	-0.01	13/34	6/16	98/142
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.48	-0.02	16/34	7/16	93/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.37	0.00	33/34	16/16	141/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.46	-0.01	15/34	6/16	93/142
4]4	Civil Justice		0.40	-0.02	31/34	13/16	127/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.29	0.00	31/34	14/16	128/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



10 percent level

– Mali – Sub-Saharan Africa – Low

Constraints on Government Powers	· 📩
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.50
1.2	0.36
Limits by judiciary	0.05
1.3 Independent auditing	0.35
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.27
1.5	0.51
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.46
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	å
21	0.40
2.1 In the executive branch	0.40
2.2	0.20
In the judiciary	
2.3 In the police/military	0.32
2.4	0.35
In the legislature	
Open Government	Γ.
	0.05
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.25
3.2	0.45
Right to information	
3.3 Civic participation	0.51
3.4	0.56
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	ţţ
4.1	0.56
No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.33
4.3 Due process of law	0.35
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.51
4.5	0.69
Freedom of religion	
4.6 Right to privacy	0.26
4.7	0.53
Freedom of association	0.50
4.8 Labor rights	0.58
Order and Security	G
5.1	0.59
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.17
5.3	0.34
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.40
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.49
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.35
6.4	0.46
Respect for due process	
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	0.59 ation


Civil Justice	_4 <b>T</b> 2
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.42
7.2 No discrimination	0.42
7.3 No corruption	0.11
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.37
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.53
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.44
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.50
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.24
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.29
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.28
8.4 No discrimination	0.35
8.5 No corruption	0.25

8.6	0.25
No improper gov't influence	
8.7	0.35
Due process of law	

## Malta


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

(	Overall Score	erall Score Regional Rank Income Rank		Global Rank			
	0.67	22/31		29/47		30/142	
S	icore Change	Rank Change	e				
	-0.01 -	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
İ	Constraints o Government		0.63	-0.01	26/31	34/47	39/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.64	-0.03	24/31	36/47	40/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.63	0.00	24/31	31/47	32/142
ţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.74	0.00	21/31	27/47	28/142
6	Order and Se	curity	0.91	0.00	7/31	10/47	10/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.59	-0.01	26/31	39/47	43/142
4	Civil Justice		0.60	0.00	25/31	37/47	48/142
đ	Criminal Just	ice	0.63	-0.01	21/31	28/47	28/142
	ndicates statistically	significant change a	at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High



Malta 😐 EU, EFTA, and North America 💻 High

10 percent level



0.71

Fundamental Rights	_tt
4.1	0.67
No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.85
4.3	0.73
Due process of law	
4.4	0.63
Freedom of expression	0.70
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	0.80
Right to privacy	
4.7 Freedom of association	0.70
4.8	0.78
Labor rights	
Order and Security	
5.1	0.86
Absence of crime	1.00
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.88
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	<b>E</b>
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.62
6.2	0.74
No improper influence	
6.3	0.46
No unreasonable delay	0.55
Respect for due process	0.55
6.5	0.55
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	ation

Civil Justice	_12
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.74
7.2	0.50
No discrimination	0.70
7.3 No corruption	0.73
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.68
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.25
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.58
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.72
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>ර</mark> ්ට 0.63
8.1	0
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.63
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.63
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.63
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.63 0.47 0.53 0.52


3.4

Complaint mechanisms

## Mauritania

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

	· · ·			- ·	0	,	
Overall	all Score Regional Rank		Income Rank		Global Rank		
0.3	36	31/34	Ļ	32/38		132/142	
Score C	hange	Rank Char	nge				
0.0	00	1 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
_	nstraints o vernment		0.34	0.01	30/34	32/38	127/142
💰 Ab	sence of C	orruption	0.30	0.01	27/34	30/38	127/142
р Ор	en Govern	iment	0.28	0.00	34/34	35/38	138/142
Fur	ndamental	Rights	0.38	-0.01	28/34	28/38	123/142
Or Or	der and Se	curity	0.63	-0.01	19/34	26/38	108/142
Reg	gulatory E	nforcement	0.29	0.01	34/34	36/38	139/142
€ ☐ ↓ Civ	il Justice		0.40	0.00	30/34	28/38	125/142
o Cri	minal Just	ice	0.27	0.00	33/34	31/38	131/142
* Indicates 10 percent		significant chang	ge at the	Lov	w	Medium	High



🗕 Mauritania 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.36
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.25
1.3 Independent auditing	0.37
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.29
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.34
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.45
Absence of Corruption	<u>i</u>
2.1 In the executive branch	0.30
2.2 In the judiciary	0.42
2.3 In the police/military	0.26
2.4 In the legislature	0.23
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.20
3.2 Right to information	0.30
3.3 Civic participation	0.36
Complaint mechanisms	0.28

Fundamental Rights	ŧŧ
4.1 A discrimination	0.36
4.2 Right to life and security	0.43
4.3 Due process of law	0.33
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.34
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.34
4.6 Right to privacy	0.30
4.7 Freedom of association	0.49
4.8 Labor rights	0.45
Order and Security	C,
5.1 Absence of crime	0.61
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.29
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.25
6.2 No improper influence	0.32
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4 Respect for due process	0.15
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.33 ation


Civil Justice	_4 <b>T</b> &
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.43
7.2 No discrimination	0.34
7.3 No corruption	0.30
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.29
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.50
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.34
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.61
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.24
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.45
8.3	0.26
Effective correctional system	
8.4 No discrimination	0.21
8.4	0.21
8.4 No discrimination	

Due process of law

### **Mauritius**

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	Overall Score Regional Rank			ncome R	lank	Global Rank	
	0.60	3/34		5/41	L	46/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change					
-	-0.01 -	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.57	-0.01	8/34	11/41	56/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.58	0.00	2/34	7/41	48/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.51	-0.02	5/34	21/41	68/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.64	0.00	2/34	8/41	45/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.75	0.00	2/34	15/41	61/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.62	-0.01	1/34	2/41	38/142
474	Civil Justice		0.62	-0.01	2/34	4/41	37/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.55	0.00	4/34	8/41	47/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



🗕 Mauritius 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 🛑 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1	0.61
Limits by legislature	
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.66
1.3	0.40
Independent auditing	0.10
1.4	0.49
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.58
1.6	0.68
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.59
2.2	0.80
In the judiciary	0.80
2.3	0.61
In the police/military	
2.4 In the legislature	0.31
Open Government	
3.1	0.55
Publicized laws and gov't data	
	0.37
Right to information 3.3	0.58
Civic participation	0.58
3.4	0.54
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 No discrimination	0.58
4.2 Right to life and security	0.73
4.3 Due process of law	0.54
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.58
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.80
4.6 Right to privacy	0.60
4.7 Freedom of association	0.64
4.8 Labor rights	0.65
-	0
Order and Security	-
5.1 Absence of crime	0.82
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.44
Regulatory Enforcement	Ê
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.67
6.2 No improper influence	0.82
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.47
6.4 Respect for due process	0.54
6.5	0.57


No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	<u> </u>
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.62
7.2 No discrimination	0.68
7.3 No corruption	0.76
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.70
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.30
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.60
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.67
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>ර</mark> ්ට 0.41
8.1	0.41 0.42
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Investigation</li> </ul>	0.42
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.42
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.42

### Mexico

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.41	28/32		39/4	11	118	/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge					Criminal Justice
	0.00	-2 •						8.3
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7
	Constraints o Government		0.43	-0.01	26/32	32/41	105/142	7.6
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.27	0.00	29/32	39/41	135/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Govern	ment	0.57	-0.01	7/32	9/41	47/142	Justice 7.3
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.47	-0.01	26/32	33/41	95/142	7.1
F	Order and Se	curity	0.52	0.02	31/32	41/41	133/142	6.5 6.4
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.43	-0.01	25/32	35/41	106/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement
474	Civil Justice		0.37	0.00	27/32	39/41	131/142	Emorcement
ďð	Criminal Just	ice	0.25	-0.01	27/32	39/41	134/142	
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	∕ledium	High	



Mexico — Latin America and Caribbean — Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.46
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.41
1.3 Independent auditing	0.38
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.26
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.47
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.60
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.33
2.2 In the judiciary	0.31
2.3 In the police/military	0.30
2.4 In the legislature	0.12
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.67
3.2 Right to information	0.56
3.3 Civic participation	0.48
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.60

Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 No discrimination	0.34
4.2 Right to life and security	0.40
4.3 Due process of law	0.31
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.47
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.69
4.6 Right to privacy	0.48
4.7 Freedom of association	0.58
4.8 Labor rights	0.49
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.38
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.98
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.21
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.54
6.2 No improper influence	0.52
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.34
6.4 Respect for due process	0.31
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.45 ation

Civil Justice	4T4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.43
7.2 No discrimination	0.24
7.3 No corruption	0.32
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.39
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.37
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.59
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	0.17



## Moldova

Open Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

	-			-	-			
0\	verall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.54	4/15		17/4	11	64/	142	Constraints on Government
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge					Powers           Criminal         8.6         8.7         1.1         1.2         1.3           Justice         8.5         1.4         1.4
	0.01 •	4.						8.4 8.3 1.5 1.6
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 8.1 00 7.1 00 8.1 00 8.1 00 8.1 00 8.1 00 7.1 00 8.1 00 7.1 00 7.1 00 8.1 00 7.1 00 7.1 00 7.1 00 7.1 00 7.1 00 7.1 00 7.1 00 7.1 00 7.1 00 7.1 00 8.1 00 7.1 0 0 7.1 0 0 7.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	Constraints of Government		0.53	0.02	3/15	16/41	68/142	7.6
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.38	0.00	12/15	32/41	103/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 Ope
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.57	0.00	2/15	10/41	48/142	Justice 7.3 Govern
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.61	0.02	3/15	13/41	54/142	7.2 7.1 3.4 4.1
G	Order and Se	curity	0.82	0.01	3/15	2/41	36/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.46	0.00	7/15	27/41	91/142	Regulatory 6.1 5.3 4.4 Forforcement 6.1 5.3 4.7 4.6 Fundamental
4 <b>1</b> 4	Civil Justice		0.50	0.00	9/15	24/41	83/142	Order and Rights
්ර	Criminal Just	ice	0.42	0.01	8/15	21/41	75/142	Security
10 pe	ercent level	significant chang Eastern Europe		Lov tral Asia			High	— 2024 Score — 2023 Score
Co	onstraints on	Government I	Powers	<b>m</b>	F	undame	ental Right	s Civil Justice
1.1 Lir	mits by legislat	ure		0.66	4.1 N	lo discrir	nination	0.58 7.1 0.56 Accessibility and affordability
1.2 Lir	mits by judiciar	'Y		0.42	4.2 R	light to li	fe and secu	0.75 7.2 0.56
1.3 In	dependent aud	liting		0.53	4.3 D	Due proc	ess of law	0.52 7.3 0.36 No corruption
1.4 Sa	anctions for offi	icial misconduc	t	0.37	4.4 F	reedom	of expression	0.57 7.4 0.41
1.5 No	on-governmen	tal checks		0.57	4.5 F	reedom	of religion	0.68 7.5 0.40 No unreasonable delay
1.6				0.63	4.6			0.55 7.6 0.54

1.6 Lawful transition of power

Absence of Corruption
2.1

2.1	0.38
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.46
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.52
In the police/military	
2.4	0.16

Ġ

In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.63
3.2 Right to information	0.58
3.3 Civic participation	0.56
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.53


	_ 11
4.1	0.58
No discrimination	
4.2	0.75
Right to life and security	0.75
4.3	0.52
Due process of law	0.52
4.4	0.57
Freedom of expression	0.57
	0 ( 0
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.68
	0.55
4.6 Right to privacy	0.55
<b>o i</b> <i>i</i>	
4.7	0.67
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.54
Labor rights	
	-
Order and Security	
5.1	0.85
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.61
Absence of civil conflict	
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.61
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	0.61
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.61
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.61
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.61
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	0.61 0.56 0.46
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.61 0.56 0.46
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.61 0.56 0.46 0.53
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4 Respect for due process 6.5	0.61 0.56 0.46 0.53 0.34 0.41
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4 Respect for due process	0.61 0.56 0.46 0.53 0.34 0.41

Civil Justice	_12
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.56
7.2 No discrimination	0.56
7.3 No corruption	0.36
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.41
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.40
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.54
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>ුර්</mark> ර 0.30
8.1	0.30 0.46
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.46
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.46
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No discrimination</li> </ul>	0.46

# Mongolia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.


Overall Score Regional Rank		ık I	ncome R	lank	Global Rank				
0.53	8/15		4/38		66/142				
Score Change Rank Chang		е							
0.00	-2 •								
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank			
Constraints o Government		0.53	0.00	9/15	5/38	69/142			
Absence of Co	Absence of Corruption		0.01	10/15	8/38	83/142			
Open Govern	Open Government		0.00	8/15	8/38	73/142			
Fundamental	Rights	0.56	0.00	7/15	4/38	69/142			
Order and See	curity	0.76	0.00	10/15	4/38	58/142			
Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.49	0.01	10/15	10/38	75/142			
Civil Justice		0.54	0.00	8/15	5/38	65/142			
්රී Criminal Just	ice	0.48	0.00	8/15	2/38	58/142			
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High									



İİ

 Mongolia — East Asia and Pacific — Lower-Middle

10 percent level



Right to information

Civic participation 

Complaint mechanisms

Publicized laws and gov't data 

3.1

3.2

3.3

3.4

0.41

0.50

0.59

0.46

4.1 No discrimination	0.57
4.2 Right to life and security	0.64
4.3 Due process of law	0.50
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.59
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.61
4.6 Right to privacy	0.40
4.7 Freedom of association	0.63
4.8 Labor rights	0.55
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.84
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.45
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.58
6.2 No improper influence	0.49
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.55
6.4 Respect for due process	0.36
6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5	0.45 ation


**Fundamental Rights** 

	Civil Justice	414
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.54
7.2	No discrimination	0.59
7.3	No corruption	0.53
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.46
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.55
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.45
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1		0.41
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.41 0.53
8.1 8.2 8.3	Effective investigations	
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.53
<ul><li>8.1</li><li>8.2</li><li>8.3</li><li>8.4</li><li>8.5</li></ul>	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.53 0.55
<ul> <li>8.1</li> <li>8.2</li> <li>8.3</li> <li>8.4</li> <li>8.5</li> <li>8.6</li> </ul>	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.53 0.55 0.48

# Montenegro

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional Ran		nk	Income Rank			Global Rank		
	0.57 2/15			12/41			56/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	е					
(	0.01 -	1-						
			Fac Sco		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.5	55	0.02	2/15	15/41	63/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.4	19	0.00	4/15	15/41	62/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.5	55	0.02	5/15	16/41	58/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.6	57	0.01	1/15	4/41	40/142
•	Order and Se	curity	0.8	32	-0.01	4/15	3/41	37/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.4	17	0.00	5/15	23/41	83/142
474	Civil Justice		0.5	53	0.00	3/15	15/41	66/142
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.4	18	0.00	2/15	14/41	60/142
* Indic	ates statistically	significant change	at the					



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High 10 percent level

_	Montenegro	_	Eastern Europe and Central Asia		Upper-Middle
---	------------	---	---------------------------------	--	--------------

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.59
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.42
1.3 Independent auditing	0.65
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.41
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.62
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.59
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.49
2.2 In the judiciary	0.58
2.3 In the police/military	0.55
2.4	0.32

2.4	0.32
In the legislature	
Open Government	
open dovernment	
3.1	0.48
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.50
Right to information	
3.3	0.59
Civic participation	0.57
Civic participation	
3.4	0.61
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1	0.67
No discrimination	0.07
4.2	0.78
Right to life and security	0.70
4.3	0.58
Due process of law	0.50
4.4	0.62
Freedom of expression	0.02
	0.70
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.78
4.6	0.58
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.68
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.71
Labor rights	
Order and Security	0
5.1 Abconce of crime	0.93
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime	0.93
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	0.53
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.53
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.53
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.53
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	1.00 0.53 (0.45 0.67
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	1.00 0.53
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	1.00 0.53 (0.45 0.67
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	1.00 0.53 (0.45 0.67
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	1.00 0.53 0.45 0.67 0.44


No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	<b>1</b> 7
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2 No discrimination	0.58
7.3 No corruption	0.52
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.41
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.38
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.73
Criminal Justice	්ර්
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.45
8.1	0
8.1 Effective investigations	0.45
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.45
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 </li> </ul>	0.45
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 No discrimination</li> </ul>	0.45

#### Morocco

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
0.48	6/9		13/38		92/142	
Score Change	Rank Chan	ge				
0.00	0					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints Governmen		0.51	0.00	3/9	6/38	75/142
<b>Absence of</b>	Corruption	0.41	-0.01	6/9	14/38	95/142
Open Gover	rnment	0.42	-0.01	4/9	17/38	103/142
Fundamenta	al Rights	0.43	0.00	7/9	23/38	115/142
Order and S	ecurity	0.69	0.00	6/9	14/38	89/142
Regulatory	Enforcement	0.50	0.00	4/9	5/38	64/142
Civil Justice		0.50	-0.01	6/9	10/38	78/142
්ට Criminal Jus	stice	0.37	0.00	6/9	17/38	95/142
* Indicates statistical 10 percent level	ly significant chang	e at the	Lov	v 1	Medium	High



10 percent level

- Morocco - Middle East and North Africa - Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.59
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.51
1.3 Independent auditing	0.49
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.50
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.45
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.55
Absence of Corruption	Ś
2.1 In the executive branch	0.47
2.2 In the judiciary	0.40
2.3 In the police/military	0.44
2.4 In the legislature	0.31
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.37
3.2 Right to information	0.43
3.3 Civic participation	0.43
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.46

Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 No discrimination	0.60
4.2 Right to life and security	0.34
4.3 Due process of law	0.36
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.45
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.41
4.6 Right to privacy	0.20
4.7 Freedom of association	0.47
4.8 Labor rights	0.56
Order and Security	Ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.66
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.40
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.53
6.2 No improper influence	0.54
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.46
6.4 Respect for due process	0.44
6.5 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compension	0.55 ation


Civil Justice	
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.50
7.2 No discrimination	0.49
7.3 No corruption	0.39
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.43
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.60
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.66
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.49
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.42
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.30
8.4 No discrimination	0.35
8.5 No corruption	0.39
8.6	0.25
No improper gov't influence	

# Mozambique

Constraints on

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.39	28/34		11/16		125/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge				
	0.00	3 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.41	0.00	19/34	8/16	108/142
Š	Absence of Co	orruption	0.34	-0.01	21/34	10/16	115/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.36	-0.01	24/34	10/16	122/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.39	0.00	27/34	12/16	122/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.50	0.08	29/34	12/16	134/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.39	-0.01	25/34	9/16	123/142
474	Civil Justice		0.42	0.00	25/34	9/16	116/142
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.31	0.00	28/34	13/16	120/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



10 percent level


🗕 Mozambique 👥 Sub-Saharan Africa 💶 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Â
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.51
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.41
1.3 Independent auditing	0.40
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.38
Absence of Corruption	š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.33
2.2 In the judiciary	0.35
2.3 In the police/military	0.35
2.4 In the legislature	0.31
Open Government	

3.1	0.18
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.28
Right to information	
3.3	0.42
Civic participation	
3.4	0.55
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	ŧŧ
4.1	0.53
No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.22
4.3 Due process of law	0.27
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.39
4.5	0.60
Freedom of religion	0.40
4.6 Right to privacy	0.19
4.7	0.44
Freedom of association 4.8	0.44
Labor rights	0.44
Order and Security	Ģ
5.1	0.61
Absence of crime	
5.2	0.65
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.25
Absence of Wolcher Curess	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.44
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.49
6.3	0.39
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.39
No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4 Constant of the process	0.31
No unreasonable delay	0.31

Civil Justice	_4 <b>T</b> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.45
7.2 No discrimination	0.51
7.3	0.35
7.4	0.29
No improper gov't influence	0.32
No unreasonable delay 7.6	0.41
Effective enforcement	0.58
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	60




### Myanmar

Constraints on

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.


Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.34	14/15		36/3	36/38		138/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					
-	0.01 -	-3 🗸						
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.26	5 -0.03	14/15	35/38	137/142	
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.41	-0.01	14/15	12/38	92/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.28	-0.02	14/15	34/38	137/142	
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.18	-0.03	15/15	38/38	142/142	
F	Order and Se	curity	0.58	3 0.00	15/15	33/38	125/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.41	-0.01	14/15	24/38	118/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.32	0.00	14/15	35/38	137/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.23	-0.02	15/15	37/38	139/142	
	ates statistically	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	



İİ

10 percent level

 Myanmar
 East Asia and Pacific Lower-Middle



0.25

0.36

6.5

Right to information

3.3

Civic participation 

Complaint mechanisms

3.4

4.1 No discrimination	0.38
4.2 Right to life and security	0.00
4.3 Due process of law	0.12
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.20
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.08
4.6 Right to privacy	0.02
4.7 Freedom of association	0.11
4.8 Labor rights	0.51
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.72
5.1	0.72
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.50
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.50
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.50
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.50

0.31

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

**Fundamental Rights** 

Civil Justice	4 <b>T</b> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.35
7.2 No discrimination	0.20
7.3 No corruption	0.29
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.14
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.41
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.35
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.53
Criminal Justice	්ර්
8.1 Effective investigations	0.36
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.33
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.25
	0.25
Effective correctional system	


3.6						0.01	
	No imprope	r gov't in	flue	ence			
3.7						0.12	
	Due process	oflaw					

8

## Namibia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

			0		0		
Ov	erall Score	Regional Rai	nk	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank
	0.61	2/34		4/41	L	44/1	L42
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chang	e				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Ŵ	Constraints o Government		0.65	-0.01	2/34	2/41	33/142
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.52	0.00	5/34	12/41	58/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.58	0.00	2/34	8/41	46/142
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.65	-0.01	1/34	6/41	43/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.75	0.00	3/34	16/41	62/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.58	0.00	4/34	5/41	45/142
474	Civil Justice		0.61	-0.01	3/34	6/41	41/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.55	-0.01	3/34	7/41	46/142
	cates statistically rcent level	significant change	at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High



10 percent level

🗕 Namibia 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💶 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	â
1.1	0.73
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.72
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.50
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.59
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.70
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.70
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Å
2.1 In the executive branch	0.42
	0.83
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.55
In the police/military	
2.4	0.28
In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1	0.33
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.52
Right to information	0.52
3.3	0.71
	0.7 1

0.76

Fundamental Rights	ŧŧ
4.1	0.52
No discrimination	0102
4.2	0.75
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.57
Due process of law	
4.4	0.70
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.80
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.59
Right to privacy	
4.7 Freedom of association	0.74
	0.50
4.8 Labor rights	0.53
Labor rights	
Order and Security	0
5.1	0.71
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.54
Absence of violent redress	
Development For Company and	-
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.48
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.67
No improper influence	
6.3	0.47
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.61
Respect for due process	
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.65
ino expropriation w/out adequate compens	auon

	Civil Justice	414
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.40
7.2	No discrimination	0.58
7.3	No corruption	0.79
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.72
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.44
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.66
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.72
	Criminal Justice	00
8.1		<mark>ර</mark> ්ට 0.39
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.39 0.42
	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.42
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.42 0.43
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.42 0.43 0.65

Civic participation \_\_\_\_


Complaint mechanisms

3.4

## Nepal

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank	l	ncome	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.52	1/6			5/3	8	69/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					
	0.00	2▲						
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.59	9	0.00	1/6	2/38	48/142
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.43	1	0.01	2/6	11/38	91/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.53	1	0.00	3/6	4/38	66/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.52	2	0.00	1/6	6/38	78/142
•	Order and Se	curity	0.73	3	0.00	1/6	7/38	68/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.49	9	0.00	2/6	9/38	74/142
474	Civil Justice		0.4	5	0.01	1/6	19/38	102/142
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.47	7	0.02	1/6	4/38	64/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant chang	e at the	è	Lov	v N	1edium	High



İİ

10 percent level

Nepal
 South Asia
 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.72
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.59
1.3 Independent auditing	0.51
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.48
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.61
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.62
Absence of Corruption	ġ
2.1 In the executive branch	0.47
2.2 In the judiciary	0.39
2.3 In the police/military	0.62
2.4 In the legislature	0.18
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.31
3.2 Right to information	0.53
3.3 Civic participation	0.59
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.63

	_ ! !
4.1	0.51
No discrimination	
4.2	0.45
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.42
Due process of law	
4.4	0.61
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.62
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.43
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.62
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.50
Labor rights	
	_
Order and Security	
F 1	
5.1	0.78
Absence of crime	0.78
	0.78
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00 0.40
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	1.00 0.40
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00 0.40
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.40
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.40
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	1.00 0.40 1.00 0.40
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	1.00 0.40
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	1.00 0.40 0.46 0.56 0.44
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	1.00 0.40 1.00 0.40
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4 Respect for due process	1.00 0.40 0.46 0.56 0.44 0.45
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	1.00 0.40 0.40 0.56 0.56 0.44 0.45

**Fundamental Rights** 

Civil Justice	
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.41
7.2 No discrimination	0.39
7.3 No corruption	0.38
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.51
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.46
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.42
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.57
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.53
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.53
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.47
8.4 No discrimination	0.39
8.5 No corruption	0.54

8.6	0.40
No improper gov't influence	
8.7	0.42
Due process of law	

### **Netherlands**

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score Regional Ran		k I	ncome R	lank	Global Rank		
	0.83 7/31			8/47	7	8/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	9					
-	0.01 •	-1 -						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.83	-0.02	7/31	8/47	8/142	
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.88	0.00	5/31	7/47	7/142	
ŀ	Open Government		0.81	-0.01	5/31	6/47	6/142	
tt	Fundamental Rights		0.83	-0.01	9/31	10/47	10/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.85	0.00	19/31	26/47	28/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.84	-0.01	7/31	9/47	9/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.83	-0.01	4/31	4/47	4/142	
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.75	0.00	8/31	10/47	10/142	
	ates statistically	significant change a	at the	Low	Me	edium	High	

10 percent level

**Open Government** 

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

Publicized laws and gov't data


3.1

3.2

3.3

3.4





ŀ 0.77

0.77


0.78

0.93

4.1 No discrimination	0.81
4.2 Right to life and security	0.93
4.3 Due process of law	0.83
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.79
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.84
4.6 Right to privacy	0.81
4.7 Freedom of association	0.81
4.8 Labor rights	0.81
-	~
Order and Security 5.1	0.90
Absence of crime	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.64
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.76
6.2 No improper influence	0.89
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.81
6.4 Respect for due process	0.79
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.93 sation

**Fundamental Rights** 

ŧŧ




Civil Justice	
7.1	0.77
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.81
No discrimination	
7.3	0.93
No corruption	
7.4	0.87
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.72
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.91
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.79
Impartial and effective ADRs	0.77
Criminal Justice	8a
Criminal Justice	O
8.1	0.54
Effective investigations	
8.2	0.67
Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3	0.78
Effective correctional system	
8.4	0.65
No discrimination	
8.5	0.89
No corruption	0.07
	0.85
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.85
8.7 Due process of law	0.83
Due process of law	

## New Zealand

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.


Over	erall Score Regional Rar		nk	Income R	Global Rank		
C	).83		6/47	6/142			
Score	Score Change Rank Chang						
0.	.01 •	2 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.86	0.00	1/15	5/47	5/142
š /	Absence of C	orruption	0.89	0.02*	2/15	6/47	6/142
	Open Govern	0.83	0.01	1/15	5/47	5/142	
tt -	Fundamental	Rights	0.83	0.02	1/15	9/47	9/142
	Order and Se	curity	0.88	0.00	4/15	22/47	23/142
i i	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.85	0.00	2/15	6/47	6/142
4 <u>1</u> 4 d	Civil Justice		0.79	0.01	2/15	9/47	9/142
රීට (	Criminal Just	ice	0.74	0.00	3/15	11/47	11/142
* Indicat	,	significant change	at the	Low	M	edium	High



İİ

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

 New Zealand East Asia and Pacific High



0.83

0.84

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

3.3

3.4

4.1	No discrimination	0.71
4.2	Right to life and security	0.96
4.3	Due process of law	0.81
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.83
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.85
4.6	Right to privacy	0.91
4.7	Freedom of association	0.83
4.8	Labor rights	0.76
	Order and Security	f
5.1	Absence of crime	0.87
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.77
	Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.76
6.2	No improper influence	0.95
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.80
6.4	Respect for due process	0.87
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	0.87 tion

**Fundamental Rights** 

Civil Justice	4 <b>T</b> &
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.77
7.2 No discrimination	0.72
7.3	0.95
No corruption 7.4	0.87
No improper gov't influence	0.68
No unreasonable delay	
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.70
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.84
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.61
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.70
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.69
8.4 No discrimination	0.60
8.5 No corruption	0.91
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.86

WJP Rule of Law Index 2024 | 133

# Nicaragua

	Regional Ra	nk	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank									
0.34	30/32		35/3	38	137	/142						Constraint Governm Powers	ent		
Score Change	Rank Chang	ge						Crimina Justice		8.6 8.7	1.1 1.2	1.3 1.4	3		
-0.01 -	0							8.2	8.4				1.5 1.6 2.1	<b>A b c</b>	
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank		8.1			0.5			2.2 Cor	ence of ruption
Constraints Government		0.22	0.00	31/32	38/38	141/142		7.7		XI	N,			2.3	
Absence of C	Corruption	0.30	0.00	28/32	31/38	129/142	Civil	7.5		Z	W			3.1	0
. Open Gover	nment	0.30	-0.02	31/32	33/38	135/142	Justice	7.3		2				3.3	Ope Govern
Fundamenta	l Rights	0.28	-0.01	32/32	35/38	136/142		7.2		V		$\langle \langle \rangle$		3.4 4.1	
Order and Se	ecurity	0.69	0.00	13/32	13/38	85/142		6.5					4.3	4.2	
Regulatory E	Inforcement	0.35	-0.01	30/32	35/38	136/142		Regulatory	6.3 6.2 , 6.1			4.6	4.4 4.5		
Civil Justice		0.30	-0.02	31/32	37/38	140/142		Enforcemen	t	5.3 5.1 Orde	5.1 4.8 <b>r and</b>	4.7	Fui	ndamenta Rights	I
Ö Criminal Jus	tice	0.24	0.00	29/32	35/38	137/142				Sec	urity				
-	Latin America		obean												
Constraints on	Government F	owers	🏛	F	undam	ental Rights			ŤŤ	Civil	Justice				_12
Limits by legislat	ture		0.36	4.1					0.33	7.1					0.45
				1	No discri	mination				Acce	ssibility a	nd afford	lability		
Limits by judicia	ry		0.30	4.2		mination fe and securi	ty		0.16	7.2	ssibility a		lability		0.21
Limits by judicia	11		0.30	4.2 F 4.3	Right to li		ty		0.16 0.23	7.2 No d			ability		0.21
Independent au	diting	i		4.2 F 4.3 [ 4.4	Right to li Due proc	ife and securi				7.2 No d 7.3 No co	scriminat	ion			
Limits by judicia Independent aud Sanctions for off	diting	t	0.06	4.2 F 4.3 4.4 F 4.5	Right to li Due proc Freedom	ife and securi ess of law			0.23	7.2 No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No in 7.5	scriminat	ion ov't influ			0.30
Limits by judicia Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmer	diting	1	0.06	4.2 F 4.3 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6	Right to li Due proc Freedom	ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion			0.23 0.31	7.2 No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 No u	scriminat prruption nproper g	ion ov't influ ble delay			0.30
Independent aud Sanctions for off	diting	:	0.06	4.2 F 4.3 C 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6	Right to li Due proc Freedom Freedom	ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion privacy			0.23 0.31 0.41	<ul> <li>7.2 No d</li> <li>7.3 No c</li> <li>7.4 No ir</li> <li>7.5 No u</li> <li>7.6 Effec</li> <li>7.7 V</li> </ul>	scriminat prruption nproper g nreasona tive enfo	cion ov't influ ble delay	ence		0.30
Independent au Sanctions for off Non-governmer Lawful transition	diting ficial misconduct atal checks n of power rruption	:	0.06 0.11 0.31 0.20	4.2 F 4.3 C 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6	Right to li Due proc Freedom Freedom	ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion			0.23 0.31 0.41 0.03	<ul> <li>7.2 No d</li> <li>7.3 No c</li> <li>7.4 No ir</li> <li>7.5 No u</li> <li>7.6 Effec</li> <li>7.7 V</li> </ul>	scriminat prruption nproper g nreasona tive enfo	cion ov't influ ble delay	ence		0.30 0.08 0.27 0.35
Independent au Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition	diting diting ficial misconduct atal checks n of power rruption	: 	0.06 0.11 0.31 0.20	4.2 F 4.3 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.5 F 4.5 F 4.5 F 4.8	Right to li Due proc Freedom Freedom	ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion irivacy of association			0.23 0.31 0.41 0.03 0.30	7.2 No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa	scriminat prruption nproper g nreasona tive enfo	cion	ence		0.30 0.08 0.27 0.35
Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition Absence of Con	diting diting ficial misconduct atal checks n of power rruption	£	0.06 0.11 0.31 0.20	4.2 F 4.3 C 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom	ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion irivacy of association			0.23 0.31 0.41 0.03 0.30	7.2 No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1	scriminat	cion	ence ADRs		0.30 0.08 0.27 0.35 0.43
Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmer Lawful transition Absence of Cou In the executive In the judiciary	rruption	:	0.06 0.11 0.31 0.20 0.35	4.2 F 4.3 F 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi	ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion irivacy of association hts			0.23 0.31 0.41 0.03 0.30 0.49	7.2 No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1 Effec 8.2	scriminat proper g nreasona tive enfor rtial and d inal Just	ion ov't influ ble delay cement effective , ice	ence ADRs	n	0.30 0.08 0.27 0.35 0.43
Limits by judicia Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmer Lawful transition Absence of Con In the executive In the judiciary In the police/mil	diting diting ficial misconduct tal checks n of power rruption branch branch		0.06 0.11 0.31 0.20 0.35 0.35	4.2 F 4.3 F 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L C 5.1 F 5.2	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom abor rig <b>Drder ar</b>	ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion irivacy of association hts of Security			0.23 0.31 0.41 0.03 0.30 0.49	7.2 No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1 Effec 8.2 Time 8.3	scriminal proper g nreasona tive enfor rtial and d inal Just	ion ov't influ ble delay cement effective a ice	ence ADRs judicatio	n	0.30 0.08 0.27 0.35 0.43 0.43
Limits by judicia Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmer Lawful transition Absence of Con In the executive In the judiciary In the police/mil	diting diting ficial misconduct tal checks n of power rruption branch branch		0.06 0.11 0.31 0.20 \$ 0.35 0.35 0.23 0.42	4.2 F 4.3 C 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 C 5.1 5.3	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi <b>Drder ar</b> Absence	ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion of association hts of association of association of association of crime	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		0.23 0.31 0.41 0.03 0.30 0.49	7.2 No d 7.3 No d 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1 Effec 8.2 Time 8.3 Effec 8.3 Effec 8.4	scriminal proper g nreasona tive enfor rtial and d inal Just tive inves	ion ov't influ ble delay cement ice ice	ence ADRs judicatio	n	0.30 0.08 0.27 0.35 0.43 0.43 0.32 0.32
In the executive In the judiciary In the police/mil In the legislature Open Governn	diting diting ficial misconduct atal checks n of power rruption branch branch		0.06 0.11 0.31 0.20 0.35 0.23 0.42 0.18	4.2 F 4.3 C 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 C 5.1 5.3	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi <b>Drder ar</b> Absence	ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion of association hts of crime of crime	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		0.23 0.31 0.41 0.03 0.30 0.49 0.49 0.69	7.2 No d 7.3 No d 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1 Effec 8.2 Time 8.3 Effec 8.3 Effec 8.4	scriminal proper g nreasona tive enfor rtial and d inal Just	ion ov't influ ble delay cement ice ice	ence ADRs judicatio	n	0.30 0.08 0.27 0.35 0.43 0.32 0.32 0.34 0.22
Limits by judicia Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition Absence of Coo In the executive In the pudiciary In the police/mil In the police/mil In the legislature Open Governm	and for the second seco		0.06 0.11 0.31 0.20 0.35 0.23 0.42 0.18	4.2 F 4.3 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 F 5.2 F 5.2 F 5.3 F	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom abor rigi <b>Drder ar</b> Absence of Absence of	ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion of association hts of association of association of association of crime	t ress		0.23 0.31 0.41 0.03 0.30 0.49 0.49 0.69	7.2 No d No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1 Effec 8.2 Time 8.3 Effec 8.4 No d 8.5 No c	scriminal proper g nreasona tive enfor rtial and d inal Just tive inves	ion ov't influ ble delay cement ice ice ice cective ad ectional s	ence ADRs judicatio	n	0.30 0.08 0.27 0.35 0.43 0.35 0.32 0.32 0.34 0.22 0.22 0.22
Limits by judicia Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition Absence of Con In the executive In the judiciary In the police/mil In the police/mil In the legislature Open Governm Publicized laws a	itary		0.06 0.11 0.31 0.20 0.35 0.23 0.42 0.18	4.2 F 4.3 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 <i>f</i> 5.2 <i>f</i> 5.3 <i>f</i> 6.1	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor right Absence Absence Regulato	ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion of association of association hts of crime of civil conflic	t ress nent		0.23 0.31 0.41 0.03 0.30 0.49 0.69 1.00 0.39	7.2 No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5 No u 7.6 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1 Effec 8.2 Time 8.3 Effec 8.4 No d 8.5 No c 8.6 No ir	scriminal opruption oproper g oprope	ion ov't influ ble delay cement ice ice ice cective ad ectional s	ence ADRs judicatio	n	0.30 0.08 0.27 0.35 0.43 0.34 0.32 0.34 0.22 0.22 0.22 0.37 0.00
Limits by judicia Independent aud Sanctions for off Non-governmen Lawful transition Absence of Con In the executive In the police/mil In the police/mil In the police/mil In the legislature Open Governm Publicized laws a	itary	E	0.06 0.11 0.31 0.20 0.35 0.23 0.42 0.18 0.18	4.2 F 4.3 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 6.1 E 6.2	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Freedom abor right Drder ar Absence of Absence o	ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion of association of association hts of crime of civil conflic of civil conflic of violent red of violent red	t ress iforcement		0.23 0.31 0.41 0.03 0.30 0.49 0.69 1.00 0.39	7.2 No d No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1 Effec 8.2 Time 8.3 Effec 8.4 No d 8.5 No c 8.6 No ir 8.7	scriminal opruption oproper g oprope	ion ov't influ ble delay cement effective a ice ectional s ectional s icion	ence ADRs judicatio	n	0.30 0.08 0.27 0.35 0.43 0.35 0.32 0.32 0.34 0.22 0.22 0.22
Limits by judicia	itary		0.06 0.11 0.31 0.20 0.35 0.23 0.42 0.18 0.18 0.31 0.17	4.2 F 4.3 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 Z 5.1 Z 5.3 Z 6.1 E 6.2 N 6.3	Right to li Due proc Freedom Right to p Right to p Right to p Reedom Absence Absence Regulato	ife and securi ess of law of expression of religion irivacy of association hts of crime of crime of civil conflic of violent red	t forcement		0.23 0.31 0.41 0.03 0.30 0.49 1.00 0.69 1.00 0.39	7.2 No d No d 7.3 No c 7.4 No ir 7.5 Effec 7.7 Impa 8.1 Effec 8.2 Time 8.3 Effec 8.4 No d 8.5 No c 8.6 No ir 8.7	scriminal proper g nreasona tive enfor rtial and d inal Just tive inves ly and eff scriminal proper g	ion ov't influ ble delay cement effective a ice ectional s ectional s icion	ence ADRs judicatio	n	0.30 0.08 0.27 0.35 0.43 0.35 0.32 0.32 0.34 0.22 0.22 0.22 0.37 0.00

Respect for due process


6.5

0.29 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

#### Niger

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score Regional Ra		ank	Income	Rank	Global Rank		
	0.43 20/34			9/1	6	114/142		
Sco	ore Change Rank Char		ge					
-	0.01 -	-5 🔻						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.37	-0.03	27/34	12/16	118/142	
š	Absence of Corruption		0.39	0.00	15/34	7/16	101/142	
	Open Government		0.35	-0.02	26/34	11/16	124/142	
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.45	-0.01	18/34	9/16	105/142	
Ģ	Order and Se	curity	0.60	-0.02	24/34	8/16	121/142	
	Regulatory Enforcement		0.46	-0.01	13/34	5/16	88/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.44	-0.01	16/34	5/16	104/142	
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.35	0.00	21/34	7/16	103/142	
	ates statistically cent level	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	



10 percent level

🗕 Niger 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 🛑 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Â
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.49
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.37
1.3 Independent auditing	0.26
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.30
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.38
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.42
Absence of Corruption	š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.38
2.2 In the judiciary	0.45
2.3 In the police/military	0.45
2.4 In the legislature	0.28
Open Government	ŀ

Open Government	·
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.21
3.2 Right to information	0.33
3.3 Civic participation	0.43
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.43


Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1	0.55
No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.34
4.3 Due process of law	0.40
4.4	0.38
Freedom of expression	
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.65
4.6	0.19
Right to privacy	0.54
4.7 Freedom of association	0.54
4.8	0.59
Labor rights	
Order and Security	G
5.1	0.68
Absence of crime	
5.2	0.58
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.55
Absence of violent rearess	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.48
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.55
6.3	0.44
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.36
Respect for due process	0.55
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.50 ation

Civil Justice	474
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.48
7.2 No discrimination	0.43
7.3 No corruption	0.47
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.23
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.42
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60
Criminal Justice	00
8.1 Effective investigations	0.30
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.38
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.31
8.4 No discrimination	0.41
8.5 No corruption	0.41
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.26

# Nigeria

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.40	23/34		25/3	38	120	/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge					Crim Just
	0.01 •	0						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1
	Constraints o Government		0.49	-0.01	14/34	11/38	88/142	7.7
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.32	0.00	22/34	27/38	121/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Govern	ment	0.42	0.00	14/34	16/38	102/142	Justice 7.3
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.42	0.00	23/34	24/38	116/142	7.2
G	Order and Se	curity	0.36	-0.02	34/34	38/38	142/142	6.5
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.41	0.00	22/34	25/38	119/142	Regulato Enforceme
414	Civil Justice		0.44	-0.02	18/34	22/38	106/142	Linorcem
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.38	-0.02	14/34	15/38	91/142	
	cates statistically rcent level	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	



🗕 Nigeria 📒 Sub-Saharan Africa 💶 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Â
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.65
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.48
1.3 Independent auditing	0.47
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.41
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.49
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.42
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.31
2.2 In the judiciary	0.44
2.3 In the police/military	0.33
2.4 In the legislature	0.18
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.20
3.2 Right to information	0.38
3.3 Civic participation	0.51
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.60

Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 No discrimination	0.49
4.2 Right to life and security	0.26
4.3   Due process of law	0.32
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.49
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.46
4.6 Right to privacy	0.31
4.7 Freedom of association	0.57
4.8 Labor rights	0.46
Order and Security	۵
5.1 Absence of crime	0.52
5.2	0.12
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.42
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	i 💼
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2	0.49
No improper influence	
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.34
6.4	0.37
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.46
No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	ation


Civil Justice	4 <b>T</b> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.57
7.2 No discrimination	0.51
7.3	0.42
7.4	0.41
No improper gov't influence	0.20
No unreasonable delay	0.39
Effective enforcement	0.59
Impartial and effective ADRs	0107
Criminal Justice	ර්ර
8.1	0.42

8.1	0.42
Effective investigations	
8.2	0.39
Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3	0.26
Effective correctional system	
8.4	0.49
No discrimination	
8.5	0.37
No corruption	
8.6	0.39
No improper gov't influence	
8.7	0.32
Due process of law	

### North Macedonia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Ran	k I	Income R	lank	Global	Rank
0.53	6/15		19/4	1	67/1	142
Score Change	Rank Change	2				
0.01 -	0					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints of Government		0.47	0.01	5/15	28/41	94/142
Absence of C	orruption	0.46	0.01	7/15	19/41	71/142
Open Govern	iment	0.52	0.01	6/15	20/41	65/142
Fundamental	Rights	0.62	0.01	2/15	12/41	53/142
Order and Se	curity	0.80	0.00	6/15	6/41	42/142
Regulatory E	nforcement	0.45	0.00	8/15	29/41	96/142
Civil Justice		0.51	-0.01	7/15	20/41	76/142
්රී Criminal Just	ice	0.44	0.00	7/15	20/41	73/142
* Indicates statistically 10 percent level	significant change a	t the	Low	M	edium	High



10 percent level

💻 North Macedonia 📒 Eastern Europe and Central Asia 💶 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.52
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.32
1.3 Independent auditing	0.56
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.35
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.55
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.54
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.40
2.2 In the judiciary	0.50
2.3 In the police/military	0.63
2.4 In the legislature	0.31
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.50
3.2 Right to information	0.48
3.3 Civic participation	0.51
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.57


Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1	0.63
No discrimination	
4.2	0.76
Right to life and security	
4.3 Due process of law	0.56
4.4	0.55
Freedom of expression	0.55
4.5	0.74
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.49
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.60
Freedom of association	
4.8 Labor rights	0.60
Labor rights	
Order and Security	۵
	1
5.1 Absence of crime	0.78
	4.00
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.62
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.41
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.48
No improper influence	
6.3	0.47
No unreasonable delay	
6.4 Despect for due process	0.38
Respect for due process	0.5.4
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.54 ation

7.1   0.5     Accessibility and affordability   0.6     7.2   0.6     No discrimination   0.3     7.3   0.3     No corruption   0.3	
No discrimination     7.3     No corruption	1
No corruption	
	6
7.4 0.3 No improper gov't influence	9
7.5 0.4 No unreasonable delay	0
7.6 0.5 Effective enforcement	7
7.7 0.6 Impartial and effective ADRs	9
Criminal Justice	5
8.1 0.3	
Effective investigations 8.2 0.4	-6
Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 0.3	9
Effective correctional system 8.4 0.5	3
No discrimination 8.5 0.4	5
No corruption 8.6 0.3	3
No improper gov't influence	6
Due process of law	


#### Norway

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	Overall Score Regional Rank		Income Rank			Global Rank		
	0.89 2/31			2/47		2/142		
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change						
	0.00	0						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.92	0.00	3/31	3/47	3/142	
š	Absence of Co	orruption	0.94	0.00	2/31	2/47	2/142	
	Open Govern	ment	0.88	0.01	1/31	1/47	1/142	
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.90	-0.01	2/31	2/47	2/142	
G	Order and See	curity	0.93	0.00	4/31	5/47	5/142	
	Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.88	0.00	2/31	2/47	2/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.87	0.00	2/31	2/47	2/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.83	0.00	3/31	3/47	3/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



10 percent level



2.1	0.91
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.99
In the judiciary	 -
2.3	0.96
In the police/military	
2.4	0.88
In the legislature	

In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.88
3.2 Right to information	0.89
3.3 Civic participation	0.92
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.85

Fundamental Rights	ŧŧ
4.1 No discrimination	0.84
4.2	0.93
Right to life and security     4.3	0.89
Due process of law     4.4	0.94
Freedom of expression 4.5	0.88
Freedom of religion	
4.6 Right to privacy	0.87
4.7 Freedom of association	0.95
4.8 Labor rights	0.90
200011101100	
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.97
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.82
Regulatory Enforcement	r ==
6.1	
Effective regulatory enforcement	0.84
- ·	
6.2 No improper influence	0.99
6.2	
6.2 No improper influence	0.99

6.5 0.90 0.90 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	4 <u>T</u> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.72
7.2	0.78
No discrimination	0.07
7.3 No corruption	0.96
7.4	0.94
No improper gov't influence	
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.83
7.6	0.92
Effective enforcement	
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.91
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	0.63
Effective investigations	0.75
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.75
8.3	0.91
Effective correctional system	
8.4	0.73
8.4 No discrimination	0.73
No discrimination	0.73
No discrimination 8.5 No corruption	0.96
No discrimination	
No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6	0.96
No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.96

#### Pakistan

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	e Regional Rank		Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.38	5/6		30/3	30/38		129/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					
	0.00	1 -						
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.45	5 - <b>0.02</b> *	4/6	20/38	103/142	
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.32	0.00	5/6	26/38	120/142	
	Open Govern	ment	0.41	0.00	4/6	18/38	106/142	
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.37	-0.01	4/6	30/38	125/142	
<b>F</b>	Order and Se	curity	0.37	0.04	6/6	37/38	140/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.38	3 0.00	5/6	30/38	127/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.39	0.00	4/6	30/38	128/142	
ðð	Criminal Just	ice	0.36	6 0.00	4/6	19/38	98/142	
	ates statistically	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	


Civil Ci

ŧŧ

0.38

10 percent level

🗕 Pakistan 🛑 South Asia 🛑 Lower-Middle



Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

3.3

3.4

No discrimination	
4.2	0.31
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.34
Due process of law	
4.4	0.48
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.37
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.20
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.53
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.32
Labor rights	
0	
Order and Security	0
	1
5.1	0.57
Absence of crime	
5.2	0.22
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.31
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	Ē
	•=
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2	0.47
No improper influence	
6.3	0.32
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.21
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.48
No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	tion
no expropriation would adequate compense	ILION

**Fundamental Rights** 

No discrimination

4.

0.50

0.54

7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.38
7.2 No discrimination	0.36
7.3 No corruption	0.39
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.43
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.34
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.53
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.32
Encourte infootiBationio	
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.41
Timely and effective adjudication	0.41
Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4	
Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5	0.36
Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination	0.36

#### Panama

Overall Score

Regional Rank

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Global Rank

**Fundamental Rights** 

Right to life and security


No discrimination

Income Rank

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.52	14/32		44/47		72/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ige					Criminal Justice <sup>8</sup>
	0.00	2 •						8.4
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7
	Constraints o Government		0.54	0.00	14/32	44/47	66/142	7.6
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.41	0.01	20/32	47/47	98/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Govern	iment	0.56	0.00	10/32	38/47	54/142	Justice 7.3
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.63	0.00	14/32	41/47	52/142	7.1
F	Order and Se	curity	0.70	0.00	12/32	44/47	82/142	6.5 6.4
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.49	0.00	16/32	44/47	70/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement
4]4	Civil Justice		0.48	0.02	20/32	46/47	87/142	Enforcement
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.35	0.00	18/32	46/47	105/142	
	ates statistically rcent level	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v ľ	/ledium	High	_

4.

4.2



ŤŤ

0.49

0.74

0.48

0.65

0.60

0.67

6


0.67

0.42

0.48

0.48

Panama 📕 Latin America and Caribbean 💻 High




Publicized laws and gov't data

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

Т



Contract of the second s	
Civil Justice	4 <u>1</u> 4
7.1	0.58
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.54
No discrimination	
7.3	0.47
No corruption	
7.4	0.42
No improper gov't influence	
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.24
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.47
	0.64
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.64
impartial and effective (Dis	
Criminal Justice	ÂD
	0.00
8.1 Effective investigations	0.33
8.2	0.35
Timely and effective adjudication	0.55
8.3	
	0.18
Effective correctional system	0.18
Effective correctional system	0.18
8.4 No discrimination	
8.4	0.32
8.4 No discrimination	0.32
8.4 No discrimination	0.32
8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No corruption	0.32
8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.32 0.48 0.27

3.1

3.2


3.3

3.4

#### Paraguay


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.46	24/32		33/41		100/142	
Sco	ore Change Rank Change		ge				
	0.00	-1 •					
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.49	-0.01	22/32	24/41	83/142
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.30	0.01	27/32	38/41	128/142
	Open Government		0.55	0.00	11/32	14/41	55/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.50	0.00	24/32	28/41	84/142
Ģ	Order and Se	curity	0.69	-0.01	15/32	27/41	87/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.45	-0.02	23/32	32/41	100/142
474	Civil Justice		0.40	-0.01	26/32	37/41	123/142
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.27	0.01	25/32	38/41	130/142
	ates statistically	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High




10 percent level

 Paraguay
 Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle



Fundamental Rights	_††
4.1 No discrimination	0.41
4.2 Right to life and security	0.53
4.3 Units of law	0.42
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.62
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6 Right to privacy	0.30
4.7 Freedom of association	0.62
4.8 Labor rights	0.49
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.65
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.42
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2 No improper influence	0.55
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.38
6.4 Respect for due process	0.35
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compense	0.49 sation

Civil Justice	4 <b>1</b> 4
7.1	0.53
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.35
No discrimination	
7.3	0.28
No corruption	
7.4	0.41
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.25
No unreasonable delay	
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.41
	0.50
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.59
Impartial and effective ADRS	
Criminal Institut	84
Criminal Justice	
8.1	0.30
Effective investigations	



#### Peru

Overall Score

Regional Rank

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Income Rank

Global Rank

	0.48	21/32	2	28/4	41	90/	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	re Change	Rank Cha	nge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 Justice 8.5 1.4
	0.00	-2 •						8.4 1.5 1.6
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.2 Corruption 2.3
	Constraints of Government		0.58	-0.01	12/32	10/41	53/142	7.6
5	Absence of C	orruption	0.33	0.00	25/32	37/41	118/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Govern	iment	0.54	0.00	13/32	17/41	59/142	Justice 73 72
t	Fundamenta	Rights	0.59	-0.01	15/32	17/41	58/142	7.1 4.1
	Order and Se	curity	0.62	0.00	22/32	32/41	114/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.46	-0.01	20/32	25/41	89/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 5.3 5.2 4.5 4.5 Fundamental
4	Civil Justice		0.41	0.00	25/32	35/41	120/142	Order and Rights
ð	Criminal Just	ice	0.33	0.00	19/32	31/41	111/142	Security
) per	cent level	significant chan		n — (		Medium Ile	High	— 2024 Score — 2023 Score
Co	nstraints on	Government	Powers	· 📩		Fundame	ental Right	Civil Justice
				0.69	4.1			0.44 7.1 0.46

1.1 Limits by legislature	0.69
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.52
1.3 Independent auditing	0.56
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.42
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.66
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.37
2.2 In the judiciary	0.44
2.3 In the police/military	0.40
2.4 In the legislature	0.11
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.36
3.2 Right to information	0.59
3.3 Civic participation	0.59
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.60

Fundamental Rights	_ ††
4.1 No discrimination	0.44
4.2 Right to life and security	0.68
4.3 Due process of law	0.42
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.70
4.6 Right to privacy	0.66
4.7 Freedom of association	0.68
4.8 Labor rights	0.52
Order and Security	<b>F</b>
5.1 Absence of crime	0.55
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.30
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2 No improper influence	0.55
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.26
6.4 Respect for due process	0.38
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compen	0.62 sation

Civil Justice	<u>-</u> 12
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.46
7.2 No discrimination	0.34
7.3 No corruption	0.40
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.49
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.16
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.66
Criminal Justice	80
8.1 Effective investigations	0.25
8.2	
Timely and effective adjudication	0.27
Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system	0.27
8.3	


0.5				0.55
	No corruption			
8.6				0.41
	No improper gov	/'t inf	luence	
8.7				0.42
	Due process of la	aw		

# Philippines

Constraints on Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.


Overall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
0.46	13/15	5	16/3	38	99/	′142
Score Change	Rank Char	nge				
0.00	1 •					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints o Government		0.48	0.00	10/15	15/38	93/142
<b>Absence of C</b>	orruption	0.43	0.00	11/15	9/38	84/142
Open Govern	ment	0.47	-0.01	10/15	9/38	81/142
Fundamental	Rights	0.41	0.01	12/15	25/38	118/142
Order and Se	curity	0.67	0.00	13/15	19/38	95/142
Regulatory E	nforcement	0.47	0.00	11/15	13/38	84/142
Civil Justice		0.46	0.01	13/15	17/38	98/142
්රී Criminal Just	ice	0.31	0.00	13/15	30/38	124/142
* Indicates statistically	significant chan	ge at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



**Civil Justice** 

Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

 Philippines East Asia and Pacific Lower-Middle



Open Government	ŀ
3.1	0.41
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.54
Right to information	
3.3	0.51
Civic participation	
3.4	0.41
Complaint mechanisms	

6.4

6.5

Respect for due process

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 No discrimination	0.47
4.2 Right to life and security	0.17
4.3 Due process of law	0.28
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.51
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.59
4.6 Right to privacy	0.21
4.7 Freedom of association	0.52
4.8 Labor rights	0.51
Order and Security	<b>F</b>
5.1 Absence of crime	0.68
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.83
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.51
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2 No improper influence	0.59
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.36

No discrimination 7.3 0.52 No corruption 7.4 0.36 No improper gov't influence 0.33 No unreasonable delay 0.36 Effective enforcement 0.63 Impartial and effective ADRs **Criminal Justice** 80 0.41 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 0.32 Timely and effective adjudication 0.21 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.22 8.4 No discrimination 0.45 8.5 No corruption 8.6 0.26 No improper gov't influence 0.28

Accessibility and affordability

474

0.52

0.50

7.5 7.6 7.7

0.41

0.50


7.1


7.2

# Poland

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income F	Rank	Global	Rank	
	0.66	25/31		32/4	7	33/2	L42	Constraints on Government
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					Powers Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 Justice 8.5 1.4
	0.02	3 •						8.4 8.3
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.61	0.07*	27/31	37/47	45/142	7.5
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.71	0.00	19/31	26/47	26/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 0 0
	Open Govern	ment	0.65	0.07	22/31	29/47	30/142	Justice 7,3 7,2 7,2 7,2 7,2 7,2 7,2 7,2 7,2 7,2 7,2
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.63	0.02	29/31	40/47	50/142	7.1 4.1
G	Order and Se	curity	0.85	0.00	18/31	25/47	27/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.63	0.00	22/31	32/47	33/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 5.3 6.2 4.4 4.5 Fundamental
474	Civil Justice		0.62	0.01	23/31	34/47	40/142	Order and Security
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.58	0.01	24/31	33/47	34/142	Security
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Low	M	edium	High	— 2024 Score — 2023 Score





2.2	0.87
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.84
In the police/military	
2.4	0.52
In the legislature	



Fundamental Rights	_ ††
4.1	0.59
No discrimination	
4.2	0.76
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.62
Due process of law	
4.4	0.66
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.58
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.48
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.70
Freedom of association	
4.8 Labor rights	0.64
Labor rights	
Order and Security	0
Order and Security	F
5.1	0.93
,	0.93
5.1 Absence of crime	0.93
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	1.00 0.62
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.62
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.62 0.62
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 Absence of violent view of violent view of violent view of violent view of violent view of	1.00 0.62 0.62
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	1.00 0.62 0.62 0.85

Respect for due process

6.5

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

0.68

**Civil Justice** 44 7.1 0.65 Accessibility and affordability 0.67 7.2 No discrimination 7.3 0.78 No corruption 7.4 0.53 No improper gov't influence 0.31 7 -I No unreasonable delay 0.56 76 Effective enforcement 0.81 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs **Criminal Justice** 80 0.49 8.1 Effective investigations 0.49 . Timely and effective adjudication 0.59 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.60 8.4 No discrimination 0.78 8.5 No corruption 0.51 Ш No improper gov't influence 8.7 0.62 Due process of law

# Portugal

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

. . . . . .

**Fundamental Rights** 

6.4


6.5

Respect for due process

. .

Overall Score		Regional Rank		Income R	Global Rank		
0.68		21/31		28/47		28/142	
Scor	e Change	Rank Change	e				
-0	).01 •	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.75	-0.01	16/31	19/47	20/142
š.	Absence of C	orruption	0.72	0.01	18/31	25/47	25/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.63	-0.01	25/31	32/47	33/142
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.75	-0.01	19/31	24/47	25/142
<b>F</b>	Order and Se	curity	0.78	0.00	27/31	37/47	48/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.60	0.00	25/31	38/47	42/142
414	Civil Justice		0.63	-0.02	20/31	30/47	32/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.57	0.01	26/31	37/47	39/142
	tes statistically ent level	significant change a	at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High

. . .



**Civil Justice** 

Accessibility and affordability


7.1

7.2

ŧŧ

10 percent level

Portugal EU, EFTA, and North America High



4.1 No discrimination		0.61
4.2 Right to life and security		0.85
4.3 Due process of law		0.65
4.4 Freedom of expression		0.78
4.5 Freedom of religion		0.80
4.6 Right to privacy		0.82
4.7 Freedom of association		0.79
4.8 Labor rights		0.67
Order and Security		
Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime		0.92
5.1		0.92
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2		
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3		1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	t 	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	t 	0.43

No discrimination 7.3 0.77 No corruption 0.68 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.39 75 No unreasonable delay 0.48 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.75 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs **Criminal Justice** 60 0.49 8.1 Effective investigations 0.45 Timely and effective adjudication 0.44 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 0.46 No discrimination 8.5 0.77 No corruption 0.74 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.65 8.7 

Due process of law

0.55

0.63

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

4

0.64


0.69

WJP Rule of Law Index 2024 145

# Romania

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ran	nk I	Income F	Rank	Global	Rank	
	0.62	27/31		39/4	7	41/:	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	е					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
-	0.01 -	-1 •						8.4 1.5 1.6
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 0.5 2.1 Absence of 2.2 Corruption
<b>İ</b>	Constraints of Government		0.60	-0.01	28/31	38/47	47/142	7.7 7.6 2.3 2.4
Ğ	Absence of C	orruption	0.53	-0.03	29/31	43/47	57/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 Open
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.62	-0.01	26/31	33/47	34/142	Justice 7,3 Government 3,4 Government
ŤŤ	Fundamental	l Rights	0.67	0.00	27/31	37/47	42/142	7.1 4.1
	Order and Se	curity	0.83	0.00	23/31	31/47	34/142	6.5 6.4 6.3 4.2 4.3 4.4
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.57	-0.02	27/31	40/47	48/142	Regulatory 6.2 6.1 5.3 course 4.7 4.6 Fundamental
4]4	Civil Justice		0.61	-0.02	24/31	35/47	42/142	Order and Security
්ට	Criminal Just	ice	0.53	0.00	27/31	40/47	51/142	
	ates statistically cent level	significant change a	at the	Low	М	edium 🗌	High	2024 Score 2023 Score
	Romania	EU, EFTA, and No	orth Ame	rica	High			



Fundamental Rights	ŤŤ
4.1 No discrimination	0.67
4.2	0.75
Right to life and security 4.3	0.61
Due process of law	0.67
Freedom of expression	
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6 Right to privacy	0.52
4.7 Freedom of association	0.71
4.8	0.71
Labor rights	
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.92
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.56
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.58
6.2 No improper influence	0.69
6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4	0.49
6.4 Respect for due process	0.45
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.65 ation

Civil Justice	<u>4</u> 74
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.60
7.2 No discrimination	0.66
7.3 No corruption	0.63
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.62
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.43
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.61
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.74
Criminal Justice	_60
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.53
8.1	0.53 0.46
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.46
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.46 0.45
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.46 0.45 0.55

#### **Russian Federation**

Regional Rank


Overall Score

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Income Rank

Global Rank

Overall Score		Regional Ra	ank	Income Rank		Global Rank	
(	0.43 14/15			37/41		113/142	
Scor	e Change	Rank Chan	ge				
-(	0.01 •	0					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.31	-0.01	13/15	37/41	133/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.42	2 0.00	10/15	27/41	88/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.45	0.00	11/15	32/41	91/142
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.36	-0.02	13/15	37/41	126/142
•	Order and Se	curity	0.63	-0.03	14/15	31/41	111/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.47	0.00	6/15	24/41	86/142
4]4	Civil Justice		0.50	-0.01	8/15	23/41	80/142
්ර	Criminal Just	ice	0.29	0.00	15/15	37/41	127/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



**Civil Justice** 

No discrimination

No corruption

Accessibility and affordability

No improper gov't influence

7.1

7.2

7.3


7.4

7 -

10 percent level

 Russian Federation — Eastern Europe and Central Asia Upper-Middle





In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.55
3.2 Right to information	0.38
3.3 Civic participation	0.31
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.56

Fundamental Rights	
4.1 No discrimination	0.55
4.2 Right to life and security	0.26
4.3 Due process of law	0.33
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.30
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.41
4.6 Right to privacy	0.12
4.7 Freedom of association	0.33
4.8 Labor rights	0.58
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.79
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.77
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.34

**Regulatory Enforcement** 

6.5



No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

0.34

No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs **Criminal Justice** 8.1 Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 8.7 Due process of law

44

0.63

0.47

0.48

0.25

074

045

0.49

80

0.19

0.35

0.33

0.31

0.46

0.09

0.33

#### Rwanda

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ran	k l	ncome R	lank	Global Rank	
0.63 1		1/34		1/16	5	40/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change	<u>e</u>				
	0.01 -	1 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.61	0.01	4/34	1/16	43/142
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.68	0.00	1/34	1/16	30/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.56	0.00	3/34	1/16	51/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.53	0.01	8/34	2/16	73/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.85	0.00	1/34	1/16	26/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.61	0.01	2/34	1/16	39/142
474	Civil Justice		0.66	0.01	1/34	1/16	29/142
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.56	0.00	2/34	1/16	41/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							

10 percent level

🗕 Rwanda 👥 Sub-Saharan Africa 💶 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Á
1.1	0.53
Limits by legislature	
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.56
1.3 Independent auditing	0.65
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.78
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.46
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.70
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.75
2.2 In the judiciary	0.66

2.3			0.72
I	n the police/military		
2.4			0.60
I	n the legislature		

Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.57
3.2 Right to information	0.58
3.3 Civic participation	0.52
34	0.57

Complaint mechanisms

Freedom of religion 4.6 Right to privacy 4.7 Freedom of association 4.8 Labor rights **Order and Security** 5.1 5.2 5.3 6.1 6.2 6.3 0.57 6.4

**Fundamental Rights** 

Right to life and security

No discrimination

Due process of law

Freedom of expression

4.1

4.2


4.3

4.4

4.5

Order and Security	
	0.73
Absence of crime	
2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
3	0.83
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	<b>1</b>
5 ,	
	0.61
Effective regulatory enforcement	
	0.61
Effective regulatory enforcement	0.61
Effective regulatory enforcement	0.61
Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence	0.61

6.5				0.71
	No expropriation w/o	out adequa	te compensa	tion



ŧŧ

0.72

0.40

0.54

0.46

0.57

0.33

0.56


0.68

Civil Justice	T
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.69
7.2 No discrimination	0.68
7.3 No corruption	0.55
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.62
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.71
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.72
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.63
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.50
8.1	0.50
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.53
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 </li> </ul>	0.53
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.53

# Senegal

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

	0 /	,	0	'	0 1			
Overall Score Regiona		Regional Ran	k	Income R	Global Rank			
0.5	0.55 6/34			1/38	3	60/142		
Score Change		Rank Change	9					
0.0	0	0						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	nstraints o /ernment		0.55	0.00	9/34	4/38	64/142	
å Abs	sence of C	orruption	0.55	0.00	4/34	2/38	53/142	
Оре	en Govern	iment	0.44	0.01	12/34	12/38	94/142	
🕴 Fun	damental	Rights	0.59	-0.01	4/34	2/38	60/142	
Ord	ler and Se	curity	0.70	0.00	9/34	12/38	81/142	
Reg	ulatory E	nforcement	0.57	0.00	5/34	1/38	49/142	
∆ Î ↓ Civi	il Justice		0.55	-0.01	8/34	4/38	60/142	
d <sup>O</sup> Crit	minal Just	ice	0.46	-0.01	7/34	5/38	67/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



10 percent level

🗕 Senegal 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💶 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.53
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.46
1.3 Independent auditing	0.51
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.50
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.69
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.48
2.2 In the judiciary	0.61
2.3 In the police/military	0.69
2.4 In the legislature	0.44
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.36
3.2 Right to information	0.47
3.3 Civic participation	0.60
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.35


Fundamental Rights	ţţ
4.1	0.66
No discrimination	0.50
4.2 Right to life and security	0.53
4.3	0.47
Due process of law     4.4	0.59
Freedom of expression	0.57
4.5	0.77
Freedom of religion 4.6	0.33
Right to privacy	0.55
4.7	0.68
Freedom of association	0.66
Labor rights	0.00
	~
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.66
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.45
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.55
Effective regulatory enforcement	0.77
6.2 No improper influence	0.67
6.3	0.45
No unreasonable delay	0.52
6.4 Respect for due process	0.52
6.5	0.65
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	ation

Civil Justice	_4 <u>1</u> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.56
7.2 No discrimination	0.62
7.3 No corruption	0.52
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.42
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.53
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.57
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.66
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.54
8.1	0.54 0.49
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.49
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.49
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.49


# Serbia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

	-			-	-		
C	Overall Score Regional Rank		Income	Rank	Global Rank		
	0.47 11/15			30/41		94/142	
Score Change		Rank Chan	ige				
	-0.01 -	-1 -					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
i	Constraints of Government		0.34	-0.01	12/15	35/41	128/142
S	Absence of C	orruption	0.41	-0.01	11/15	29/41	93/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.44	-0.01	12/15	33/41	95/142
ţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.55	0.00	9/15	22/41	71/142
ſ	Order and Se	curity	0.76	0.00	11/15	14/41	56/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.44	-0.01	9/15	33/41	102/142
4]	Civil Justice		0.47	-0.01	12/15	29/41	93/142
ଟା	Criminal Just	ice	0.38	0.00	10/15	27/41	90/142
	dicates statistically percent level	significant chang	ge at the	Lov	v 1	Medium	High



Serbia — Eastern Europe and Central Asia — Upper-Middle



in the legislature	
Open Government	·
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.52
3.2 Right to information	0.45
3.3 Civic participation	0.43
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.36


Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 No discrimination	0.63
4.2 Right to life and security	0.58
4.3 Due process of law	0.51
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.43
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.67
4.6 Right to privacy	0.40
4.7 Freedom of association	0.54
4.8 Labor rights	0.64
Order and Security	-
5.1 Absence of crime	0.88
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.41
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2 No improper influence	0.51
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4 Respect for due process	0.36
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.50 ation

	Civil Justice	414
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.57
7.2	No discrimination	0.63
7.3	No corruption	0.44
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.31
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.20
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.59
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1		<mark>ර</mark> ්ට 0.39
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	<mark>ර</mark> ්ට 0.39 0.40
	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.40
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.40 0.41
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.40 0.41 0.37

# Sierra Leone

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score R		Regional Ra	Rank Income R		Rank Glob		al Rank	
0	0.43 18/34			7/1	6	110/142		
Score Change Rank		Rank Chan	ge					
-0.01 •		0						
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.4	7	-0.01	16/34	6/16	97/142
š,	Absence of C	orruption	0.3	5	-0.01	19/34	8/16	111/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.3	8	0.00	20/34	9/16	116/142
ţţ.	Fundamental	Rights	0.49	9	0.00	14/34	6/16	90/142
6	Order and Se	curity	0.6	6	0.00	17/34	6/16	99/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.3	5	-0.02	31/34	13/16	133/142
474	Civil Justice		0.4	1	-0.01	27/34	11/16	119/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.3	6	0.01	16/34	5/16	97/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



Sierra Leone 🗾 Sub-Saharan Africa 🔜 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.56
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.40
1.3 Independent auditing	0.45
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.35
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.52
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.52
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.33
2.2 In the judiciary	0.35
2.3 In the police/military	0.36
2.4 In the legislature	0.36
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.12
3.2 Right to information	0.39
3.3 Civic participation	0.50
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.51

Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1	0.49
No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.41
4.3	0.42
Due process of law	
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.52
4.5	0.73
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.32
Right to privacy	0.54
4.7 Freedom of association	0.54
4.8	0.46
Labor rights	
Order and Security	•
5.1	0.60
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.38
Absence of violent redress	0.30
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.34
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.34
No improper influence	
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.36
6.4	0.38
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.35
No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	tion

Civil Justice	
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.48
7.2 No discrimination	0.51
7.3 No corruption	0.32
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.24
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.37
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.46
Criminal Justice	ල්ව
Criminal Justice	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.45
8.1	0.45 0.32
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
<ul> <li>8.1</li> <li>Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2</li> <li>Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3</li> </ul>	0.32
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.32
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 1000000000000000000000000000000000000</li></ul>	0.32


# Singapore


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ra	nk	Income R	lank	Global Rank		
0.78		4/15		16/4	7	16/1	142	
So	ore Change	Rank Chang	ge					
	0.01 •	1.						
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
Ŵ	Constraints o Government		0.68	0.01	5/15	27/47	28/142	
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.92	0.01	1/15	3/47	3/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.60	-0.01	6/15	34/47	38/142	
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.68	0.01	5/15	36/47	39/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.94	0.00	1/15	2/47	2/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.87	0.01	1/15	3/47	3/142	
4]4	Civil Justice		0.79	0.01	1/15	8/47	8/142	
්ර	O Criminal Just	ice	0.78	0.01	1/15	7/47	7/142	
	licates statistically	significant change	at the	Low	M	edium	High	


10 percent level

 Singapore
 East Asia and Pacific High






2.4		0.90
In the legislature		
Open Governmen	t	



Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1	0.82
No discrimination	
4.2	0.77
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.77
Due process of law	
4.4	0.48
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.81
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.57
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.48
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.73
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.98
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.83
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.83
6.2	0.94
No improper influence	0.74
6.3	0.90
No unreasonable delay	0.70
6.4	0.89
Respect for due process	0.07
6.5	0.76
No expropriation w/out adequate compense	



C	Civil Justice	414
7.1 /	Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.78
7.3	No corruption	0.90
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.71
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.87
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.91
7.7 	mpartial and effective ADRs	0.75
9	Criminal Justice	80
8.1	Criminal Justice	<mark>රීරි</mark> 0.82
8.1 E 8.2		0.82 0.72
8.1 8.2 8.3	Effective investigations	
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.3	Effective investigations	0.72
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Effective investigations	0.72 0.86
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.5	Effective investigations	0.72 0.86 0.78
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.5 8.6 8.6	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.72 0.86 0.78 0.91

# Slovak Republic

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	verall Score	Regional Rank	: Ir	ncome R	ank	Global	Rank						
	0.66	26/31		33/47	7	34/1	142				Constraints o Governmen Powers		
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change							riminal lustice	8.5	.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4		
	0.00	0							8.4 8.3 8.2		1.5	1.6	
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank		8.1	$\mathbf{N}$	0.5	2.2 Corr	ence of ruption
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.64	-0.03	25/31	33/47	36/142	7.5		7	5	2.3	
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.54	0.00	28/31	42/47	55/142	7.5 Civil <sup>7.4</sup>		5		3.1	0
	Open Govern	ment	0.71	0.02	18/31	23/47	23/142	Justice 7.3		7		3.3	Open Governme
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.72	-0.01	24/31	30/47	31/142	7.2	1	$\mathbf{h}$		3.4	
6	Order and Se	curity	0.90	0.00	10/31	14/47	14/142		6.5			4.2	
Ē	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.62	0.00	23/31	34/47	35/142	Regul	6.3 atory 6.2		4.6		
4	Civil Justice		0.55	0.00	29/31	43/47	63/142	Enforc	ement	6.1 5	<sup>.3</sup> 5.2 5.1 4.8 4.7 Order and	Fundamental Rights	
- 80	Criminal Just	ice	0.59	0.02	22/31	29/47	29/142				Security		
10 per	ercent level	e significant change at EU, EFTA, a		Low			High						
10 per	ercent level						High						
LO per	ercent level Slovak Republic		nd North	h America	- Hi	igh	High		<b>††</b>		Civil Justice		<b>↓</b>
.0 per <b>Co</b> 1	ercent level Slovak Republic	EU, EFTA, a Government Po	nd North	h America	Hi Fu 4.1	igh	ntal Rights	- 11	0.62	7.1	<b>Civil Justice</b> Accessibility and affordab	bility	0.62
LO per Co 1 Lim 2	Slovak Republic	EU, EFTA, a	nd North	h America	4.1 No 4.2	igh <b>undame</b> i o discrim	ntal Rights			7.1		pility	_
Co 1 Lim 2 Lim	ercent level Slovak Republic onstraints on f nits by legislati	EU, EFTA, a Government Po ure	nd North	h America	Hi 4.1 4.2 Ri 4.3	igh undamei o discrim ght to life	ntal Rights ination e and security		0.62	7.1 7.2 7.3	Accessibility and affordab	oility	0.62
Co Lin Lin Co Lin Lin Co Lin Lin Co Lin Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co	ercent level Slovak Republic onstraints on f mits by legislatu mits by judiciar dependent aud	EU, EFTA, a Government Po ure y liting	nd North	h America	Hi 4.1 4.2 Ri 4.3 Du 4.4	igh o discrim ght to life ue proces	ntal Rights ination e and security ss of law		0.62	7.1 7.2 7.3	Accessibility and affordab No discrimination No corruption		0.62
Co Co Lin Lin Lin Sar 5	ercent level Slovak Republic onstraints on t mits by legislatu mits by judiciar dependent aud nctions for offi	EU, EFTA, a Government Po ure y liting icial misconduct	nd North	h America 	Hi 4.1 4.2 Ri 4.3 Du 4.4 Fr 4.5	igh o discrim ght to life ue proces eedom o	ntal Rights ination e and security ss of law f expression		0.62	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5	Accessibility and affordab No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influen		0.62 0.49 0.58
Co Co 1 Lin 2 Lin 3 Ind 4 Sar 5 No	ercent level Slovak Republic onstraints on f mits by legislatu mits by judiciar dependent aud	EU, EFTA, a Government Po ure y liting icial misconduct	nd North	h America 0.67 0.56 0.66 0.46	Hi 4.1 4.2 Ri 4.3 Du 4.4 Fr 4.5	igh o discrim ght to life ue proces eedom o	ntal Rights ination e and security ss of law		0.62	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5	Accessibility and affordab No discrimination No corruption		0.62 0.49 0.58 0.64
Co Co 1 Lin 2 Lin 3 Ind 4 Sar 5 No 6	ercent level Slovak Republic onstraints on t mits by legislatu mits by judiciar dependent aud nctions for offi	E EU, EFTA, a Government Po ure y liting cial misconduct tal checks	nd North	h America 0.67 0.56 0.66 0.46 0.46	Hi 4.1 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.3 4.4 4.4 Fr 4.5 Fr 4.6 Ri	igh o discrim ght to life ue proces eedom o	ntal Rights ination e and security ss of law f expression f religion		0.62 0.86 0.73 0.67 0.68 0.69	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6	Accessibility and affordab No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influen		0.62 0.49 0.58 0.64 0.30 0.53
Co Co Lim 2 Lim 3 Ind 4 Sar 5 No 6 Lav	slovak Republic Slovak Republic onstraints on o mits by legislatu mits by judiciar dependent aud nctions for offi	EU, EFTA, a	nd North	h America 0.67 0.56 0.66 0.46 0.46	Hi 4.1 4.2 4.3 0 4.4 4.3 0 4.4 Fr 4.5 Fr 4.6 Ri 4.7 Fr	igh o discrim ght to life eedom o eedom o ght to pr	ntal Rights ination e and security ss of law f expression f religion		0.62 0.86 0.73 0.67 0.68 0.69 0.77	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.6	Accessibility and affordab No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influen No unreasonable delay	ice	0.62 0.49 0.58 0.64 0.30
Co 1 Lim 2 Lim 3 Ind 4 Sar 5 No 6 Lav Ab	ercent level Slovak Republic onstraints on 4 mits by legislatu mits by judiciar dependent aud nctions for offi on-government wful transition	EU, EFTA, a Government Po ure y liting cial misconduct tal checks of power ruption	nd North	h America 	Hi 4.1 4.1 4.2 4.3 0 4.4 4.3 7 4.4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	igh o discrim ght to life eedom o eedom o ght to pr	ntal Rights ination e and security ss of law f expression f religion ivacy f association		0.62 0.86 0.73 0.67 0.68 0.69	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7	Accessibility and affordab No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influen No unreasonable delay Effective enforcement	ice	0.62 0.49 0.58 0.64 0.30 0.53
Co Co Lim Lim Lim Lim Sar Sar Sar Lin Lin Lin Lin Lin Lin Lin Lin Lin Lin	ercent level Slovak Republic onstraints on of mits by legislatu mits by judiciar dependent aud nctions for offi on-government wful transition osence of Corr the executive b	EU, EFTA, a Government Po ure y liting cial misconduct tal checks of power ruption	nd North	h America 	Hi 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.3 00 4.4 Fr 4.5 Fr 4.6 Ri 4.7 Fr 4.8 La	igh o discrim ght to lifi ue proces eedom o ght to pr eedom o sbor right	ntal Rights ination e and security ss of law f expression f religion ivacy f association		0.62 0.86 0.73 0.67 0.68 0.69 0.77 0.72	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7	Accessibility and affordab No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influen No unreasonable delay Effective enforcement Impartial and effective AE Criminal Justice	ice	0.62 0.49 0.58 0.64 0.30 0.53 0.67
Co Co Lin Co Lin Co Lin Co Lin Co Lin Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Lin Co Co Co Lin Co Co Co Lin Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co	ercent level Slovak Republic onstraints on the mits by legislate mits by judiciar dependent aud nctions for offi- on-government wful transition osence of Corr the executive the the judiciary	E EU, EFTA, a Government Po ure y liting cial misconduct tal checks of power ruption	nd North	h America 	Hi 4.1 4.2 4.2 4.3 Di 4.3 Di 4.4 Fr 4.5 Fr 4.6 Ri 4.7 Ri 4.7 S.1	igh o discrim ght to life ue proces eedom o ght to pr eedom o ght to pr ibor right rder and	ntal Rights ination e and security ss of law f expression f religion ivacy f association ts <b>J Security</b>		0.62 0.86 0.73 0.67 0.68 0.69 0.77	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.6 7.7 8.1	Accessibility and affordab No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influen No unreasonable delay Effective enforcement Impartial and effective AE Criminal Justice	DRs	0.62 0.49 0.58 0.64 0.30 0.53 0.67
Co Co Lin 2 Lin 3 Ind 4 Sar 5 No 6 Lav Ab 1 In t 2 2 In t 3	ercent level Slovak Republic onstraints on of mits by legislatu mits by judiciar dependent aud nctions for offi on-government wful transition osence of Corr the executive b	E EU, EFTA, a Government Po ure y liting cial misconduct tal checks of power ruption	nd North	h America 	Hi 4.1 4.2 4.2 4.3 Di 4.3 Di 4.4 Fr 4.5 Fr 4.6 Ri 4.7 Ri 4.7 S.1	igh o discrim ght to lifi ue proces eedom o ght to pr eedom o sbor right	ntal Rights ination e and security ss of law f expression f religion ivacy f association ts <b>J Security</b>		0.62 0.86 0.73 0.67 0.68 0.69 0.77 0.72	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.6 7.7 8.1	Accessibility and affordab No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influen No unreasonable delay Effective enforcement Impartial and effective AE Criminal Justice Effective investigations	DRs	0.62 0.49 0.58 0.64 0.30 0.53 0.67 0.55

Open Government	
3.1	0.67
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.69
Right to information	
3.3	0.68
Civic participation	
3.4	0.78
Complaint mechanisms	



Respect for due process

6.5

0.83

0.67

0.69

0.54

0.57

0.65

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation


No discrimination	
7.3 0.58	3
No corruption	
7.4 0.64 No improper gov't influence	ŀ
7.5 0.30	
No unreasonable delay	,
7.6 0.53	3
Effective enforcement	
7.7	7
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	
	)
8.1 0.55	5
Effective investigations	
8.2 0.46 Timely and effective adjudication	)
8.3 0.68	3
Effective correctional system	
8.4 0.49	,
No discrimination	
8.5 0.55	5
No corruption	
8.6 0.70 No improper gov't influence	)
8.7 0.73	,
0.73 Due process of law	,

# Slovenia


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income R	lank	Global	Rank	
	0.69	20/31		27/4	7	27/	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chang	ge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
	0.00	0						8.4 8.3 1.5 1.6
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 0.5 2.1 Absence of Corruption
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.66	0.00	23/31	30/47	32/142	7.7 7.6 2.3 2.4
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.66	-0.01	22/31	33/47	36/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Govern	iment	0.66	0.00	21/31	28/47	29/142	Justice 7.3 Governme
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.77	0.01	18/31	22/47	23/142	7.2 7.1 4.1
Ģ	Order and Se	curity	0.89	0.00	14/31	18/47	19/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.64	-0.01	21/31	30/47	31/142	Regulatory 6.1 5.2 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 Fundamental
1	Civil Justice		0.67	0.00	18/31	27/47	27/142	Order and Rights
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.58	0.01	25/31	36/47	37/142	Security
	cates statistically rcent level	significant change	e at the	Low	М	edium	High	— 2024 Score — 2023 Score

Slovenia 📒 EU, EFTA, and North America 📒 High



2.2	0.81
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.77
In the police/military	
2.4	0.46




Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1	0.74
No discrimination	
4.2	0.91
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.76
Due process of law	
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.68
4.5	0.81
Freedom of religion	0.01
4.6	0.74
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.75
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.72
Labor rights	
Order and Security	_
5.1	0.95
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.72
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	<b>E</b>
6.1	0.69
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.75
6.3	0.59
No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4	0.58
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.62
No expropriation w/out adequate compension	sation

Civil Justice	<u>-</u> 1
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.71
7.2 No discrimination	0.76
7.3 No corruption	0.72
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.64
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.43
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.62
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.80
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice	0.45
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.45
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Effective distribution</li> </ul>	0.52
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.52
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> </ul>	0.52

# South Africa

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	ore Regional Rank		Income	Rank	Global Rank		
0.56	5/34		13/4	11	57/	142	
Score Change Rank Chang		ige					
-0.01 -	-1 •						
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
Constraints Governmen		0.61	0.00	3/34	5/41	42/142	
<b>Absence of</b>	Corruption	0.46	0.00	7/34	17/41	69/142	
Open Gove	rnment	0.61	-0.01	1/34	3/41	36/142	
Fundament	al Rights	0.63	0.00	3/34	9/41	48/142	
Order and	Security	0.60	0.00	23/34	35/41	120/142	
Regulatory	Enforcement	0.51	-0.01	8/34	16/41	63/142	
Civil Justice	e	0.58	-0.01	5/34	10/41	50/142	
්රී Criminal Ju	stice	0.50	0.00	5/34	12/41	55/142	
* Indicates statistica 10 percent level	lly significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	1edium	High	



10 percent level

🗕 South Africa 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.59
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.67
1.3 Independent auditing	0.58
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.45
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.70
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.71
Absence of Corruption	š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.36
2.2 In the judiciary	0.71
2.3 In the police/military	0.56
2.4 In the legislature	0.22
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.53
3.2 Right to information	0.51
3.3 Civic participation	0.69
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.71

Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1 No discrimination	0.50
4.2 Right to life and security	0.60
4.3 Due process of law	0.53
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.70
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6 Right to privacy	0.61
4.7 Freedom of association	0.73
4.8 Labor rights	0.67
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.47
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.33
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.42
6.2 No improper influence	0.56
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.46
6.4 Respect for due process	0.55
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.56 ation


Civil Justice	_12
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.49
7.2 No discrimination	0.48
7.3 No corruption	0.67
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.65
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.55
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.74
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.38
8.1	0.38
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.49
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 </li> </ul>	0.49
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</li></ul>	0.49


# Spain

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income F	Rank	Global	Rank
	0.71	18/31		25/4	7	25/	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge				
-	0.01 •	-1 •					
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.70	-0.02	20/31	26/47	27/142
š	Absence of Co	orruption	0.73	0.01	16/31	22/47	22/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.69	-0.01	19/31	25/47	25/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.78	0.00	16/31	19/47	19/142
<b>G</b>	Order and Se	curity	0.82	0.00	24/31	32/47	35/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.68	-0.01	19/31	27/47	28/142
474	Civil Justice		0.64	-0.01	19/31	29/47	31/142
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.67	0.00	18/31	25/47	25/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Low	М	edium	High

Spain EU, EFTA, and North America
 High






Fundamental Rights	_††
4.1 No discrimination	0.74
4.2	0.87
Right to life and security	0.00
4.3 Due process of law	0.80
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.69
4.5	0.76
Freedom of religion 4.6	0.85
Right to privacy	0.77
4.7 Freedom of association	0.77
4.8 Labor rights	0.78
Order and Security	-
5.1 Absence of crime	0.86
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.61
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.68
6.2	0.83
No improper influence	0.51
No unreasonable delay	
6.4 Respect for due process	0.74
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.65
The expropriation w/out adequate compens	auon

Civil Justice	
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.70
7.2 No discrimination	0.67
7.3 No corruption	0.72
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.64
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.44
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.80
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.58
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.58
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.73
8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10	0.66
8.5 No corruption	0.75
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.59
8.7 Due process of law	0.80

# Sri Lanka

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall	Overall Score Regional Rank		ank	Income Rank			Global Rank	
0.5	0.51 2/6				6/3	8	75/142	
Score C	Score Change Rank Chang		nge					
0.0	1 •	2▲						
			Fact Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	nstraints o vernment		0.5	1	0.01	3/6	7/38	76/142
💰 Abs	sence of C	orruption	0.4	9	0.02	1/6	3/38	63/142
Ор	en Govern	iment	0.5	2	0.01	2/6	3/38	63/142
Fun	damental	Rights	0.4	9	0.00	2/6	7/38	86/142
Orc	ler and Se	curity	0.6	8	0.00	2/6	18/38	93/142
Reg	gulatory E	nforcement	0.4	9	0.01	1/6	8/38	73/142
<b>∆</b> Civ	il Justice		0.4	5	0.01	2/6	20/38	103/142
්රී Crii	minal Just	ice	0.4	2	0.01	2/6	9/38	77/142
* Indicates s 10 percent		significant chan	ge at the	9	Lov	v N	1edium	High



ŤŤ

10 percent level

🗕 Sri Lanka 🛑 South Asia 🛑 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.51
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.57
1.3 Independent auditing	0.41
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.41
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.57
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.63
Absence of Corruption	ġ
2.1 In the executive branch	0.44
2.2 In the judiciary	0.65
2.3 In the police/military	0.56
2.4 In the legislature	0.31
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.44
3.2 Right to information	0.47
3.3 Civic participation	0.58
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.60

4.1 No discrimination	0.51
4.2 Right to life and security	0.36
4.3 Due process of law	0.36
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.57
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.59
4.6 Right to privacy	0.32
4.7 Freedom of association	0.65
4.8 Labor rights	0.60
Order and Security	6
or der and occurrey	1
5.1 Absence of crime	1 0.80
5.1	0.80
5.1 Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.92
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.92
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.92
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.92 0.33 IIII 0.56
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 Absence	0.92 0.33 0.56 0.57

**Fundamental Rights** 

Civil Justice	414
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.46
7.2 No discrimination	0.36
7.3 No corruption	0.63
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.48
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.20
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.39
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.61
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.41
8.1	0.41 0.34
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.34
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Contemport of the system</li> </ul>	0.34
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.34

# St. Kitts and Nevis

**Regional Rank** 


**Overall Score** 

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Global Rank

Income Rank

0.64	6/32		37/4	7	38/2	142
core Change	Rank Chan	ge				
0.00	1 •					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints of Government		0.62	0.01	6/32	35/47	40/142
Absence of C	Corruption	0.65	0.00	6/32	34/47	38/142
Open Govern	nment	0.46	0.01	22/32	43/47	82/142
Fundamenta	l Rights	0.69	0.00	7/32	33/47	35/142
Order and Se	ecurity	0.77	0.00	4/32	39/47	55/142
Regulatory E	nforcement	0.61	0.00	6/32	37/47	40/142
Civil Justice		0.69	0.01	2/32	22/47	22/142
Criminal Just	tice	0.59	0.00	1/32	31/47	31/142
dicates statistically percent level	/ significant chang	e at the	Low	M	edium	High



Complaint mechanisms


Fundamental Rights	
4.1 No discrimination	0.62
4.2 Right to life and security	0.83
4.3 Due process of law	0.62
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.64
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.68
4.6 Right to privacy	0.74
4.7 Freedom of association	0.67
4.8 Labor rights	0.70
Order and Security	-
5.1	0.84
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.48
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2 No improper influence	0.77
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.54
6.4 Respect for due process	0.60
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.63 sation

	Civil Justice	474
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.69
7.2	No discrimination	0.64
7.3	No corruption	0.81
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.83
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.58
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.78
	Criminal Justice	ර්ට
8.1	Effective investigations	0.43
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.52
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.39
8.4	No discrimination	0.63
8.5	No corruption	0.75
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.78
8.7	Due process of law	0.62

# St. Lucia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Rank	Global	l Rank
1	42/1	142
Regional e Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
8/32	7/41	46/142
10/32	5/41	45/142
18/32	22/41	70/142
9/32	5/41	41/142
6/32	19/41	66/142
7/32	3/41	41/142
4/32	1/41	30/142
8/32	6/41	45/142
)		



🗕 St. Lucia 📒 Latin America and Caribbean 💶 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.64
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.70
1.3 Independent auditing	0.39
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.50
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.75
Absence of Corruption	5
2.1 In the executive branch	0.55
2.2 In the judiciary	0.87
2.3 In the police/military	0.69
2.4 In the legislature	0.39
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.28
3.2 Right to information	0.53
3.3 Civic participation	0.62
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.56

Fundamental Rights	ţţ
4.1 No discrimination	0.74
4.2 Right to life and security	0.77
4.3 Due process of law	0.57
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6	0.60
Right to privacy     4.7	0.65
Freedom of association 4.8	0.66
Labor rights	
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.76
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.44
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2 No improper influence	0.74
6.3	0.47
No unreasonable delay     6.4	0.69
Respect for due process 6.5	0.67


No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	474
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2 No discrimination	0.70
7.3 No corruption	0.82
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.80
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.46
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.73
Criminal Justice	_00
8.1 Effective investigations	0.37
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.38
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.45
8.4 No discrimination	0.62
8.5 No corruption	0.70
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.74
8.7 Due process of law	0.57

# St. Vincent and the Grenadines

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank
	0.62	8/32		3/41	L	43/1	L42
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	:				
-	0.01 -	-1 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.58	0.00	11/32	9/41	52/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.68	0.00	4/32	1/41	32/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.48	-0.03	20/32	26/41	76/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.69	-0.01	6/32	2/41	34/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.75	-0.01	5/32	17/41	63/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.54	0.00	10/32	11/41	56/142
474	Civil Justice		0.62	-0.01	5/32	2/41	34/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.59	-0.01	2/32	1/41	32/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change a	t the	Low	M	edium	High



10 percent level

St. Vincent and the Grenadines — Latin America and Caribbean — Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Â
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.53
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.60
1.3 Independent auditing	0.56
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.51
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.57
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.70
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.61
2.2 In the judiciary	0.87
2.3 In the police/military	0.76
2.4 In the legislature	0.47
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.22
3.2 Right to information	0.54
3.3 Civic participation	0.58
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.58


Fundamental Rights	ŧŧ
4.1	0.62
No discrimination	
4.2	0.85
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.63
Due process of law	0.57
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.57
4.5	0.81
Freedom of religion	0.01
4.6	0.78
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.64
Freedom of association	
4.8 Labor rights	0.63
Labor rights	
Order and Security	G
5.1	0.76
Absence of crime	017 0
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	_
5.3	0.49
Absence of violent redress	
De sudata su Esta se su ant	-
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.50
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.71
6.3	0.47
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.44
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.57
No expropriation w/out adequate compense	ation

Civil Justice	_12
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.64
7.2 No discrimination	0.62
7.3 No corruption	0.75
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.70
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.76
Criminal Justice	60
Criminal Justice	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.44
8.1	0.44
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
<ul> <li>8.1</li> <li>Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2</li> <li>Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3</li> </ul>	0.57
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Effective adjudication</li> </ul>	0.57
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.57

#### Sudan

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.35	33/34		14/1	16	134	/142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge				
-	0.01 -	-2 -					
			Facto Scor		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.27	-0.01	34/34	16/16	136/142
š	Absence of Co	orruption	0.35	0.00	20/34	9/16	112/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.34	-0.01	29/34	13/16	128/142
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.32	-0.01	33/34	14/16	132/142
•	Order and Se	curity	0.52	-0.03*	28/34	11/16	132/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.33	0.00	33/34	16/16	138/142
474	Civil Justice		0.35	-0.01	34/34	15/16	134/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.34	0.00	23/34	9/16	106/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	Medium	High



İİ

10 percent level

🗕 Sudan 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.24
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.27
1.3 Independent auditing	0.17
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.25
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.30
Absence of Corruption	Ś
2.1 In the executive branch	0.36
2.2 In the judiciary	0.39
2.3 In the police/military	0.38
2.4 In the legislature	0.26

·

0.22

0.24

0.46

0.44

**Open Government** 

Publicized laws and gov't data

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

3.1

3.2

3.3

3.4

4.1	0.35
No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.16
4.3	0.34
Due process of law	0.01
4.4	0.39
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.42
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.13
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.47
Freedom of association	0.47
4.8	0.29
Labor rights	
Order and Security	
5.1	0.61
Absence of crime	0.01
	0.50
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.50
5.3	0.46
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.30
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.30
6.2	0.52
No improper influence	
6.3	0.38
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.13
Respect for due process	
Respect for due process	0.31

**Fundamental Rights** 


Civil Justice	_4 <b>T</b> &
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.31
7.2 No discrimination	0.27
7.3 No corruption	0.32
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.31
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.31
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.49
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.43
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.41
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.40
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.30
8.4 No discrimination	0.25
8.5 No corruption	0.41
8.6	0.28

No imp	proper gov't influence	
8.7		0.34
Due pr	ocess of law	

#### Suriname


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank		Income	Rank	Globa	ıl Rank
	0.49	19/32			25/4	11	85/	142
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge					
	0.00	-4 •						
			Fact Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints of Government		0.4	9	0.00	24/32	26/41	87/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.4	4	0.00	18/32	25/41	79/142
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.3	8	0.00	29/32	38/41	115/142
İİ	Fundamental	Rights	0.5	2	0.00	22/32	26/41	79/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.6	5	0.00	18/32	29/41	105/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.4	6	0.00	21/32	28/41	94/142
474	Civil Justice		0.4	9	0.01	18/32	25/41	84/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.5	3	0.00	12/32	10/41	52/142
	cates statistically	significant chang	e at the	9	Lov	v N	1edium [	High




10 percent level

Suriname Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle



Fundamental Rights	_††
4.1	0.55
No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.57
4.3	0.43
4.3 Due process of law	0.43
4.4	0.51
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.61
Freedom of religion	
4.6 Right to privacy	0.33
4.7	0.61
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.53
Labor rights	
	~
Order and Security	
5.1	0.76
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.18
Absence of violent redress	0.10
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.44
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.60
No improper influence	
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4	0.32
Respect for due process	0.02
6.5	0.50
No expropriation w/out adequate compen	sation


	Civil Justice	414
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.51
7.2		0.51
7.3	No discrimination	0.62
7.4	No corruption	0.53
7.5	No improper gov't influence	0.32
	No unreasonable delay	
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.49
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1	Effective investigations	0.44
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.58
8.3		0.37



#### Sweden

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rar	nk l	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank
0.86	4/31		4/47	7	4/1	42
Score Change	Rank Change	е				
0.00	0					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints of Government F		0.86	0.00	5/31	6/47	6/142
Absence of Co	orruption	0.91	0.01	3/31	4/47	4/142
Open Govern	ment	0.84	0.00	4/31	4/47	4/142
Fundamental	Rights	0.87	0.01	4/31	4/47	4/142
Order and Sec	curity	0.93	0.00	6/31	7/47	7/142
Regulatory En	forcement	0.83	0.00	8/31	10/47	10/142
Civil Justice		0.83	0.02	3/31	3/47	3/142
්රී Criminal Justi	се	0.78	-0.01	6/31	6/47	6/142
* Indicates statistically : 10 percent level	significant change	at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High



10 percent level

Sweden EU, EFTA, and North America		High
Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.80	4.
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.82	4.2
1.3 Independent auditing	0.92	4.
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.81	4.4
1.5	0.86	4.
Non-governmental checks	0.92	4.0
Lawful transition of power		4.
Absence of Corruption	š	4.8
2.1 In the executive branch	0.87	
2.2 In the judiciary	0.97	
2.3 In the police/military	0.96	5.1
2.4 In the legislature	0.83	5.:
Open Government		5.3
3.1	0.76	
Publicized laws and gov't data	0.89	6.3
Right to information 3.3	0.85	6.2
Civic participation 3.4	0.86	6.3
Complaint mechanisms		

	Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1	No discrimination	0.77
4.2	Right to life and security	0.98
4.3	Due process of law	0.88
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.86
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.83
4.6	Right to privacy	0.97
4.7	Freedom of association	0.88
4.8	Labor rights	0.82
	Order and Security	F
5.1	Absence of crime	0.92
5.2		1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.85
	Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.79
6.2		0.92
6.3		0.79
6.4	Respect for due process	0.80
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	0.88 tion

Civil Justice	474
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.80
7.2 No discrimination	0.79
7.3 No corruption	0.91
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.90
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.73
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.91
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.80
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>ර</mark> ්ට 0.50
8.1	0
8.1 Effective investigations	0.50
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Investigation</li> </ul>	0.50
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 </li> </ul>	0.50
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.50 0.71 0.75 0.80

#### Tanzania

Absence of 2.2 Corruption

Fundamental Rights

Open Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	lank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.47	11/34	1	15/3	38	96/	/142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	ore Change	Rank Char	nge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 Iustice 8.5 1.4
	0.00	2 •						8.4 8.3 8.2
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7
	Constraints o Government		0.50	-0.01	11/34	9/38	81/142	7.6
ه	Absence of C	orruption	0.41	0.00	13/34	13/38	94/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Govern	ment	0.39	0.00	16/34	22/38	111/142	Justice 7.3
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.44	0.00	22/34	20/38	112/142	72 7.1
F	Order and Se	curity	0.70	0.00	8/34	11/38	80/142	6.5 6.4
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.46	0.01	16/34	15/38	95/142	Regulatory 6.1 5.3 5.0 4.7 4.5
474	Civil Justice		0.48	0.00	12/34	13/38	88/142	5.4 5.1 4.8 Order and
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.37	-0.01	15/34	18/38	96/142	Security
	cates statistically rcent level	significant chan	ge at the	Lov	v M	∕ledium	High	— 2024 Score — 2023 Score

🗕 Tanzania 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Lower-Middle

	Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1		0.56
	Limits by legislature	
1.2		0.53
	Limits by judiciary	
1.3		0.46
	Independent auditing	
1.4		0.49
	Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5		0.43
	Non-governmental checks	
1.6		0.51
	Lawful transition of power	
	Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1		0.45
	In the executive branch	
2.2		0.43
	In the judiciary	
2.3		0.37
	In the police/military	
2.4		0.38
	In the legislature	
	Open Government	
3.1		0.27
	Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2		0.41
	Right to information	
3.3		0.49
	Civic participation	
3.4		0.38
	Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	tt
4.1 A No discrimination	0.57
4.2 Right to life and security	0.28
4.3 Due process of law	0.30
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.43
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.58
4.6 Right to privacy	0.22
4.7 Freedom of association	0.52
4.8 Labor rights	0.58
Order and Security	Ģ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.71
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.41
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.59
6.2 No improper influence	0.51
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.35
6.4 Respect for due process	0.35
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.47 ation

Civil Justice	_4 <u>7</u> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.45
7.2 No discrimination	0.54
7.3 No corruption	0.45
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.41
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.39
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.59
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	0.37
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.38
8.3	0.25


Effective c	orrectional system	
8.4		0.48
No discrim	ination	
8.5		0.43
No corrup	tion	
8.6		0.35
No improp	er gov't influence	
8.7		0.30

Due process of law

# Thailand

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.50	10/15		22/4	41	78/	′142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge				
(	0.01 •	4 •					
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.46	0.01	12/15	30/41	101/142
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.46	0.01	9/15	18/41	70/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.48	0.01	9/15	25/41	75/142
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.48	0.02	10/15	32/41	94/142
•	Order and Se	curity	0.74	0.00	11/15	18/41	65/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.45	0.01	13/15	31/41	99/142
474	Civil Justice		0.50	0.02	10/15	22/41	79/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.41	0.00	11/15	22/41	80/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	∕ledium ∣	High



İİ

10 percent level

🗕 Thailand 🛑 East Asia and Pacific 💶 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1	0.50
Limits by legislature	0.57
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.56
1.3 Independent auditing	0.38
1.4	0.42
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.51
1.6	0.39
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	ġ
2.1	0.44
In the executive branch	
2.2 In the judiciary	0.67
2.3	0.45
In the police/military	0.15
2.4	0.27
In the legislature	
	-
Open Government	
	0.43
Publicized laws and gov't data	0.44
3.2 Right to information	0.44
3.3	0.50
Civic participation	
3.4	0.57
Complaint mechanisms	

	_ ! !
4.1 No discrimination	0.51
4.2 Right to life and security	0.38
4.3 Due process of law	0.43
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.51
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.60
4.6 Right to privacy	0.37
4.7 Freedom of association	0.46
4.8 Labor rights	0.55
Order and Security	0
Order and Security	1
5.1 Absence of crime	0.79
5.1	0.79
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.94
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.94
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.94
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.94
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 Absence of violent redress	0.94 0.50 0.51 0.54
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4 Absence of violent redress	0.94 0.50 0.51 0.54 0.43


**Fundamental Rights** 

Civil Justice	_4 <b>T</b> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.60
7.2 No discrimination	0.53
7.3 No corruption	0.71
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.47
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.36
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.35
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.50
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.36
8.1	0.36
8.1 Effective investigations	
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Control of the second s</li></ul>	0.42
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 Contemport of the system</li> </ul>	0.42
<ul> <li>8.1 Effective investigations</li> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.42

#### Togo

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	verall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.45	16/34		5/1	6	106	/142
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chang	ge				
	-0.01 -	-4 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.37	-0.01	28/34	13/16	119/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.42	-0.01	10/34	4/16	85/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.31	-0.01	32/34	15/16	133/142
İİ	Fundamental	Rights	0.45	-0.01	20/34	10/16	107/142
Ģ	Order and Se	curity	0.69	-0.01	11/34	4/16	88/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.50	-0.01	9/34	2/16	67/142
4]4	Civil Justice		0.47	-0.01	13/34	4/16	90/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.36	-0.01	18/34	6/16	100/142
	cates statistically ercent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	1edium	High



ŧŧ

10 percent level

🗕 Togo 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 🛑 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	â
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.46
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.34
1.3 Independent auditing	0.34
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.30
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.41
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.35
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.46
2.2 In the judiciary	0.43
2.3 In the police/military	0.44
2.4 In the legislature	0.36
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.21
3.2 Right to information	0.26
3.3 Civic participation	0.40
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.37

Ŭ	_ ! !
4.1 No discrimination	0.55
4.2 Right to life and security	0.38
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.41
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.69
4.6 Right to privacy	0.16
4.7 Freedom of association	0.48
4.8 Labor rights	0.53
Order and Security	A
5.1 Absence of crime	0.75
	0.75
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.89
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.89
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.89
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.89
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.89 0.43 0.43 0.48 0.63
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress  Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.89 0.43 0.43 0.48 0.63 0.41 0.48 0.50

**Fundamental Rights** 


	_4]4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.51
7.2 No discrimination	0.53
7.3 No corruption	0.33
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.35
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.53
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	0.31
	0.31
Effective investigations 8.2	
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.39
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5	0.39
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination	0.39 0.25 0.53

# Trinidad and Tobago

Constraints on


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income	Rank	Global Rank		
	0.51	15/32		46/4	17	74/	74/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge					
	-0.01 •	-4 •						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
<b>İ</b>	Constraints of Government		0.52	-0.01	17/32	46/47	72/142	
Š	Absence of Corruption		0.48	-0.01	13/32	45/47	64/142	
ŀ	Open Government		0.53	0.00	15/32	40/47	61/142	
İİ	Fundamental Rights		0.58	-0.01	16/32	43/47	63/142	
Ē	Order and Se	curity	0.65	-0.01	17/32	46/47	104/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.48	0.00	17/32	45/47	76/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.55	-0.01	14/32	44/47	64/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.31	0.00	23/32	47/47	121/142	
	cates statistically rcent level	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	



10 percent level

🗕 Trinidad and Tobago 🛑 Latin America and Caribbean 💻 High



Complaint mechanisms


Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1	0.58
No discrimination	
4.2	0.61
Right to life and security	
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4	0.60
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.75
Freedom of religion	
4.6 Right to privacy	0.38
4.7	0.69
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.65
Labor rights	
	~
Order and Security	1
5.1	0.69
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
	0.04
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.24
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.40
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.62
No improper influence	
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.33
6.4	0.50
Respect for due process	0.50
6.5	0.57
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	ation

	414
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.59
7.2 No discrimination	0.54
7.3 No corruption	0.78
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.67
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.23
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.39
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.63
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.32
LITECTIVE HIVESTIGHTOHS	
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.21
8.2	0.21
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	
<ul> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 </li> </ul>	0.15
<ul> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> <li>8.5 </li> </ul>	0.15
<ul> <li>8.2 Timely and effective adjudication</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination</li> </ul>	0.15

# Tunisia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	verall Score Regional Rank		ık I	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.50 4/9			7/38		76/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	е				
-	0.01 -	-4 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.51	-0.04	4/9	8/38	79/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.47	0.01	4/9	4/38	66/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.46	-0.03*	1/9	10/38	85/142
ŤŤ	Fundamental	Rights	0.48	-0.03	1/9	10/38	92/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.72	0.00	5/9	8/38	69/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.49	-0.01	6/9	7/38	72/142
474	Civil Justice		0.49	-0.01	7/9	12/38	86/142
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.41	0.00	5/9	11/38	79/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



nge 10 percent level

Tunisia — Middle East and North Africa — Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	<b>_</b>
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.44
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.41
1.3 Independent auditing	0.50
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.47
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.53
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.68
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.49
2.2 In the judiciary	0.49
2.3 In the police/military	0.57
2.4 In the legislature	0.34
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.36
3.2 Right to information	0.47
3.3 Civic participation	0.51
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.49

Fundamental Rights	ŤŤ
4.1	0.56
No discrimination	
4.2	0.45
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.45
Due process of law	
4.4	0.53
Freedom of expression	
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.53
	0.07
4.6 Right to privacy	0.27
4.7	0.62
Freedom of association	0.02
4.8	0.43
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.78
Absence of crime	0.78
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.39
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	Ê
	0.50
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2	0.60
No improper influence	0.00
6.3	0.36
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.40
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.59
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	ation


_4]4
0.54
0.60
0.38
0.49
0.40
0.41
0.61
60
0.41

Effective investigations	
8.2	0.45
Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3	0.33
Effective correctional system	
8.4	0.40
No discrimination	
8.5	0.48
No corruption	
8.6	0.38
No improper gov't influence	
8.7	0.45
Due process of law	

# Türkiye

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income Rank		Global Rank		
0.42	15/15		38/41		117/142		
Score Change	Rank Chan	ge					
0.00	0						
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
Constraints o Government		0.29	0.00	14/15	39/41	135/142	
Absence of Co	orruption	0.45	0.00	8/15	24/41	78/142	
Open Govern	ment	0.40	0.00	13/15	37/41	108/142	
Fundamental	Rights	0.31	0.01	15/15	39/41	133/142	
Order and Se	curity	0.72	0.00	13/15	20/41	70/142	
Regulatory E	nforcement	0.42	0.00	14/15	40/41	117/142	
Civil Justice		0.40	-0.01	15/15	36/41	122/142	
Criminal Just	ice	0.34	0.00	13/15	30/41	107/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



**Civil Justice** 

No discrimination

Accessibility and affordability

П

7.1

7.2

474

0.55

0.28

0.49

0.19

0.23


0.46

0.63

ŤŤ

10 percent level

 Türkiye
 Eastern Europe and Central Asia Upper-Middle



4.1	No discrimination	0.41
4.2	Right to life and security	0.33
4.3	B Due process of law	0.42
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.25
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.11
4.6	Right to privacy	0.26
4.7	Freedom of association	0.30
4.8	Labor rights	0.39
	Order and Security	f
5.1	Absence of crime	0.80
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.81
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.55
	Regulatory Enforcement	

Effective regulatory enforcement

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

No improper influence 

No unreasonable delay 

Respect for due process

**Fundamental Rights** 

3.1		0.48
	Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2		0.44
	Right to information	
3.3		0.28
	Civic participation	
3.4		0.40
	Complaint mechanisms	

**Open Government** 

·

6.1

6.2

6.3

6.4

6.5

	0.42	7.3
oflaw		No corruption
	0.25	7.4
expression		No improper gov't influence
	0.11	7.5
religion		No unreasonable delay
	0.26	7.6
асу		Effective enforcement
	0.30	7.7
association		Impartial and effective ADRs
	0.39	
		Criminal Justice
		8.1
Security	G	Effective investigations
	0.80	8.2
crime		Timely and effective adjudica
	0.81	8.3
civil conflict		Effective correctional system
	0.55	8.4
violent redress		No discrimination
		8.5
Enforcement		No corruption
		8.6
	0.40	No improper gov't influence

0.62

0.41

0.18

0.46

	Impartial and effective ADRs	
	Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1		0.44
	Effective investigations	
8.2		0.36
	Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3	3	0.35
	Effective correctional system	
8.4	4	0.25
	No discrimination	
8.5	5	0.54
	No corruption	
8.6	5	0.05
	No improper gov't influence	
8.7	7	0.42


Due process of law

# Uganda

Constraints on Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.


Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.39	29/34		12/1	16	126	/142
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	-1 •					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.38	-0.01	24/34	11/16	114/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.27	0.00	31/34	15/16	134/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.39	0.00	18/34	7/16	113/142
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.34	-0.01	31/34	13/16	128/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.57	-0.01	25/34	9/16	127/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.43	0.00	21/34	7/16	112/142
474	Civil Justice		0.42	-0.01	24/34	8/16	115/142
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.32	0.00	25/34	10/16	115/142
	ates statistically	significant change	e at the	Lov	v	Medium	High



İİ

Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

🗕 Uganda 👥 Sub-Saharan Africa 🗧 Low



	In the execu	tive b	ranch		
2.2					0.34
	In the judicia	ary			
2.3					0.27
	In the police	/milit	ary		
2.4					0.20
	In the legisla	ture			

In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.17
3.2 Right to information	0.40
3.3 Civic participation	0.42
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.55

	- • •
4.1 No discrimination	0.39
4.2 Right to life and security	0.20
4.3 Due process of law	0.30
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.35
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.60
4.6 Right to privacy	0.06
4.7 Freedom of association	0.44
4.8 Labor rights	0.39
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.54
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.93
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.24
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2 No improper influence	0.37
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4 Respect for due process	0.40
6.5	0.58
No expropriation w/out adequate compension	

**Fundamental Rights** 

Civil Justice	_5 <u>T</u> &
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.39
7.2 No discrimination	0.38
7.3 No corruption	0.36
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.43
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.28
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60



#### Ukraine

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.49	9/15		12/3	38	88/	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ige					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
	0.00	1-						8.4 8.3 8.2 1.5 1.6 2.1 Absence of
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1 0.5 2.2 Corruption
Â	Constraints o Government		0.46	-0.01	6/15	18/38	98/142	7.7 7.6 2.3 2.4
5	Absence of C	orruption	0.34	0.01	14/15	23/38	116/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 Open
	Open Govern	iment	0.56	0.00	4/15	2/38	52/142	Justice 7.3 Government 3.4
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.59	0.00	6/15	1/38	59/142	7.1
G	Order and Se	curity	0.62	0.01	15/15	30/38	115/142	6.5 6.4 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.43	0.00	12/15	23/38	113/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 5.3 5.0 4.6 4.5 Fundamental
4 <b>1</b> 4	Civil Justice		0.53	0.00	4/15	6/38	67/142	Order and Security
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.37	0.01	12/15	16/38	94/142	Security
	ates statistically cent level	significant chang	ge at the	Lov	w N	/ledium	High	- 2024 Score 2023 Score
_	Ukraine	Eastern Europe	and Cen	tral Asia	Lowe	r-Middle		

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.53
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.32
1.3 Independent auditing	0.42
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.30
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.58
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.65
Absence of Corruption	Å
2.1 In the executive branch	0.31
2.2 In the judiciary	0.51
2.3 In the police/military	0.44
2.4 In the legislature	0.08
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.60

Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.52
Right to information	
3.3	0.56
Civic participation	
3.4	0.54
Complaint mechanisms	


Fundamental Rights	_††
4.1	0.65
No discrimination	
4.2	0.63
Right to life and security	0.45
4.3 Due process of law	0.45
4.4	0.58
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.75
Freedom of religion	
4.6 Right to privacy	0.41
4.7	0.62
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.63
Labor rights	
	~
Order and Security	1
5.1	0.76
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.50
5.3	0.60
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.42
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.41
No improper influence	0.50
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.53
6.4	0.40
Respect for due process	
6.5	
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.38

Civil Justice	
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2 No discrimination	0.67
7.3 No corruption	0.43
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.36
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.49
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.47
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.68
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.28
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.39
	0.39
Timely and effective adjudication	
Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4	0.38
Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5	0.38

# **United Arab Emirates**

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ra	ink	Income	Rank	Globa	Rank Rank	
	0.64 1/9		38/4	17	39/142			
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chang	ge					
	0.00	-2 •						
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank		
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.58	0.01	1/9	40/47	50/142	
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.78	0.00	1/9	19/47	19/142	
	Open Govern	iment	0.34	0.01	7/9	47/47	126/142	
İİ	Fundamental	Rights	0.44	-0.01	5/9	47/47	109/142	
<b>·</b>	Order and Se	curity	0.91	0.00	1/9	11/47	11/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.70	0.00	1/9	26/47	26/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.66	0.00	1/9	28/47	28/142	
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.68	0.00	1/9	22/47	22/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								




**Civil Justice** 

7


0.65

10 percent level

United Arab Emirates
 Middle East and North Africa
 High



	In the judiciary			
2.3				0.82
	In the police/mili	tary		
2.4				0.71
	In the legislature	2		



	Fundamental Rights		tt
4.1	No discrimination		0.60
4.2	Right to life and security		0.43
4.3	Due process of law		0.72
4.4	Freedom of expression		0.31
4.5	Freedom of religion		0.49
4.6	Right to privacy		0.30
4.7	Freedom of association		0.25
4.8	Labor rights		0.42
	Order and Security		Ģ
5.1	Absence of crime		0.92
5.2	Absence of civil conflict		1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress		0.82

#### **Regulatory Enforcement**

6.5

0.63 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.86 6.2 No improper influence 0.72 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.62 6.4 Respect for due process

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.61
7.2 No discrimination	0.59
7.3 No corruption	0.80
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.61
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.67
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.67
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	0.69
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.74
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.82
8.4 No discrimination	0.60
8.5 No corruption	0.74
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.43
8.7 Due process of law	0.72


.

4

#### United Kingdom

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	lank	Global	Global Rank 15/142	
0.78	12/31		15/4	7	15/1	142	
Score Change	Rank Change	9					
0.00	0						
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
Constraints o Government I		0.82	0.01	11/31	12/47	12/142	
Absence of Co	orruption	0.83	0.00	9/31	13/47	13/142	
Open Govern	ment	0.80	0.00	9/31	11/47	11/142	
Fundamental	Rights	0.80	0.00	13/31	14/47	14/142	
Order and See	curity	0.84	0.00	20/31	28/47	30/142	
Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.78	-0.01	13/31	18/47	18/142	
Civil Justice		0.71	0.00	14/31	21/47	21/142	
්රී Criminal Justi	ice	0.70	0.00	13/31	18/47	18/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



ŧŧ

0.68

0.91

0.78

0.83

0.83


0.83

0.87

0.69

6

10 percent level



2.1	0.84
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.96
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.86
In the police/military	
24	0.65

2.4	0.65
In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1	0.90
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.69
Right to information	
3.3	0.82
Civic participation	
3.4	0.78

Complaint mechanisms

No discrimination 4.2 Right to life and security 4.3 Due process of law 4.4 Freedom of expression 4.5 Freedom of religion 4.6 Right to privacy 4.7 Freedom of association 4.8 Labor rights **Order and Security** 5.1 5.2 5.3 6.1 6.2

**Fundamental Rights** 

4.1

5.1 Absence of crime	0.90
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.92
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.71
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.76
6.2 No improper influence	0.94
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.68

0.80 6.4 Respect for due process


0.74 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

	Civil Justice	414
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.54
7.2	No discrimination	0.61
7.3	No corruption	0.91
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.82
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.63
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.70
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.77
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1	Effective investigations	0.67
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.70
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.53
8.4	No discrimination	0.57
8.5	No corruption	0.86
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.82
8.7	Due process of law	0.78

# **United States**


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regiona		Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank	
	0.70	19/31		26/4	7	26/1	6/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change	9					
	0.00	0						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.67	-0.02*	21/31	28/47	29/142	
Š	Absence of Co	orruption	0.73	-0.01	17/31	24/47	24/142	
	Open Govern	ment	0.75	-0.01	14/31	16/47	16/142	
ŧŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.68	0.00	25/31	34/47	37/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.83	0.00	22/31	30/47	33/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.72	0.00	16/31	22/47	22/142	
474	Civil Justice		0.63	0.00	21/31	31/47	33/142	
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.59	-0.01	23/31	30/47	30/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								




10 percent level

United States \_\_\_\_\_ EU, EFTA, and North America \_\_\_\_\_ High



2.1	0.71
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.90
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.82
In the police/military	
2.4	0.47



Fundamental Rights	_ ††
4.1 No discrimination	0.50
4.2 Right to life and security	0.77
4.3 Due process of law	0.62
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.71
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6 Right to privacy	0.79
4.7 Freedom of association	0.79
4.8 Labor rights	0.56
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.83
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.66
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.70
6.2 No improper influence	0.87
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.55

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	_4]4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.48
7.2 No discrimination	0.40
7.3 No corruption	0.83
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.68
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.58
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.64
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.79
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	0.65
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.63
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.50
8.4 No discrimination	0.37
8.5 No corruption	0.75
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.61
No improper gov t initidence	

# Uruguay

Constraints on Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	< I	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank
0.72	1/32		24/4	7	24/2	142
Score Change	Rank Change					
0.00	1-					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints of Government		0.77	0.00	1/32	16/47	16/142
Absence of C	orruption	0.73	0.00	1/32	23/47	23/142
. Open Govern	nment	0.74	0.01	1/32	18/47	18/142
Fundamenta	l Rights	0.80	0.00	1/32	15/47	15/142
Order and Se	curity	0.71	0.00	11/32	43/47	79/142
Regulatory E	nforcement	0.72	0.01	1/32	25/47	25/142
Civil Justice		0.72	0.00	1/32	18/47	18/142
්රී Criminal Just	ice	0.58	0.01	4/32	34/47	35/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High						

Powers Criminal Justice Absence of Corruption Civil Open Justice Government Regulatory Fundamental Rights Enforcement Order and Security 2024 Score \_\_\_\_\_ 2023 Score


**Civil Justice** 

7.1

İİ

10 percent level

Uruguay Latin America and Caribbean High



	_ • •
4.1 No discrimination	0.75
4.2 Right to life and security	0.88
4.3 Due process of law	0.63
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.78
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.84
4.6 Right to privacy	0.82
4.7 Freedom of association	0.84
4.8 Labor rights	0.83
-	~
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.69
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.43
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.68
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.81
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.57
6.4 Respect for due process	0.68
6.5	0.83

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

**Fundamental Rights** 

Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.77
No discrimination	
7.3	0.79
No corruption	
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.80
7.5	0.56
No unreasonable delay	0.50
7.6	0.63
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.70
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	ර්ට
8.1	0.40
Effective investigations	
8.2	0.56
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.56
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system	0.32
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4	0.32
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination	0.32
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5	0.32
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption	0.32
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6	0.32

474


0.80

WJP Rule of Law Index 2024 | 175

# Uzbekistan

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.49	8/15		10/3	38	83/	142
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	-5 🔻					
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>İ</b>	Constraints o Government		0.37	0.00	11/15	26/38	116/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.47	0.00	6/15	5/38	68/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.38	0.01	14/15	24/38	117/142
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.44	0.00	12/15	18/38	108/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.90	0.00	1/15	1/38	17/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.44	-0.01	10/15	17/38	103/142
474	Civil Justice		0.51	-0.01	6/15	8/38	74/142
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.45	0.00	6/15	7/38	70/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



\*\*

10 percent level

Uzbekistan
 Eastern Europe and Central Asia
 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.32
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.36
1.3 Independent auditing	0.32
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.42
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.42
Absence of Corruption	Š

	(\$
2.1	0.43
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.45
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.43
In the police/military	
2.4	0.56

In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.26
3.2 Right to information	0.37
3.3	0.34


Right to mon	nation	
3.3		0.34
Civic participa	ation	
3.4		0.54
Complaint me	chanisms	

Fundamental Rights	††
4.1 No discrimination	0.59
4.2 Right to life and security	0.47
4.3 Due process of law	0.40
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.39
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.58
4.6 Right to privacy	0.29
4.7 Freedom of association	0.30
4.8 Labor rights	0.54
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.90
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.79
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.56
6.2 No improper influence	0.52
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.60
6.4 Respect for due process	0.26
6.5	0.27

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Evendore entel Dislate


Civil Justice	_4 <u>7</u> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.48
7.2 No discrimination	0.58
7.3 No corruption	0.45
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.26
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.77
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.45
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.59



# Venezuela, RB

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.


Overall Score		Regional Rank			Income	Rank	Global Rank		
	0.26 32/3				41/4	11	142/142		
Score Change		Rank Chan	Rank Change						
	0.00	0							
			Fact Sco		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
<b>İ</b>	Constraints of Government		0.1	8	0.00	32/32	41/41	142/142	
å	Absence of Corruption		0.2	6	-0.01	30/32	40/41	136/142	
	Open Government		0.2	7	-0.01	32/32	41/41	139/142	
ŤŤ	Fundamental	Rights	0.2	9	-0.01	31/32	40/41	135/142	
G	Order and Se	curity	0.5	3	0.02	30/32	40/41	131/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.1	9	0.00	32/32	41/41	142/142	
4]4	Civil Justice		0.2	5	0.00	32/32	41/41	142/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.1	1	-0.01	32/32	41/41	142/142	



. .


\* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium 🗌 High 10 percent level

Venezuela, RB — Latin America and Caribbean — Upper-Middle



In the police/r	military	
2.4		0.31
In the legislat	ure	





Fundamental Rights	_ <b>††</b>
4.1 No discrimination	0.43
4.2 Right to life and security	0.06
4.3 Due process of law	0.15
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.25
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.62
4.6 Right to privacy	0.02
4.7 Freedom of association	0.28
4.8 Labor rights	0.48
	0
Order and Security	1
5.1 Absence of crime	0.38
5.1	1 0.38 1.00
5.1 Absence of crime	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00 0.20
5.1 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.20
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	1.00 0.20 ().30 ().40


Civil Justice	414
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.41
7.2 No discrimination	0.43
7.3 No corruption	0.18
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.05
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.09
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.17
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.42
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	0.10
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.11
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.06
8.4 No discrimination	0.10
8.5 No corruption	0.24
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.01

No improper gov't influence 0.15 8.7 Due process of law

#### Vietnam

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank I	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank	
	0.50 11/15			9/38		81/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 <sup>1.</sup> Justice <sup>8.5</sup>
(	0.01 -	6 •						8.4 8.3 8.2
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7
	Constraints of Government		0.47	0.02	11/15	16/38	95/142	7.6
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.42	0.00	12/15	10/38	86/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Govern	iment	0.44	0.00	11/15	14/38	97/142	Justice 7.3
ŤŤ	Fundamental	Rights	0.46	0.02	11/15	11/38	96/142	7.1
F	Order and Se	curity	0.78	0.00	9/15	2/38	50/142	6.5
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.46	0.02	12/15	14/38	92/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 5.3
4]4	Civil Justice		0.46	0.01	12/15	16/38	96/142	Order an
්ර	Criminal Just	ice	0.47	0.01	9/15	3/38	63/142	Security
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Low	М	edium 🗌	High	2024 Score =




#### Vietnam — East Asia and Pacific — Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	_
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.41
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.39
1.3 Independent auditing	0.52
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.63
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.40
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.49
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.49
2.2 In the judiciary	0.41
2.3 In the police/military	0.44
2.4 In the legislature	0.34
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.50
3.2 Right to information	0.33
3.3 Civic participation	0.41
3.4	0.51

Fundamental Rights	İÌ
4.1 No discrimination	0.57
4.2	0.49
Right to life and security 4.3	0.47
Due process of law	0.40
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.40
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.34
4.6 Right to privacy	0.40
4.7	0.40
Freedom of association 4.8	0.63
Labor rights	
Order and Security	Ģ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.91
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	0.43
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.63
6.2	0.44
No improper influence     6.3	0.46
No unreasonable delay	0.37
Respect for due process	
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.40 ation

Civil Justice	
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.50
7.2	0.59
No discrimination 7.3	0.38
No corruption	
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.33
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.46
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.44
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.55




Complaint mechanisms

#### Zambia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	Overall Score Regional Ra		nk	Income Rank		Global Rank			
	0.45 14/34			19/38		103/142			
Score Change Rank Char		Rank Chang	ge						Crin Jus
	0.00	2 •							
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank		8.1 7.7
	Constraints of Government		0.46	0.00	17/34	19/38	99/142		7.6
š	Absence of C	orruption	0.38	0.00	17/34	19/38	105/142	Civi	7.5
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.40	0.00	15/34	20/38	109/142	Justi	ce 7.3
ŤŤ	Fundamental	Rights	0.40	0.00	24/34	26/38	119/142		7.2
F	Order and Se	curity	0.68	0.00	14/34	17/38	92/142		6.5
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.43	0.00	18/34	20/38	109/142		Regulato Enforcem
414	Civil Justice		0.46	0.01	14/34	15/38	94/142		Enforcen
ර්ර	Criminal Just	ice	0.40	0.01	12/34	12/38	82/142		
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v	/ledium	High		



💳 Zambia 💳 Sub-Saharan Africa 💶 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.49
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.37
1.3 Independent auditing	0.50
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.51
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.40
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.51
Absence of Corruption	i
2.1 In the executive branch	0.42
2.2 In the judiciary	0.51
2.3 In the police/military	0.32
2.4 In the legislature	0.25
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.26
3.2 Right to information	0.48
3.3 Civic participation	0.44
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.42

Fundamental Rights	İİ
4.1 No discrimination	0.48
4.2 Right to life and security	0.31
4.3 Due process of law	0.38
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.40
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.61
4.6 Right to privacy	0.22
4.7 Freedom of association	0.41
4.8 Labor rights	0.41
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.61
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
	1.00 0.43
Absence of civil conflict	
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.43
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.43
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.43 0.48 0.41

Civil Justice	_4 <u>7</u> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.44
7.2 No discrimination	0.41
7.3 No corruption	0.43
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.43
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.44
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.58
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.52
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.46
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.47
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.30
8.4 No discrimination	0.47
8.5 No corruption	0.34
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.40
8.7	0.38

Due process of law

# Zimbabwe

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.40	26/34		27/3			3/142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	re Change	Rank Char	nge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5
	0.00	0						8.3
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 2.1 Absence of 2.2 Corruption 2.3
	Constraints o Government		0.33	0.00	31/34	33/38	129/142	7.6 2.4
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.31	-0.01	24/34	28/38	123/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Govern	iment	0.33	0.00	31/34	32/38	131/142	Justice 73 72
tt	Fundamental	Rights	0.34	0.00	32/34	32/38	130/142	7.1 4.1
Ē	Order and Se	curity	0.68	0.01	13/34	16/38	91/142	6.5 6.4 6.3 4.2 4.3 4.4
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.36	0.01	29/34	33/38	131/142	Regulatory 6.2 6.1 5.3 control 4.5 Fundamental
414	Civil Justice		0.46	-0.01	15/34	18/38	100/142	b2 5.1 4.8 Rights Order and Security
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.36	-0.01	19/34	21/38	101/142	Security
	ates statistically cent level	significant chang	ge at the	Lov	w 1	∕ledium	High	2024 Score 2023 Score
-	Zimbabwe	Sub-Saharan	Africa	Lowe	er-Middle			
Co	nstraints on	Government	Powers	<u> </u>	F	undam	ental Rights	Civil Justice
1.1 Lim	nits by legislat	ure		0.34	4.1	No discrii	mination	0.41 7.1 0.43 Accessibility and affordability
1.2 Lim	nits by judiciar	y		0.31	4.2	Right to li	ife and securit	0.32 7.2 0.45 ty No discrimination
1.3 Ind	ependent aud	liting		0.44	4.3	Due proc	ess of law	0.34 7.3 0.41 No corruption

-	÷				
1.4		0.37			
Sanctions fo	r official misconduct				
1.5		0.26			
Non-governmental checks					
1.6		0.26			

Lawful transition of power

Ab	sence o	f Corru	ption	

Absence of Corruption	š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.30
2.2 In the judiciary	0.42
2.3 In the police/military	0.31
2.4	0.23

In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.19
3.2 Right to information	0.37
3.3 Civic participation	0.28
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.48

	_ 11 _
4.1 No discrimination	0.41
4.2 Right to life and security	0.32
4.3	0.34
Due process of law     4.4	0.26
Freedom of expression 4.5	0.48
Freedom of religion 4.6	0.16
Right to privacy     4.7	0.28
Freedom of association 4.8	0.48
Labor rights	
	0
Order and Security	
5.1 5.1 Absence of crime	0.62
5.1	0.62
5.1 Absence of crime	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00 0.44
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.44
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.44 1 0.41
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 Absence	1.00 0.44 0.41 0.43

Civil Justice	
7.1	0.43
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.45
No discrimination	
7.3	0.41
No corruption	
7.4	0.26
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.53
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.60
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.52
Impartial and effective ADRs	







- 184 Methodology Snapshot
- 185 Methodology
- **192** Contributing Experts
- 215 Acknowledgments
- **216** About the WJP
- 219 More From the WJP



# Methodology Snapshot: Steps to Produce the WJP Rule of Law Index

# The production of the WJP Rule of Law Index<sup>®</sup> can be summarized in 11 steps:

- **1.** The WJP developed the conceptual framework summarized in the Index's nine factors and 47 sub-factors in consultation with academics, practitioners, and community leaders from around the world.
- 2. The Index team developed a set of five questionnaires based on the Index's conceptual framework to be administered to experts and the general public. Questionnaires were translated into several languages and adapted to reflect commonly used terms and expressions.
- 3. The Index team identified, on average, more than 300 potential local experts per country to respond to the expert surveys, or Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs). The team engaged the services of leading local polling companies to implement the household surveys, or General Population Poll (GPP).
- **4**. Polling companies conducted pilot tests of the GPP in consultation with the Index team and launched the final survey for full fieldwork.
- 5. The Index team sent the QRQ questionnaires to local experts and engaged in continual interaction with them.
- 6. The Index team collected and mapped the data onto the 44 sub-factors with global comparability.\*
- 7. The Index team constructed the final scores using a five-step process:
  - a. Codified the questionnaire items as numeric values;
  - **b.** Produced raw country scores by aggregating the responses from several individuals (experts and/or general public);
  - c. Normalized the raw scores;
  - d. Aggregated the normalized scores into sub-factors and factors using simple averages;
  - e. Produced the normalized scores, which are rounded to two decimal points, and the final rankings.
- 8. The data was subject to a series of tests to identify possible biases and errors. For example, the Index team cross-checked all sub-factors against more than 70 third-party sources, including quantitative data and qualitative assessments drawn from local and international organizations.
- **9.** A sensitivity analysis was conducted by the Econometrics and Applied Statistics Unit of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, in collaboration with the Index team, to assess the statistical reliability of the results.
- **10.** To illustrate whether the rule of law in a country significantly changed over the course of the past year, a measure of change over time was produced based on the annual difference in the country-level factor scores, the standard errors of these scores (estimated from a set of 100 bootstrap samples), and the results of the corresponding t-tests.
- **11.** The data was organized into country reports, tables, and figures to facilitate its presentation and interpretation. For tables organized by income group, the WJP follows the World Bank income classifications.

\* As Factor 9: Informal Justice and its three sub-factors are not globally comparable, they are excluded from Index calculations (see page 16 for more information).



The WJP Rule of Law Index is the first attempt to systematically and comprehensively quantify the rule of law around the world and remains unique in its operationalization of rule of law dimensions into concrete questions.

The WJP Rule of Law Index 2024 report presents information on eight composite factors that are further disaggregated into 44 specific sub-factors (see page 16). Factor 9: Informal Justice is included in the conceptual framework but has been excluded from the aggregated scores and rankings in order to provide meaningful cross-country comparisons.

The country scores and rankings presented in this report are built from more than 500 variables drawn from the assessments of over 214,000 households and 3,500 legal practitioners and experts in 142 countries and jurisdictions, making it the most accurate portrayal of the factors that contribute to shaping the rule of law in a country or jurisdiction.

# **Data Sources**

To present an image that accurately portrays the rule of law as experienced by ordinary people, each score of the Index is calculated using a large number of questions drawn from two original data sources collected by the World Justice Project in each country: a General Population Poll (GPP) and a series of Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs). These two data sources collect up-to-date firsthand information that is not available at the global level and constitute the world's most comprehensive dataset of its kind. They capture the experiences and perceptions of ordinary citizens and in-country professionals concerning the performance of the state and its agents and the actual operation of the legal framework in their country.

The GPP surveys provide firsthand information on the experiences and the perceptions of ordinary people regarding a range of pertinent rule of law information, including their dealings with the government, the ease of interacting with state bureaucracy, the extent of bribery and corruption, the availability of dispute resolution systems, and the prevalence of common crimes to which they are exposed.

The GPP questionnaire includes 127 perception-based questions and 213 experience-based questions, along with socio-demographic information on all respondents. The questionnaire is translated into local languages, adapted to common expressions, and administered by leading local polling companies using a probability sample of 1,000<sup>3</sup> respondents. In previous editions of the Index, the poll was

conducted in the three largest cities of each country. However, the World Justice Project's goal was to update its methodology to include nationally representative polls. Towards this end, nationally representative polls have been conducted in 97 countries and jurisdictions covered in the 2024 WJP Rule of Law Index. Nationally representative polls will be conducted in the remaining countries in future editions of the Index. Depending on the particular situation of each country, one of three different polling methodologies is used: face-to-face, telephone, or online. The GPP has been carried out in each country every few years. The polling data used in this year's report was collected during spring 2024 (for 27 countries), summer 2023 (for three countries), fall 2021 through summer 2022 (for 21 countries), fall 2020 through summer 2021 (for 18 countries), fall 2019 (for five countries), fall 2018 (for 56 countries), fall 2017 (for 42 countries), fall 2016 (for four countries), fall 2014 (for three countries), fall 2012 (for one country), and fall 2011 (for two countries). Detailed information regarding the country coverage (cities covered or nationally representative), the polling companies contracted to administer the questionnaire, and the polling methodology employed in each of the 142 countries and jurisdictions is presented on page 188.

The QRQs complement the household data with assessments from in-country practitioners and academics with expertise in civil and commercial law; constitutional law, civil liberties, and criminal law; labor law; and public health. These questionnaires gather timely input on a range of topics from practitioners who frequently interact with state institutions. Such topics include information on the efficacy of courts, the strength of regulatory enforcement, and the reliability of accountability mechanisms.

The questionnaires contain closed-ended perception questions and several hypothetical scenarios with highly detailed factual assumptions aimed at ensuring comparability across countries. The QRQ surveys are conducted annually, and the questionnaires are completed by respondents selected from directories of law firms, universities and colleges, research organizations, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), as well as through referrals from the WJP global network of practitioners, and all are vetted by WJP staff based on their expertise. The expert surveys are administered in six languages: Arabic, English, French,

3. Due to small populations or obstacles to data collection in certain countries and jurisdictions, the sampling plan was adjusted in some cases. For more information on specific countries and jurisdictions and sample sizes, see pages 188-191.

Portuguese, Russian, and Spanish. The QRQ data for this report includes more than 3,500 surveys, which represents an average of 25 respondents per country. This data was collected from February 2024 through June 2024.

# Data Cleaning and Score Computation

Once collected, the data is carefully processed to arrive at country-level scores. As a first step, the respondent level data is edited to exclude partially completed surveys, suspicious data, and outliers (which are detected using the Z-score method). Individual answers are then mapped onto the 44 sub-factors of the Index (or onto the intermediate categories that make up each sub-factor), codified so that all values fall between 0 (weakest adherence to the rule of law) and 1 (strongest adherence to the rule of law), and aggregated at the country level using the simple (or unweighted) average of all respondents.

This year, to allow for an easier comparison across years, the resulting 2024 scores have been normalized using the Min-Max method with a base year of 2015. These normalized scores were then successively aggregated from the variable level all the way up to the factor level to produce the final country scores, rounded to two decimal points, and rankings. In most cases, the GPP and QRQ questions are equally weighted in the calculation of the scores of the intermediate categories (sub-factors and sub-sub-factors).

A full picture of how questions are mapped onto indicators and how they are weighted is available on the *WJP Rule of Law Index* web page at https://worldjusticeproject.org/index.

# **Data Validation**

As a final step, data is validated and cross-checked against qualitative and quantitative third-party sources to provide an additional layer of analysis and to identify possible mistakes or inconsistencies within the data. Most of the third-party data sources used to cross-check the Index scores are described in Botero and Ponce (2011).<sup>4</sup>

# Methodological Changes to this Year's Report

Every year, the WJP reviews the methods of data collection to ensure that the information produced is valid, useful, and continues to capture the status of the rule of law in the world. To maintain consistency with previous editions and to facilitate tracking changes over time, this year's questionnaires and data maps are closely aligned with those administered in the past.

In order to improve the accuracy of the QRQ results and reduce respondent burden, proactive dependent interviewing techniques were used to remind respondents who participated in last year's survey of their responses in the previous year. This year, no new questions or indicators were added to the Index. Overall, 100 percent of questions remained the same between the 2023 and 2024 editions of the Index. A description of the variables is available at worldjusticeproject.org.

# Tracking Changes Over Time

This year's report includes a measure to illustrate whether the rule of law in a country, as measured through the factors of the WJP Rule of Law Index, changed since the previous year. This measure is presented in the form of arrows and represents a summary of rigorous statistical testing based on the use of bootstrapping procedures (see below). For each factor, this measure takes the value of zero (no arrow) if there was no statistically significant change in the score since last year, a positive value (upward arrow) if there was a change leading to a statistically significant improvement in the score, and a negative value (downward arrow) if there was a change leading to a statistically significant deterioration in the score. This measure complements the numerical scores and rankings presented in this report, which benchmark each country's current performance on the factors and sub-factors of the Index against that of other countries. The measure of change over time is constructed in three steps:

- 1. First, last year's scores are subtracted from this year's to obtain, for each country and each factor, the annual difference in scores.
- 2. To test whether the annual changes are statistically significant, a bootstrapping procedure is used to estimate standard errors. To calculate these errors, 100 sample sizes of respondent-level observations (of equal size to the original sample) are randomly selected with replacement for each country from the pooled set of respondents for last year and this year. These samples are used to produce a set of 100 country-level scores for each factor and each country, which are utilized to calculate the final standard errors. These errors—which measure uncertainty associated with picking a particular sample of respondents for each country and each country and each factor.
- 3. Finally, to illustrate the annual change, a measure of change over time is produced based on the value of the annual difference and its statistical significance (at the 10% level).

# Strengths and Limitations

The Index methodology has both strengths and limitations. Among its strengths is the inclusion of both expert and household surveys to ensure that the findings reflect the conditions experienced by the population. Another strength is that it approaches the measurement of rule of law from various angles by triangulating information across data sources and types of questions. This approach not only

4. Botero, J. and Ponce, A. (2011) "Measuring the Rule of Law": WJP Working Paper No. 1, available at worldjusticeproject.org/publications.

enables accounting for different perspectives on the rule of law, but it also helps to reduce possible bias that might be introduced by any other particular data collection method. Finally, it relies on statistical testing to determine the significance of the changes in the factor scores over the last year.

With the aforementioned methodological strengths come a number of limitations. First, the data sheds light on rule of law dimensions that appear comparatively strong or weak, but is not specific enough to establish causation. Thus, it will be necessary to use the Index in combination with other analytical tools to provide a full picture of causes and possible solutions. Second, in previous editions of the Index, the methodology has only been applied in three major urban areas in each of the indexed countries for the General Population Poll. However, the World Justice Project's goal was to update its methodology to include nationally representative polls. Towards this end, nationally representative polls have been conducted in 97 countries and jurisdictions covered in the 2024 WJP Rule of Law Index. Nationally representative polls will be conducted in the remaining countries in future editions of the Index. Third, given the rapid changes to the rule of law occurring in some countries, scores for some countries may be sensitive to the specific points in time when the data was collected. To address this, the WJP is piloting test methods of moving averages to account for short-term fluctuations. Fourth, the QRQ data may be subject to problems in measurement error due to the limited number of experts in some countries, resulting in less precise estimates. To address this, the WJP works constantly to expand its network of in-country academic and practitioner experts who contribute their time and expertise to this endeavor. Finally, due to the limited number of experts in some countries (which implies higher standard errors) and the fact that the GPP is carried out in each country every few years (which implies that for some countries, some variables do not change from one year to another), it is possible that the test described above fails to detect small changes in a country's situation over time.

# **Other Methodological Considerations**

A detailed presentation of the methodology, including a table and description of the more than 500 variables used to construct the Index scores, is available at: worldjusticeproject. org and in Botero, J. and Ponce, A. (2011) "Measuring the Rule of Law": WJP Working Paper No.1, available at: worldjusticeproject.org/publications.

# Using the WJP Rule of Law Index

The WJP Rule of Law Index has been designed to offer a reliable and independent data source for policy makers, businesses, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and other constituencies to assess a country's adherence to the rule of law as perceived and experienced by the average person, identify a country's strengths and weaknesses in comparison to similarly situated countries, and track changes

over time. The Index has been designed to include several features that set it apart from other indices and make it valuable for a large number of countries, thus providing a powerful resource that can inform policy debates both within and across countries. However, the Index's findings must be interpreted in light of certain inherent limitations.

- 1. The WJP Rule of Law Index does not identify priorities for reform and is not intended to establish causation or to ascertain the complex relationship among different rule of law dimensions in various countries.
- 2. The Index's scores and rankings are the product of a rigorous data collection and aggregation methodology. Nonetheless, as with all measurements, they are subject to measurement error.
- 3. Given the uncertainty associated with picking a particular sample of respondents, standard errors have been calculated using bootstrapping methods to test whether the annual changes in the factor scores are statistically significant.
- 4. Indices and indicators are subject to potential abuse and misinterpretation. Once released to the public, they can take on a life of their own and be used for purposes unanticipated by their creators. If data is taken out of context, it can lead to unintended or erroneous policy decisions.
- 5. Rule of law concepts measured by the Index may have different meanings across countries. Users are encouraged to consult the specific definitions of the variables employed in the construction of the Index, which are discussed in greater detail in the methodology section of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* website.
- 6. The Index is generally intended to be used in combination with other instruments, both quantitative and qualitative. Just as in the areas of health or economics, no single index conveys a full picture of a country's situation. Policy-making in the area of rule of law requires careful consideration of all relevant dimensions—which may vary from country to country—and a combination of sources, instruments, and methods.
- 7. Pursuant to the sensitivity analysis of the Index data conducted in collaboration with the Econometrics and Applied Statistics Unit of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, confidence intervals have been calculated for all figures included in the WJP Rule of Law Index. These confidence intervals and other relevant considerations regarding measurement error are reported in Kovacic and Caperna (2022)<sup>5</sup> and Botero and Ponce (2011).

The following pages (188-191) list the coverage and polling methodology for the GPP in the 142 indexed countries and jurisdictions.

ountry/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Afghanistan	Nationally representative	D3: Designs, Data, Decisions & ACSOR Surveys	Face-to-face	3019	2019
Albania	Nationally representative	IDRA Research & Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Algeria	Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Angola	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Antigua and Barbuda	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	513/500	2018/2022
Argentina	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	759	2022
Australia	Nationally representative	Big Picture Marketing Strategy & Research	Online	1067	2018
Austria	Vienna, Graz, Linz/Nationally representative	YouGov/Bilendi & respondi	Online/Online	1008/2310	2017/2024
The Bahamas	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2022
Bangladesh	Dhaka, Chittagong, Khulna	Org-Quest Research Ltd.	Face-to-face	1000	2016
Barbados	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	513/500	2018/2022
Belarus	Minsk, Gomel, Mogilev	Market Research & Polls - EURASIA (MRP-EURASIA)/WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000/401	2014/2017
Belgium	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	YouGov/Bilendi & respondi	Online/Online	1007/1521	2018/2024
Belize	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	2004	2021
Benin	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Bolivia	Nationally representative	Captura Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2022
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Sarajevo, Banja Luka, Tuzla	Kantar TNS MIB	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Botswana	Nationally representative	BJKA Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Brazil	Nationally representative	About Brazil Market Research	Face-to-face	1109	2022
Bulgaria	Sofia, Plovdiv, Varna/Nationally representative	Alpha Research Ltd./Alpha Research Ltd.	Face-to-face/ Face-to-face	1001/1500	2018/2024
Burkina Faso	Ouagadougou, Bobo Dioulasso, Koudougou	Kantar TNS	Face-to-face	1029	2017
Cambodia	Phnom Penh, Battambang, Kampong Cham	Indochina Research	Face-to-face	1000	2014
Cameroon	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1006	2018
Canada	Toronto, Montreal, Calgary	YouGov	Online	1000	2017
Chile	Santiago, Valparaíso/Viña del Mar, Antofagasta	Datum Internacional S.A./Cadem S.A.	Face-to-face	1011	2017
China	Shanghai, Beijing, Guangzhou	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	508	2018
Colombia	Nationally representative	Tempo Group SA	Face-to-face	1000	2022
Congo, Dem. Rep.	Kinshasa, Lubumbashi, Mbuji-Mayi	Kantar Public at TNS RMS Senegal	Face-to-face	1083	2018
Congo, Rep.	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	517	2021
Costa Rica	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	1005	2022
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan, Bouaké, Daloa	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1011	2017
Croatia	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	lpsos/ACT Research and Management Consulting Company and Hendal market research	Face-to-face/ Face-to-face	1010/2000	2018/2024
Cyprus	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	Pulse Market Research/Pulse Market Research	Online/Face- to-face	504/1010	2021/2024
Czechia	Prague, Brno, Ostrava/Nationally representative	YouGov/Ipsos NV	Online/Online	1013/2024	2017/2024
Denmark	Copenhagen, Aarhus, Aalborg/Nationally representative	YouGov/Bilendi & respondi	Online/Online	1016/2522	2017/2024
Dominica	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2022
Dominican Republic	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	1002	2022
Ecuador	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1005	2022
Egypt, Arab Rep.	Cairo, Alexandria, Giza	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
El Salvador	Nationally representative	CID Latinoamerica	Face-to-face	1000	2018

Estonia					
	Tallinn, Tartu, Narva/Nationally representative	Norstat Eesti/Ipsos NV	Online/Online	1010/1044	2017/2024
Ethiopia	Addis Ababa, Gondar, Nazret	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1037	2017
Finland	Helsinki, Espoo, Tampere/Nationally representative	YouGov/Ipsos NV	Online/Online	1014/2218	2017/2024
France	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	YouGov/Ipsos NV	Online/Online	1040/6195	2018/2024
Gabon	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy Ltd.	Face-to-face	513	2022
The Gambia	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1030	2019
Georgia	Tbilisi, Batumi, Kutaisi	ACT Market Research and Consulting Company	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Germany	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	YouGov/Bilendi & respondi	Online/Online	1048/8055	2018/2024
Ghana	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1103	2018
Greece	Athens, Thessaloniki, Patras/Nationally representative	YouGov/D3: Designs, Data, Decisions	Online/Face- to-face	1015/2009	2017/2024
Grenada	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2022
Guatemala	Nationally representative	Mercaplan Central America & Caribbean	Face-to-face	2508	2021
Guinea	Conakry, Nzerekore, Kankan	Kantar Public at TNS RMS Senegal	Face-to-face	1065	2018
Guyana	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	527/500	2018/2022
Haiti	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	507	2022
Honduras	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	3003	2021
Hong Kong SAR, China	Hong Kong	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1004	2017
Hungary	Budapest, Debrecen, Szeged/Nationally representative	Ipsos Hungary/Talk Online Panel	Face-to-face/ Online	1000/1750	2017/2024
India	Nationally representative	Market Xcel Data Matrix Pvt. Ltd.	Face-to-face	1059	2018
Indonesia	Jakarta, Surabaya, Bandung	MRI (Marketing Research Indonesia)	Face-to-face	1004	2017
Iran, Islamic Rep.	Tehran, Mashhad, Isfahan	BJKA consulting with local partner MHA Research	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Ireland	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	Dynata/RED C Research and Marketing Ltd.	Online/Online	1027/1288	2021/2024
Italy	Rome, Milan, Naples/Nationally representative	YouGov/Bilendi & respondi	Online/Online	1004/3844	2017/2024
Jamaica	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1001	2022
Japan	Nationally representative	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Online	1000	2018
Jordan	Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Kazakhstan	Almaty, Astana, Shymkent	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Kenya	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1099	2018
Korea, Rep.	Nationally representative	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Online	1000	2018
Kosovo	Nationally representative	IDRA Research & Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Kuwait	Nationally representative	D3: Designs, Data, Decisions	Face-to-face	509	2023
Kyrgyz Republic	Nationally representative	lpsos	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Latvia	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	YouGov/ACT Research and Management Consulting Company and SKDS	Online/Face- to-face	1049/1003	2021/2024
Lebanon	Beirut, Tripoli, Sidon	REACH SAL	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Liberia	Monrovia, Gbarnga and Buchanan	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1113	2018
Lithuania	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	YouGov/ACT Research and Management Consulting Company and Vilmorus Ltd.	Online/Face- to-face	1066/1500	2021/2024
Luxembourg	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	ILRES/ILRES	Online/Online	651/605	2021/2024
Madagascar	Antananarivo, Toamasina, Antsirabe	DCDM Research	Face-to-face	1000	2017

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Malawi	Lilongwe, Blantyre, Mzuzu	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1039	2017
Malaysia	Klang Valley, Johor Bahru, Ipoh	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Mali	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1012	2018
Malta	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	Misco International/Misco International	Face-to-face/ Face-to-face	500/500	2021/2024
Mauritania	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Mauritius	Nationally representative	DCDM Research	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Mexico	Mexico City, Guadalajara, Monterrey	Data Opinión Pública y Mercados	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Moldova	Chisinau, Balti, Cahul	Georgian Opinion Research Business International (GORBI) in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1043	2017
Mongolia	Ulaanbaatar, Erdenet, Darkhan	Mongolian Marketing Consulting Group LLC	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Montenegro	Nationally representative	Indago	Face-to-face	1000	2023
Morocco	Casablanca, Fes, Tangier	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Mozambique	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1009	2018
Myanmar	Yangon, Mandalay, Nay Pyi Taw	Myanmar Survey Research Co., Ltd (MSR)	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Namibia	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1001	2018
Nepal	Kathmandu, Pokhara, Lalitpur	Solutions Consultant	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Netherlands	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	YouGov/Bilendi & respondi	Online/Online	1113/3050	2018/2024
New Zealand	Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch	Big Picture Marketing Strategy & Research	Online	1000	2017
Nicaragua	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Niger	Niamey, Zinder, Maradi	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1011	2018
Nigeria	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1083	2018
North Macedonia	Nationally representative	Ipsos dooel Skopje	Face-to-face	1594	2023
Norway	Oslo, Bergen, Trondheim	YouGov	Online	1007	2017
Pakistan	Nationally representative	Gallup Pakistan	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Panama	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	2502	2021
Paraguay	Nationally representative	Datum Internacional/BM Business Partners	Face-to-face	1000	2021
Peru	Nationally representative	Datum Internacional S.A.	Face-to-face	1029	2022
Philippines	Manila, Cebu, Davao	APMI Partners	Face-to-face	1008	2016
Poland	Warsaw, Krakow, Lodz/Nationally representative	IQS Sp. z o.o./ACT Research and Management Consulting Company and DANAE SP. Z O.O.	Face-to-face/ Face-to-face	1000/5252	2018/2024
Portugal	Lisbon, Porto, Amadora/Nationally representative	YouGov/INTERCAMPUS	Online/Face- to-face	1016/1562	2017/2024
Romania	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	Alpha Research Ltd. in collaboration with local partner/ACT Research and Management Consulting Company and ISRA Center Marketing Research	Face-to-face/ Face-to-face	1000/3018	2018/2024
Russian Federation	Moscow, St. Petersburg, Novosibirsk/ Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000/1000	2016/2018
Rwanda	Kigali	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	316	2018
Senegal	Pikine, Dakar, Thiès	Kantar TNS	Face-to-face	1012	2017
Serbia	Belgrade, Novi Sad, Niš	Ipsos Strategic Marketing d.o.o.	Face-to-face	1002	2017
Sierra Leone	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1165	2018
Singapore	Singapore	Survey Sampling International	Online	1000	2017
Slovak Republic	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner/Talk Online Panel	Online/Online	1022/2200	2021/2024
Slovenia	Ljubljana, Maribor, Celje/Nationally representative	Ipsos d.o.o./Ipsos NV	Face-to-face/ Online	1006/1019	2017/2024

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
South Africa	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1014	2018
Spain	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	YouGov/Ipsos NV	Online/Online	1051/3536	2018/2024
Sri Lanka	Colombo, Kaduwela, Maharagama	Kantar LMRB	Face-to-face	1010	2017
St. Kitts and Nevis	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
St. Lucia	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2022
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500/500	2018/2022
Sudan	Nationally representative	Sudan Polling and Statistics Center	Face-to-face	500	2021
Suriname	Nationally representative	D3: Designs, Data, Decisions	Face-to-face	502	2022
Sweden	Nationally representative/Nationally representative	YouGov/Ipsos NV	Online/Online	1049/1554	2018/2024
Tanzania	Dar es Salaam, Mwanza, Arusha	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1037	2018
Thailand	Bangkok, Nakhon Ratchasima, Udon Thani	Infosearch Limited	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Тодо	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1005	2018
Trinidad and Tobago	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	1001	2022
Tunisia	Big Tunis, Sfax, Sousse	BJKA Consulting	Face-to-face	1001	2017
Türkiye	İstanbul, Ankara, İzmir	Kantar Insights	Face-to-face	1039	2018
Uganda	Kampala, Nansana, Kira	Kantar Public East Africa	Face-to-face	1062	2018
Ukraine	Kyiv, Kharkiv, Odessa	GfK Ukraine	Face-to-face	1079	2017
United Arab Emirates	Dubai, Abu Dhabi, Sharjah	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1011/200	2011/2017
United Kingdom	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1056	2018
United States	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1258	2021
Uruguay	Nationally representative	BM Business Partners	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Uzbekistan	Tashkent, Namangan, Samarkand/Nationally representative	Market Research & Polls - EURASIA/ Ipsos/Info Sapiens International LLC	Face-to-face	1000/300/507	2014/2018/2021
Venezuela, RB	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1000/1015	2016/2018
Vietnam	Ho Chi Minh City, Hanoi, Hai Phong	Indochina Research (Vietnam) Ltd.	Face-to-face	1000/1000	2011/2017
Zambia	Lusaka, Kitwe, Chipata	SIS International Research/Intraspace Market Consultancy Ltd.	Face-to-face	1004/1014	2012/2017
Zimbabwe	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1001	2018

#### Open Akte Lawyers Organization Mostaganem Endri Mykaj

Sitouah Kahina Lawyers Organization-Boumerdes

The WJP Rule of Law Index 2024 was made possible by the generous contributions of academics and practitioners who contributed their time and expertise. The names of those wishing to be

This report was also made possible by the work of the polling companies who conducted fieldwork, and the thousands of individuals who have responded to the General Population

Moulham Khelifa

Mustapha Boudiaf

Samir Larbi

Yacine Khelifi Barreau d'Alger

Anonymous Contributors

# Angola

Ana Leitão de Matos ALC Advogados

Arlete Amaral Maia CBAM-Advogados Associados

#### Eduardo Afonso

Tomás Yessu D'Oliveira Chiquita

# Victor Ceita

Z. Agostinho Sociedade Yurma

#### Anonymous Contributors

#### **Antigua and Barbuda**

Craig L. Jacas Stapleton Chambers

George E. Looby IV HEF Law

Lighthouse Law

Luann M. De Costa

Anonymous Contributors

### Argentina

Alberto Gonzalez Torres Baker McKenzie

Alfredo Mauricio Vitolo FORES

Alvaro Herrero International Open Justice Network

Claudio Jesus Santagati Defensoria General de Lomas de Zamora

Daniela López Testa Universidad Nacional de Tucumán; Universidad San Pablo Tucumán

**Denise Schalet** World Health Organization

Guillermo Michelson Irusta

Marcelo Octavio de Jesús FORES

Maria del Rosario de la Fuente Pontificia Universidad Católica Argentina

Marina Mansilla Universidad Nacional de la Patagonia San Juan Bosco

Mary Beloff Universidad de Buenos Aires Mercedes Balado Bevilacqua MBB Abogados

Mercedes Lorenzo Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Natalia del Pilar Varela Varela Abogados

Anonymous Contributors

# Australia

Brendan Ashdown John Toohey Chambers

Elizabeth Shearer Shearer Doyle Law

Fiona McDonald Australian Centre for Health Law Research, Queensland University of Technology

James A. Gillespie Menzies Centre for Health Policy and Economics, University of Sydney

Nicholas Cowdery University of New South Wales; University of Sydney

Peter Cashman University of New South Wales

Sonia Allan University of New England, Australia

Stephen Blanks NSW Council for Civil Liberties

# Anonymous Contributors

Hezam Zaid Abdullah Amer Abosuraima

Barreau des Avocats Blida

Douakh Barreau d'Alger

### Afghanistan

Poll around the world.

**Contributing Experts** 

acknowledged individually are listed below.

Vlore

Dudi Ilias

Eno Muja

Eris Hoxha

Muja Law Firm

Hoxha. Memi & Hoxha

Gentiana Tirana

Jonida Braia

Krenare Muja

Muja Law Firm

Mitat Dautaj

Oriona Mucollari

University of Tirana

**Anonymous Contributors** 

Wolf Theiss

Leiden University

Brunilda Subashi

Universiteti "Ismail Qemali"

Baryalai Hakimi Kabul University

Hashmat Nadirpor University of California, Irvine

Hashmatullah Hoshmand Masnad Law Firm

Khalid Sekander

Mahir Hazim University of Washington

Mohammad Imran Malikzai Anti-Corruption Watch Organization

Mohammad Khalid Massoudi Masnad Law Firm

Noorulhuda Niazi Masnad Law Firm

**Tareq Eqtedary** Generation Positive Organization (G+)

**Thomas Kraemer** Kakar Advocates

**Anonymous Contributors** 

## Albania

Adi Muja Muja Law Firm

Albana Fona LPA Legal

**Bledar Cenameri CLO** Legal Solutions



Algeria

Fouad Bedjaoui

Khouchalawdz

Lakhdari Chahrazed

Madani Mohammed Samir

Mohammed Attahar

192

### Austria

**Doris Wydra** Salzburg Centre of European Union Studies

Felix Michael Klement Wildmoser/Koch & Partner

Karl Stoeger University of Vienna

Martin Gruber-Risak University of Vienna

**Thomas Frad** KWR Karasek Wietrzyk Rechtsanwälte

Anonymous Contributors

#### **The Bahamas**

**Candice B. Hepburn** Schreiner-Smith & Co.

**Catherine Conliffe** Princess Magaret Hospital, Public Hospitals Authority

**Leah A. Rolle** University of The Bahamas

**Rosalee Ferguson** 

Shamel Y. Rolle-Sands SRS Management and Leadership Consultancy; University of The Bahamas

Sharanda Humes-Forbes

**Tavares K. LaRoda** Sunshine Holdings Ltd.

Vann P. Gaitor Higgs & Johnson

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### **Bangladesh**

**A. B. M. Asrafuzzaman** University of Dhaka

Ali Asif Khan Hossain & Khan Associates

Darras Abdullah Akhtar Imam & Associates **Gazi Md Rokib Bin Hossain** The Legal Circle

Hasina Khanam Safety and Rights Society (SRS)

Junayed Ahmed Chowdhury Vertex Chambers

Khademul Islam Choyon Sattar&Co.

Khandaker Mashfique Ahmed Rahman's Chambers

**Mahbub Parvez** Daffodil International University

**Mahim al Hasan** M A Tayab Ltd.

Md. Tajul Islam Bangladesh Institute of Crime and Justice Studies (BICJS)

Muhammad Rashel Siddiqui

**S. M. Abid Ur Rahman** Chamber of Excellence

Tanim Hussain Shawon

Anonymous Contributors

#### **Barbados**

**Ayo Barnard Rawlins** Harridyal-Sodha & Associates

**Evadne Brewster-Wiltshire** Wiltshire Law Firm

Heather Walker Chancery Chambers

Mequissa Magloire Ropewalk Chambers

Sian M. Lange Pilgrim and Associates

**Tricia Millington** Carrington & Sealy Attorneys at Law

Anonymous Contributors

#### Belarus

**Tatiana Ignatovskaya** Stepanovsky, Papakul and Partners

Anonymous Contributors

#### **Belgium**

Angélique Rodriguez-Cartier

Ann Witters AW LAW

**Christoph Van der Elst** Tilburg University

#### Françoise Baltus

Freek Louckx Universiteit Antwerpen; Vrije Universiteit Brussel

**Jan Roelandt** Ghent University

Jasper Ulenaers Digitaal Vlaanderen

**Jean Jacqmain** Université Libre de Bruxelles

Pieter De Koster Bird & Bird LLP

Valerie Flohimont Université de Namur

**Yseult Marique** UC Louvain; University of Essex

Anonymous Contributors

#### Belize

Adler G. L. Waight Barrow & Williams LLP

Natalia Bevans Bevans Law Firm and Consultancy

Anonymous Contributors

# Benin

Adjowa J. Adabra Dedjinou Femme Action pour le Développement de la Famille (FADEF)

Adounlohoun Gisèle Obognon Plan International Bénin

Agathe Affougnon Ago Barreau du Bénin

Annick Nonohou Réseau des Soignants Amis des Patients

**Chris "Bola" Balogoun** Balogoun & Partners Law Firm

**Gervais C. Houédété** Cabinet d'avocats Gervais C. HOUÉDÉTÉ

Justine Françoise Houzanme ROAFEM

**Ogoudjé César Guegni** Cabinet d'Avocats Charles BADOU & Partners

Roch Houngnihin Université d'Abomey-Calavi

Roméo Godonou

Valentin Akoha

**Anonymous Contributors** 

# Bolivia

Alan E. Vargas Lima Academia Boliviana de Estudios Constitucionales (ABEC)

Alfonso M. Dorado Escobar

**Arturo Yáñez Cortes** Ilustre Colegio de Abogados de Chuquisaca

Asdruval Martin Columba Jofre Universidad Mayor de San Andrés

# Betty Carolina Ortuste Tellería

Academia Boliviana de Estudios Constitucionales (ABEC)

**Cesar Burgoa Rodriguez** Bufete Burgoa

**Emil Jung Hanna** Dentons Guevara & Gutierrez

Ivan B. Cáceres Ibañez Cáceres & Asoc.

**Javier Mir Peña** Mir y Asociados Abogados Corporativos

Jorge Antonio Asbun Rojas Asbun & Asociados

Jorge Omar Mostajo Barrios Universidad Mayor de San Andrés

**José Antonio Rivera Santivañez** Universidad Mayor de San Simón

#### Juan Pablo Sánchez Orsini

**Pablo Carrasco** *Carrasco Firma de Abogados* 

Raul A. Baldivia Baldivia Unzaga & Asociados

#### Rosario Baptista Canedo

Sonia Eliana Roca Serrano Universidad Autónoma Gabriel René Moreno

Yerko Ilijic Crosa Proyecto ACCESO, California Western School of Law

#### Anonymous Contributors

### Bosnia and Herzegovina

Adnan Duraković University of Zenica

Aleksandar Sajic SAJIC Law firm **Bahrija Umihanić** University of Tuzla

**Denis Pajić** University "Džemal Bijedić" Mostar

**Edin Halapić** University of Sarajevo

Emir Sudžuka

Harun Iseric University of Sarajevo

Jasminka Gradašcević-Sijerčić University of Sarajevo

Kanita Pruščanović University of Sarajevo

Lana Bubalo University of Stavanger

**Mehmed Ganic** International University of Sarajevo

Mirjana Šarkinović

Mirza Dzevdetbegovic HEAD Legal

**Šefket Goletić** University of Zenica

**Slaven Dizdar** Marić & Co Law Firm

**Zinka Grbo** University of Sarajevo

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Botswana

**Baboki Jonathan Dambe** University of Botswana

Buhle Ncube Letshabo Law Group

Kwadwo Osei-Ofei Osei-Ofei Legal

Motsomi Ndala Marobela University of Botswana

Neo Thelma Moatlhodi Chobe District Council **Olebile Daphney Muzila** Bookbinder Business Law

Patrick Akhiwu Pakmed Group

**Piyush Sharma** Piyush Sharma Attorneys

**Precious Masuku-Gondwe** Precious & Partners Legal Practice

**Tshekiso Tshekiso** Tshekiso Ditiro & Jani Legal Practice

Unoda Mack Mack, Bahuma Attorneys

Anonymous Contributors

#### Brazil

Alethele de Oliveira Santos

Ana Carolina de Morais Colombaroli Universidade do Estado de Minas Gerais

**Carlos Ayres** Maeda, Ayres e Sarubbi Advogados

**Carolina Bessa Ferreira de Oliviera** Universidade Federal do Sul da Bahia

**Daniel de Pádua Andrade** Universidade Federal de Viçosa

**Débora Regina Pastana** Universidade Federal de Uberlândia

**Elival da Silva Ramos** Universidade de São Paulo

**Fabio Queiroz Pereira** Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

**Fábio Ulhoa Coelho** Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo

**Felipe Megre Ohl** Hewlett Packard Enterprise Fernanda Vargas Terrazas Conselho Nacional de Secretarias Municipais de Saúde (CONASEMS)

Fernando Mussa Abujamra Aith Universidade de São Paulo

**Gerson Luiz Carlos Branco** Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul

**Guilherme de Jesus France** Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro

Henrique Frizzo Trench Rossi Watanabe

**João Augusto Gameiro** Trench Rossi Watanabe

Luciano Feldens Feldens Advogados

Luiz Dellore Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie

Luiz Felipe Monteiro Seixas Universidade Federal de Pernambuco

Luiz Guilherme Marinoni Marinoni Advocacia

Maria Celina Bodin de Moraes Bodin de Moraes & Vilela Multedo Advogados

Maria Teodora Rocha Maia do Amaral Universidade Federal Rural do Semi-árido

Mario de Barros Duarte Garcia

Mauricio Tassinari Faragone Faragone Advogados Associados

**Michael Freitas Mohallem** *MW Advogados* 

**Paulo Sergio João** Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo Rafael Lamera Giesta Cabral Universidade Federal Rural do Semi-árido

**Raoni Bielschowsky** Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Raquel Betty de Castro Pimenta Ministério Público do Trabalho

Raquel Lima Scalcon Fundação Getúlio Vargas

Raul Mariano Junior Justiça Federal de São Paulo; Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie

Samir Salman Instituto Premier Saber Mais de Educação e Cultura

**Sara Carvalho Matanzaz** Ferreira de Melo Advogados

**Sergio Mannheimer** Mannheimer, Perez e Lyra Advogados

Soraia Ghassan Saleh Saleh Advogados Associados

**Suzana de Queiroz Alves** Defensoria Pública da União

Ulisses Levy Silvério dos Reis Universidade Federal Rural do Semi-árido

Victor Hugo Criscuolo Boson Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

#### Anonymous Contributors

#### **Bulgaria**

Albena Draganova Gramada Association

Andrey Spasov Cvetanov Sofia Bar Association

Denitsa Chingarova Bulgarian Bar Association **Dobromir Angelov** CasePro Law Firm

**Georgi Ganchev** Plovdiv University

**Georgi Stefanov** Deloitte

Hristian Mitev

**Iskren Portokalski** Supreme Bar Council

Ivaylo Kanev Ivanov

Ivo Baev Ivo Baev & Partners

Kamen Kostakiev Sofia Bar Association

Krassimira Sredkova Bulgarian Association for Labor Law and Social Security

Kristina Georgieva Patronova

Laura Thomas LM Legal Services

**Lidia Georgieva** Medical University of Sofia

**Maria Goranova** Goranova & Hristova Alitchkova

**Maria Hristova** Mikov & Attorneys

Maria Mikhailova Sofia Bar Association

Mariana Mitova Geoma Corporation

Mariya Nikolova Pepelanova

Mariya Petrova LexMedicaBG

Martin Zhelyazkov Zhelyazkov, Petrov & Ivanov

Miguela Grigorova Sofia Bar Association

Mila Georgieva-Grozdeva Centre for Applied Legal Studies and Practices **Militsa Hristova Genova** Sofia Bar Association

Nadezhda Boeva-Suvandzhieva Boeva & Boeva

Nadya Yordanova

Neli Cherkezov

**Nelly Stoycheva** Blagoevgrad Bar Association

**Nikolai Hristov** Medical University of Sofia

Nikolay Veselinov Valchev

Petar Gorchev

**Petko Salchev** National Center of Public Health and Analyses

Petr Dingozov

Plamen Georgiev Rusev Sofia Bar Association

Plamena Deliyska-Kamburova

Plamena Popova

**Rayna Krastevitch** Sofia Bar Association

Siyka Milenova Sofia Bar Association

**Spartak Yovchev** CasePro Law Firm

Stanislav Trendafilov

Svetoslav Stamenov

Teodora Savova

Valentin Neychev

Vasil Dimitrov Vassil Levski National Sports Academy

Victoria Rusanova-Nikolov

Vladimir Natchev Arsov Natchev Ganeva

Yasen Kovachev

Yordan Daskalov

**Yordan Kostov** Yordan Kostov Law Office

Yordanka Panchovska Markova & Panchovska

**Zhivomir Cheshmedzhiev** Sofia Bar Association

Anonymous Contributors

## **Burkina Faso**

Abdoulaye Soma

Ouattara

Hamidou Lamoussa

Centre d'Etudes et de

Ollo Larousse Hien

Sièlma Salimata Kone

Tatiana Compaore

SARMAN Services

Cambodia

Cambodia

Cameroon

Ambadiang

KGO Law Firm

Barthélémy Onana

The Abeng Law Firm

Charles M. Fombad

University of Pretoria

The Abeng Law Firm

**Diane Silabing epse** 

Cabinet d'avocats Me Meuke

Ouethy

Bernard Burinyuy Ngaibe

Institute for International and

Comparative Law in Africa,

**Charles Ngoua Elembe** 

Fil B. Tabayoyong, Jr.

Pannasastra University of

Anonymous Contributors

Université Thomas Sankara

**Anonymous Contributors** 

Cvberactivité

Koulika Arnaud Nikiema

Recherche sur les TIC et la

**Martin Kamako** Kamako Law Firm

Frambo Besong Tanyi

Frambo Law Office

Francis Toko Priso

Eneo Cameroon S.A.

Hyacinthe Fansi

Marcelle Abomo

Ngassam, Fansi, Djeutchou &

Mben Avocats Associés

Richard

**Mbanya Thalia** The Abeng Law Firm

**Mirabel Ntui Mungu epse Toko** The Abeng Law Firm

Noé Momha Cabinet M&N Plc

Roland Abeng The Abeng Law Firm

Zakariaou Njoumemi Université de Yaoundé I

Anonymous Contributors

# Canada

Brian Langille University of Toronto

**Domenic Crolla** Gowling WLG LLP

Fabien Gélinas McGill University

Gaynor Roger Shibley Righton LLP

**Jabeur Fathally** University of Ottawa

**Jason Foster** Athabasca University

Léo Fugazza

Lise Desmarais Université de Sherbrooke

Michel William Drapeau University of Ottawa Raoul Gebert Université de Sherbrooke

**Ulisce Desmarais** Desmarais Desvignes & Collaboratrices

Anonymous Contributors

# Chile

Alfredo Francisco Vial Rodríguez Abogados Mendoza Luksic & Valencia

Andrea Abascal Bofill Mir Abogados

**Carlos Ossandon** Eluchans y Cía. Abogados

Edmundo Varas KPMG Law

**Fernando Lolas Stepke** Universidad Central de Chile; Universidad de Chile

Francisca Vial Eyzaguirre & Cía.

Gonzalo Hoyl Moreno Hoyl, Alliende & Cía.

**Ignacio Abogabir** DLA Piper

**Jorge Canales G.** Estudio PSLG

Juan Pablo Cox Leixelard Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez

Luis Felipe Hubner UHC Abogados

Manuel Jose Fernandez Barros

María Inés Horvitz Lennon Universidad de Chile

Matías Donoso Lamas Urenda & Cía.

Michele Daroch Sagredo Abdala & Cía. Abogados

**Omar Morales** Montt y Cía. Patricio Morales Aguirre Estudio Jurídico Pérez Donoso

Ramon Garcia Odgers Universidad Católica de la Santísima Concepción

Raúl Novoa Galán

Sergio Díez Arriagada Cariola Díez Pérez-Cotapos

Anonymous Contributors

#### China

**Jianhong Liu** University of Macau

**Ju Cunxu** Beijing Qiankun Law Firm

**Anonymous Contributors** 

# Colombia

Alejandra Vidaurre Tugues Muñoz Tamayo & Asociados

Carlos Alejandro Toro Prieto

**Carlos Andrés Gómez González** Gómez Penalistas Abogados

**Carlos Mario Molina Arrubla** Molina Díaz & Abogados SAS

Eduardo R. Cárdenas Dentons Cardenas & Cardenas Abogados

Guillermo Hernando Bayona Combariza

Jaime Gañán

Jorge Acosta-Reyes Universidad del Norte

Jorge Lara Urbaneja LaraConsultores

José Pablo Velásquez Escobar Universidad de Antioquia

Luis Alberto Tafur Calderón Universidad del Valle Luisa Fernanda Toro Restrepo Molina Díaz & Abogados SAS

María Camila Castellanos Forero Universidad de los Andes

Maria Fernanda Navas Herrera Pontificia Universidad Javeriana

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Congo, Dem. Rep.

Armand Kadulu

Benjamin Ifeka Momponza

**Bruno Bitangilayi Kapongo** Barreau de Kinshasa/Gombe

#### Clement Shamashanga Minga

Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique (CREEDA)

#### Fiston Iyeli Mputela

**Freddy Kitoko Nyembo** Centre pour la Justice et la Réconciliation

Frederic Kwamba Tshingej Barreau de Kinshasa/Gombe

**Fulbert Kwilu Nappa** Université de Kinshasa

**Grace Beda Mbazi** Université de Goma

Jean Jacques Kahunga Mapela

Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique (CREEDA)

Marie Nyombo Zaina Réseau National des ONG pour le Développement de la Femme (RENADEF)

Michael Selemani L. Hello Docteur, Réseau des Médecins Congolais de proximité

**Paul Ilunga Numbi** OVK Law Firm

196

**Polycarpe Kalala Muambayi** Barreau de Kinshasa/Gombe

Rachel Kangila Kamesa Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique (CREEDA)

**Roger Mulamba** RMK & Associes

Yves Buguma Reseau des associations des personnes vivant avec le VIH SIDA (RAS)

**Yves Ikobya** Programme National Multisectoriel de Lutte contre le Sida (PNMLS)

Anonymous Contributors

#### Congo, Rep.

André Alphard Loussakou Organisation de la Jeunesse pour l'Education Socio-Culturelle

**Ileryche Patouh Maloumbi** Association Yemini Sante

Jean Pierre Mahoungou Renforcement de la prévention du VIH auprès des populations clés (RENAPC); Santé+

#### Mesmin Koumba

**Muriel Gladys Mouandza** Barreau de Pointe-Noire

Rodric Bakoula Labyrinth Global Health, Inc.

**Anonymous Contributors** 

**Costa Rica** 

Alfonso Carro Solera Central Law

**Benjamín Gutiérrez** BG&A Abogados Corporativos

**Diego González Fernández** Universitat Pompeu Fabra Douglas Barraza Programa SALTRA, Universidad Nacional de Costa Rica

Dusting Oreamuno Álvarez Universidad Técnica Nacional

**Ewald Acuña Blanco** Bufete Acuña & Asociados

Federico Morales Chaves Sfera Legal

Felipe Volio Soley Stanford University

J. Federico Campos Calderón LexPenal - Abogados Penalistas

John Brenes Rodríguez Alta Legal

Kendall Ruiz Jiménez Aselecom Abogados

Luis Ángel Sánchez Facio & Cañas

Marvin Carvajal Pérez Alta Legal

Randall Madrigal Madrigal Colegio de Farmacéuticos de Costa Rica

**Sylvia Bejarano** Central Law

Anonymous Contributors

Côte d'Ivoire

Arsène Dablé

**Carine Kouadio** Université Félix Houphouët Boigny de Cocody

Emile Tea Makado

Hervé Bekrou Cabinet Chauveau Avocats

Koné G. Dogbemin SCPA N-Dogbemin & Associes

**Pierre T. Diavatche** Cabinet d'Avocat Diavatche

Anonymous Contributors

### Croatia

Alan Soric Law Office Soric

Anja Juršetić Juršetić & Partners

Boris Kozjak

Boris Šavorić Šavorić & Partners

**Božidar Feldman** Matić, Feldman & Herman

**Darko Jurišić** General Hospital Slavonski Brod

Dominik Žugaj Šavorić & Partners

**Ivan Gržić** Tus & Gržić

Ivana Markovinović Žunko

**Marko Kruc** Odvjetničko društvo Ivković i Kruc j.t.d.

Radmila Bonifačić

Sandra Pleskina

Tomislav Profozic

**Toni Štifanić** Maćešić & Partners

Vedran Zlatić Sveučilišta u Splitu

Zeljko Kopcic

**Zvonimir Kalember Skoko** Porobija & Porobija

Anonymous Contributors

# Cyprus

Achilles C. Emilianides University of Nicosia

Andreas Marcou Centre of Excellence for the Rule of Law and European Values (CRoLEV), UCLan Cyprus Christos Mitsides Chr. P. Mitsides & Co LLC

**Dimitrios Ntourakis** European University Cyprus

**Eleftherios T. Economou** *Economou & Co LLC* 

Ioannis Economou Economou & Co LLC

Ioannis Kalligeros

Michael Kyriakides Harris Kyriakides

Myron Michael Nicolatos

Stephanie Laulhe Shaelou Centre of Excellence for the Rule of Law and European Values (CRoLEV), UCLan Cyprus

**Anonymous Contributors** 

# Czechia

Arkady Alexandrov

Darina Jandová

Filip Šimák

Jan Poláček Poláček, Tryznová & Prudlová

**John A. Gealfow** Masaryk University; Nugis Finem; OYERS.LAW

Katarína Šipulová Masaryk University

Lukáš Prudil AK PRUDIL a spol.

**Michal Koscik** Masaryk University

Michal Przeczek Weinhold Legal

**Michal Radvan** Masaryk University

VRASPÍR LEGAL advokáti

Milan Vraspír

Olga Daňková

s.r.o.

**Olga Sovova** Police Academy of the Czech Republic in Prague

**Ondřej Chlada** DLA Piper

Pavlína Komedová

**Petr Zidek** Feichtinger Zidek Fyrbach advokati s.r.o.

#### Šárka Zapletalová

Tomáš Němeček AK Hlaváček & Krampera

**Vojtech Steininger** Hartmanova & Steininger

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Denmark

Anders Bang Mønster Hansen FOA

Charlotte Møller

**Christian Bentz** Lind Advokataktieselskab

Christian F. Jensen

**Christian Harlang** 

Claus Heide Andersen IDEAL Law Firm

Helle Krunke University of Copenhagen

**Inger Stokvad Loft** Kønig Advokater

**Jakob Arrevad** Arrevad Gottlieb

Jesper Rothe The Association of Danish Law Firms

**Majken Johansen** Citadel Advokater

Nikolaj Juhl Hansen DreistStorgaard Advokater

**Paul Kruger Andersen** Århus University **Per Andersen** University of Southern Denmark

Peter Wengler-Jørgensen

**Signe Smith Jervelund** University of Copenhagen

Anonymous Contributors

# Dominica

**Beverly Leblanc** Circle of Friends

Dell-Anne Kayonne Jno Lewis

Anonymous Contributors

#### **Dominican Republic**

Alfredo Lachapel Lachapel Toribio - Abogados

Denisse Casado Reyes The Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit GmbH

**Elisabetta Pedersini** Aaron, Suero & Pedersini

**Francisco Alvarez Valdez** Participación Ciudadana

**Jefrey Lizardo** Instituto Tecnológico de Santo Domingo (INTEC)

Luis Eduardo Jiménez Jiménez Peña

Manuel Colomé-Hidalgo Universidad Autónoma de Santo Domingo

**Ricardo Sosa** OSM Legal

Roberto Medina Reyes Jorge Prats Abogados & Consultores

**Stalin Ciprian** *Ciprian Arriaga & Asocs.* 

Virgilio A. Mendez Amaro Mendez & Asociados

Anonymous Contributors

#### **Ecuador**

Alfredo Brito Brito & Pinto

**Alvaro José Freile Franco** Universidad Hemisferios

Ana Belen Posso Fernández Ontaneda & Posso Abogados

Angie Toapanta Ventura Centro de Investigación y Defensar del Derecho al Trabajo (CIDDT)

**Diego Almeida-Guzmán** Almeida Guzman Asociados

**Farith Simon** Universidad San Francisco de Quito

Gabriela Salazar Sempertegui Abogados

Gladis Proaño Reyes

James Pilco Luzuriaga Universidad del Azuay

Juan Alfredo Chiriboga Sánchez Universidad Hemisferios

**Juan Francisco Pozo Torres** Universidad San Francisco de Quito

Juan José Campaña del Castillo

**Juan Páez Parral** Páez Terán Abogados

María Gracia Naranjo Ponce Universidad San Francisco de Quito

**María José Luna Lara** Universidad Hemisferios

Mario Iván Armendáriz Y. AVA LEGAL

#### Santiago Escobar

Santiago Solines Moreno Solines & Asociados; World Compliance Association Ecuador Xavier F. Andrade Castillo Universidad San Francisco de Quito

Anonymous Contributors

#### Egypt, Arab Rep.

Gehad Hamdy Speak Up

**Ibrahim Ahmad** Ein Shams University

**Ibrahim Fahmy Kharboush** High Institute of Public Health, Alexandria University

Khaled El Shalakany Shalakany Law Office

Marco Soliman

Nada T. Gadalla Zulficar and Partners Law Firm

Taher S. Benany

Anonymous Contributors

#### El Salvador

Adán Araujo Arias

**Alberto Alfaro Alvarado** Universidad Dr. José Matías Delgado

**Daniel A. Joya** Joya y Asociados

Francisco Murillo Central Law

José Raúl Guerrero Jiménez Legalis

Luis Alonso Navarrete Soto LANS Law Global

Piero Antonio Rusconi Gutierrez Central Law

Rommell Sandoval Innovation & Development (I&D Consulting) S. Enrique Anaya

Instituto Iberoamericano de Derecho Constitucional (IIDC SV)

#### Anonymous Contributors

# **Estonia**

Andres Vutt University of Tartu

Gaabriel Tavits University of Tartu

Jaak Siim Cuesta Advokaadibüroo

Liis Rooväli University of Tartu

Madis Kiisa Advokaadibüroo K&S Legal

Merili Laansoo Advokaadibüroo LINDEBERG

Merle Erikson University of Tartu

Raul Kartsep Sorainen

Tanel Kerikmäe Tallinn University of Technology (TalTech)

Tauno Tark Law Office TARK

**Urmas Kukk** KPMG Law

**Anonymous Contributors** 

# **Ethiopia**

Abiyou Girma Tamrat

Debre Markos University

Belay Ketema Homa

Belayhun Ashenafi Tadesse

Wolaita Sodo University

Debebe Hailegebriel

Office (DHLO)

Debebe Hailegebriel Law

WJP Rule of Law Index 2024 197

Amare Setotaw

**Girma Kassa Kumsa** Arsi University

**Guadie S. Wondimagegn** Queen Mamohato Memorial Hospital (QMMH)

Hiruy Wubie Gebreegziabher University of Notre Dame, Australia

Kokebe W. Jemaneh Addis Ababa University

Mamenie Endale Messelu

Matias Girma Matias Law Office

Mehari Redae Addis Ababa University

Samrawit Behailu BonelliErede

**Tegegne Zergaw** Bahir Dar University

**Temesgen Sisay Beyene** Bahir Dar University

Tewodros Demamu Teshome

Anonymous Contributors

# Finland

Ari Miettinen Fimlab Laboratories Ltd.

**Eero-Pekka Uotila** Finnish Bar Association

**likka Sainio** Roihu Attorneys Ltd.

Jukka Paloheimo Roschier Attorneys Ltd.

#### Jussi Junni

**Kimmo Nuotio** University of Helsinki

Kristiina Äimä University of Helsinki

Lauri Railas Railas Attorneys Ltd. Markku Fredman Asianajotoimisto Fredman & Månsson Oy

**Matti Ilmari Niemi** University of Eastern Finland

**Mika J. Lehtimäki** University of Tampere

**Mika Launiala** University of Eastern Finland

Patrick Lindgren Asianajotoimisto Advocare

**Raimo Lahti** University of Helsinki

**Sakari Melander** University of Helsinki

**Tatu Hyttinen** University of Turku

Teuvo Pohjolainen

Anonymous Contributors

Adam Vermot-Desroches

Cabinet VERMOT-DES-

Aldjia Aït Ouarab

Anagi Kodituwakku

Anne Bergheim-Negre

University Women of Europe

**Charles Constantin-Vallet** 

Cabinet Constantin-Vallet

Chitro Shahabuddin

**Christine Sigaut** 

Claude Vermorel

**Dominique Maillard** 

Desgrées du Loû

Université Paris Cité

**Didier Cievet** 

Cornevaux

JK ASSOCIÉS

**Benoît Titran** 

**Bernard Perraud** 

France

ROCHES

University of Eastern Finland Josette Favier Barreau de Grasse

Eric Landot

Landot & Associés

Florent Joubert

Joubert Avocats

Gaëlle Lefrancois

SELARL DBA

Gilles Toscano

Veber Avocats

Jean-Noël Caubet-Hilloutou

Barreau de Pau

Jean-Philippe Baur

Cabinet Baur & Associés

Jean Pierre Cahen

Jean-Michel Boisset

SAS BBC Notaires Associés

Jean-Christophe Lapouble

Louis B. Buchman Lexforce

#### Marie Léa Boukoulou

Marie-Christine Cimadevilla Cimadevilla Avocats

Marie-Laure Basilien-Gainche Institut Universitaire de France; Université Jean Moulin Lyon 3

Michaël Hautot

Nicole Stolowy HEC Paris

Olivier Péan de Ponfilly

Philippe Peyramaure

**Sébastien Ducamp** Sesame Avocats AARPI

**Serge Slama** Université Grenoble Alpes

Suzy Blanchemanche Henriquet Associés

Sylvie Manankiandrianana

Thi My Hanh Ngo-Folliot Association pour la Coopération Juridique Europe Vietnam

**Thierry Bonnet** CastaldiPartners

Véronique Tuffal-Nerson and Christelle Delmas Cabinet TNDA

Yann Soyer Soyer & Soyer

Anonymous Contributors

#### Gabon

**Alain Abeng Minko** Barreau du Gabon

**Irénée Mezui Mba** Kalmie Avocats Associés

Justine Mekui Ella Réseau d'Afrique Centrale pour la Santé Reproductive des Femmes (Réseau GCG)

Anonymous Contributors

# The Gambia

Madi Jobarteh Edward Francis Small Institute for Leadership and Strategy (EFSILS)

Nasiru Deen Gambia Press Union

Satang Nabaneh Law Hub Gambia

Anonymous Contributors

#### Georgia

Andrew Urushadze

George Gotsadze Curatio International Foundation

**Giorgi Kikiani** Montpellier Sentinel Legal

Gocha Svanidze Svanidze & Partners **Grigol Gagnidze** Georgian Barristers International Observatory

Guram Imnadze Social Justice Center

**lagor Kalandadze** *Ilia State University* 

Lasha Gogiberidze BGI Legal

Levan Gotua Begiashvili & Co.

Mamuka Tuskadze

Nata Kazakhashvili Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

**Nato Pitskhelauri** Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

Zurab Chkheidze Begiashvili & Co.

Zurab Tchiaberashvili Ilia State University

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Germany

Alexander Putz Putz und Partner, Steuerberater & Rechtsanwalt

Alexandra Cordes Cordes IP

Axel Schwarz

Bogda-Maria Zaroffe

Carl J. Vielhaben

Christian Lemke Bundesrechtsanwaltskammer

Christian Schmidt Haunhorst Schmidt Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft

**Christian Wolff** Schock Rechtsanwälte

Christof Kerwer University of Würzburg

198

**Cord Brügmann** Brügmann Legal and Political Consulting

**Cornel Pottgiesser** Pottgiesser & Partner

**Cornelius Overath** Minor Hotels Europe & Americas

Dennis-Kenji Kipker cyberintelligence.institute

**Einar Recknagel** Schulz Noack Bärwinkel (SNB)

Franz-Josef Schillo Kanzlei Schillo

Friederike Lemme

**Gerhard Wegen** Gleiss Lutz

**Gernot Warmuth** Plaßmann Unkrich

**Gregor Dornbusch** Baker McKenzie

# H. P. Gloeckner

Hanno Goltz Oppenhoff

Henning Rosenau Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg

**Ingo Klaus Wamser** Rechtsanwalt Wamser

**Ingo Thews** Klopsch & Partner Rechtsanwälte

**Jacob Zeeb** Schramek, Meier & Coll. Rechtsanwälte

**Jürgen Möthrath** Rechtsanwalt Möthrath

Karsten Hinz Hinz Röttig Rechtsanwälte

Katrin Augsten Anwaltskanzlei Augsten Kerstin Niethammer-Jürgens Jürgens Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft

Lukas von Gierke Kanzlei Büsing, Müffelmann & Theye

**M. Lupschitz** Anwaltskanzlei Lupschitz

Malte T. Burchard

**Marc Goormann** Kanzlei Goormann & Varga

Mark Röder Rechtsanwaltskanzlein Röder

Martin Sträßer Sträßer Rehm Barfield

Matthias Gündel Gündel & Kollegen (GK-law.de)

**Michael Panienka** Panienka Rechtsanwälte

**Muhammed Karagöz** Rechtsanwaltskanzlei Karagöz

**Oliver Bolthausen** DWF

**Oliver Thamerus** 

**Peter Hassler** Kanzlei Peter Hassler

Peter Münch

Peter Wagner Jordan & Wagner Rechtsanwalts

**Peter-Andreas Brand** Redeker Sellner Dahs

**Rainer M. Hofmann** Kanzlei im Hofhaus Aachen

Ralf Bernd Herden

**Ralf Gebauer** Gebauer Stein Rechtsanwälte Avocats

Ralf Welzel Burchert & Partner Rechtsanwälte

# Roberto Kunz-Hallstein

Roland Gross gross::rechtsanwaelte

Sarah B. Blakeslee Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin

Silke Graeser

Sonja Schacht Rechtsanwaltskanzlei Schacht

Stefan Hertwig CBH Rechtsanwälte

Stefan Huster Ruhr-University Bochum

Thomas Feltes Ruhr-University Bochum

**Thomas Gayk** Rechtsanwaltskanzlei Gayk

**Tobias Groscurth** Dr. Dörr & Kollegen

**Ulrike Köllner** Kanzlei Krebs Köllner

**Volker v. Moers** Lawyers von Moers

Wibke Köppler Kanzlei Köppler

#### Willi Kestel

Wolf Stahl ADA Cosmetics International

Anonymous Contributors

#### Ghana

**Comfort Nyameba Opoku** Africa CDC

Elikem Adisenu-Doe Afrimore Advisors

**Ernest Ako** University of Cape Coast

Kwame Owusu Agyeman

**Olusola Ogundimu** Integrated Legal Consultants **Richmond Aryeetey** University of Ghana

Samson Lardy Anyenini A-Partners@Law

Simon Okyere Fugar & Company

Anonymous Contributors

#### Greece

Alexios Athanassopoulos AA Law Firm

Alexis Anagnostakis Anagnostakis Law Offices

Anthony Mavrides Ballas, Pelecanos & Associates

Apostolos Karanasios

**Aristides Hatzis** National and Kapodistrian University of Athens

Athanasios G. Simotas

**Christianna Stylianidou** Vouliwatch

**Christos Bitos** 

Constantinos Saravakos Center for Liberal Studies (KEFIM); University of Macedonia

Costas Gkazis

**Dimitris Emvalomenos** Bahas, Gramatidis & Partners

**Dionysis Balourdos** National Centre for Social Research

**Dominikos Arvanitis** European Legal Consultancy

Eleni Tsipa

Evangelia Astridou

Evangelia Papadaki

**Ilias Anagnostopoulos** Anagnostopoulos Law Firm

**loanna Balaoura** Intrum James Pattas

Konstantínos Bourtzoúdis

Konstantinos Kremmydas

Konstantinos Tsavas

Konstantinos Valmas-Vloutis Valmas-Vloutis & Associates

Maria Valsamaki Kalamata Bar Association

**Melina Avagianou** Klimaka

Mixail Nizamis

Nigel Bowen-Morris Stephenson Harwood

Nikolaos Ntouskos

Nikolaos-Nektarios Papadimitriou

Olympia Kafritsa

Panagiotis Gioulakos e-nomos

Petros Alepakos

**Stelios Andreadakis** Brunel University London

**Stergios Gialaoglou** S&A Gialaoglou Law Firm

Triantaphylliá Tsioulpá

Virginia Theodoropoulou

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Anonymous Contributors

WJP Rule of Law Index 2024 199

Tsioulpa Law Office

Vasileios Tetokas VTP Law

Xenophon

Paparrigopoulos

Yota Kremmida

Potamitis Vekris LLP

Grenada

Danvish Harford

Martin Forde St. George's University

Rosana John Dentons Delany

Sheriece A. R Noel Afi Ventour & Co

Tanya K. Lambert

Yurana Phillip Afi Ventour & Co.

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Guatemala

Alejandro Cofiño Alta Legal, QIL+4 Abogados

Alvaro Ricardo Cordon Cordon y Ovalle Law

Ana Carolina Nichols Herranz Solvo Group

Angélica Lucía Aguilar Gutiérrez INDESA

Astrid J. Lemus Rodríguez Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala

Carlos Roberto Cordón Krumme Cordón Krumme & Asociados

David Ernesto Chacón Fstrada Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala

Diego Ricardo Pérez Sandoval ECIJA

Edson Lopez ECIJA

Emanuel Callejas A. Carrillo & Asociados

Fernando Guerra Guerra Ruiz y Asociados

#### Fredy A. Quinteros S.

200

Gabriel Cabrera Menocal Carrillo & Asociados

Jorge Mario Andrino Grotewold

José Miguel Argueta Bone Universidad Mariano Gálvez

Juan José Porras Castillo GT Legal

Julio Barrios Prado Prevención y Estrategia

Katherine Rodríguez Carrillo & Asociados

Katia Marina Torres Barrera Torres de Wit & Asociados

Mario Roberto Guadrón Rouanet GT Legal

Marvin Javier Dávila Villegas

Oscar Adolfo Morales Montufar

**Oscar Augusto Rivas** Villanueva GR Legal

Pedro Aragón Muñoz Aragón Law Firm

Pedro Mendoza Montano lurisconsulti

Raúl Bolaños del Águila Centro Internacional para Investigaciones en Derechos Humanos

Roberto René Alonzo del Cid Bufete Alonzo

Sergio Alejandro Peña Mandujano Globalex

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Guinea

Aboubacar Koné Solthis Guinée

Aimé Christophe Labilé Kone Avocats Sans Frontières Guinée

Amadou Babahein Camara Barreau de Guinée

#### Ansoumane Kalivogui

Balla Camara Association Guinéenne pour le Développement Intégral de l'Enfant et du Jeune (AGUIDIF)

Foromo Frederic Loua Les Mêmes Droits pour Tous

Mandy Kader Konde FOSAD-CEFORPAG

#### Moussa Diakité

Oumar Baldé Barreau de Guinée

**Anonymous Contributors** 

# Guyana

Eva Rawana-Scott

Paloma M. Martin University of Guyana

Sheik Amir Georgetown Public Hospital Corporation

Shellon Boyce Guyana Legal Aid Clinic

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Haiti

Alain Guillaume Quisqueya University

Christelle St-Natus Cavé Hudicourt-Woollev & Associés

Elizabeth Mentor Lafontant

Geneviève Michel

# Jean Guy Honoré

Centre Haitien pour le Renforcement du Système de Santé (CHARESS)

Michel Succar Cabinet Lissade-Succar

**Olnert** Casimir Cabinet Rodrigue Dumas et Associés

Salim Succar Cabinet Lissade-Succar

**Anonymous Contributors** 

# Honduras

Alejandro Kaffati Consejo Hondureño de la Empresa Privada

César Antonio Espinal González Consejo Nacional Anticorrupción (CNA): Observatorio de Política Criminal Anticorrupción (OPCA)

Cesar Gonzalez Icaza Roatan Lawyers

Daniela Yolanda Puerto Irias

Henry Salinas Hablemos de Honduras

Jessica Kennerley Bufete Colegas

Jorge Jesús Kawas Mejía K&M Abogados

José Carlos Perdomo Meiía Consejo Nacional Anticorrupción (CNA)

Lino Carmenate Milián Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras

#### Lisandro Valle Perez

Marlon Edgardo Andino Martinez Dumas Lex

Olvin Ulises Mondragón Ponce Consejo Hondureño de la Empresa Privada

Valerya Theodoracopoulos López Arias

Anonymous Contributors

# Hong Kong SAR, China

Amirali B. Nasir NASIRS

Chan Chun Wai Joseph M.K. Chan Solicitors

Martin So **BNP** Paribas

Melissa Chim Securities and Futures Commission

Rick Glofcheski University of Hong Kong

Victor Dawes Temple Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

#### Hungary

Ágota Szentes

Akos Bajorfi Audi Hungaria

András Cech Cech Law Office

Andras L. Pap HUN-REN Centre for Social Sciences, Institute for Legal Studies

András Muskovszky

Beáta Bodnár

Csaba Kiss Environmental Management and Law Association (EMLA)

Gergely Tihamér Takács

Györgyi Dóra

Hungarian Helsinki Committee

Katalin Czingula

#### Magdolna Nagy

Maria Meszaros

Noemi Suller Schoenherr

Pál Bátki

Péter Pallag

Szabolcs Miklós Sánta

Viktor Olivér Lőrincz HUN-REN Centre for Social Sciences, Institute for Legal Studies

Viktória Wesztergom-Szabó Wesztergom-Szabó Law Firm

Wanda Byrdy

Zoltán P. Pintér Budapest Bar Association

Anonymous Contributors

#### India

**Arvinder Pal Singh Narula** Bharati Vidyapeeth (DTU) Medical College, Pune

Hubertson Tom Wilson Council for Protection of Human Rights

Lakshmi Menon Nair Hewlett Packard Enterprise

**Pramod Singh** Lux Veritas, Advocates & Solicitors

Rabindra Jhunjhunwala and Raj Panchmatia Khaitan & Co

**Rajas Kasbekar** CRK Legal

Subhrarag Mukherjee Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Suvir Sidhu

Vipender Mann KNM & Partners

Anonymous Contributors

#### Indonesia

Alamo Dewanta Laiman Legisperitus Lawyers

**Anastasia Pritahayu** Assegaf Hamzah & Partners

**Arih Diyaning Intiasari** Universitas Jenderal Soedirman

Arsa Ilmi Budiarti Indonesia Judicial Research Society (IJRS)

Dio Wicaksana Indonesia Judicial Research Society (IJRS)

**Erwin Natosmal Oemar** Ikatan Advokat Indonesia

Fenny Tohir TILA & Partners

**Gatot P. Soemartono** Universitas Tarumanagara

Hanifa M. Denny Diponegoro University

**Herliana** Universitas Gadjah Mada

Immanuel A. Indrawan Indrawan Darsyah Santoso (IDS Attorneys)

**Muhammad Rizaldi** Warneri Masyarakat Pemantau Peradilan Indonesia (MaPPI

FHUI)

Ningrum Natasya Sirait Universitas Sumatera Utara

**Nisrina Nadhifah Rahman** Humanis

Rahayu Ningsih Hoed Makarim & Taira S.

**Ravio Patra** Westminster Foundation for Democracy

**Dr. Rimawati** Universitas Gadjah Mada

**Dr. Robert** Universitas Sumatera Utara Sianti Candra Agung Podomoro University

**Sri Handayani** Center of Excellence, Universitas Dian Nuswantoro

**Tanius Sebastian** Parahyangan Catholic University

**Tristam Pascal Moeliono** Parahyangan Catholic University

**Umar Mubdi** Universitas Gadjah Mada

Wana Alamsyah Indonesia Corruption Watch

Wigati Partosedono INTEGRITY Lawyers

Yassar Aulia Indonesia Corruption Watch

Anonymous Contributors

## Iran, Islamic Rep.

Mohammad H. Zarei

Mohammadreza Ghaneapur Semnan University of Medical Sciences

Naser Jafari

Anonymous Contributors

#### Ireland

**Bill Holohan** Holohan Lane

**Catherine Ghent** *Catherine Ghent Solicitors* 

**David Leonard** Bar of Ireland

**Deirdre Madden** University College Cork

**Don McGann** Alastair Purdy & Co.

Edward Mathews Griffith College Dublin lan O'Donnell University College Dublin

Laurent Pech University College Dublin

Lorraine Lally Bar of Ireland

Michael Fitzgibbon Bar of Ireland

Michael Gillespie Teachers' Union of Ireland

Seán ÓhUallacháin Bar of Ireland

Thomas F. Creed Bar of Ireland

Zeldine Niamh O'Brien Law Library, The Bar of Ireland

Anonymous Contributors

# Italy

Alessandro Graziani Rome Bar Association

Alessandro Tagliabue

Alessia-Ottavia Cozzi University of Udine

Andrea Bitetto

Andrea Marrafino

Angela Furlan Super Minus Association

Angelo Sandrini

Anna Simonati University of Trento

Antonella Miccoli

Antonio Ciaurro

Antonio De Martinis

Antonio Viscomi Magna Graecia University of Catanzaro

Astolfo Di Amato Astolfo Di Amato & Associati

Beatrice Sica

Corrado Maugeri

Daniela Bentivegna

Daniela Brienza

Daniele Bertaggia Studio Legale Internazionale Bertaggia

Dario Drago

**Dario Nardoni** Ordine Avvocati Firenze

Davide Carretta

Davide Dalla Francesca

Edoardo Oliva

Egon Bianchi

**Emanuele Cortesi** Partner Studio Legale CMA

**Emanuele Scafato** Società Italiana di Alcologia

Emilia Spanedda

Filippo Bertolami

Francesca Castoldi

Francesco Altamore

Francesco De Angelis

Francesco Di Pretoro Di Pretoro & Partners

Fulvio Venditti

Gabriele Fantin

Gianni Di Santo

Gianpiero Zingari

Giovanni Nardulli

Giuseppe Cossa

Legance Avvocati Associati

Giuseppe Lorenzo Rosa

WJP Rule of Law Index 2024 201

Lexsential

Giambattista Colombo

della Legalità

Emiliano Torchia Molteni Torchia Avvocati

Associazione Osservatori

#### Ione Ferranti

Laura Pavese Hewlett Packard Enterprise

**Lorenzo Zoppoli** Università di Napoli Federico II

Loretta Vinvenza Severino

**Luca Barontini** University of Genoa

Luca Medugno

**Luca Verzelloni** University of Milano-Bicocca

Luigi Mori Biolato Longo Ridola & Mori

Maddalena Castellani Studio Legale TC; Università di Verona

Marco Esposito Università degli Studi di Napoli Parthenope

Marco Menegatti

Maria Paola Tavera

Maria Rita Salvatore

Mariano Cingolani University of Macerata

Mariano di Monaco Studio Legale M&M

**Marina Aragona** Forza & Bellezza

Marlène Giuliani Giuliani & Co. Attorneys

Martino Luigi Buora

Massimiliano Gabrielli

Massimo Burghignoli

Massimo Caiazza Caiazza & Partners

Massimo Castrucci BGR Tax and Legal

Maurizio Ricigliano

202

Michele Calleri Transparency International Italia Michele Denicolò

**Michele Gallina** CIDA

Michele Miccoli Studio Legale M&M

Nadia Gentili

Monica Ceravolo

Nicolò Bastianini Carnelutti

Ofelia Battigaglia

Patrizia Magarò University of Genoa

Piero Giordano

**Pierpaolo Martucci** University of Trieste

Pietro Adami

**Pietro Faraguna** University of Trieste

Pietro Gianbattista Bembo

**Pietro Troianiello** Troianiello & Associati

**Renato Gonsalvo** Circolo Culturale i Federiciani

#### Roberto Ceccon

**Roberto de Miro** Miro d'Ajeta & Iannelli

Roberto Turolla

**Roberto Picariello** 

Rossana Novati

Rossella Foldini Lorenzi & Foldini Studio Legale

Rossella Russo

Sabrina Girotto

Sebstiano Cavarra

Silvia Battaglia

Silvia Nutini

Silvia Sorrentino

**Stefania Santilli** Milano Legal Solutions

Stefano Delsignore

**Stella Brembilla** Studio Razza

Teresa Berardi

Tiziana Annicchiarico

Tiziana Ficarelli

Valeria Carlucci

Vittorio Fanchiotti University of Genoa

Walter Laganà

Anonymous Contributors

#### Jamaica

Allan Wood Livingston Alexander & Levy

Annalee Gray Brown Caribbean Institute for Health Research

**Anthony Clayton** University of the West Indies

Audrey Brown

Anonymous Contributors

#### Japan

Akifumi Mochizuki Atsumitoshiyuki Law Office

**Hiroshi Matsuo** Keio University

**Junko Suetomi** Baker McKenzie

Kazumasa Yamagishi Juntendo University

Kosuke Oie LPA Tokyo

Masayuki Shima Hyogo Medical University

Mayumi Ikeuchi Tokai University Naritaka Tomoeda Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Shugo Hotta Tokyo Metropolitan University

Soichiro Fujiwara Baker McKenzie

Takashi Maruta Sannomiya Law Office

**Toshiaki Higashi** Nishinihon Occupational Health Service Center

Yohei Suda

Yosuke Shimamura

Anonymous Contributors

# Jordan

**Abdulellah Al-Nawayseh** Mu'tah University

Eman Al Dabbas International Business Legal Associates

**Ghaith Almajali** Almajali and Partners

Hisham Ababneh Ababneh Law and Arbitration

Kamal Jamal Alawamleh University of Petra

Khadeja Daify

**Motasem Kh. Mushasha** Al al-Bayt University

Raghad Qasem Abdullah & Partners

Zaha Najdawi A&T Najdawi Law

Zaid Muhmoud Al-Aqaileh Mu'tah University

Anonymous Contributors

#### Kazakhstan

#### Arlan Yerzhanov

Artem Timoshenko Unicase Law Kuben Abzhanov Baker McKenzie

Sergey Skryabin

Sofiya Zhylkaidarova SIGNUM Law Firm

**Svetlana Sylkina** Almaty Legal Chamber

**Timur Yerjanov** Almaty City Bar Association

Vladislav Nadtochiy Intellect Partners LLP

Yulia Galinskaya

Caspian University

Kenva

Benson Ngugi

Dennis Mung'ata

Advocates

(FredLaw)

Consultants

Hiram Nyaburi

Maema Advocates

John Maingi Kihiu

Small Enterprises

Kiingati Ndirangu

Kiingati Ndirangu &

L. Samson Opundo

**Opundo & Associates** 

Mbugua Ngugi

CKR Advocates

Mercy Kareithi

Advocates

Mercy Kareithi & Co.

Associates

DLA Piper, Iseme Kamau &

Confederation of Micro and

Igeria & Ngugi Advocates

Gichimu Mung'ata & Co.

Fred Ondieki Mogotu

Grace Njoki Kamau Grewin Advocates and

Fred and Isaac Advocates

Anonymous Contributors

#### Nelson Juma Otwoma

National Empowerment Network of People living with HIV/AIDS in Kenya (NEPHAK)

**Remigeo P. Mugambi** Muthoga Gaturu & Company, Advocates

Susan Mbithe Mutinda B M Musau & Co.

Thomas Muinga Chokwe University of Nairobi

**Timothy Mutambuki** 

Wilfred Nderitu Nderitu & Partners Advocates

Wilfred Opiyo Ochieng' Prof. Albert Mumma & Company Advocates

Anonymous Contributors

#### Korea, Rep.

**Bok Ki Hong** Yonsei University

**Changwoo Lee** Donghwa Labor Law Consultants

Geary Choe Kim & Chang

Hwang Lee Korea University

Jaehyuk Ahn Kim & Chang

Jaeseop Song

**Junsok Yang** The Catholic University of Korea

**Keechang Kim** Korea University

Sang Hoon Han Yonsei University

Sangbong Lee Daeryook & Aju International Law Group

#### Anonymous Contributors

#### Kosovo

Agon Beka

Agon Rrecaj

Agron E. Beka University of Mitrovica "Isa Boletini"

**Arta Dauti** University of Mitrovica "Isa Boletini"

Bahtir Troshupa Besim Tafa

**Brikena Mujku** Kalo & Associates

**Enver Hasani** University of Prishtina "Hasan Prishtina"

Fatmire Haliti Kosova Rehabilitation Centre for Torture Victims (KRCT)

Fitore Morina University of Prishtina "Hasan Prishtina"

Halim Bajraktari University "Ukshin Hoti" Prizren

Jona Bica Kacinari Kalo & Associates

Kolë Krasniqi University of Peja

Krenar Loshi

Leutrim Syla

Mervete Shala University for Business and Technology

Mytaher Haskuka University of Prishtina "Hasan Prishtina"

Nerxhivane Dauti University of Prishtina "Hasan Prishtina"

Teuta Beka

Valon Hasani

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Kuwait

Adel Abdulhadi Al Oula Law

Adham Hashish JusticeDiwan

Bader Alqellaish Wefaq Law Firm

Dolly Sleem Al Oula Law

Fawaz Khalid Taher Alkhateeb Taher Group Law Firm Co.

Mohamed Ramadan Taha Ameen Al Markaz Law Firm

**Sanabil Jafar** Al Oula Law

**Talal Taqi** Al-Dostour Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

#### **Kyrgyz Republic**

Amantur Egemberdiev Centil Law Firm

Andrey Li LEX Law Firm

#### Sanzhar Aldashyev

**Tattuububu Ergeshbaeva** Tandem Law Firm

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Latvia

Aleksandr Gaponenko Institute of European Studies

**Girts Brigis** Riga Stradiņš University

**Inga Kudeikina** Riga Stradiņš University

Ingrida Veiksa Turiba University

**Janis Grasis** Riga Stradiņš University Jelena Alfejeva

Kristaps Loze Loze & Partners

Liene Cakare ZAB EY Law

Linda Lielbriede Rusanovs & Partneri

Marta Urbane Riga Stradiņš University

Natalja Purina PricewaterhouseCoopers

Ronalds Rožkalns

Tatjana Sinkevica

Violeta Zeppa-Priedīte Sorainen

Anonymous Contributors

#### Lebanon

**Antoine G. Ghafari** Ghafari & Associates

Elie W. Chalhoub The Arab Center for the Development of the Rule of Law and Integrity (ACRLI)

Joelle Khater Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm

**Mohamad Ziad Ramadan** El-Aref International Law Office

Najib Mikhael Khattar Khattar Associates

**Roger El Khoury** The Hague Institute for Innovation of Law (HiiL)

Roula Zayat The Arab Center for the Development of the Rule of Law and Integrity (ACRLI)

Samir S. Ibrahim

Sheryne A. Koteiche Awada & Tyan Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

# Liberia

**Cecil B. Griffiths** Criminal Justice Support Foundation for West Africa

**Decontee T. King-Sackie** ZE'AD Advisors and Consultants

John (Weah) Kamma Citizens Bureau for Development and Productivity

Kebeh S. Freeman-Saryon Probity Law and Security Consultancy Inc.

Lafayette Butrose Gould, Sr.

Lucia Diana Sonii Gbala Heritage Partners & Associates

**Prince Tarnah** CMB Law Group

Anonymous Contributors

### Lithuania

Andrej Gorbatkov Vilnius University

Artūras Gutauskas Gutauskas Law Firm

**Bronius Simanavičius** Lietuvos Advokatūra

Danguole Jankauskiene Mykolas Romeris University

leva Kubiliene

Jurita Repšienė

Loreta Andziulytė

ECOVIS ProventusLaw

Rimantas Stanevičius

Romualdas Drakšas

Vytautas Mačiulskis

Vytautas Sirvydis

Lithuanian Bar Association

Gustaitis, Pesenka, Sirvydis,

WJP Rule of Law Index 2024 203

Vaicekauskas, & Partners

Ellex Valiunas

#### Pascal Peuvrel

JURISLUX

Felix Mgbekonye

Zita Smirnoviene

Luxembourg

SIL Jimenez Lunz

**Emilia Fronczak** 

Loyens & Loeff

**Anonymous Contributors** 

Antoine Fortier Grethen

Sara Sorgon Barreau de Luxembourg

Anonymous Contributors

#### Madagascar

Alphonse Anatole Barreau de Madagascar

Andry Herisoa Andrianasolo Institut International des Sciences Sociales Madagascar

Andry Randriaminosoa Barreau de Madagascar

**Bakoly Rakotomalala** 

Marianne Pavot Barreau de Madagascar

#### Mialy Randriamifidimanana

Michèle Vonintsoa Razafimbelo Barreau de Madagascar

Olivia Rajerison Cabinet RAJERISON

#### Radson Solo

Saholiniaina Njivasoa Nathalie Rambeloson Barreau de Madagascar

Sahondra Rabenarivo Madagascar Law Offices

Tino Harvel Razafinimanana

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Malawi

Adamson S. Muula Kamuzu University of Health

Maiamanda Mbendera & Nkhono Associates

Chithope-Mwale Legal Aid Bureau

Naphambo and Company

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Mauritania

Abderrahmane Brahim

Association Mauritanienne pour la Santé de la Mére et de l'Enfant (AMSME)

Middlesex University Dubai

Deepti Bismohun

Raouf Gulbul

**Anonymous Contributors** 

### Mexico

Alfonso Rodriguez-Arana Legalmex S.C.

Angélica Ángeles Llerenas Escuela de Salud Pública de México

Carlos de Buen Unna Bufete de Buen

Carlos Santos-Burgoa The George Washington University

**Christian Amaury Ascensio** Martínez Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Diego Gómez-Haro Katznelson Sánchez Devanny

Frnesto C. Sanchez-Rodriguez Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Esteban Magueo Barnetche Maqueo, Camarena y Magdaleno

Gloria Alejandra Moreno Altamirano Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

**Guillermo Piecarchic** PMC Tax & Legal

Hugo Hernandez Ojeda Alvirez Hogan Lovells

José Alberto Campos Vargas Sánchez Devanny

José Iván Magdaleno Camacho Maqueo, Camarena y Magdaleno

Juan David Balanzátegui Sánchez Associación Nacional de Doctores en Derecho (ANDD)

Juan Francisco Torres Landa Ruffo Hogan Lovells

Juan Manuel Juárez Meza Contramar Abogados

Luciano Mendoza Cruz Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Luis Raymundo Lozano luárez Juntendo University

Mariana Tejado Gallegos Green Business Partners

Mauricio Foeth Ταχανο

Mayra Quintero Casillas Hewlett Packard Enterprise

**Miguel Angel Gonzalez** Bravo GBM Abogados

Moisés Manuel Castro Jarquín Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Mónica Castillejos-Aragón UC Berkeley

Oliva López Arellano Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana

Rosa Merlín Rodríguez Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Roselia Arminda Rosales Flores Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México

Sergio López Moreno Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana

Silvano Cantú Laboratorio de Innovación para la Paz

Anonymous Contributors

# Balla Seye

Bourema Sagara

**Ousmane Sylla** 

Barreau du Mali

Malta

David Lorenzo

Mark Said

Samba Baba Ndiaye

**Anonymous Contributors** 

Therese Comodini Cachia

Naudi Mizzi & Associates

**Anonymous Contributors** 

University of Malta

**Tyrone Grech** 

SCPA ATHENA LEGIS

**Oumar Niare** Barreau du Mali

Ahmed Mussa

Alfred Gracious

#### Allan Hans Muhome

Chimwemwe

Gabriel Kambale GK Associates

George Naphambo

Lozindaba Mbvundula

Martha Kaukonde

Kaukonde Lawyers

Malaysia

Anis Mohd Sohaimi

Mahadirin Hj.Ahmad

University Malaysia Sabah

Mohd Munzil Muhamad

Enforcement, Leadership &

Norhisham bin Abd Bahrin

Management University

Azmi & Associates

Phye Keat Chew

Raja, Darryl & Loh

Kishna

Mali

Sumitha Shaanthinni

Chambers of Sumitha

**Anonymous Contributors** 

MahWengKwai & Associates

Mohamed Sidi

Zeinebou Taleh Moussa

#### Anonymous Contributors

# Mauritius

Alexandre H. Le Blanc Le Blanc Chambers

Deena Bhoyroo

Mohammad Nawaz Dookhee

Yves Hein Hein Chambers

# Darius Sosnovskis Law Firm

Sciences

Ritz Attornevs at Law

#### Moldova

Alexandru Cuznetov State University of Moldova

Andrei Borsevski Institute for Democracy

Andrei Brighidi East Europe Foundation

Angela Popil

**Iulia Furtuna** Turcan Cazac Law Firm

Larisa Spinei Nicolae Testemițanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy

Laura Urschi

Liliana Domente

Mihail Durnescu

Sergiu Ursu

**Svetlana Doltu** Act for Involvement (AFI)

Tudor Osoianu

Vasile Gherasim Smile Dent Team

Victor Zaharia

Anonymous Contributors

### Mongolia

Ariunbayar Enkhbat DB&GTS

Badamragchaa Purevdorj Open Society Forum

**Batbayar Ganbayar** Batbayar and Partners

**Bolormaa Gulguu** Melville Erdenedalai

**Bolormaa Volodya** GRATA International

Dugerjav Dash-Onolt MDS&KhanLex

Enkhtur Demberelsuren MahoneyLiotta **Erdenebat Ganbat** Development Law Center

Munkhdorj Badral Mongol Advocates

Nomingerel Khuyag Women for Change

Saranchimeg Byambaa

Anonymous Contributors

**Montenegro** 

Branislav Radulović

Bar Association of

Montenegro

Janko Đurđić

Jelena Vuiisic

Morocco

Slaven Šćepanović

Abdelmajid Khachai

Ali Lachgar Essahili

Mimoun Chargi

Mokhtar Haddou

Mounssif El Khaiari

Université Hassan 1er

Université Hassan 1er

**Mozambique** 

Alexandre Chivale

Alexandre Chivale &

Joaquim Manhique

José Gama Durão

Kenguelekezé Para Saude,

Direitos Humanos e Meio

Associados

Ambiente

Anonymous Contributors

**Rachid Attahir** 

**Rivad Fakhri** 

Ordre des avocats de Fes

**Anonymous Contributors** 

**Paula Vaz** Fundacão Ariel Glaser

José Gil Vicente

Amazonas

Pascoal Bié

Mondlane

Universidade Federal do

Jose Manuel Caldeira

Universidade Eduardo

Sal & Caldeira Advogados

**Rafique Albuquerque** Dentons FL&A

Télio Chamuço

Anonymous Contributors

#### Myanmar

Anonymous Contributors

#### Namibia

**Clement Daniels** Clement Daniels Labour Law Consultants

**Elize Angula** AngulaCo Inc.

**Magano Erkana** Dr. Weder, Kauta, & Hoveka

Nambili T. K. Shipena Isaacks & Associates

Ramon Maasdorp Maasdorp Chambers

Toni Hancox Legal Assistance Centre

Anonymous Contributors

### Nepal

Ananta Raj Luitel Desire Law Firm

**Anju Thapa** Seven S Legal Consultants

**Bidur Prasad Dhungana** B&B Law Chamber

**Bijaya Prasad Mishra** Kalyan Law Firm Budhi Karki

Daksha Chhetri Daksha Law Associates

Kalyan Pokhrel F-Dimensional Legal Services

Lila Mani Paudel Dhaulagiri Legal Services

Meen Bahadur Poudyal Chhetri Nepal Centre for Disaster Management (NCDM)

Nil Mani Upadhyay Nepal Mediciti Hospital

**Pabitra Raut** Kathmandu Law Associates

Rameshwor Neupane Himalayan Lawyers & Associates

Rukamanee Maharjan Tribhuvan University

Sambidha Sharma Tapasheel Legal Advisors

Shikhar Pandit Gandhi & Associates

Shiva Prasad Rijal Pioneer Law Associates

Shyam Kumar Khatri Kathmandu Legals

Shyam Sundar Budhathoki Nepalese Society of Community Medicine

Subarna Kumar Khatry Nepal Nutrition Intervention Project-Sarlahi (NNIPS)

Sudeep Gautam

**Upendra Raj Dulal** Agrani Law Associates (ALA)

Anonymous Contributors

### Netherlands

A. C. Hoegen Dijkhof Hoegen Dijkhof Legal Services

A. J. T. J. Meuwissen

Arnold Versteeg Macro & Versteeg Advocaten

**C. M. Reijnen** Reijnen Litigation

Eugenie Nunes Dentons

**Frans Willem Verbaas** Collet Advocaten Alkmaar

**Gerrit Bogaers** 

Hans Mathijsen Willems Schmidt Advocaten & Rechtsanwälte

Hansko Broeksteeg Radboud University

Jaap-Willem Roozemond Roozemond De Haan Criminal Defense Lawyers

Jolanda Meeuwissen Netherlands Institute of Mental Health and Addiction (Trimbos Institute)

Nico M. Keijser Landelijk Register van Gerechtelijke Deskundigen (LRGD)

Nico van der Peet Thuis Partners

Reinier W. L. Russell Russell Advocaten

**Richard Martens** 

**Steven Jellinghaus** Pallas Advocaten; Tilburg University

T. N. Sanders AKD Benelux Law Firm

#### **Anonymous Contributors**

## New Zealand

Alan Knowsley Rainey Collins Lawyers

Asha Stewart Quigg Partners

Austin Forbes Carendon Chambers Denise Arnold

Douglas Lyon LOA Law

**Erich Bachmann** Hesketh Henry

#### Frances Joychild

**Gay Morgan** Te Piringa - Faculty of Law, University of Waikato

**Geoff Hall** University of Otago

**Gordon Anderson** Victoria University of Wellington

lan Miller

Kris Morrison Parry Field Lawyers

Marie Bismark The University of Melbourne

Mark Winger Holmden Horrocks

Matthew Berkahn Massey University

Nigel Hampton

**Paul Michalik** Lambton Chambers

**Peter Watts** Bankside Chambers

**Philip Skelton** Bankside Chambers

**Scott Wilson** Duncan Cotterill

Simon Gyenge LOA Law

**Sonja Cooper** Cooper Legal

**Stephen Eliot Smith** University of Otago

**Steven Julian Zindel** Zindels of Nelson

206

**Trevor Daya-Winterbottom** University of Waikato **W. John Hopkins** University of Canterbury | Te Whare Wānanga o Waitaha

William Akel Sangro Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

# Nicaragua

Kevin Humberto Castro Arias

Meyling Sampson Consortium Legal

**Uriel E. Balladares** Arias

Anonymous Contributors

#### Niger

Ali Idrissa Rèseau des Organisations pour la Transparence et l'Analyse Budgétaire (ROTAB Niger)

Idrissa Tchernaka Réseau DH-Gouvernance

Mahamane Laouali Manzo

Ministère de la Santé Publique

Sidi Chaffa Wassiri Ibrahim Anonymous Contributors

#### Nigeria

**Aaron Olaniyi Salau** Olabisi Onabanjo University

Abdulhamid Abdullahi Bagara Achieving Health Nigeria Initiative

Abraham Oladipupo Emollient Law Practice

Adamu M. Usman F.O. Akinrele & Co.

Adewale Wale-Adeleke numerates.com

Adeyinka Abdulsalam PUNUKA Attorneys & Solicitors **Bisi Bright** LiveWell Initiative (LWI)

**Bola Jibogun** Legal Aid Council of Nigeria (LAC)

**Chidimma Iwunze** DLA Piper, Olajide Oyewole

Chudi Nelson Ojukwu L C & N (Legal Practitioners)

**Daprim Ogaji** University of Port Harcourt

**Dorcas Abimbola Akinpelu** Koladaisi University

**Femi Aborisade** Abope Chambers

> Frank O. ljege TransparenclT

**Gabriel Onojason** Alliance Law Firm

**Gbenga Odusola** ACME Law Partners, Gbenga, Odusola, & Co.

**Ibrahim Imam** University of Ilorin

**Ikechukwu Ekwueme** Shell

Isimeme Mary Andrew Novalux Legal Practitioners

Joseph E. O. Abugu PROF JOE ABUGU, SAN & CO

Laura Omolola Allison-Ikwuagwu George Ikoli & Okagbue

Leonard Chukwuemeke Ebie L. C. Ebie & CO

Muhtar Adeiza Etudaiye University of Ilorin

**Obiajulu Nnamuchi** University of Nigeria

**Oghogho Makinde** ALN Nigeria | Aluko & Oyebode

**Olatunbosun Akanmidu** Israel, Akanmidu & Associates **Oluchi Christiana Maduka** University of Ibadan

**Oluwafunke Adeoye** Hope Behind Bars Africa

Oluyemisi Adefunke Bamgbose University of Ibadan

**Opeyemi A. Gbadegesin** University of Ibadan

**Ose Okpeku** The Law Crest LLP

Peter K. Fogam Crescent University

Samson Ojodomo Onuche Crest & Cradle Attorneys

**Similoluwa Adeyemi** DLA Piper, Olajide Oyewole

Stanley Ibe Goodshare & Maxwells

**Terrumun Swende** Benue State University

Uche Obi Alliance Law Firm

Wahab Egbewole University of Ilorin

Yomi Dare Checkers Consultancy Services

**Yusuf Ali** Yusuf O Ali & Co.

Anonymous Contributors

#### North Macedonia

Aleksandar Ickovski

Aleksandra Maksimovska Stojkova Iustinianus Primus Faculty of Law, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University

Ana Tosic Chubrinovski Tosic & Jevtic

Andon Majhosev Goce Delčev University of Štip Andrijana Trpchevska Stojanovska

Deljo Kadiev

Dragan Lazarov

Dushan Sharanovikj

Elena Nikodinovska Miftari

Gordana Lazhetikj Iustinianus Primus Faculty of Law, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University

Ilija Nedelkoski TAV Macedonia

Leonid Trpenoski

Ljupka Noveska Andonova Karanovic & Partners

Maja Risteska AD Insurance Policy

Nikolco Lazarov

Svetlana Necheva Pepeljugoski Skopje

Svetlana Veljanovska

Vesna Gavriloska Cakmakova Advocates

**Vojdan Monevski** Monevski Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

# Norway

FBT

Bent Endresen

Frank S. Thrana

Geir Sverre Braut

Karl Harald Søvig

University of Bergen

Jan Fougner

Wiersholm

Stavanger University Hospital

MedBase

Berit Reiss-Andersen

Advokatfirmaet Andenæs

Magne Strandberg University of Bergen

**Niels R. Kiaer** Rime Advokatfirma DA

**Ørnulf Øyen** University of Bergen

Stella Tuft Microsoft

Werner H. Christie

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Pakistan

Adnan Aslam Qureshi Qureshi Law Associates

**Faiza Muzaffar** Legis Inn Attorneys & Corporate Consultants

**Mayhar Kazi** RIAA Barker Gillette

Muhammad Nouman Shams Qazi Law Associates

Muhammad Waqas Butt

Muhammad Zeeshan Haroon Ayub Medical College

**Muzaffar Islam** Legis Inn Attorneys & Corporate Consultants

Shahida Jamil

**Tariq Rahim** Tariq Rahim Law Associates

**Umer Farooq** Ayub Medical College

Waheed Ahmad Waheed Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

### Panama

Adán Arnulfo Arjona López Galindo, Arias & López

**Carlos Barsallo** 

Carlos E. Gonzalez Ramirez Morgan & Morgan

**Ebrahim Asvat** Patton, Moreno & Asvat

Eduardo Quirós Instituto Panamax

**Ernesto Shirley** Shirley & Asociados

Francisco Arias G. Morgan & Morgan

**Joaquin de Obarrio** Patton, Moreno & Asvat

Jorge Henríquez Fernández

**Joy Paull Torres J.** Morgan & Morgan

María Teresa Mendoza Morgan & Morgan

Mario Adolfo Rognoni Arosemena Noriega & Contreras

Mayte Sánchez González Morgan & Morgan

Natalia Vega University of South Florida

**Olga de Obaldía** Fundación para el Desarrollo de la Libertad Ciudadana, Transparency International

**Ramón Ricardo Arias** Galindo, Arias & López

Anonymous Contributors

#### Paraguay

#### Addis Rivarola

Alberto Manuel Poletti Adorno Universidad Iberoamericana

Alvaro Valinotti Universidad Católica "Nuestra Señora de la Asunción"

Andrés Szwako Universidad Nacional de Asunción Carlos Miguel Rios González Universidad Sudamericana

Eduardo Velázquez Romero Universidad Iberoamericana

Esteban Armando Kriskovich De Vargas Universidad Católica "Nuestra Señora de la Asunción"

**Laura Flores** Vitalitas

Maria del Pilar Callizo

Maria Elena Acevedo Universidad Nacional de Asunción

Roberto Ruiz Díaz Labrano

Rosa Martinez de Vacchetta Poder Ciudadano en Acción

Anonymous Contributors

#### Peru

**Alfredo Gastañeta A.** García Sayán Abogados

Alicia Jimenez Philippi, Pietrocarrizosa, Ferrero DU & Uria

Antonio David Bardales Pereira Universidad César Vallejo

**Christian Valencia Sarmiento** Estudio Ghersi Abogados

Dennis Oswaldo Vilchez Ramirez Estudio Ghersi Abogados

**Evan E. Morgan Arias** Evan Morgan & Asociados

Gonzalo Garcia Calderon Moreyra

## Javier Castro Salinas

Manuel Bermúdez-Tapia Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos Manuel Villa García Estudio Olaechea

**María del Pilar Pozo García** Universidad Peruana de Ciencias Aplicadas

Rossana Maccera

Anonymous Contributors

# **Philippines**

**Carmelita Gopez Nuqui** Development Action for Women Network (DAWN)

**Cesar L. Villanueva** Villanueva Gabionza & Dy

Emerico O. De Guzman ACCRALAW

Enriquito J. Mendoza ROMULO Law Office

Irma Rose Corazon C. Marcelo El Nido Foundation, Inc.

Jaime Z. Galvez Tan Health Futures Foundation, Inc.

Jesusito G. Morallos Follosco, Morallos, & Herce

Jonathan Sale Law and Society Association

Jose Cochingyan III Cochingyan & Partners Law Offices

Karen S. Gomez Dumpit Human Rights and People Empowerment Center

Leland Villadolid, Jr. ACCRALAW

Ma. Cecilia A. Gironella Gironella Law Office

Nancy Joan M. Javier

Patricia Blardony Miranda University of Bristol

Ramil E. Bugayong PJS Law

Rhea Berba-Quimson Hewlett Packard Enterprise Ronahlee A. Asuncion University of the Philippines Diliman

**Zelayne Morpe** Cochingyan & Partners Law Offices

Anonymous Contributors

### Poland

Adam Morawski Morawski & Partners Law Firm

Adam Zwierzyński Radzikowski, Szubielska & Wspólnicy

Adrian Wrocławski

Agata Majchrowska CODR.PL Research Lab

Aneta Dolińska

Aneta Hassa

**Anna Cybulko** University of Warsaw

#### Anna Kuzińska

Arkadiusz Szymański Zemła Szymański Adwokaci

Artur Mudrecki Kozminski University

Bartosz Groele Groele & Wspólnicy

**Bogusław Sołtys** Uniwersytet Wrocławski

Bogusław Sowa Kancelaria Adwokatów i Radców Prawnych B. Sowa S. Tarnawski s.c. w Rzeszowie

**Bożena Nowak-Zaguła** Kancelaria Adwokacka we Wrocławiu

WJP Rule of Law Index 2024 207

Cezary Misiak

Fliza Dziedzic

Ewa Rączka

Lawlev

Fabian Orłowski Buszan Orłowski Adwokaci Radcowie Prawni Sp.p.

Grzegorz Łabuda Łabuda Razowski Wojciechowski Adwokacka Spółka Partnerska

Grzegorz Wilga

Hanna Czapla Shell

Jakub Szczepkowski Okręgowa Rada Adwokacka w Warszawie

Jarosław Kowalski

Jarosław Masłowski KKMP Prawo & Podatki

Joanna Kaźmierczak

Józef Pordes

Kajetan Górny

Instytut Nauk Prawnych,

Uniwersytet Zielonogórski

Katarzyna Pieciukiewicz

BSJP bnt Brockhuis Jurczak

Krzysztof Kowalczyk

Prusak Sroka Nilsson

Łukasz Zdrodowski

Zamorska Sp. k.

Maciej Bisiorek

Marcin J. Menkes

Economics

Marek Gajek

Gajek i Wspólnicy

Marek Olekszyk

Michał Fifielski

Michał Sowiński

Michał Windak

Nowosielski i Partnerzy

Queritius; Warsaw School of

Joanna Kosińska-Wiercińska

Jarosław Tumidajewicz

Tomasz Snarski Tomasz Snarski Law Firm; University of Gdańsk

Monika Augustyniak

Krakow University

**Piotr Flisiak** 

Piotr Kuźniak

Barchan Legal

Kurek-Mazgaj

Natalia Micikowska

Andrzej Frycz Modrzewski

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Kancelaria Radcy Prawnego

Przemysław Barchan

Robert Piekarczyk

Adwokaci Piekarczyk,

Wojciech Babicki Miller Canfield Poland

Anonymous Contributors

# Portugal

Alexandra Mota Gomes Antas da Cunha Eciia & Associados

#### Ana Marçal

Ana Pereira de Sousa Pais do Amaral, Advogados RL

Ana Pires Atlântica, Instituto Universitário

Ana Rodrigues Universidade do Minho

António Casa Nova Escola Superior de Saúde de Portalegre

António Simões de Melo Melo Advogados

Carina Margues dos Santos Legalteam

**Carlos Lelo Filipe** 

Carlos Pinto de Abreu Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados

**Carlos Roque Figueira** 

Cátia Feiteiro Lopes

Cláudia Amorim Sérvulo & Associados

Conceição Gomes Universidade de Coimbra

Cristina M. M. Queiroz Universidade NOVA de Lishoa

**Domingos Madruga** 

Eduardo Buisson Loureiro

**Ernestina Fatima Rodrigues Alves** 

Fernando Alves Correia

Filipe Abreu Silva

Francisco Luís Monteiro Barbosa

Francisco Marques Bom Maraues Bom & Associados

Joana Barrilaro Ruas FCM Advogados

João Paulo Dias Universidade de Coimbra

João Zenha Martins Universidade NOVA de Lisboa

Luis Miguel Amaral Luis Miguel Amaral -Advogados

Manuel Lindim

Maria Inês Gameiro Universidade Lusófona

Mariana Melo Egídio Lisbon Public Law

Marina Henriques Universidade de Coimbra

Paulo Quelhas Vilarinho

Paulo Saragoça da Matta

Pedro Miguel Branco

Rui Lanceiro Universidade de Lisboa

Stênio de Freitas Barretto Universidade de Coimbra

Susana Aires de Sousa Universidade de Coimbra

Teresa Violante Friedrich-Alexander Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg

Tiago Cabral JusGov, Universidade do Minho

Tiago Serrão Universidade de Lisboa

Vânia Costa Ramos Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados

Anonymous Contributors

### Romania

Alina Paula Larion Stefan cel Mare University

Ana-Maria Baciu Simion & Baciu

Andra Ionitescu-Zinca Zinca & Zidaru

Andrada Marc

Andrei Danciu Cataniciu & Asociatii

Andrei Onofrei

Andrei Talamba

Andrei-Sebastian Murariu Murariu și Asociații

Aurora Ciucă Stefan cel Mare University; Titu Maiorescu University

Bogdan lonescu

Bogdan Timofti LegalHUB

Bogdan Vetrici-Șoimu

Ciprian Miloiu Auxmundus Law

Ciulei Norocel

Claudiu Ramon D. Butculescu

Constantin Eugen lordachescu Lordachescu si Asociatii

Constantin Ruge

Cosmina Ştefan

**Cristina Pintilie** 

Cristina Tomuleț Babeș-Bolyai University

Dan Curiciuc Dan Curiciuc & Associates (DCA Law)

Dan Lupaşcu Universitatea Nicolae Titulescu din București

Dan Oancea University of Bucharest

**David Simon Popa** 

Despina-Martha Ilucă Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași

Diana Botău Babeș-Bolyai University

Diana Budusan

**Dorin Voicilas** 

Dumitru Ciprian Găitan

Dumitru Dănuț Călugărescu Bar Dolj

Elena Radu Coalitia pentru Apararea Statului de Drept

Florin Ion Mangu West University of Timisoara

Florina Carmen Salajan

Gabriel Lupascu

Gafon Iulian

# Slawomir Karasinski F/K Legal Stanisław Lechowicz

**Gavrila Simona Petrina** Dunărea de Jos University of Galati

**Gelu Titus Maravela** MPR Partners | Maravela, Popescu & Asociații

**Gheorghe Piperea** Piperea și Asociații; University of Bucharest

Gina Liiceanu

Hajnal Banhegyesi

llie Dorin

Ioana Trana

**lonut Sandu** Bucharest Bar Association

Ionut-Marian Maican

**Irinel Samoilă** Bucharest Bar Association

Iulian Popa Bucharest Bar Association

lulian Silviu Müller

Laura Campureanu

Laurentiu Nicolae Chiciu

Laurentiu Veteleanu Laurentiu Veteleanu Law Firm

Luminița Chiriac

Madalina Scutelnicu

Magdalena Catargiu

Maria Bichescu

**Marius Balan** Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi

**Mihai Dunea** Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași

**Mihai Ioachimescu** Jones Day

Mihail-Romeo Nicolescu "Romeo Nicolescu" Law Office

Narcis Adrian Oprea

Nicolae-Bogdan Bulai University of Bucharest

Nicoleta Cristina Pârvan

Nita Maria Andreea

Nina Mirela Grosu

**Ovidiu Podaru** Babeş-Bolyai University

**Radu Dragos Neacsu** Neacsu Radu Dragos Law Firm

Silvia Gabriela Scintee National Institute for Health Services Management

Ştefan Ciprian

Veronica Constantinescu

Virgil Şişu

Anonymous Contributors

#### **Russian Federation**

**Gleb Glinka** Glinka, Rubinstein & Partners

Pavel Aleksandrovich Kabanov Kazan Innovative University

Vyacheslav Chasnyk Saint-Petersburg State Pediatric Medical University

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Rwanda

**Brigitte Mukandoli** Juris Civitas Law Firm

Emmanuel Bizimana ENSafrica

Jean Pierre Gafaranga University Teaching Hospital of Kigali

**Pie Habimana** Amilex Chambers

Vedaste Mugemanyi Oasis Law Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

### Senegal

**Aboubacar Fall** AF Legal

Bacre Waly Ndiaye

**Gueye Seyni** EMAD

Mbissane Ngom Université Gaston Berger de Saint-Louis

Paul Babacar Faye Sow, Seck, Diagne & Associates

Samba Cor Sarr Ministere de la santé et de l'action sociale

Anonymous Contributors

#### Serbia

Andjelka Radovanović Stanković & Partners (Belgrade)

Anita Caković

**Danijela Korać-Mandić** Novi Sad Humanitarian Centre (NSHC)

Hajrija Mujovic Center for Legal Research, Institute of Social Sciences

**Jovana Tomić** CT Legal Law Office

Nebojsa Stankovic Stanković & Partners (Nis)

**Petar Bulat** University of Belgrade

**Petar D. Stojanovic** Joskovic, Stojanovic & Partners

**Tijana Golic** Vojvodina Bar Association

Vladan Joksimovic Stojkovic Law Office

Vladimir Hrle Hrle Attorneys Zoran V. Radovic Radovic & Ratkovic

Anonymous Contributors

# Sierra Leone

Abdulai Deadehwai Swaray Pikin-To-Pikin Movement

Augustine Sorie-Sengbe Marrah Marrah & Associates

Bernard Eldred Jones Jones, Bittar & Davies

Faith Doris Bangura Gevao and Associates

**Gin Price** International Mutual Aid

Ibrahim S. Yillah

Mariama Momoh Ministry of Health

**Roland Suluku** Njala University

Anonymous Contributors

#### Singapore

Chandra Mohan Nair Tan Rajah & Cheah

**Cheow Ming Foo** Foo Cheow Ming Chambers

**Chia Boon Teck** Chia Wong Chambers LLC

Eric Tin Donaldson & Burkinshaw LLP

Eugene K. B. Tan Yong Pung How School of Law, Singapore Management University

Francis Xavier Rajah & Tann LLP

**Jaclyn Neo** National University of Singapore

Lawrence Boo The Arbitration Chambers **Ong Pei Ching** TSMP Law Corporation

Pradeep Kumar Singh CNPLaw LLP

**Rafizah Gaffoor** Joseph Tan Jude Benny LLP

**Sanjiv Vaswani** Vaswani Law Chambers

**Stefanie Yuen Thio** TSMP Law Corporation

Suet-Fern Lee World Justice Project

Sui Yi Siong

Tania Chin TSMP Law Corporation

**Tham Wei Chern** Fullerton Law Chambers

**Ting Chi Yen** Joseph Tan Jude Benny LLP

Wendell Wong Drew & Napier LLC

**Anonymous Contributors** 

# **Slovak Republic**

Alena Mikudová

Alojz Baránik

Aniko Gogh

Daniela Ježová

Daniela Trajtelova

Gevorg Ayrumyan

IN FORO - AYRUMYAN

advokátska kancelária

Ivan Simovcek

Jana Dráčová

Trnava University

Jana Trangelová

Poláček & Partners

Pan-European University

WJP Rule of Law Index 2024 209

Jozef Záhora

**Juraj Köllner** Advokátska kancelária SOWA

**Marian Fridrichovsky** Advokátska kancelária Marian Fridrichovsky

**Maroš Uhaľ** Advokátska kancelária UHAĽ

**Martin Friedrich** 

Martin Janáč

Martin Rusnák Healthnet

**Miriam Staněkova** Staněk Vetrák a Partneri

Norbert Havrila Legate, s.r.o.

Radoslav Procházka

**Robert Gasparovic** Havel & Partners

Slavomir Bachynec

Tomáš Rybár Čechová & Partners

Viera Petrášová Petrasova Legal

Viliam Cobirka AK Cobirka

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Slovenia

Aleksandra Janežič Janežič & Jarkovič

Alenka Sagmeister Ranzinger Senica and Partners

**Andrej Bitrakov** University of Maribor

**Andrej Bukovnik** Bukovnik & Kulbaba IP Guardians

Anton Gradišek DAGRA d.o.o.

210

Borut Bernik Bogataj

Denis Baghrizabehi University of Maribor

**Grega Strban** University of Ljubljana

Ivan Šalinovič

Klemen Drnovšek University of Maribor

**Luka Ticar** University of Ljubljana

Matija Jamnik Worldwide Independent Lawyers League (WILL)

Matija Repolusk RepoluskLaw

Meta Bevc Bevc Law Firm

Nana Weber European Faculty of Law

Peter Stanovnik Združenje poslovno storitvenih dejavnosti

**Primož Rožman** Blood Transfusion Centre of Slovenia

**Sara Ahlin Doljak** European Faculty of Law

**Špela Grčar** Grčar Law Office

**Tajka Golob Obrenovic** Gross & Golob

Tanja Glušič Glušič Law Firm

Tine Mišic ODI LLP

Verica Trstenjak

Vlasta Nadler Malezic

Anonymous Contributors

#### **South Africa**

Altair Richards ENSafrica

**Dejo Olowu** Walter Sisulu University **Funmilola Abioye** University of Saskatchewan

Irvin Lawrence and Ferosa Kirsten ENSafrica

Johann Kriegler

**Jonathan Klaaren** University of the Witwatersrand

L. J. Erasmus North-West University

Lukas Muntingh Dullah Omar Institute, University of the Western Cape

Michelle Posemann Garlicke & Bousfield Cape, Inc.

Nisha Jacob University of Cape Town

Pamela Jane Schwikkard University of Cape Town

**Richard Maddern** Wright Rose Innes, Inc.

Robert William Vivian Freemarket Foundation

**Shrikant Maurice Peters** Western Cape Department of Health

Anonymous Contributors

#### Spain

**A. J. Garcia-Ruiz** Universidad de Málaga

Alberto Millan Merello

Alfonso Manzano Ruiz MMR Abogados

Alfonso Vega Navarro A.V. Boutique Legal S.L.P.

Alicia Teruel Perez Teruel Abogados

Alonso Hernández-Pinzón García European Lawyers Foundation **Alvaro Aido Montañez** Ilustre Colegio de Abogados de Malaga

**Amaya Arnáiz Serrano** Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Amparo Garrigues Universidad de Jaume I

Ana Carmen Arjona Fernandez

**Ana Fernández Pérez** Univerisidad de Alcalá

**Ana Valdivieso** Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Andrés Malvar Pintos Mareque-Malvar Abogados

Ángel Yuste Barranquero

**Antoni Llopart Ministral** Ilustre Colegio de la Aboagcía de Barcelona

Antonia Pomedio Martinez

**Antonio Baylos Grau** Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

Arturo Silva Cabaleiro

Bart Lozano-Pato

**Beatriz Román** Colegio de Abogados de Zaragoza

**Benito Aláez Corral** Universidad de Oviedo

Blanca Biezma Moraleda

Carolina Flórez de Quiñones Santiago Seis & Law

**Carolina Martínez Moreno** Universidad de Oviedo

Daniel Toscani Giménez Universidad de Valencia

Dionisio Fernández de Gatta Sánchez Universidad de Salamanca

Eduardo Olarte Soto LeonOlarte, Abogados **Eduardo Santamaría Moral** J&A Garrigues

Emilio Díaz Ruiz

Ernesto Osuna Martínez

**Esperanza B. Sánchez Solís** Escobar & Sánchez

**Esther Fernández Molina** Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

Eugenio Mata Rabasa

Federico Rodriguez Morata Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

Fernando Bachiller Luque

Fernando G. Benavides Universitat Pompeu Fabra

Francisco Javier Verdu Lopez Adan & Verdu Abogados

Francisco Ramos Romeu Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona

**Iñigo A. Navarro Mendizabal** Universidad Pontificia Comillas

Iñigo Sagardoy de Simón Sagardoy

Inmaculada Estrems Santamaria Estremslex

**Jacobo Dopico** Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Jaume Alonso-Cuevillas

Universitat de Barcelona

Jesús Rubén Villalmanzo

Villalmanzo Rivera Abogados

Jordi Colomines Companys

Universidad de Burgos;

Sayrol

Rivera

Jorge Eduardo Garcia Barrios Abogados Voluntarios del

Jorge Ruiz de Conejo Viloria

Siglo XXI

Jose Antonio Colmenero Guerra Universidad Pablo de Olavide

**José Ávila Ríos** AMF Abogados

José Fernández-Rañada Garrigues

José Luie Cembrano Reder AEAFA

Jose Luis Cebrian Gutierrez

José Luis Díez-Ripollés Universidad de Málaga

**José Luis Goñi Sein** Universidad Pública de Navarra

José Luis Machado

**José M. Sauca** Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Jose Manuel Leon Fernández Leon Abogados

José María Rosales

**José Miguel Castillo Calvín** *Castillo-Calvín Abogados* 

**Josep Fargas** Universitat Pompeu Fabra

Juan Alberto Díaz López

Juan Francisco Aguiar Rodriguez Servicio Canario de Salud

Juan Ignacio Peinado Gracia Universidad de Málaga

Juan Miguel Pulpillo ASPERTIC **Juan Oliva-Moreno** Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

Julio Jorge Aleman Ramirez Universidad de la Laguna

Laura Baamonde Gómez Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Laura Hernández Llinás Universidad de Valladolid

**Luis Arroyo Zapatero** Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

**Luis Gaite Pindado** Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla

M<sup>a</sup> Isabel Gomez Reyes

**M<sup>a</sup> Pilar Marco Francia** Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Manuel Ángel De las Heras García Universidad de Alicante

**Manuel Cachón Cadenas** Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Manuel Cancio Meliá Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Manuel J. Sarmiento Acosta Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria

**Manuel Ortiz Fernández** Universidad Miguel Hernández de Elche

Maria del Carmen Garcia Rivero

Maria del Carmen Gete-Alonso y Calera Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Maria del Mar Jurado Albarracín-Martinón Gonjusa Asesores Legales

María Luisa Amutio Castaño Maria Marcos Universidad de Alcalá

María Purificación García Miguélez Universidad de León

Marina Lorente Lara J&A Garrigues

Marisa Iglesias Vila Universitat Pompeu Fabra

Melania Luque

**Orlanda Díaz-García** Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

Pablo Salvador Coderch Universitat Pompeu Fabra

**Paula Arnal Oblanca** Hewlett Packard Enterprise

**Rafael Perales Romero** Perales Romero y Calvento Abogados

Ramón M. Orza Linares Universidad de Granada

Remedios Aranda Rodríguez Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

**Ricard Meneu** Fundacion Instituto de Investigacion en Servicios de Salud

**Ricardo Miracle Lavilla** Bufete Miracle

**Ricardo Peciña Midón** Inspección de Trabajo de Cataluña

Roberto Mazorriaga Las Hayas Rambla Abogados

**Rosa M. Satorras Fioretti** Universitat de Barcelona

Salvador Peiró FISABIO Salud Pública

Sebastián Cantallops Rambla Abogados Sergio Berenguer Pascual Ernesto Díaz-Bastien & Asociados; Universidad Pontificia Comillas

Tomàs Requena Lopez Universidad de Granada

Vicente Gutiérrez Pérez

Vicente Ortún Rubio Universidad Pompeu Fabra

Victor Manuel Martin Alvarez

Victoria Eugenia Delgado del Valle Colegio de la Abogacía de Valladolid

Virginia Libano Hewlett Packard Enterprise

**Yolanda Gómez Sánchez** Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia

Anonymous Contributors

# Sri Lanka

Ajithaa Edirimanne

Anusha Wickramasinghe

D. L. & F. De Saram

Jacques Carstens Supporting Effective Dispute Resolution (SEDR), British Council

Kaumadi Galagedara

Krishanth Rajasooriyar Varners

Lasantha Hettiarachchi

Priyantha Gamage

**Upali Jayatilaka** AAL

Anonymous Contributors

# St. Kitts and Nevis

**Michella Adrien** Michella Adrien Law Offices **Rayana Dowden** Webster LP

Anonymous Contributors

# St. Lucia

Andie George and Sherene Francis George & Co. Chambers

Henri-Jacques Mangal Republic Bank (EC) Limited

Leandra Gabrielle Verneuil Leandra Verneuil Chambers

Virginia Joseph Spartan Health Science University

**Anonymous Contributors** 

# St. Vincent and the Grenadines

Kaylia Toney

**Moureeze Franklyn** Ministry of Legal Affairs

Patina D. S. Knights Knights Legal Services

#### Anonymous Contributors

#### Sudan

Adam Shreef OHCHR

**Ahmed Bannaga** Bannaga & Fadlabi LLP

Asma ElSony Epi Lab for Public Health and Research

#### Elsheikh Badr

Eslam Mohamed Dahia People's Legal Aid Center

Musaed Awad Al-Karim Ahmed Musaed Open University of Sudan

Mutwakil Fadlabi Bannaga & Fadlabi LLP Wail Ali Saeed

Anonymous Contributors

#### **Suriname**

Anne-Marel Linger 4 Justice Advocaten

Humphrey R. Schurman Schurman Advocaten

**Iris Andjenie Nazir** Law Firm Nazir

Maureen Tjon-Jaw-Chong Law Firm Tjon Jaw Chong

**Merlin A. Gout** Law Firm M.A.Gout

Nashreen R. J. Ilahibaks Law Firm Ilahibaks

**Prema Sohansingh** Essed & Sohansingh

Radju Brijobhokun Anton de Kom Universiteit of Suriname

**Viren Ajodhia** University of Suriname

Anonymous Contributors

#### Sweden

**Bengt Lundell** Lund University

**Birgitta Nyström** Lund University

**Boel Flodgren** Lund University

#### Christer Thordson

**Claes Englund** 

Daniel Kazemi Kennedy Advokatbyrå

Hans Roth Sandberg & Partners

Inger-Ann Omma

**Jack Ågren** Karlstads University

212

Jan Thörnhammar

Johan Gregow CMS Wistrand

Karol Nowak Lund University

Karola Xenia Kassai KassaiLaw

Kavot Zillén Stockholm University

Kerstin Nordlöf Örebro University

Laura Carlson Stockholm University

Linus Gardell Lewis Langley & Partners

Mauro Zamboni Stockholm University

Mikael Hägglöf Fröberg & Lundholm

Nils Gårder

**Niklas Elofsson** Hewlett Packard Enterprise

**Ola Zetterquist** University of Gothenburg

**Paul Lappalainen** International Commission of Jurists

**Peter Hellman** Engström & Hellman Advokatbyrå

Reinhold H. Fahlbeck Lund University

Anonymous Contributors

#### Tanzania

Eustard Athanace Ngatale

**Fundikila Wazambi** Legal and Human Rights Centre (LHRC)

Hakiel O. Mgonja M&M Law Chambers **Mpale Kaba Mpoki** Mpoki and Associates Advocates

Prisca Nyang'uba Chogero

Rebecca Nyabikoma Manoti RIVE & Co. Attorneys

**Anonymous Contributors** 

# Thailand

**Chacrit Sitdhiwej** Thammasat University

**Chaiporn Supvoranid** Baker McKenzie

**Chalermwut Sriporm** Thammasat University

**Chulapong Yukate** 

Henning Glaser German Southeast Asian Center of Excellence for Public Policy and Good Governance (CPG)

**Ittichai Prasongprasit** R&T Asia (Thailand) Ltd.

**Jakkrit Kuanpoth** Thailand Development Research Institute

Kunnapang Temboonkiet Ford Motor Company

Manaswee Wongsuryrat Lexpertise Law Firm

**Munin Pongsapan** Thammasat University

**Pisut Rakwong** Pisut & Partners

**Surasak Likasitwatanakul** Thammasat University

**Wanchai Yiamsamatha** LS Horizon Ltd.

**Anonymous Contributors** 

#### Togo

Abdoul Fatahi Alitchawu RADS Agbewonou Koudasse

Akou Pignandi Coordonnateur SP CCM

Augustin Dokla RAS+ Togo

Claude Kokou Amegan Collectif des Associations Contre l'Impunité au Togo (CACIT)

**Djifa Emefa Adjale Suku** Orya Consult

**Ferdinand Ekouévi Amazohoun** SCPA Femiza Associes

Koffi Houndebasso Société Togolaise de l'Evaluation

Kossi Adjedomole Martial Akakpo & Associés

Simtokina N'Gani Réseau des Champions pour le Financement Adéquat en Santé

Valentin Dassa Université de Lomé

Anonymous Contributors

### **Trinidad and Tobago**

**Ariel Moonsie** Girwar and Deonarine

Bellina Barrow Tenoreque Legal

**Dennis Adrian Gurley** D. A. Gurley & Co.

Jerome F. Maxime Girwar and Deonarine

**Kaveeta Persad** Fitzwilliam, Stone, Furness-Smith & Morgan

Keri A. Kitson

Samuel Harrison Mair and Company

Shastri Vedanta Christopher Parsad Shastri Parsad & Associates Sonnel David-Longe M.G. Daly and Partners

Anonymous Contributors

## Tunisia

Bessem Ben Salem Ben Salem Law Firm (BSLF)

Elyes Trabelsi

Hechmi Louzir Institut Pasteur de Tunis

Karim Ben Hamida

Mohamed Raouf El Heni Eversheds Sutherland El Heni

Nadhir Ben Ammou Faculté de Droit et des Sciences Politiques de Tunis

**Yassine Guemri** Eversheds Sutherland El Heni

Anonymous Contributors

#### **Türkiye**

**Demirhan Burak Çelik** Galatasaray University

**Esenyel Barak Bal** AGB Ak Barak Attorney Partnership

**Filiz Askan** Askan Law Firm

**Mahmut Kacan** MK Law Office

Osman Hayran

Sabanur Cavdar

Savas Bozbel

Ufuk Aydin

Istanbul Medipol University

Istanbul Medipol University

Istanbul Medipol University

Bozbel Law & Consulting

İstanbul Aydın University

**Anonymous Contributors** 

Omer Atac

#### Uganda

Adrian Jjuuko Makerere University

**Christopher Mbazira** Makerere University

**Emmanuel Luyirika** African Palliative Care Association

Grace Mukwaya Platform for Labour Action (PLA)

**Irene Priscilla Ayaru** Daly Lynch Crowe and Morris Solicitors

**Isaac Newton Kyagaba** Kyagaba & Otatiina Advocates, Dentons

**Joseph Matsiko** Kampala Associated Advocates

Lilian Keene Kleeva Associated Advocates

Patrick Alunga Alvarez Alvarez Advocates

Patrick Mugalula

Phillip Karugaba ENSafrica

Anonymous Contributors

### Ukraine

Aleksandr Babikov Lawyer's Association «DEFENSORES»

**Elena Babikova** Research Institute of Pre-trial Investigation Problems

**Igor Fedorenko** Lawyer's Association «DEFENSORES»

Inesa Letych Asters

**Ivan Horodyskyy** Dnistrianskyi Center for Law and Politics Lilia V. Kriachkova Dnipro State Medical University

Liudmula Hrytsenko Dnipro State Medical University

Lyubomyr Drozdovskyy Khasin & Drozdovskyy Barristers Association

**Oleksandr Skliarenko** Skliarenko, Sydorenko and Partners

Valeriia Lekhan Dnipro State Medical University

Vitalii Gatseliuk V.M. Koretskyy Institute of State and Law, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine

**Yaroslav Shkvorets** Ukrainian Legal Group LLC

Zoryana Skaletska National University of Kyiv-Mohyla Academy (NaUKMA)

#### Anonymous Contributors

# United Arab Emirates

Ahmed Aly Khedr KLCCA Legal & Corporate Affairs

Ahmed Elnaggar Elnaggar & Partners

**Ali Kabol Faghiri** Al Balooshi & Al Marashda Advocates

Diana Hamade Diana Hamade Attorneys at Law

**Joelle Jleilaty** AX Law

Anonymous Contributors

# **United Kingdom**

**Jill Stavert** Edinburgh Napier University Mathew Orr Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Richard Ashcroft City, University of London

**Richard Whitecross** Edinburgh Napier University

**Steven Lorber** Lewis Silkin LLP

**Tonia Novitz** University of Bristol

**Tony Ward** Northumbria University

#### Anonymous Contributors

#### **United States**

Alan Houseman National Equal Justice Library

**Ana Avendano** City University of New York

André Douglas Pond Cummings William H. Bowen School of Law, University of Arkansas at Little Rock

David Antczak Hewlett Packard Enterprise

#### David C. Donald

Douglas L. McSwain Rosenberg College of Law, University of Kentucky; Wyatt, Tarrant & Combs

**Erin Rodgers** Rodgers Selvera PLLC

Gabor Rona Cardozo Law School, Yeshiva University

Jaime Bell Hewlett Packard Enterprise

James Pietsch University of Hawaii

Jeffrey Aresty InternetBar.org Institute, Inc. John Pollock National Coalition for a Civil Right to Counsel

**John R. LaBar** LaBAR LAW

Jonathan Hiatt International Lawyers Assisting Workers (ILAW) Network, Solidarity Center

Kepler Funk Funk, Szachacz & Diamond

Maggie Brennan Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Matthew Keck Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Michael D. Felsen

Paul Bender Arizona State University

**Peter W. Zinober** Ogletree Deakins

**Renaldy J. Gutierrez** Gutierrez & Associates

Renée M. Landers Suffolk University

**Ricks Frazier** 

Robert J. Collins

**Roy M. Poses** Alpert Medical School, Brown University

**Stephen A. Saltzburg** The George Washington University

**Stephen C. Veltri** Ohio Northern University

**Steven Ramirez** Loyola University Chicago

**Timothy E. Dolan** DMS Foresight Academy

#### Anonymous Contributors

#### Uruguay

Andrés Fuentes Arcia Storace Fuentes Medina Abogados **Camilo Martinez Blanco** Universidad de Montevideo

Eduardo Esteva Gallicchio Centro de Documentación y Estudios Constitucionales del Uruguay

Julio Lens

**Julio Soffer** Estudio Soffer

María Durán Hughes & Hughes

Martín Arroyo Akiki Theoduloz & Arroyo

**Martín Risso Ferrand** Universidad Católica del Uruguay

Natalia Veloso Delpiazzo Abogados

Pedro J. Montano Gómez Scelza & Montano

Santiago Pereira Campos Universidad de Montevideo

**Tomás Guerrero** Estudio Posadas

#### Anonymous Contributors

# Uzbekistan

Aziz Sattarov Amir Legal Law Firm

**Iskander Yakubov** Law and Business Consulting Agency

Serikbek Alpamysovich Murataev Tashkent State University of Law

Anonymous Contributors

#### Venezuela, RB

Alberto Seijas Irala Universidad Metropolitana

Alejandro Gallotti JGTorrealba

214

Alessandra M. Corona H. Ka

Alexander Marcano Montero Lawyers Group, Despacho de Abogados

**Alfredo Silva Loynaz** LEĜA Abogados

Alí Daniels Acceso a la Justicia

Álvaro Badell Madrid Badell & Grau

Antonio Silva Aranguren Centro para la Integración y el Derecho Público (CIDEP)

Edgar Eduardo Berroteran

Edwin Antonio Romero

Fatima Camirra

Feliciano J. Reyna Acción Solidaria

Franklin Hoet Linares

**Fredy Martinez** Martinez Díaz & Abogados

Gabriel Sira Santana Centro para la Integración y el Derecho Público (CIDEP)

Gonzalo Himiob Santomé Foro Penal

Jaime Martínez Estévez Rodner, Martínez & Asociados

Javier Villamizar Gordon Noctua Consultores

Jo D'Elia

**Jorge Rosell Senhenn** Instituto de Estudios Jurídicos del Estado Lara

Juan Carlos Garanton-Blanco Universidad Católica Andrés Bello

Juan Carlos Sainz Borgo Universidad para la Paz

**Juan Manuel Raffalli** Raffalli de Lemos Abogados Karla Sáez Rodríguez ESC+G Abogados

Laura Louza Acceso a la Justicia

Lolymar Hernández Camargo Universidad Católica Andrés Bello

Nailet Gomez Padilla Universidad Metropolitana

**Oscar Eduardo Rivero López** *Rivero y Asociados* 

Raul Sanchez Urribarri La Trobe University

**Roberto Hung** Cultura Jurídica ORG

**Tadeo Arrieche Franco** Venpaís, Centro de Ideas

Tulio Alberto Álvarez-Ramos Universidad Católica Andrés Bello

Víctor Rafael Hernández-Mendible HMO Consultores Internacionales

Victor Rujano Bautista Asociación Civil CIMAS

Victoria Capriles Moreno

Anonymous Contributors

#### Vietnam

Danny Duy Vo

**Kent Wong** VCI Legal

Hai Le An

**Le Tien Dat** ADK Vietnam Lawyers

**Le Van Thanh** SureLaw Law Firm

**Ngo Thi Tung Linh** VDD Lawyers **Nguyen Truong Tho** Dai Nghia Law Office

The Lam Law LLC

Tuan A. Phung VCI Legal

Tung Tran CNC Counsel

Vo Dinh Duc VDD Lawyers

Anonymous Contributors

# Zambia

Ernest Muketoi Beele

Jacqueline Jhala Corpus Legal Practitioners

**John Chibalabala** John Chibalabala Legal Practitioners

Martha Siwale Namwila Mwala Corpus Legal Practitioners

Sebastian Chinkoyo The Copperbelt University

**Tiziana Marietta** Howard Marietta & Peterson Legal Practitioners

Vincent Kaunda Mwewa V K Mwewa and Company

Anonymous Contributors

#### Zimbabwe

**Abraham Mateta** MC Mukome Legal Practitioners

Andrew Makoni Mbidzo, Muchadehama & Makoni

**Arnold Farai Bimha** Farai & Farai Attorneys

Bellinda Chinowawa-Nharingo

**Fraser Edkins** Coghlan Welsh and Guest Jeremiah Mutongi Bamu Mbidzo, Muchadehama & Makoni

John Makanda Kantor and Immerman Legal Practitioners

Maxwell Musingafi Zimbabwe Open University

Musa Kika

**Obey Shava** Shava Law Chambers, Rights & Business Centre

Philemon Mutukwa Mashizha Mutukwa & Sambo Attorneys

Philip G. Nyakutombwa Nyakutombwa Legal Counsel

Sandra Dizwani Absolom and Shepherd Attorneys

Simplicio Bhebhe Kantor and Immerman Legal Practitioners

Stephen Junior Alumenda Gill, Godlonton & Gerrans Legal Practitioners

Tapiwa John Chivanga Scanlen & Holderness

**Terence Hussein** Hussein and Co.

Anonymous Contributors

# Acknowledgments

The World Justice Project's Honorary Chairs, Directors, Officers, Staff, Financial Supporters, and Sponsoring Organizations are listed in the last section of this report. Polling companies, research organizations, and contributing experts are listed in the Methodology section of this report.

# **Individual Advisors**

Mark David Agrast, American Society of International Law; Maurits Barendrecht, Tilburg University; Tim Besley, London School of Economics: Juan Carlos Botero, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana; Paul Brest, Stanford University: Jose Caballero, IMD Business School; Giulio Caperna, EU-JRC; Thomas Carothers, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace; Eduardo Cifuentes, Universidad de los Andes; Christine M. Cole, Crime & Justice Institute; Mariano-Florentino Cuellar, Supreme Court of California: Nicolas Dassen. Inter-American Development Bank; Larry Diamond, Stanford University; Sandra Elena; Brad Epperly, University of British Columbia; Julio Faundez, Warwick University; Hazel Feigenblatt, University of Maryland; Todd Foglesong, Munk School of Global Affairs at the University of Toronto; Tom Ginsburg, University of Chicago; Joseph Foti, Open Government Partnership; James Goldston, Open Society Justice Initiative (OSJI); Jorge Gonzalez, Universidad Javeriana; Jon Gould, Arizona State University; Martin Gramatikov, HiiL; Brendan Halloran, Transparency and Accountability Initiative; Linn Hammergren; Tim Hanstad, The Chandler Foundation: Wassim Harb, Arab Center for the Development of Rule of Law and Integrity: Nathaniel Heller; Susan Hirsch, George Mason University; Ronald Janse, University of Amsterdam Law School: Frik G. Jensen, Stanford University: Haroon Khadim, PAE; Rachel Kleinfeld, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace: Jack Knight, Duke University: Harold H. Koh, Yale University; Matija Kovacic, EU-JRC; Aart Kraay, The World Bank; Margaret Levi, Stanford University: Iris Litt Stanford University: Clare Lockhart The Institute for State Effectiveness; Zsuzsanna Lonti, OECD; Diego Lopez, Universidad de los Andes; William T. Loris, Lovola University: Lauren E. Loveland, National Democratic Institute (NDI); Paul Maassen, Open Government Partnership; Beatriz Magaloni, Stanford University; Jenny S. Martinez, Stanford University; Toby McIntosh, FreedomInfo.org; Toby Mendel, Centre for Law and Democracy; Nicholas Menzies, The World Bank; Ghada Moussa, Cairo University; Sam Muller, HiiL; Robert L. Nelson, American Bar Foundation and Northwestern University: Harris Pastides, University

of South Carolina; Randal Peerenboom, La Trobe University and Oxford University; Angela Pinzon, Universidad del Rosario; Pascoe Pleasence, University College London; Shannon Portillo, George Mason University; Michael H. Posner, New York University; Roy L. Prosterman, University of Washington; Anita Ramasastry, University of Washington; Audrey Sacks, The World Bank; Lutforahman Saeed, Kabul University; Michaela Saisana, EU-JRC; Andrea Saltelli, EU-JRC; Moises Sanchez, Alianza Regional por la Libertad de Expresión; Andrei Shleifer, Harvard University; Jorge Luis Silva, Secretaria de Economia; Christopher Stone, Oxford University; Stefan Voigt, University of Hamburg; Barry Weingast, Stanford University; Michael Woolcock, The World Bank.

# Institutional Contributors and Advisors

Altus Global Alliance: APCO Worldwide: Fleishman-Hillard: The Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University; The Center on Democracy, Development, and the Rule of Law, Stanford University; The German Bar Association in Brussels; Governance Data Alliance; Google Inc.; The Hague Institute for Innovation of Law (HiiL): Investigative Reporting Program, UC Berkeley Graduate School of Journalism; The Legal Department of Hewlett Packard Enterprise; The Legal Department of Microsoft Corporation; The Whitney and Betty MacMillan Center for International and Area Studies. Yale University; Rule of Law Collaborative, University of South Carolina; The University of Chicago Law School; Vera Institute of Justice; The Wright Center for the Study of Computation and Just Communities.

# About the World Justice Project

The World Justice Project (WJP) is an independent, multidisciplinary organization working to create knowledge, build awareness, and stimulate action to advance the rule of law worldwide. Effective rule of law reduces corruption, combats poverty and disease, and protects people from injustices large and small. It underpins development, accountable government, and respect for fundamental rights, and it is the foundation for communities of justice, opportunity, and peace.

# **Our Approach**

Traditionally, the rule of law has been viewed as the domain of lawyers and judges. However, everyday issues of safety, rights, justice, and governance affect us all; everyone is a stakeholder in the rule of law. Based on this, the WJP builds and supports a global, multi-disciplinary movement for the rule of law by:

*Collecting, organizing, and analyzing* original, independent rule of law data, including the *WJP Rule of Law Index;* 

Supporting research, scholarship, and teaching about the importance of rule of law, its relationship to development, and effective strategies to strengthen it; and

Connecting and building an engaged global

*network* of policy-makers and advocates to advance the rule of law through strategic partnerships, convenings, coordinated advocacy, and support for locally-led initiatives, including through the Resource Hub, a directory of leading organizations advancing the rule of law worldwide; the World Justice Challenge, a competition to identify, recognize, and promote good practices and successful solutions for strengthening the rule of law worldwide; and the World Justice Forum.

# **Honorary Chairs**

The World Justice Project has the support of outstanding leaders representing a range of disciplines around the world. The Honorary Chairs of the World Justice Project are:

Giuliano Amato; James A. Baker III; Cherie Blair; Stephen G. Breyer; Sharan Burrow; David Byrne; Jimmy Carter; Maria Livanos Cattaui; Hans Corell; Hilario G. Davide, Jr.; Hernando de Soto; Adama Dieng; Richard J. Goldstone; Kunio Hamada; Lee H. Hamilton; Mohamed Ibrahim; Hassan Bubacar Jallow; Tassaduq Hussain Jillani; Anthony M. Kennedy; Beverley McLachlin; George J. Mitchell; Ana Palacio; Roy L. Prosterman; Richard W. Riley; Mary Robinson; Antonio Vitorino; Harold Woolf; and Andrew Young.

# **Board of Directors**

Sheikha Abdulla Al-Misnad; Kamel Ayadi; Michael Chu; William C. Hubbard; Suet-Fern Lee; Mondli Makhanya; M. Margaret McKeown; John Nery; William H. Neukom; Ellen Gracie Northfleet; James R. Silkenat

# **Directors Emeriti**

Ashraf Ghani Ahmadzai Emil Constantinescu Petar Stoyanov

# Rule of Law Leadership Council

Beverley McLachlin, Co-Chair; Judy Perry Martinez, Co-Chair; Kerry Abrams; Paige Alexander; Bernard Amyot; Donald Ayer; David Beasley; Cherie Blair; Nicola Bonucci; Philippa Bounds; Nouzha Chekrouni; Antony Cook; Halimah DeLaine Prado; Tom Dery; Jon Fine; Adam Goldenberg; Karen F. Green; Tim Hanstad; Mo Ibrahim; Jeffrey Jowell; Paul Lawrence; Lucinda Low; Ian McDougall; Judith A. Miller; Ali H. Mokdad; Michael Posner; Regan Ralph; Anita Ramasastry; Bruce Sewell; Christopher H. Stephens; David S. Steuer; and David K.Y. Tang.

# Officers and Staff

*Officers*: William C. Hubbard, Co-Founder and Chairman of the Board; William H. Neukom, Co-Founder and CEO; Mark D. Agrast, Vice President; Deborah Enix-Ross, Vice President; Judy Perry Martinez, Vice President; Nancy Ward, Vice President; Gerold W. Libby, General Counsel and Secretary; and James R. Silkenat, Director and Treasurer.

Staff, Consultants, and Interns: Elizabeth Andersen, Executive Director; Alejandro González Arreola, Chief Engagement Officer; Amy Gryskiewicz, Chief of Staff and Operations; Mark Lewis, Chief of Public Sector Partnerships; Alejandro Ponce, Chief Research Officer; Richard Schorr, Chief Financial Officer; James van der Klok, Chief of Philanthropic Partnerships; Tanya Weinberg, Chief Communications Officer; Christina Alvarez; Daniela Barba; Marta Basystiuk; Allison Bostrom; Erin Campbell: Ana Cárdenas: Kenneth Carreon; Estefany Caudillo; Lilian Chapa Koloffon; Noah Clapacs; Lloyd Cleary; Isabella Coddington; Miguel Contreras; John Cullen; Shallum David; James Davis; Christine Detz; Sonakshi Dhimal; Giacomo D'Urbano; Alicia Evangelides; Adrian Feinberg; Renae Ford; Joshua Fuller; Lucía Estefanía González Medel; Eréndira González Portillo; Dalia Habiby; Max Hartenstein; Shakhlo Hasanova; Irene Heras; Grace Hulseman; Natalia Jardon; Verónica Jaso; Osvaldo Jiménez; Alphina Kamara; Lauren Kitz; Lauren Littlejohn; Mariana López; Debby Manley; James Marculitis; Andrea Marín Núñez de Arce; Charlotte Mast; Raquel Medina; Ana María Montoya; Alejandra Nava; Gustavo Núñez Peralta; Fernando Omedé; Horacio Ortiz; Santiago Pardo; Enrique Paulin; Artha Pillai; Srirak Plipat; Maya Prakash; Stephanie Presch; Hannah Rigazzi; Mario Rodríguez; Natalia Rodríguez Cajamarca; Juan Salgado; Leslie Solís Saravia; Marcelo Torres; Carlos Toruño; Zayna Tubeishat; Katrina Wanner; and Holly West.

# World Justice Project Funders

The World Justice Project thanks the following major 2023-2024 donors whose support makes our work possible:

# Public Sector Donors 2023-2024

The European Commission Global Affairs Canada Netherlands Ministry of Foreign Affairs The Supreme Judiciary Council of Qatar Thailand Institute of Justice United Nations Development Programme United States Agency for International Development United States Department of State The World Bank

# Institutional Donors 2023-2024

American Bar Association Section of Environment, Energy & Resources The Charles Stewart Mott Foundation The Hewlett Foundation Hong Kong Bar Association International Academy of Trial Lawyers Foundation Luminate Mo Ibrahim Foundation Neukom Family Foundation Open Society Foundations Proteus Fund Seattle International Foundation

# Private Sector Partnership for the Rule of Law 2023-2024

Google Hewlett Packard Enterprise (HPE) Jones Day Foundation K&L Gates LLP LexisNexis Rule of Law Foundation Microsoft Corporation Perkins Coie LLP Shell Global Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati Foundation

# Additional Private Sector Support 2023-2024

Arnold & Porter Cooley LLP ELD International LLC Gibson Dunn Pacifica Law Group Zuber Lawler


# Major Individual Donors 2023-2024

Mark Agrast and David Hollis Sheikha Abdulla Al-Misnad Bernard Amyot Elizabeth Andersen and Stephen Pomper Dennis Archer Juan Carlos Arteaga Anne Avis Ann and Don Ayer Cherie Blair James Blanchard Margaret Breen and Stewart Landefeld Susan Brotman Charles Brower Tom and Rebecca Burt William Burton Charles Cain Cindy and Bob Carlson Russell Carson Gary and Patricia Casale Gut Michael Chu William and Paula Clapp Stephan Coonrad and Cheryl Clark Robert and Margaret Cordy Kelli Cullinane Elizabeth Leigh Dance Alec and Anne Noel Dawson Kerri Driscoll Deborah Enix-Ross Barbara and R. Bradford Evans Laurie Fulton Dwight Gee Suzanne E. Gilbert Mark Glovsky Michael S. Greco Karen Green The Haldeman Family Foundation Tim Hanstad David A. Heiner Samuel D. Heins Steven L. Holley Ruth Holzer and Michael Byowitz R. Thomas Howell Elizabeth Hubbard and David Zaplosky Kappy and William C. Hubbard Jean Johnson and Peter Miller Cynthia Jones and Paul Lawrence Linda Klein and Michael S. Neuren Jack Krumholtz Suet-Fern Lee Margaret Levi Mark Lewis Gerold Libby Julie and Tom Lombardo Elise Lufkin Judy Perry Martinez Karla Kurbjun Mathews M. Margaret McKeown and Peter Cowhey Sarah Mendelson Norman Meyer James Michel Stephen Miron


Ali Mokdad Homer Moyer, Jr. Sally and William H. Neukom Robert Nelson Ellen Gracie Northfleet Llewelyn Pritchard Michelle and Karl Quackenbush Lucy Reed Patricia Refo Lesley and Ted Rosenthal Paula Selis and Jon Fine Barbara Shufro and David Steuer James R. Silkenat Martin Smith David Steuer John H. Stout Tonia and Robert B. Strassler Kathy Surace-Smith and Brad Smith David K. Y. Tang James van der Klok Beth van Schaack and Brent Lang Lucy Vance Cynthia Vreeland Nancy Ward and Toby Bright Kathy Weinman Stephanie Wheeler Steven Youmans Stephen Zack Mikhail Zak

A current list of funders can be found at worldjusticeproject.org.

# More From the World Justice Project








WJP Rule of Law Index 2024 Insights Highlights and data trends from the WJP Rule of Law Index 2024

World Justice Project EUROVOICES Thematic Reports Available November 2024


WJP Mexico States Rule of Law Index 2023-2024 Perceptions and experiences in 32 states



Peace and Dialogue Centers: A Model for Alternative and Community Justice in the State of Mexico 2024







WJP Justice Data Graphical Reports Part I\* and Part II<sup>†</sup> 2023

For more information or to read these reports, visit worldjusticeproject.org/our-work

\* Full report title is Dissecting the Justice Gap in 104 Countries.

† Full report title is Disparities, Vulnerability, and Harnessing Data for People-Centered Justice.



(a) worldjusticeproject.org

f facebook.com/thewjp

X x.com/theWJP



Scan the QR code or visit worldjusticeproject.org/index to view our interactive data portal.

Washington, DC

Singapore

Mexico City